

MATH 307

Assignment #9

Due Friday, March 25, 2022

For each problem, include the statement of the problem. Leave a blank line. At the beginning of the next line, write **Solution** or **Proof** – as appropriate.

1. Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that (1) $P^2 = P$ and (2) every vector in $\text{null } P$ is orthogonal to every vector in $\text{range } P$. Prove that there exists a subspace U of V such that $P = P_U$.

Hint: For $v \in V$, write $v = Pv + (v - Pv)$.

Proof. We will first show that $V = \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P$. Let $u \in \text{range } P$ and $w \in \text{null } P$. Then we will show that every $v \in V$ can be expressed as $v = u + w$. Taking $P(v - Pv)$ we have $Pv - P^2v = Pv - Pv$ by hypothesis (1), which is clearly zero. Therefore $(v - Pv) \in \text{null } P$. As for Pv , we know that $Pv \in \text{range } P$ by definition of range. Therefore we can rewrite v as the sum of subsets

$$v = \underbrace{Pv}_{\in \text{range } P} + \underbrace{(v - Pv)}_{\in \text{null } P}.$$

To show it is a unique linear combination (direct sum), we need $\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P = \{0\}$. For every $x \in \text{null } P \cap \text{range } P$, we have $Px = 0$ and $x = Py$ for some $y \in V$. By hypothesis (1), $P^2y = Py = P(Py) = Px = 0$. Thus, $\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P = \{0\}$ and

$$v = \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P.$$

Hence every $v \in V$ can be written as a unique linear combination $v = Pv + (v - Pv)$. By hypothesis (2), we have $\text{null } P \subseteq (\text{range } P)^\perp$. For $U := \text{range } P$ (a subspace), then

$$P_U v = P_U(Pv + v - Pv) = P_U \underbrace{(Pv)}_{\in U} + P_U \underbrace{(v - Pv)}_{\in U^\perp} = Pv + 0 = Pv.$$

□

2. Suppose V is finite-dimensional, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and U is a subspace of V . Prove that U is invariant under T if and only if $P_U T P_U = T P_U$.

Proof. (\implies) (If U is invariant under T then $P_U T P_U = T P_U$.)

For any $v \in V$ we have $P_U v \in U$ by properties of P_U (6.55 (d)). By our hypothesis $T(P_U v) \in U$, therefore

$$\underbrace{P_U(T P_U v)}_{\in U} = T P_U v$$

by property 6.55 (b). □

Proof. (\impliedby) (If $P_U T P_U = T P_U$ then U is invariant under T .)

For any vector $u \in U$ we have $Tu = v + w$ for some $v \in U$ and $w \in U^\perp$. Then we would first have

$$\begin{aligned} P_U T P_U &= P_U T u \\ &= P_U(v + w) \\ &= \underbrace{P_U v}_{\in U} + \underbrace{P_U w}_{\in U^\perp} \\ &= v + 0 \\ &= v. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we would have $T P_U u = Tu = v + w$. Therefore under our hypothesis, $v = v + w$, which implies $w = 0$. Then $Tu = v + 0 = v \in U$, hence U is invariant under T . □

Hence U is invariant under T if and only if $P_U T P_U = T P_U$.

3. In \mathbb{R}^4 , let

$$U = \text{span}((0, 0, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 1)).$$

Find $u \in U$ such that $\|u - (1, 3, 5, 4)\|$ is as small as possible.

Solution: Let B be an orthonormal basis of U . Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^4$ equal $v_1 = (0, 0, 1, 1)$ and $v_2 = (1, 2, 1, 1)$. Using the Gram-Schmidt procedure on v_1 and v_2 ,

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{\|v_1\|} v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 0, 1, 1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e_2 &= \frac{v_2 - \langle v_2, e_1 \rangle e_1}{\|v_2 - \langle v_2, e_1 \rangle e_1\|} \\ &= \frac{v_2 - \frac{1}{2} \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle v_1}{\|v_2 - \frac{1}{2} \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle v_1\|} \\ &= \frac{v_2 - \frac{1}{2}(0 + 0 + 1 + 1)v_1}{\|v_2 - \frac{1}{2}(0 + 0 + 1 + 1)v_1\|} \\ &= \frac{v_2 - v_1}{\|v_2 - v_1\|} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(1, 2, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Then $B := \{e_1, e_2\}$. Then the closest point to $u \in U$ to $w := (1, 3, 5, 4)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \langle w, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \langle w, e_2 \rangle e_2 \\ &= \frac{5+4}{2}(0, 0, 1, 1) + \frac{1+6}{5}(1, 2, 0, 0) \\ &= \left(\frac{7}{5}, \frac{14}{5}, \frac{9}{2}, \frac{9}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

4. Assume $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ for a complex vector space V . Prove that T is self-adjoint if and only if all eigenvalues for T are real.

Proof. (\implies) (If T is self-adjoint, then all the eigenvalues for T are real.)

Let λ be an eigenvalue of T and $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Tv = \lambda v$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \|v\|^2 &= \langle \lambda v, v \rangle \\ &= \langle Tv, v \rangle \\ &= \langle v, T^*v \rangle \\ &= \langle v, Tv \rangle && \text{by hypothesis} \\ &= \langle v, \lambda v \rangle \\ &= \bar{\lambda} \langle v, v \rangle \\ &= \bar{\lambda} \|v\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$, hence $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Proof. (\impliedby) (If all the eigenvalues for T are real, then T is self-adjoint.) By the hypothesis, $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ for an eigenvalue λ . Let $v \in V$ be an eigenvector so that $Tv = \lambda v$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tv, v \rangle &= \langle \lambda v, v \rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle v, v \rangle \\ &= \left\langle v, \bar{\lambda} v \right\rangle \\ &= \langle v, \lambda v \rangle && \text{by hypothesis} \\ &= \langle v, Tv \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle$ is true for eigenvector $v \in V$. We are not guaranteed anything else under these assumptions.

False by counterexample: Let

$$\mathcal{M}(T) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}(T^*) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues of T are $\lambda = 1$ with multiplicity 2. However, $\mathcal{M}(T) \neq \mathcal{M}(T^*)$. Hence a counterexample. □

5. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is self-adjoint and if $T^2v = 0$, then $Tv = 0$

Proof. If we have $T^2v = 0$, then this is equivalent to $T(Tv) = 0$. Taking the inner product of Tv with itself, $\langle Tv, Tv \rangle$, we will show this is zero. By our hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Tv, Tv \rangle &= \langle v, T^*Tv \rangle \\ &= \langle v, T^2v \rangle \\ &= \langle v, 0 \rangle \quad \text{by hypothesis} \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle Tv, Tv \rangle = 0$, which implies that $Tv = 0$. □

6. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that

- (a) T is injective if and only if T^* is surjective.

Proof. (\iff)

By definition of injective, $\text{null } T = \{0\}$. Using 7.7 properties,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{null } T &= \{0\} && \text{(hypothesis)} \\ \iff (\text{range } T^*)^\perp &= \{0\} && \text{(c)} \\ \iff \text{range } T^* &= \{0\}^\perp = W && \text{(perp of both)} \\ \iff T^* &\text{ is surjective.} && \text{(definition of surjective)}\end{aligned}$$

□

- (b) T is surjective if and only if T^* is injective.

Proof. (\iff)

By definition of injective, $\text{null } T^* = \{0\}$. Using 7.7 properties,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{null } T^* &= \{0\} && \text{(hypothesis)} \\ \iff (\text{range } T)^\perp &= \{0\} && \text{(a)} \\ \iff (\text{range } T) &= \{0\}^\perp = W && \text{(perp of both)} \\ \iff T &\text{ is surjective.} && \text{(definition of surjective)}\end{aligned}$$

□

7. Suppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ are self-adjoint. Prove that ST is self-adjoint if and only if $ST = TS$.

Proof. (\implies) (If ST is self-adjoint then $ST = TS$.)

$$\begin{aligned} ST &= (ST)^* && (ST \text{ self adjoint hypothesis}) \\ &= T^* S^* && (\text{property e}) \\ &= TS. && (T = T^* \text{ and } S = S^* \text{ hypothesis}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence if ST is self adjoint then $ST = TS$. \square

Proof. (\impliedby) (If $ST = TS$ then ST is self-adjoint.)

$$\begin{aligned} (ST)^* &= T^* S^* && (\text{property e}) \\ &= TS && (T = T^* \text{ and } S = S^* \text{ hypothesis}) \\ &= ST. && (ST = TS \text{ hypothesis}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $ST = TS$ then $(ST)^* = ST$. In other words, ST is self-adjoint. \square

8. Suppose $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that $P^2 = P$. Prove that there is a subspace U of V such that $P = P_U$ if and only if P is self-adjoint.

Proof. (\implies) (If there is a subspace U of V such that $P = P_U$ then P is self-adjoint)

□

Proof. (\impliedby) (If P is self-adjoint then there exists a subspace U of V such that $P = P_U$)
 Since P is self-adjoint under the hypothesis, $V = \text{range } P + \text{null } P$. By the logic of problem #1, $V = \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P$. Let $U := \text{range } P$ and $v \in V$. Then for some $u \in U$ and some $w \in U^\perp$, $v = u + w$. We have $Pw = 0$ by null space definition. So

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_U v &= P_U(u + w) \\
 &= P_U u + P_U w \\
 &= u + 0 \\
 &= u \\
 &= Pu + 0 \\
 &= Pu + Pw \\
 &= Pv.
 \end{aligned}$$

□