In this section, we prove: theorem finFarkasBartl  $\{n : \mathbb{N}\}$  [LinearOrderedDivisionRing R] [LinearOrderedAddCommGroup V] [Module R V] [PosSMulMono R V] [AddCommGroup W] [Module R W] (A : W  $\rightarrow_l$  [R] Fin n  $\rightarrow$  R) (b : W  $\rightarrow_l$  [R] V) :  $(\exists \ x : \ \texttt{Fin} \ n \rightarrow \texttt{V}, \ 0 \leq x \ \land \ \forall \ w : \ \texttt{W}, \ \sum \texttt{j} : \ \texttt{Fin} \ n, \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{w} \ \texttt{j} \ \texttt{=} \ \texttt{b} \ \texttt{w}) \neq (\exists \ \texttt{y} : \ \texttt{W}, \ 0 \leq \texttt{A} \ \texttt{y} \ \land \ \texttt{b} \ \texttt{y} < \texttt{0})$ We first rephrase the goal to:  $(\exists x : \text{Fin n} \rightarrow V, 0 \le x \land \forall w : W, \sum j : \text{Fin n}, A w j \bullet x j = b w) \leftrightarrow (\forall y : W, 0 \le A y \rightarrow 0 \le b y)$ Implication from left to right is satisfied by the following term: fun (x, hx, hb) y hy => hb y > Finset.sum\_nonneg (fun i \_ => smul\_nonneg (hy i) (hx i)) Implication from right to left will be proved by induction on n with generalized A and b. In case n = 0 we immediately have: A\_tauto (w : W) :  $0 \le A w$ We have an assumption: hAb :  $\forall$  y : W,  $0 \le A$  y  $\rightarrow 0 \le b$  y We set x to be the empty vector family. Now, for every w: W, we must prove:  $\sum j$ : Fin 0, A w j • (0 : Fin 0  $\rightarrow$  V) j = b w We simplify the goal to: 0 = b wWe utilize that V is ordered and prove the equality as two inequalities. Inequality  $0 \le b$  w is directly satisfied by: hAb w (A\_tauto w) Inequality b w < 0 is easily reduced to: hAb (-w) (A\_tauto (-w)) The induction step is stated as a lemma: lemma industepFarkasBartl  $\{m : \mathbb{N}\}$  [LinearOrderedDivisionRing R] [LinearOrderedAddCommGroup V] [Module R V] [PosSMulMono R V] [AddCommGroup W] [Module R W] (ih :  $\forall$  A $_0$  : W  $\rightarrow_l$  [R] Fin m  $\rightarrow$  R,  $\forall$  b $_0$  : W  $\rightarrow_l$  [R] V, ( $\forall$  y $_0$  : W, 0  $\leq$  A $_0$  y $_0$   $\rightarrow$  0  $\leq$  b $_0$  y $_0$ )  $\rightarrow$ ( $\exists$  x $_0$  : Fin m  $\rightarrow$  V, 0  $\leq$  x $_0$   $\land$   $\forall$  w $_0$  : W,  $\sum$  i $_0$  : Fin m, A $_0$  w $_0$  i $_0$  ullet x $_0$  i $_0$  = b $_0$  w $_0$ )) {A : W  $\rightarrow_l$  [R] Fin m.succ  $\rightarrow$  R} {b : W  $\rightarrow_l$  [R] V} (hAb :  $\forall$  y : W, 0  $\leq$  A y  $\rightarrow$  0  $\leq$  b y) :  $\exists$  x : Fin m.succ  $\to$  V, 0  $\le$  x  $\land$   $\forall$  w : W,  $\sum$  i : Fin m.succ, A w i ullet x i = b w First we introduce an auxiliary definition. We define a : W  $\rightarrow_l$  [R] Fin m  $\rightarrow$  R as the first m rows of A, i.e., A without the last row: a := (fun w : W => fun i : Fin m => A w i.castSucc) To prove industepFarkasBartl we first consider the easy case: is\_easy :  $\forall$  y :  $\mathbb{W}$ ,  $0 \le a$  y  $\to 0 \le b$  y From ih a b is\_easy we obtain:  $\mathtt{x} \;:\; \mathtt{Fin}\; \mathtt{m} \,\to\, \mathtt{V}$ hx : 0 < xhxb :  $\forall$  w<sub>0</sub> : W,  $\sum$  i<sub>0</sub> : Fin m, a w<sub>0</sub> i<sub>0</sub> • x i<sub>0</sub> = b w<sub>0</sub> The lemma is satisfied by this vector family: (fun i : Fin m.succ => if hi : i.val < m then x  $\langle$  i.val, hi $\rangle$  else 0)

From ih a b is\_easy we obtain:  $x : \text{Fin } m \to V$   $hx : 0 \le x$   $hxb : \forall w_0 : W, \sum i_0 : \text{Fin } m, \text{ a } w_0 i_0 \bullet x i_0 = \text{b } w_0$ The lemma is satisfied by this vector family:  $(\text{fun } i : \text{Fin } m.\text{succ} \Rightarrow \text{if } \text{hi } : \text{i.val} < \text{m then } x \ \langle \text{i.val}, \text{hi} \rangle \text{ else } 0)$ Easy case analysis shows that the vector family is nonnegative. In order to prove that, given w : W in the context,  $\sum i : \text{Fin } m.\text{succ}, \text{ A w i } \bullet \text{ (fun i : Fin } m.\text{succ} \Rightarrow \text{ if hi : i.val} < \text{m then } x \ \langle \text{i.val}, \text{hi} \rangle \text{ else } 0) \text{ i = b w}$ holds, we first transform the goal to:  $\sum i \in (\text{Finset.univ.filter (fun k : Fin } m.\text{succ} \Rightarrow \text{k.val} < \text{m})).\text{attach, A w i.val} \bullet x \ \langle \text{i.val.val}, \_\rangle = \text{b w}$ We compare it with hxb w which says:  $\sum i_0 : \text{Fin } m, \text{ a w } i_0 \bullet x i_0 = \text{b w}$ 

```
We finish the proof for the easy case using the following technical lemma (which will also be used in one more place):
private lemma finishing_piece \{m : \mathbb{N}\} [Semiring R]
     [AddCommMonoid V] [Module R V] [AddCommMonoid W] [Module R W]
     {A : W \rightarrow_l [R] Fin m.succ \rightarrow R} {w : W} {x : Fin m \rightarrow V} :
     \sum i : Fin m, a w i \bullet x i =
     ∑i : { j : Fin m.succ // j ∈ Finset.univ.filter (·.val < m) }, A w i.val • x ⟨i.val.val, by aesop⟩
Now for the hard case; negation of is_easy gives us:
hay' : 0 \le a y
hby': b y' < 0
Let us make an alias for the last (new) index, i.e., the term M is just the number m converted to the type Fin (m+1):
	exttt{M} : Fin m.succ := \langle 	exttt{m}, 	exttt{lt_add_one m} \rangle
Let y be flipped and rescaled y' as follows:
y : W := (A y, M)^{-1} \bullet y,
From hAb we get:
hAy': Ay'M < 0
Therefore hAy'.ne: A y' M \neq 0 implies that y has the property that motivated the rescaling:
hAy : A y M = 1
From hAy we have:
hAA : \forall w : W, A (w - (A w M • y)) M = 0
Using hAA and hAb we prove:
\mathtt{hbA} : \forall w : W, \mathtt{0} \leq \mathtt{a} (w - (A w M ullet y)) \to \mathtt{0} \leq \mathtt{b} (w - (A w M ullet y))
From hbA we have:
hbAb : \forall w : W, 0 \le (a - (A \cdot M \bullet a y)) w \rightarrow 0 \le (b - (A \cdot M \bullet b y)) w
We observe that these two terms (appearing in hbAb we just proved) are linear maps:
(a - (A \cdot M \bullet a y))
(b - (A \cdot M \bullet b y))
Therefore, we can plug them into ih and provide hbAb as the last argument. We obtain:
x' : Fin m 
ightarrow V
hx, : 0 \le x,
hxb' : \forall w<sub>0</sub> : W, \sum i<sub>0</sub> : Fin m, (a - (A · M • a y)) w<sub>0</sub> i<sub>0</sub> • x' i<sub>0</sub> = (b - (A · M • b y)) w<sub>0</sub>
We claim that our lemma is satisfied by this vector family:
(fun i : Fin m.succ => if hi : i.val < m then x' \langle i.val, hi\rangle else b y - \sum i : Fin m, a y i ullet x' i)
Let us show the nonnegativity first. Nonnegativity of everything except of the last vector follows from hx'. From hAy' we have:
hAy'': (A y' M)^{-1} \leq 0
From hAy'' with hay' we have:
hay : a y \leq 0
From hAy'' with hby' converted to nonstrict inequality we have:
hby : 0 \le b y
For the nonnegativity of the last vector, we need to prove:
\sum i : Fin m, a y i \bullet x' i \leq b y
It follows from hay i with hx' i and hby using basic properties of inequalities. The only remaining task is to show:
\forall w : W,
  \sum i : Fin m.succ, (A w i ullet
     (if hi : i.val < m then x' \langlei.val, hi\rangle else b y - \sumi : Fin m, a y i \bullet x' i)
  b w
Given general w : W we make a key observation (using hxb' w):
haAa:\sum i:Finm,(awi-AwM*ayi)\bullet x'i=bw-AwM\bullet by
```

With the help of haAa we transform the goal to:

```
\sum i : Fin m.succ,

(A w i • (if hi : i.val < m then x' \langle i.val, hi\rangle else b y - \sum i' : Fin m, a y i' • x' i')) = \sum i : Fin m, (a w i - A w M * a y i) • x' i + A w M • b y
```

From here, the direction should be clear; the rest of the proof is just a manipulation with the goal without any additional hypotheses. We distribute • over if so that the goal becomes:

We split the left-hand side into two parts:

Since the second sum is singleton, it simplifies to:

```
\sum i \in (Finset.univ.filter (fun i : Fin m.succ => i.val < m)).attach, A w i.val • x' \langlei.val.val, _\rangle + A w M • (b y - \sum i : Fin m, a y i • x' i) = \sum i : Fin m, (a w i - A w M * a y i) • x' i + A w M • b y
```

After simplifying the right-hand side:

We distribute • over - on the left-hand side:

Exploiting finishing\_piece again, it is easy to finish the proof.