Homework 4

Madilyn Simons

- 1. Consider Z₄ = 0,1,2,3. We know 0 is not a zero divisor since a zero divisor is a nonzero element by definition. We know that 1 is not a zero because 1x = x for all x and then x must be 0 if 1x = 0. We know that 2 IS a zero divisor because 2 · 2 = 4 = 0. We know that 3 is not a zero divisor because 3 and 4 are relatively prime, meaning any zero element of Z₄ must be a multiple of 4, which equals 0. Therefore, there does exist a ring with one zero divisor.
- 2. First, let us prove that \cong is symmetric. Since $f: R \to S$ is an isomorphism, this means that f is bijective. Let f(r) = s for some $r \in R$ and some $s \in S$. If f is bijective, there exists some function $g: S \to R$ such that g(s) = g(f(r)) = r.

To prove g is injective, let $s, s' \in S$ and $r, r' \in R$ such that $s \neq s'$, $r \neq r'$, f(s) = r, and f(s') = r'. As such, g(s) = g(f(r)) = r and g(s') = g(f(r')) = r'. Since $r \neq r'$, this implies that if $s \neq s'$, then $r \neq r'$ and g must be injective.

Because Im f = S, there is an $r \in R$ for all $s \in S$ such that s = f(r). Because g(s) = g(f(r)) = r, Im g = R and g is surjective.

We can prove that g(s) + g(s') = g(s + s') as such:

$$g(s)+g(s')=g(f(r))+g(f(r'))=r+r'=g(f(r+r'))=g(f(r)+f(r'))=g(s+s')$$

We can prove g(s)g(s') = g(ss') as such:

$$g(s)g(s') = g(f(r))g(f(r')) = rr' = g(f(rr')) = g(f(r)f(r')) = g(ss')$$

Therefore, g is an isomorphism and \cong is symmetric.

Next, we prove that \cong is transitive. If f and g are isomorphisms, then there exists $r \in R$, $s \in S$, and $t \in T$ such that f(r) = s and g(s) = t. As such, let $h: R \to T$ be the function such that h(r) = g(f(r)) = g(s) = t.

Let g(s') = t' for some $s' \in S$ and $t' \in T$ such that $s \neq s'$ and $t \neq t'$.

We know h is injective because h(r) = g(f(r)) = g(s) = t and h(r') = g(f(r')) = g(s') = t' and $t \neq t'$.

We know h is surjective because the Im f is S and Im g is T. Therefore, for all $t \in T$ there is a solution to h(r) = t.

We prove h(r) + h(r') = h(r + r') as such:

$$h(r) + h(r') = g(f(r)) + g(f(r')) = g(f(r+r')) = h(r+r')$$

We prove h(r)h(r') = h(rr') as such:

$$h(r)h(r') = q(f(r))q(f(r')) = q(f(r)f(r')) = q(f(rr')) = h(rr')$$

Therefore h is an isomorphism and \cong is transitive.

- 3. Assume $\mathbb C$ and $\mathbb R$ are isomorphic and $f:\mathbb C\to\mathbb R$. By the definition of isomorphism, we know that $f(1)=f(1\cdot 1)=f(1)f(1)=f(1)^2$. If $f(1)=f(1)^2$, then f(1) must either be 0 or 1. Assume f(1)=0. Then, for an $c\in\mathbb C$, $f(c)=f(c\cdot 1)=f(c)f(1)=f(c)\cdot 0=0$, and this is a contradiction since isomorphisms are bijective. Therefore, f(1)=1. Again, by the definition of isomorphism, f(-1)=-f(1)=-1. Now let r=f(i). As such, $r^2=f(i)f(i)=f(i^2)=f(-1)=-1$. However, this is a contradiction because there is no $r\in\mathbb R$ such $r^2=-1$. Therefore $\mathbb C$ and $\mathbb R$ are not isomorphic.
- 4. Let F denote a function $F: T_{[0,1]} \to T_{[0,2]}$ such that $F(f)(x) = f(\frac{1}{2}x)$ for some $f \in T_{[0,1]}$. If $f_1, f_2 \in T_{[0,1]}$, we can prove $F(f_1 + f_2) = F(f_1) + F(f_2)$ as such:

$$F(f_1 + f_2)(x) = (f_1 + f_2)(\frac{1}{2}x) = f_1(\frac{1}{2}x) + f_2(\frac{1}{2}x) = F(f_1)(x) + F(f_2)(x)$$

We can prove $F(f_1f_2) = F(f_1)F(f_2)$ as such:

$$F(f_1 f_2)(x) = (f_1 f_2)(\frac{1}{2}x) = f_1(\frac{1}{2}x)f_2(\frac{1}{2})x = F(f_1)(x)F(f_2)(x)$$

To prove injectivity, assume $F(f_1) = F(f_2)$:

$$F(f_1)(x) = F(f_2)(x)$$

$$f_1(\frac{1}{2}x) = f_2(\frac{1}{2}x)$$

$$f_1(x) = f_2(x)$$

Therefore $F(f_1) = F(f_2)$ implies $f_1 = f_2$.

To prove surjectivity, let $h \in T_{[0,2]}$ and f(x) = h(2x). Therefore $F(f)(x) = f(\frac{1}{2}x) = h(x)$, implying that Im $F = T_{[0,2]}$ and F is surjective.

Thus, $T_{[0,1]}$ and $T_{[0,2]}$ are isomorphic.

5. Let $f: R \to \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ be the function $f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix}) = a + b\sqrt{2}$ such that $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

To prove injectivity, let $c,d\in\mathbb{Q}$ such that $a\neq c$ and $b\neq d$. Also, assume $f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix})=f(\begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 2d & c \end{bmatrix})$. As such:

$$\begin{split} f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix}) &= f(\begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 2d & c \end{bmatrix}) \\ a + b\sqrt{2} &= c + d\sqrt{2} \\ a - c &= \sqrt{2}(d - b) \\ \sqrt{2} &= (a - c)/(d - b) \end{split}$$

Since $\sqrt{2}$ is an irrational number and (a-c)/(d-b) is a rational number, this is a contradiction. Thus, f is injective.

We know that f is surjective because for all $a+b\sqrt{2}\in\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$, there is an $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix}\in M_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix})=a+b\sqrt{2}$.

We can prove
$$f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix}) + f(\begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 2d & c \end{bmatrix}) = f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 2d & c \end{bmatrix})$$
 as such:
$$f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix}) + f(\begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 2d & c \end{bmatrix})$$
$$= (a+bi) + (c+di) = (a+c) + (b+d)i$$
$$= f(\begin{bmatrix} a+c & b+d \\ 2(b+d) & a+c \end{bmatrix})$$
$$= f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 2d & c \end{bmatrix})$$

We can prove $f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix})f(\begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 2d & c \end{bmatrix}) = f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 2d & c \end{bmatrix})$ as such:

$$f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix})f(\begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 2d & c \end{bmatrix})$$

$$= (a+b\sqrt{2})(c+d\sqrt{2}) = (ac+2bd) + (ad+bc)\sqrt{2}$$

$$= f(\begin{bmatrix} ac+2bd & ad+bc \\ 2(ad+bc) & ac+2bd \end{bmatrix}) = f(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 2b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 2d & c \end{bmatrix})$$

Therefore, f is an isomorphism and R is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$.

6. The rings are not isomorphic because $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/35\mathbb{Z}$ is a 170-element set and $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z}$ is an 180-element set and it is not possible to have a surjective function from an 170-element set to an 180-element set.