- (c) Give an algorithm that takes an n-node path G with weights and returns an independent set of maximum total weight. The running time should be polynomial in n, independent of the values of the weights.
- 2. Suppose you're managing a consulting team of expert computer hackers, and each week you have to choose a job for them to undertake. Now, as you can well imagine, the set of possible jobs is divided into those that are *low-stress* (e.g., setting up a Web site for a class at the local elementary school) and those that are *high-stress* (e.g., protecting the nation's most valuable secrets, or helping a desperate group of Cornell students finish a project that has something to do with compilers). The basic question, each week, is whether to take on a low-stress job or a high-stress job.

If you select a low-stress job for your team in week i, then you get a revenue of $\ell_i > 0$ dollars; if you select a high-stress job, you get a revenue of $h_i > 0$ dollars. The catch, however, is that in order for the team to take on a high-stress job in week i, it's required that they do no job (of either type) in week i-1; they need a full week of prep time to get ready for the crushing stress level. On the other hand, it's okay for them to take a low-stress job in week i even if they have done a job (of either type) in week i-1.

So, given a sequence of n weeks, a plan is specified by a choice of "low-stress," "high-stress," or "none" for each of the n weeks, with the property that if "high-stress" is chosen for week i > 1, then "none" has to be chosen for week i - 1. (It's okay to choose a high-stress job in week 1.) The value of the plan is determined in the natural way: for each i, you add ℓ_i to the value if you choose "low-stress" in week i, and you add h_i to the value if you choose "high-stress" in week i. (You add 0 if you choose "none" in week i.)

The problem. Given sets of values $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n$ and h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n , find a plan of maximum value. (Such a plan will be called *optimal*.)

Example. Suppose n = 4, and the values of ℓ_i and h_i are given by the following table. Then the plan of maximum value would be to choose "none" in week 1, a high-stress job in week 2, and low-stress jobs in weeks 3 and 4. The value of this plan would be 0 + 50 + 10 + 10 = 70.

	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4
ℓ	10	1	10	10
h	5	50	5	1

(a) Show that the following algorithm does not correctly solve this problem, by giving an instance on which it does not return the correct answer.

```
For iterations i=1 to n

If h_{i+1}>\ell_i+\ell_{i+1} then

Output "Choose no job in week i"

Output "Choose a high-stress job in week i+1"

Continue with iteration i+2

Else

Output "Choose a low-stress job in week i"

Continue with iteration i+1

Endif

End
```

To avoid problems with overflowing array bounds, we define $h_i = \ell_i = 0$ when i > n.

In your example, say what the correct answer is and also what the above algorithm finds.

- **(b)** Give an efficient algorithm that takes values for $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n$ and h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n and returns the *value* of an optimal plan.
- **3.** Let G = (V, E) be a directed graph with nodes v_1, \ldots, v_n . We say that G is an *ordered graph* if it has the following properties.
 - (i) Each edge goes from a node with a lower index to a node with a higher index. That is, every directed edge has the form (v_i, v_j) with i < j.
 - (ii) Each node except v_n has at least one edge leaving it. That is, for every node v_i , i = 1, 2, ..., n 1, there is at least one edge of the form (v_i, v_i) .

The length of a path is the number of edges in it. The goal in this question is to solve the following problem (see Figure 6.29 for an example).

Given an ordered graph G, find the length of the longest path that begins at v_1 and ends at v_n .

(a) Show that the following algorithm does not correctly solve this problem, by giving an example of an ordered graph on which it does not return the correct answer.

```
Set w = v_1
Set L = 0
```