## Use case survey

This form was created for collecting user experiences, needs and bottlenecks about Earth Observation (EO) clouds and digital infrastructures

1.	Name of the use case
2.	Sector
	Mark only one oval.
	Agriculture Health Energy
	Ecosystem
	Water
	Disaster management
	Climate and climate change
	Other:
3.	Partners of your project

## **End Users**

End users are the intended consumers of your end products.

4.	Intended end user categories
	Check all that apply.
	Business owners
	Developers
	Analysts
	Data Scientists
	Policy makers
	Other:
5.	Specific intended end users, if known (names)
S	ource datasets
Ū	
Se	ource datasets are the data that you take as input. We want to identify them and the ervices / providers. We also would like to understand if you need to integrate data om different sources and how you proceed to do so.
6.	Please list the datasets that you use (source datasets)

7.	In which categories do the source datasets belong?
	Check all that apply.
	Public data, free of charge remote sensing data (e.g. Copernicus, etc.)  Paid remote sensing data / services  Private data, not published/available  In situ / survey data  Meteo data  Citizen contributed / crowd sourced data  Data streams / real time / near real time  Other:
8.	Do you integrate datasets from different sources? If yes, how do you integrate them?
9.	Please identify the EO data providers and services of your source datasets

10.	Are you satisfied with the current offer of the data providers that you selected? Is there anything that you would improve?		
11.	Did you have to change the data provider because the one you picked in the first place didn't meet your needs? What did you need and which kind of problem did you encounter? Can you share your experience?		
Er	nd products		
	d products are the products of your project and can be of different nature such as ta streams, static data, services etc.		
12.	What is the nature of the end products of your project?		
	Check all that apply.		
	Static dataset(s)		
	Data Streams		
	Mobile App		
	Web service(s) (e.g. WMS, WFS, etc.)		
	Desktop App		

13.	Are end products / data publicly available?
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	○ No
	Partly
1.4	Annual de la companya
14.	Are metadata of end products / services publicly available?
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes, both for data and for services
	Only for services
	Only for datasets
	Not publicly available
	No
15.	Are metadata INSPIRE compliant?
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes, fully
	Partly
	No
	Not applicable / no metadata available
16.	If metadata of end product(s) are available, where are they published?

17.	If end products are published, indicate where and how are served (interfaces, APIs, web or mobile apps that get this data to the end users)
18.	Please indicate which EO Digital Infrastructures you use to host and publish your products and and which services (e.g. DaaS, PaaS, IaaS, Data Cubes, GPUs, etc.) from each
Pr	ocessing
Th	is section is about where and how you process your input data
19.	Do you use any of these Data Processing tools / techniques?
	Check all that apply.
	Machine learning
	Deep learning
	☐ HPC
	☐ Parallel Computing ☐ Virtualization / Containers
	Cloud computing
	Data Cubes
	Other:

platfo	s, etc.) you use for the technique indicated above, and from which
	h EO digital infrastructure do you currently use to process your data is your level of satisfaction? Why did you pick this platform?
_	ou explore any other digital infrastructure for processing your data? why did you abandon it? What did it miss? How could they have oved?

This section is for you to provide an overall feedback about EO digital platforms

Rate from 1 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree) the following sentences.

	providers
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5
	com completely agree
24.	The learning curve required for using EO digital platforms is rather steep
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5
	com completely agree
25.	The time we allocated at the start of the project to getting familiar with EO digital infrastructures was underestimated
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5
	com completely agree
26.	It was difficult to compare the costs of services offered by the EO digital platforms
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5
	com Completely agree

23. It was rather difficult to compare services offered by various EO infrastructure

21.	platforms was generally enough to getting our team up to speed in the expected time
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5
	com completely agree
28.	The channels set up for communication with the EO digital platforms (helpdesk / forum / etc) were useful / timely to provide assistance.
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5
	com completely agree
29.	Comments / Notes
We a	appreciate the time you spent to answer to our survey. Thank you!

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms