

Sinhala Ancient Character and Era Classification

Overview

This project aims to develop a robust system that recognizes **ancient Sinhala characters** and classifies them by the **historical era** they belong to. Due to the **low number of images per class** and the **high similarity among characters**, the system uses **transfer learning**, **data augmentation**, and **ensemble learning techniques** to achieve high accuracy with limited data.

Part 1 – Letter Classification (88 Classes)

Approach

- Feature extraction was done using **pretrained deep learning models** (VGG19, InceptionV3, ResNet50, InceptionResNetV2).
- Extracted features were classified using **ensemble models**:
 - Random Forest
 - Extra Trees
 - XGBoost
- Final predictions were made using **majority voting** across the three models.

Data Characteristics

- Image shape: (224, 224, 3)
 - Number of images: ~1097
 - Number of character classes: 88
-

Part 2 – Era Classification (37 datasets)

Approach

- For each dataset (e.g., dataset_0 to dataset_36), a separate **MobileNetV2-based model** was trained to classify characters based on their era.
 - Pretrained MobileNetV2 was used as a **lightweight backbone**, suitable for fast training on smaller subsets.
-

Data Augmentation Techniques Used

To overcome the lack of data and simulate real-world conditions of ancient carvings, the following augmentation techniques were applied:

Augmentation Technique	Purpose
Rotation ($\pm 15^\circ$)	To simulate various carving angles
Width & Height Shift (10%)	To mimic misalignments or partial cropping
Shear Transformations	To reflect natural deformation of stone or inscriptions
Zoom Range ($\pm 10\%$)	To simulate variation in distance
Brightness Adjustment	To deal with different lighting or photo conditions
Horizontal Flip	Applied selectively (if class-invariant)
Fill Mode (Nearest)	To maintain smooth visual transitions in gaps

This improved generalization and helped reduce overfitting.

Model Architecture

1. Letter Classification Model Architecture

Goal:

Classify 1097 images into 88 ancient Sinhala character classes.

Architecture Strategy:

Feature Extraction (Transfer Learning) + Classical Ensemble Models

Feature Extractor Models:

You used **ImageNet-pretrained CNNs** to extract deep visual features from input images:

Model Name	Role in Pipeline	Details
VGG19	Feature Extractor	19-layer deep CNN, strong with textures
InceptionV3	Feature Extractor	Multi-scale receptive fields
ResNet50	Feature Extractor	Skip connections to learn residuals
InceptionResNetV2	Feature Extractor	Combines Inception and ResNet styles

Each model was used with:

- `include_top=False` → removes final classification layer.

- pooling='avg' → global average pooling applied to output tensor.
- **Frozen weights** → no fine-tuning, used only for feature extraction.

Feature Vector Output:

Each model outputs a 1D feature vector (e.g., 2048 dimensions for ResNet50).

Classifier Architecture (Ensemble Layer):

Once feature vectors are obtained, they are fed into **three different classical classifiers**:

Classifier	Description
RandomForestClassifier	Ensemble of decision trees with bootstrapping
ExtraTreesClassifier	Like Random Forest but more randomized
XGBoostClassifier	Gradient-boosted decision trees, fast and accurate

Ensemble Output:

- Each model independently predicts the class.
 - **Final prediction:** Based on **majority voting** among three models.
-

2. Era Classification Model Architecture

Goal:

Train 37 separate models, one per dataset/folder, to identify the era of the character (based on carving style, material, etc.).

Architecture Strategy:

Fine-tuned Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) using MobileNetV2

Input Shape:

(224, 224, 3) RGB image format

Model Design:

Layer	Description
MobileNetV2 (Frozen)	Pretrained on ImageNet, includes depthwise separable convolutions for speed
GlobalAveragePooling2D()	Reduces feature map to a single 1D vector

Layer	Description
Dropout(0.5)	Regularization to prevent overfitting
Dense(128, ReLU)	Fully connected layer to learn compact representation
Dropout(0.3)	Additional regularization
Dense(N, Softmax)	Output layer with N classes (depends on dataset)

Training Details:

- **Optimizer:** Adam (lr=0.001)
- **Loss:** Categorical Crossentropy (for multi-class classification)
- **Metric:** Accuracy
- **Epochs:** 50
- **Batch Size:** 8

Model Summary Example (MobileNetV2-based):

Layer Type	Output Shape	Param #
Input Layer	(224, 224, 3)	0
MobileNetV2	(7, 7, 1280)	2.26M
GlobalAvgPooling2D (1280)		0
Dropout(0.5)	(1280)	0
Dense (128)	(128)	~163K
Dropout(0.3)	(128)	0
Dense (N Classes)	(N)	varies

Total Parameters: ~2.4M

Trainable Parameters: ~180K (only top layers)

Q&A Section

? Q1: Why use transfer learning (pretrained models)?

✓ Because your dataset has **very few images per class**, training a CNN from scratch would overfit. Pretrained models (on ImageNet) already know how to extract generic image features like edges, textures, and shapes—this helps even with Sinhala characters.

? Q2: Why not use lightweight models like MobileNet for letter classification?

✓ Letter classification involves **88 very similar characters**, which require **deep and complex feature extraction**. Lightweight models might miss small differences, so you used **deeper models like VGG19 and Inception** for better accuracy.

? Q3: Why use ensemble classifiers?

✓ Each classifier (Random Forest, Extra Trees, XGBoost) has different strengths:

- Random Forest: good with variance
- Extra Trees: better speed
- XGBoost: handles imbalanced data well

Combining them with **majority voting** improves overall **stability and accuracy**.

? Q4: Why train a separate model for each era?

✓ The appearance of characters can **change between eras** due to carving style, erosion, or medium (stone, wood, etc.). Training **one model per era** allows better specialization and avoids confusion across styles.

? Q5: Why choose MobileNetV2 for era classification?

✓ MobileNetV2 is:

- Lightweight and fast
- Suitable for **small and specialized datasets**
- Offers **good accuracy with fewer parameters**

Perfect for training **37 separate models** without requiring large GPU resources.

? Q6: How was overfitting handled?

✓ Overfitting was addressed through:

- **Data Augmentation**
 - **Transfer learning with frozen layers**
 - **Dropout layers** in the classifier head
 - **Train-validation split** with stratification
-

? Q7: What challenges were there?

✓ Challenges included:

- Very few samples per class
- Class imbalance
- Very similar characters (difficult to separate)
- Style variations across different eras
- Need to handle **large number of small datasets (37 total)**

All were addressed with augmentation, model separation, and ensemble strategies.

? Q8: How are the results stored and used later?

✓ For each dataset:

- Trained model saved as .h5
 - Label encoders saved using joblib
 - This makes future loading and inference easy
-

? Q9: What is the final result?





✓ You successfully built:

- A **letter recognizer** with ensemble classification from pretrained CNN features
 - An **era classification pipeline** using MobileNetV2 for 37 ancient datasets
 - A **robust pipeline** that performs well despite limited data and high visual similarity
-

✓ **Summary**

Task	Model	Features	Output
Sinhala Character Classification	VGG19, InceptionV3, ResNet50, InceptionResNetV2 → RF, ET, XGB	Transfer learning features	88 classes
Era Classification	MobileNetV2	Fine-tuned per dataset	1 model per dataset (37 total)

Deliverables (in your notebook)

-  Letter classification via ensemble learning
-  Era classification via 37 MobileNetV2 models
-  Data augmentation for every image
-  Saved models and encoders for later use