Computer Science Track Core Course Linear programming — recitation 2

Martin Dvorak ISTA Kolmogorov group

2023-05-16

Remark about Rouché-Capelli theorem

We have $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Rouché-Capelli theorem in English speaking countries, Italy and Brazil; Kronecker-Capelli theorem in Austria, Poland, Romania and Russia; Rouché-Fontené theorem in France;

Rouché-Frobenius theorem in Spain and many countries in Latin America; Frobenius theorem in the Czech Republic and in Slovakia.

Rouché-Capelli theorem for the system of equalities $A\mathbf{x} = b \dots$ Exactly one of the following statements is true:

- $\exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: $A\mathbf{x} = b$
- $\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \wedge b^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} \neq 0$

Rouché-Capelli theorem is typically stated as . . . Let $A \in F^{m \times n}$ be a matrix and $b \in F^m$ be a vector over a field F. The system of equalities $A\mathbf{x} = b$ is inconsistent (that is, no solution $\mathbf{x} \in F^n$ exists) if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(A) < \operatorname{rank}(A \mid b)$.

Remark about Rouché-Capelli theorem

We have $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Rouché-Capelli theorem in English speaking countries, Italy and Brazil; Kronecker-Capelli theorem in Austria, Poland, Romania and Russia; Rouché-Fontené theorem in France; Rouché-Frobenius theorem in Spain and many countries in Latin America;

Frobenius theorem in the Czech Republic and in Stovakia.

Rouiché-Capelli, theorem for the system of equalities $A \mathbf{x} = h$

Rouché-Capelli theorem for the system of equalities $A\mathbf{x} = b \dots$ Exactly one of the following statements is true:

- $\exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: $A\mathbf{x} = b$
- $\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \wedge b^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} < 0$

Rouché-Capelli theorem is typically stated as . . . Let $A \in F^{m \times n}$ be a matrix and $b \in F^m$ be a vector over a field F. The system of equalities $A\mathbf{x} = b$ is inconsistent (that is, no solution $\mathbf{x} \in F^n$ exists) if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(A) < \operatorname{rank}(A \mid b)$.

Rouché-Capelli theorem versus Farkas' lemma (I)

Now we fix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Rouché-Capelli theorem for the system of equalities $A\mathbf{x} = b \dots$ Exactly one of the following statements is true:

$$\bullet \ \exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ A\mathbf{x} = b \tag{*}$$

•
$$\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \wedge b^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} < 0$$
 (**)

Farkas' lemma for the system of equalities $A\mathbf{x} = b$ over $\mathbf{x} \ge 0 \dots$ Exactly one of the following statements is true:

$$\bullet \ \exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ A\mathbf{x} = b \ \land \ \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

$$\bullet \ \exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : \ A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0} \ \land \ b^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} < 0 \tag{**}$$

Intuition behind the dichotomy: (*) The problem has a solution. (**) There is a linear combination of rows that provides a contradiction.

Rouché-Capelli theorem versus Farkas' lemma (II)

Now we fix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Rouché-Capelli theorem for the system of equalities $A\mathbf{x} = b \dots$ Exactly one of the following statements is true:

$$\bullet \ \exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ A\mathbf{x} = b \tag{*}$$

•
$$\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \wedge b^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} < 0$$
 (**)

Farkas' lemma for the system of inequalities $A\mathbf{x} \leq b$, $\mathbf{x} \leq 0$... Exactly one of the following statements is true:

$$\bullet \ \exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ A\mathbf{x} \le b \tag{*}$$

•
$$\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \wedge b^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} < 0 \wedge \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0}$$
 (**)

Intuition behind the dichotomy: (*) The problem has a solution. (**) There is a linear/nnlin. combination of rows that provides a contradiction.

Rouché-Capelli theorem versus Farkas' lemma (III)

Now we fix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Rouché-Capelli theorem for the system of equalities $A\mathbf{x} = b \dots$ Exactly one of the following statements is true:

$$\bullet \ \exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ A\mathbf{x} = b \tag{*}$$

•
$$\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \wedge b^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} < 0$$
 (**)

Farkas' lemma for the system of inequalities $A\mathbf{x} \leq b$, $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$... Exactly one of the following statements is true:

$$\bullet \ \exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ A\mathbf{x} \le b \ \land \ \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

•
$$\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0} \wedge b^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} < 0 \wedge \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0}$$
 (**)

Intuition behind the dichotomy: (*) The problem has a solution. (**) There is a linear/nnlin. combination of rows that provides a contradiction.

Summary of Farkas' lemmata

We have $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Exactly one of the following statements is true:

- $\exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: $A\mathbf{x} = b \land \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$
- $\bullet \exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \land b^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} < 0$

Exactly one of the following statements is true:

- $\exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: $A\mathbf{x} \leq b$
- $\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \land b^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y} < 0 \land \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$

Exactly one of the following statements is true:

- $\exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} \leq b \land \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$
- $\exists \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \wedge b^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} < 0 \wedge \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$

Farkas' lemmata have many applications.

Their staple use case is the proof of the Strong duality theorem(s).



Strong duality

Let's outline the proof for the bounded case using Farkas' lemma (III)

We have $E \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Exactly one of the following statements is true:

- $\exists \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: $E\mathbf{w} \leq d \land \mathbf{w} \geq \mathbf{0}$
- $\exists \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^m : E^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{z} \geq \mathbf{0} \wedge d^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{z} < 0 \wedge \mathbf{z} \geq \mathbf{0}$

LP duality (III):

Primal problem:

Dual problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & b^{\top} \mathbf{y} \\ \text{s.t.} & A^{\top} \mathbf{y} \leq c \\ \text{where} & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

Complexity over rationals versus integers

| Domain | Equalities | Inequalities |
|----------------|------------|--------------|
| \mathbb{Q}^n | | |
| \mathbb{Z}^n | | |

Complexity over rationals versus integers

| Domain | Equalities | Inequalities |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------|
| \mathbb{Q}^n | Gaussian elimination | |
| \mathbb{Z}^n | | |

Complexity over rationals versus integers

| Domain | Equalities | Inequalities |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------|
| \mathbb{Q}^n | Gaussian elimination | Ellipsoid method |
| \mathbb{Z}^n | | |

Complexity over rationals versus integers

| Domain | Equalities | Inequalities |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------|
| \mathbb{Q}^n | Gaussian elimination | Ellipsoid method |
| \mathbb{Z}^n | Hermite normal form | |

Complexity over rationals versus integers

| Domain | Equalities | Inequalities |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------|
| \mathbb{Q}^n | Gaussian elimination | Ellipsoid method |
| \mathbb{Z}^n | Hermite normal form | NP-complete |

Complexity over rationals versus integers

Green color denotes that the problem can be solved in deterministic polynomial time.

| Domain | Equalities | Inequalities |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------|
| \mathbb{Q}^n | Gaussian elimination | Ellipsoid method |
| \mathbb{Z}^n | Hermite normal form | NP-complete |

Exercise: Construct a polynomial reduction from 3-SAT to ILP.