## Lean 4 Cheatsheet

In the following table, name always refers to a name already known to Lean while new\_name refers to a new name provided by the user; expr designates an expression, for example the name of an object in the context, an arithmetic expression that is a function of such objects, a hypothesis in the context, or a lemma applied to any of these. When one of these words appears twice in the same line, the appearances do not designate the same name or the same expression. For tactics not in the Lean 4 core, the necessary import is written in gray.

Logical symbol	Appears in goal	Appears in hypothesis
$\forall$ (for all)	$\verb"intro" new\_name"$	apply $expr$ or specialize $name\ expr$
$\exists$ (there exists)	${\tt use}\ expr$ ${\tt import}\ {\tt Mathlib.Tactic.Use}$	cases $expr$ with $ $ intro a b =>
$\rightarrow$ (implies)	$\verb"intro" new\_name"$	apply $expr$ or specialize $name\ expr$
$\leftrightarrow (\mathrm{if} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathrm{only} \ \mathrm{if})$	constructor	rw [ $expr$ ] or rw [ $\leftarrow expr$ ]
$\land$ (and)	constructor	cases $expr$ with $ $ intro a b =>
∨ (or)	left or right import Mathlib.Tactic.LeftRight	<pre>cases expr with     inl a =&gt;     inr b =&gt;</pre>
$\neg \text{ (not)}$	$\verb"intro" new\_" name$	apply $expr$ or specialize $name\ expr$

In the left-hand column of the following table, the parts in brackets are optional. The effect of these parts is also in brackets in the right-hand column. It is almost always a matter of specifying that a manipulation, which acts by default on the goal, must be performed rather on a certain hypothesis named *hyp*.

Tactic	Effect	
exact expr	assert that the goal can be satisfied by $expr$	
<pre>convert name import Mathlib.Tactic.Convert</pre>	prove the goal by transforming it to an existing proposition $name$ and create goals for propositions used in the transformation that were not proved automatically	
<pre>convert_to expr import Mathlib.Tactic.Convert</pre>	transform the goal into the expression $\exp r$ and create additional goals for propositions used in the transformation that were not proved automatically	
have $new\_name: proposition$ import Mathlib.Tactic.Have	introduce a name $new\_name$ asserting that $proposition$ is true; at the same time, create and focus a goal for $proposition$	
$\verb"unfold" name" (\verb"at" hyp")$	unfold the definition of $name$ in the goal (or in the hypothesis $hyp$ )	
$\texttt{rw [(\leftarrow)} \ expr \ \texttt{] (at} \ hyp)$	in the goal (or in the hypothesis $hyp$ ), replace (all occurrences of) the left-hand side (or the right-hand side, if $\leftarrow$ is present) of the equality or equivalence $expr$ by the other side	
rw [ $expr$ , $expr$ , $expr$ ] (at $hyp$ )	do more rewrites in the given order (again $\leftarrow$ possible)	
$\verb by_cases  new_name : expr $	split the proof into two cases depending on whether $expr$ is true or false, using $new\_name$ as name for this hypothesis	
<pre>by_contra new_name import Mathlib.Tactic.ByContra</pre>	start a proof by contradiction, using $new\_name$ as name for the hypothesis that is the negation of the goal	
<pre>contrapose import Mathlib.Tactic.Contrapose</pre>	transform a goal of the form $expr  o expr$ into its contrapositive	
<pre>push_neg (at hyp) import Mathlib.Tactic.PushNeg</pre>	push negations in the goal (or in the hypothesis $hyp$ )	
exfalso import Std.Tactic.Basic	apply the rule $ex\ falso\ quod\ libet$ (replaces the current goal by False)	
<pre>linarith import Mathlib.Tactic.Linarith</pre>	prove the goal by a linear combination of hypotheses (includes arguments based on transitivity)	
ring import Mathlib.Tactic.Ring	prove the goal by combining the axioms of a commutative (semi)ring	
<pre>library_search import Mathlib.Tactic.LibrarySearch</pre>	search for a single existing lemma which closes the goal, also using local hypotheses $$	