

ADS2 — Dynamic Programming 1 (Week Plan)

Metadata

Field	Value
Title	ADS2 — DP1 Notes & Solutions
Date	2025-10-18 (Europe/Copenhagen)
Author	Mads Richardt
Sources used	

weekplan.pdf (Exercises block p.1); *DP1-4x1.pdf* (slides pp. 11–24, memoization & bottom-up); *Algorithm Design.pdf* (KT §6.1–6.2, WIS details); *6_2.pdf* (KT 6.2 Job Planning, Exercises p.313–314); *6_4.pdf* (KT 6.4 Office Switching, Exercises p.315–316).

Week plan filename	weekplan.pdf
--------------------	--------------

General Methodology and Theory

- Dynamic programming (DP): overlapping subproblems + optimal substructure.
- Recipe: 1) choose subproblem index; 2) write correct recurrence; 3) pick order (top-down memo or bottom-up); 4) initialize bases; 5) prove/argue correctness; 6) analyze time/space; 7) recover solution (parent/backpointers).
- Complexity hygiene: sort once when needed; precompute helpers (e.g., predecessor index $p(j)$); space trimming when only previous rows/cols are needed.

Notes

- **Weighted Interval Scheduling (WIS)** — sort by finish times; let $p(j)$ be the rightmost non-overlapping job before j . Recurrence $M[j] = \max\{v_j + M[p(j)], M[j-1]\}$ with $M[0] = 0$. Solution via traceback using $v_j + M[p(j)] \geq M[j-1]$.
- **Grid Paths with traps** — count paths on an $n \times n$ grid moving only right/down, avoiding blocks. DP $dp[i][j] = 0$ if trap; else $dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j] + dp[i][j-1]$ with borders guarded. (CSES 1638 uses modulo $10^9 + 7$.)
- **Job Planning (KT 6.2)** — choose each week: low ℓ_i , high h_i (requires week $i-1$ = none), or none. Let $OPT[i] = \max\{OPT[i-1] + \ell_i, OPT[i-2] + h_i, OPT[i-1]\}$; since $\ell_i \geq 0$, this simplifies to $OPT[i] = \max\{OPT[i-1] + \ell_i, OPT[i-2] + h_i\}$ with $OPT[0] = 0$, $OPT[1] = \max\{\ell_1, h_1\}$.
- **Office Switching (KT 6.4)** — two states per month: end in NY or SF. $NY[i] = \min\{NY[i-1], SF[i-1] + M\} + N_i$, $SF[i] = \min\{SF[i-1], NY[i-1] + M\} + S_i$; answer $\min\{NY[n], SF[n]\}$; backtrack for the offices sequence.

- **Discrete Fréchet distance** — sequences $p_1..p_n$ and $q_1..q_m$; leash length is minimax over coupled monotone walks. DP $L(i, j) = \max \left(d(p_i, q_j), \min \{ L(i-1, j), L(i-1, j-1), L(i, j-1) \} \right)$ with edges-only moves and bases $L(1, 1) = d(p_1, q_1)$, $L(i, 1) = \max \{ d(p_i, q_1), L(i-1, 1) \}$, $L(1, j) = \max \{ d(p_1, q_j), L(1, j-1) \}$.

Coverage Table

Weekplan ID	Canonical ID	Title/Label (verbatim)	Assignment Source	Text Source	Status
1	WIS	[w] Weighted interval scheduling — solve by memoization and iterative	weekplan.pdf §Exercises/1	DP1-4x1.pdf (slides pp. 11–24); Algorithm Design §6.1	Solved
2.1	—	Grid Paths — algorithm + analysis	weekplan.pdf §Exercises/2.1	CSES 1638 statement (external); weekplan description	Solved
2.2	CSES 1638	Grid Paths — implement on CSES	weekplan.pdf §Exercises/2.2	CSES 1638 I/O micro-card below	Solved
3	KT 6.2	Job planning — Solve KT 6.2	weekplan.pdf §Exercises/3	6_2.pdf Exercises p.313–314	Solved
4	KT 6.4	Office switching — Solve KT 6.4	weekplan.pdf §Exercises/4	6_4.pdf Exercises p.315–316	Solved
5.1	—	Discrete Fréchet distance — recursive formula for $L(i, j)$	weekplan.pdf §Exercises/5.1	weekplan.pdf figure p.1; Pasted image.png	Solved
5.2	—	Discrete Fréchet — pseudocode + time/space	weekplan.pdf §Exercises/5.2	weekplan.pdf figure p.1; Pasted image.png	Solved
5.3	—	Discrete Fréchet — output actual paths	weekplan.pdf §Exercises/5.3	weekplan.pdf figure p.1; Pasted image.png	Solved

MISMATCH: none. All week-plan items enumerated and solved.

Solutions

Exercise 1 — WIS

Source tags. Assignment: weekplan §1. Text: slides DP1-4x1 (WIS); KT §6.1–6.2.

Concept mapping. Intervals \rightarrow weighted jobs; conflict if they overlap; build $p(j)$.

Method. Sort by finish; precompute $p[1..n]$ via binary search; fill $M[0..n]$ bottom-up; traceback.

```
Algorithm: weighted_interval_scheduling
Input: jobs 1..n with (s[i], f[i], v[i])
Output: optimal value and one optimal subset

sort by f ascending; compute p[1..n]
M[0] ← 0
for j = 1..n:
    M[j] ← max(v[j] + M[p[j]], M[j-1])
// recover
sol ← ∅; j ← n
while j > 0:
    if v[j] + M[p[j]] ≥ M[j-1]:
        sol ← sol ∪ {j}; j ← p[j]
    else:
        j ← j-1
return (M[n], sol)
// Time: O(n log n); Space: O(n)
```

Worked instance (from plan). Jobs $S = \{(1,7,4), (10,12,2), (2,5,3), (8,11,4), (12,13,3), (3,9,5), (3,4,3), (4,6,3), (5,8,2), (4,13,6)\}$.

- Sorted by finish: (3,4,3), (2,5,3), (4,6,3), (1,7,4), (5,8,2), (3,9,5), (8,11,4), (10,12,2), (12,13,3), (4,13,6).
- Optimal value $M[n] = 13$ with one certificate set (by original indices): {(3,4,3) id7, (4,6,3) id8, (8,11,4) id4, (12,13,3) id5}.

Verification. Feasible (non-overlapping), value $3+3+4+3=13$; DP optimal by recurrence induction.

Pitfalls. Wrong $p(j)$; unstable tie-breaking on equal finishes; forgetting $M[0] = 0$.

Variant drill. If two intervals share finish time, break ties by smaller start to keep $p(j)$ monotone; correctness unchanged.

Transfer Pattern. Archetype: weighted independent set on interval graph. Recognition cues: intervals, weights, “non-overlap”. Mapping: vertices \rightarrow jobs; edge when overlap; solve via finish-sorted DP with $p(j)$. Certificate: list of job indices. Anti-cues: arbitrary graphs (requires MWIS, not this DP).

Exercise 2.1 — Grid Paths (count & analyze)

Source tags. Assignment: weekplan §2.1; Text: plan statement.

```
Algorithm: grid_paths_count
Input: n, grid[1..n][1..n] with '.' free and '*' trap
Output: number of valid paths from (1,1) to (n,n)
```

```

dp[1..n][1..n] ← 0
if grid[1][1] ≠ '*': dp[1][1] ← 1
for i=1..n:
  for j=1..n:
    if grid[i][j] = '*': continue
    if i>1: dp[i][j] ← dp[i][j] + dp[i-1][j]
    if j>1: dp[i][j] ← dp[i][j] + dp[i][j-1]
return dp[n][n]
// Time: O(n^2); Space: O(n^2) (or O(n) with row rolling)

```

Verification. Each path's last step is from up or left; bases handle borders and traps.

Pitfalls. Missing modulo on platforms that require it; off-by-one at (1,1).

Variant drill. Add diagonal moves → add $+dp[i-1][j-1]$ term; still $O(n^2)$.

Transfer Pattern. Archetype: counting paths in a DAG. Cues: acyclic moves (right/down), obstacles. Mapping: nodes=grid cells, edges allowed moves, dp=node counts. Certificate: optional small grid hand-trace.

Exercise 2.2 — CSES 1638 I/O micro-card

- **Input.** n ($1 \leq n \leq 1000$) then n lines of length n with characters in $\{', '*\}$. Use modulo $10^9 + 7$.
- **Output.** Single integer: number of paths from (1,1) to (n,n).
- **Edge cases.** Start or end is '*': answer 0. Prefer rolling row to cut space to $O(n)$.

Exercise 3 — KT 6.2 Job Planning

Source tags. Assignment: weekplan §3; Text: KT 6.2 (Exercises p.313–314).

Method. One-dim DP with skip for high-stress.

```

Algorithm: job_planning
Input: n; arrays l[1..n], h[1..n]
Output: OPT[n] (max value) and a plan

OPT[0] ← 0; prev[0] ← none
OPT[1] ← max(l[1], h[1]); prev[1] ← (l[1] ≥ h[1] ? L : H)
for i=2..n:
  a ← OPT[i-1] + l[i]    // take low this week
  b ← OPT[i-2] + h[i]    // take high, forcing i-1 = none
  if a ≥ b: OPT[i] ← a; prev[i] ← L
  else:     OPT[i] ← b; prev[i] ← H
// reconstruct by stepping i ← i-1 after L, or i ← i-2 after H

```

```

return (OPT[n], plan)
// Time: O(n); Space: O(n) (O(1) if only value needed)

```

Worked tiny example (plan table in weekplan). Weeks 1..4 with $\ell = [10, 1, 10, 10]$, $h = [5, 50, 5, 1]$: value 70 via plan [none, H, L, L].

Pitfalls. Forgetting that “none” is dominated by taking ℓ_i when $\ell_i > 0$; wrong base for $i = 1$.

Transfer Pattern. Archetype: “house-robber with bonuses” (skip-one for high). Cues: “high requires a rest”, “weekly choice low/high”. Mapping: $OPT[i - 2]$ for high; $OPT[i - 1]$ for low. Certificate: week labels (L/H/ \emptyset) and sum.

Exercise 4 — KT 6.4 Office Switching

Source tags. Assignment: weekplan §4; Text: KT 6.4 (Exercises p.315–316).

```

Algorithm: office_switching
Input: n, move cost M; arrays N[1..n], S[1..n]
Output: min total cost and one optimal location sequence

NY[1] ← N[1]; SF[1] ← S[1]
for i=2..n:
    NY[i] ← min(NY[i-1], SF[i-1] + M) + N[i]
    SF[i] ← min(SF[i-1], NY[i-1] + M) + S[i]
// value and backtrack
cost ← min(NY[n], SF[n]) ; end ← (NY[n] ≤ SF[n] ? NY : SF)
// backtrack by comparing the chosen min at each i
return (cost, sequence)
// Time: O(n); Space: O(n) (O(1) for value)

```

Worked example (from text). $M = 10$, $N = [1, 3, 20, 30]$, $S = [50, 20, 2, 4] \rightarrow$ cost 20 with sequence [NY, NY, SF, SF].

Pitfalls. Starting bias (must allow either city in month 1); forgetting the +M on switches only.

Transfer Pattern. Archetype: two-state DP with switch penalty. Cues: “per-period cost + fixed switch cost”. Mapping: state per city; transition min of stay vs switch+M. Certificate: state sequence and accumulated cost table.

Exercise 5.1–5.3 — Discrete Fréchet Distance

Source tags. Assignment: weekplan §5.1–5.3; Text: figure in weekplan (also Pasted image.png).

5.1 Recurrence. $L(i, j) = \max\left(d(p_i, q_j), \min\{L(i-1, j), L(i-1, j-1), L(i, j-1)\}\right)$ with bases $L(1, 1) = d(p_1, q_1)$, $L(i, 1) = \max\{d(p_i, q_1), L(i-1, 1)\}$, $L(1, j) = \max\{d(p_1, q_j), L(1, j-1)\}$.

5.2 Algorithm & bounds.

```
Algorithm: discrete_frechet
Input: sequences p[1..n], q[1..m]; distance d(·,·)
Output: L[n][m] (min leash length)

for i=1..n: for j=1..m:
  if i=1 and j=1: L[1][1] ← d(p1,q1)
  else if i=1:    L[1][j] ← max(d(p1,qj), L[1][j-1])
  else if j=1:    L[i][1] ← max(d(pi,q1), L[i-1][1])
  else:
    L[i][j] ← max( d(pi,qj), min(L[i-1][j], L[i-1][j-1], L[i][j-1]) )
return L[n][m]
// Time: O(nm); Space: O(nm) (O(min(n,m)) with two rows)
```

5.3 Paths (witness walks). Keep a parent pointer choosing the arg-min among $\{L(i-1, j), L(i-1, j-1), L(i, j-1)\}$ that achieved the minimum; break ties lexicographically ($\nwarrow, \uparrow, \leftarrow$). Backtrack from (n, m) to $(1, 1)$ to output both timelines (professor & dog positions at each step). Space $O(nm)$ (or store only decisions and reconstruct online).

Verification. Monotone coupling constraint is enforced by grid neighbors; objective is the minimax (the outer max with the distance term). Standard exchange argument shows optimal substructure.

Pitfalls. Using sum instead of max; forgetting bases; not handling equal-distance ties (choose stable order for reproducibility).

Transfer Pattern. Archetype: alignment-style DP with minimax objective. Cues: two sequences, coupled monotone moves, distance metric, “shortest leash”. Mapping: DP grid; transitions from $(i-1, j), (i-1, j-1), (i, j-1)$; value = max of local distance and best prefix. Certificate: value $L(n, m)$ and a pair of monotone walks.

Puzzle — “101 ants” (week plan)

Claim. Probability the red ant (starting at the middle) is exactly at the middle after 1 hour is **1**.

- Reason: identical speed + elastic collisions are equivalent to ants passing through each other while keeping velocities; reflections at capped ends just flip direction. A single ant starting at the center with speed 1 crosses the center every 1 minute; after 60 minutes it is at the center deterministically, regardless of its initial direction.

Summary (1 page)

- **DP playbook:** define subproblems; prove recurrence; choose order; set bases; implement; recover witness. Prefer bottom-up for clarity; memoize when recursion mirrors the recurrence.
- **Patterns:** (i) interval DP (WIS) with predecessor $p(j)$; (ii) grid DAG counts; (iii) two-state switching with penalty; (iv) sequence alignment/minimax (Fréchet).

- **Complexities:** WIS $O(n \log n)$ time $O(n)$ space; Grid Paths $O(n^2)$ / $O(n)$; Job Planning $O(n)$ / $O(1)$; Office Switching $O(n)$ / $O(1)$; Fréchet $O(nm)$ / $O(\min\{n, m\})$.
- **Notation blurb:** $M[j]$ DP value; $p(j)$ predecessor index; $dp[i][j]$ 2-D DP; δ (delta) bottleneck; all indices 1-based.