

# Between the Lines: Essays and Reflections

[A MBP Original]

---

*This collection is a point of reflection—a return to reading and writing with purpose. I want to approach both fiction and nonfiction not as passive stories, but as opportunities to think critically, to stay fully engaged, and to rediscover the joy of comprehension. These essays are my attempt to document that process: my evolving thoughts on the novels I’ve completed and the lessons they leave behind.*

*Now, with the rare gift of time, I aim to sharpen the analytical skills that once defined my love for literature. In high school, courses like AP Language and Literature taught me to dissect meaning and uncover nuance. Since pursuing a career grounded in science and engineering, I’ve realized how much I miss that depth of interpretation—the art of reading slowly and thinking deeply.*

*This project marks a return to that practice. Through writing, I hope to bridge the precision of my technical background with the reflection and empathy that literature demands. More than anything, I want these essays to represent my perspective—an honest engagement with words, ideas, and the world they reveal.*

---

# Parable of the Sower by Octavia Spencer

*Completed October 20<sup>th</sup>, 2025*

*This book contains nine reading group questions, here are my attempts of answering them.*

1. **Lauren Olamina has hyper empathy syndrome, an illness that gives her the delusion that she feels both the pain and pleasure of those around her. Do you think it is significant that this is a congenital disease she contracted because her mother was a drug abuser? How has this illness made Lauren different from those around her? What was she unable to tell anyone about it? Why do you suppose it is significant to the story that she has this illness?**

Most drug abusers, I believe use substances to feel less, to numb pain, sadness, or harsh reality. Lauren's mother use of Paracetco, the so called "Einstein powder" (pg. 12) inverts the conventional motive of drug abuse. Rather than numbing pain, Paracetco was designed to heighten cognition, an artificial attempted at enlightenment amid social decay. Butler's choice to link Lauren's condition to this "smart drug" underscores the irony of a society that seeks knowledge yet forfeits authentic understanding. Lauren's inherited empathy thus becomes the unintended consequence of her mother's intellectual ambition: a return to emotional truth born from the failure of artificial intelligence.

Lauren's hyperempathy distinguishes her within a desensitized and deteriorating world. While others have become numb to violence and suffering, she remains acutely attuned to human pain and pleasure. This capacity for feeling, both agonizing and redemptive, embodies the novel's moral tension between numb survival and compassionate awareness. In a society stripped of empathy, Lauren's sensitivity is paradoxically her most human and most dangerous trait, positioning her as both outcast and moral compass.

Lauren conceals her syndrome because empathy, in her brutalized society, equates to vulnerability. Those who can feel another's suffering are easily manipulated, as Lauren realizes through her conversation with Emery about the exploitation of "sharers." To reveal her condition would invite control and endanger her survival. Her father's insistence on secrecy reflects the novel's grim realism: compassion, when unguarded, becomes a liability in a world governed by cruelty.

Ultimately, Lauren's hyperempathy transforms from affliction to instrument of

rebirth. Through the pain she absorbs, she perceives humanity's collective anguish and channels it into the creation of Earthseed, a philosophy grounded in adaptation, change, and communal care. Butler redefines intelligence through Lauren, not as synthetic enhancement, but as emotional acuity and moral vision. What begins as inherited weakness evolves into spiritual leadership, suggesting that true wisdom arises not from detachment but from the capacity to feel deeply and act compassionately.

- 2. Earthseed can be described as a “cold” religion since it has such an impersonal god. Is there anything about it that you think could be described as comforting? Or liberating? Do you believe God has a consciousness? Is a thinking being? Or is Earthseed a system of beliefs that appeal to you? What are your feelings about religion?**

The central tenet of Earthseed, that “God is Change”, provides comfort in its honesty about the nature of existence. In a world where time never ceases and transformation is inevitable; Butler's theology acknowledges an immutable truth: change is both constant and inescapable. Within the post-societal chaos of Parable of the Sower, where lives unravel in an instant, this idea offers stability through paradox. The recognition that “God is power... God exists to be shaped. God is Change” (pg.10) becomes an anchor in instability, suggesting that although suffering is unavoidable it can be directed, molded and perhaps redeemed through human agency.

What makes Earthseed liberating, is its inversion of passivity in faith. Rather than worshiping a distant, anthropomorphic deity, its followers are invited to participate in divine creation by shaping change itself. The belief that “God exists to be shaped” grants humanity both responsibility and freedom. It empowers believers to co-create the future rather than surrender helplessly to it.

Personally, I do believe that God possesses consciousness and agency, and in that sense, I find Earthseed's impersonal theology incomplete. Butler's vision parallels aspects of Christian faith. The notion that “God can change your situation for the better or worse” echoes familiar doctrines. Religion, whether traditional or speculative, teaches trust in the unseen. To me, that is where Lauren's vision finds its emotional appeal. She distills her father's ministry, Baptist preacher, into something stripped of ornamentation yet rich in truth. Faith not as a blind optimism

but as an active with the inevitability of change.

**3. Do you agree or disagree that this is a possible future for America? In terms of government and societal stability as well as future technological advancements, in what ways do you believe America will change in the next two decades? Do you think things will be better or worse than they are now? “Where are we going? What sort of future are we creating? Is it the kind of future you want to live in?”**

**4. What lessons do you feel you took away from the novel?**

“‘I’d rather have the stars,’ I say. ‘The stars are free.’ She shrugs. ‘I’d rather have the city lights back myself, the sooner the better. But we can afford the stars.’”