



**EUROPEAN COMMISSION**  
Directorate-General Migration and Home Affairs  
Union actions

**ANNEX 1 (part A)**

**ISF-Police Action Grant**

**NUMBER — 815356 — PRoTECT**

## Table of Contents

1.1. The project summary.....	3
1.2. The list of beneficiaries.....	4
1.3. Workplan Tables - Detailed implementation.....	5
1.3.1. WT1 List of work packages.....	5
1.3.2. WT2 List of deliverables.....	6
1.3.3. WT3 Work package descriptions.....	9
Work package 1.....	9
Work package 2.....	11
Work package 3.....	14
Work package 4.....	17
Work package 5.....	19
1.3.4. WT4 List of milestones.....	22
1.3.5. WT5 Critical Implementation risks and mitigation actions.....	23
1.3.6 WT6 Summary of project effort contribution.....	24
1.3.7. WT7 Tentative schedule of project reviews.....	25

# 1.1. The project summary

Project Number <sup>1</sup>	815356	Project Acronym <sup>2</sup>	PRoTECT
One form per project			
General information			
Project title <sup>3</sup>	Public Resilience using TEchnology to Counter Terrorism		
Starting date <sup>4</sup>	The first day of the month after the signature by the Commission		
Duration in months <sup>5</sup>	24		
Call (part) identifier <sup>6</sup>	ISFP-2017-AG-PROTECT		
Topic	ISFP-2017-AG-PROTECT Protection		
Fixed EC Keywords			
Free keywords	security, counter terrorism, protection, public spaces		
Abstract <sup>7</sup>			
<p>The PRoTECT project aims to strengthen local authorities' capabilities in Public Protection by putting in place an overarching concept where tools, technology, training and field demonstrations will lead to situational awareness and improve direct responses to secure public places pre, in, and after a terrorist threat. This cross sectoral project is an initiative of the Core group of the European Network of Law Enforcement Technology Services (ENLETS).</p> <p>The main outcomes of the project are, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Risk and Cost reduction</li> <li>-Developing training materials related to the protection of public spaces for municipalities and LEAs</li> <li>-Putting in place and implementing a pan European technology capability assessment tool for cities</li> </ul> <p>Initiated by ENLETS, the PRoTECT project will support the policy of the European Commission by providing local actors -the cities in Europe- with guidance, training and awareness on the usage of technology as an indispensable tool for a secure municipality.</p> <p>It will -in close cooperation with DG Home and the European Forum for Urban Security (EFUS) - use the developed Commission's Soft Target Site Assessment tool, and it will provide the Policy group, the Commission, the Practitioners network and the to be established Operators Forum with tangible results, such as valuable feedback and practical recommendations based on the technology and soft target site assessment tool.</p>			

## 1.2. List of Beneficiaries

Project Number <sup>1</sup>	815356	Project Acronym <sup>2</sup>	PRoTECT
-----------------------------	--------	------------------------------	---------

### List of Beneficiaries

No	Name	Short name	Country	Project entry month <sup>8</sup>	Project exit month
1	STICHTING DUTCH INSTITUTE FOR TECHNOLOGY, SAFETY & SECURITY	DITSS	Netherlands	1	24
2	KENTRO MELETON ASFALIAS	KEMEA	Greece	1	24
3	NEDERLANDSE ORGANISATIE VOOR TOEGEPAST NATUURWETENSCHAPPELIJK ONDERZOEK TNO	TNO	Netherlands	1	24
4	Inspectoratul General al Politiei Romane	IGPR	Romania	1	24
5	FORUM EUROPEEN POUR LA SECURITE URBAINE	FESU	France	1	24
6	LIETUVOS KIBERNETINIŲ NUSIKALTIMŲ KOMPETENCIJŲ IR TYRIMŲ CENTRAS	L3CE	Lithuania	1	24
7	GEMEENTE EINDHOVEN	Eindhoven	Netherlands	1	24
8	AYUNTAMIENTO DE MALAGA	MALAGA	Spain	1	24
9	DIMOS LARISEON	DL	Greece	1	24
10	VILNIAUS MIESTO SAVIVALDYBES ADMINISTRACIJA	VMAS	Lithuania	1	24
11	MUNICIPIUL BRASOV	MUNBV	Romania	1	24
12	STICHTING KATHOLIEKE UNIVERSITEIT BRABANT	JADS	Netherlands	1	24
13	MINISTERIO DEL INTERIOR	MIR	Spain	1	24

## 1.3. Workplan Tables - Detailed implementation

### 1.3.1. WT1 List of work packages

WP Number <sup>9</sup>	WP Title	Lead beneficiary <sup>10</sup>	Start month <sup>12</sup>	End month <sup>13</sup>
WP1	Management and Coordination of the Action	1 - DITSS	1	24
WP2	Vulnerability Assessment	5 - FESU	1	10
WP3	Technology Assessment & Open Calls	12 - JADS	10	17
WP4	Training & Demonstrations	2 - KEMEA	13	24
WP5	Dissemination and communication	1 - DITSS	1	24

### 1.3.2. WT2 list of deliverables

<b>Deliverable Number<sup>14</sup></b>	<b>Deliverable Title</b>	<b>WP number<sup>9</sup></b>	<b>Lead beneficiary</b>	<b>Type<sup>15</sup></b>	<b>Dissemination level<sup>16</sup></b>	<b>Due Date (in months)<sup>17</sup></b>
D1.1	Project Management Handbook (including report templates to be used by all WPs)	WP1	1 - DITSS	Report	Public	1
D1.2	Risk Management and Quality Assurance	WP1	1 - DITSS	Report	Public	3
D1.3	Mid-term progress report	WP1	1 - DITSS	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	12
D1.4	Final report to be submitted to EC	WP1	1 - DITSS	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	24
D2.1	Manual for vulnerability assessment	WP2	5 - FESU	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	4
D2.2	Workshop results EINDHOVEN (Netherlands)	WP2	7 - Eindhoven	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	5
D2.3	Workshop results MALAGA (Spain)	WP2	8 - MALAGA	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	6
D2.4	Workshop results LARISA (Greece)	WP2	9 - DL	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	7
D2.5	Workshop results VILNIAUS (Lithuania)	WP2	11 - MUNBV	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the	8

<b>Deliverable Number<sup>14</sup></b>	<b>Deliverable Title</b>	<b>WP number<sup>9</sup></b>	<b>Lead beneficiary</b>	<b>Type<sup>15</sup></b>	<b>Dissemination level<sup>16</sup></b>	<b>Due Date (in months)<sup>17</sup></b>
					Commission Services)	
D2.6	Workshop results BRASOV (Romania)	WP2	11 - MUNBV	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	9
D2.7	Aggregate Report	WP2	5 - FESU	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	10
D3.1	Description of Best practices and Technologies/report	WP3	12 - JADS	Report	Public	12
D3.2	Technology Evaluation framework/report	WP3	3 - TNO	Report	Public	14
D3.3	Open calls/website	WP3	2 - KEMEA	Websites, patents filling, etc.	Public	14
D3.4	Technology roadmap/report	WP3	12 - JADS	Report	Public	17
D4.1	Test scenarios Electronic	WP4	2 - KEMEA	Report	Public	14
D4.2	Exercise results	WP4	2 - KEMEA	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	18
D4.3	Demonstration results	WP4	2 - KEMEA	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	24
D4.4	Manual: A concise guide to contact table-top exercises for the protection of soft targets.	WP4	2 - KEMEA	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	24
D5.1	Communications Plan & Dissemination Roadmap	WP5	1 - DITSS	Report	Public	3

<b>Deliverable Number<sup>14</sup></b>	<b>Deliverable Title</b>	<b>WP number<sup>9</sup></b>	<b>Lead beneficiary</b>	<b>Type<sup>15</sup></b>	<b>Dissemination level<sup>16</sup></b>	<b>Due Date (in months)<sup>17</sup></b>
D5.2	PRoTECT web site	WP5	5 - FESU	Websites, patents filling, etc.	Public	3
D5.3	Dissemination materials	WP5	2 - KEMEA	Websites, patents filling, etc.	Public	6
D5.4	Securipedia content	WP5	3 - TNO	Websites, patents filling, etc.	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	24
D5.5	1st Workshop results	WP5	9 - DL	Report	Public	11
D5.6	2nd PRoTECT Workshop	WP5	11 - MUNBV	Report	Public	19
D5.7	3rd Workshop results	WP5	7 - Eindhoven	Report	Public	24



### 1.3.3. WT3 Work package descriptions

<b>Work package number</b> <sup>9</sup>	WP1	<b>Lead beneficiary</b> <sup>10</sup>	1 - DITSS
<b>Work package title</b>	Management and Coordination of the Action		
<b>Start month</b>	1	<b>End month</b>	24

#### Objectives

- Conduct the coordination and general management of the project
- Perform Risk Management and Quality Assurance
- Ensure communication within the consortium partners
- Reporting to the EC

#### Description of work and role of partners

##### **WP1 - Management and Coordination of the Action** [Months: 1-24]

##### **DITSS**

This work package takes care of the coordination and general management of the project, putting special attention to communication, time, cost, risk, and quality aspects.

##### Activities

1.1: Project Management and Administration (DITSS) -13 PM

1.2: Risk Management and Quality Assurance (DITSS) -2.5 PM

1.3: Project Meetings (both physical and virtual) and Reporting (13 partners each 1 PM)-13 PM

The project Coordinator will be provided with 20,000 Euros for travel and DSA expenses for 20 ENLETS representatives to be invited to attend and contribute to the project activities, especially for the vulnerability assessments in WP2, the table-top exercises in WP4 and the demonstrations conducted in WP4. This amount is projected under Category E- Other Direct Costs

#### Participation per Partner

<b>Partner number and short name</b> <sup>10</sup>
1 - DITSS
2 - KEMEA
3 - TNO
4 - IGPR
5 - FESU
6 - L3CE
7 - Eindhoven
8 - MALAGA
9 - DL
10 - VMSA
11 - MUNBV
12 - JADS
13 - MIR

### List of deliverables

<b>Deliverable Number<sup>14</sup></b>	<b>Deliverable Title</b>	<b>Lead beneficiary</b>	<b>Type<sup>15</sup></b>	<b>Dissemination level<sup>16</sup></b>	<b>Due Date (in months)<sup>17</sup></b>
D1.1	Project Management Handbook (including report templates to be used by all WPs)	1 - DITSS	Report	Public	1
D1.2	Risk Management and Quality Assurance	1 - DITSS	Report	Public	3
D1.3	Mid-term progress report	1 - DITSS	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	12
D1.4	Final report to be submitted to EC	1 - DITSS	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	24

### Description of deliverables

D1.1: Project Management Handbook (including report templates to be used by all WPs), Electronic /max.50 pages, English.

D1.2: Risk Management and Quality Assurance, Electronic /max.50 pages, English.

D1.3: Mid-term progress report, Electronic/max. 70 pages, English.

D1.4: Final report to be submitted to EC, Electronic/max. 70 pages, English.

D1.1 : Project Management Handbook (including report templates to be used by all WPs) [1]

This report will provide instructions for the partners related to the work that has to be carry out within the project

D1.2 : Risk Management and Quality Assurance [3]

This deliverable presents the management of risks and the quality policies and the definition of the quality assurance processes to be followed throughout the rest of the project.

D1.3 : Mid-term progress report [12]

This deliverable will report the project progress between M1 and M12

D1.4 : Final report to be submitted to EC [24]

This report will provide the overall progress of the project from M1 to M12

### Schedule of relevant Milestones

<b>Milestone number<sup>18</sup></b>	<b>Milestone title</b>	<b>Lead beneficiary</b>	<b>Due Date (in months)</b>	<b>Means of verification</b>
--------------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------

<b>Work package number</b> <sup>9</sup>	WP2	<b>Lead beneficiary</b> <sup>10</sup>	5 - FESU
<b>Work package title</b>	Vulnerability Assessment		
<b>Start month</b>	1	<b>End month</b>	10

### Objectives

- Study, analysis of the vulnerability assessment tool
- Production of implementation manual
- Train municipal personnel in 5 EU cities
- Perform vulnerability analysis in 5 EU cities
- Report results to EC.

### Description of work and role of partners

#### **WP2 - Vulnerability Assessment** [Months: 1-10]

##### **FESU**

In this WP we will conduct the vulnerability assessment in 5 EU cities that are partners of the projects. All partner cities have already agreed and assessed the usefulness of this approach and have provided their consent to perform the following activities:

- 2.1 Assessment tool training package, TNO (2 PM) and EFUS (3 PM)
- 2.2 Vulnerability assessment city of EINDHOVEN (Netherlands), EINDHOVEN (1 PM), DITSS (1 PM)
- 2.3 Vulnerability assessment city of MALAGA (Spain), MALAGA (1 PM), MR-SPAIN (1 PM)
- 2.4 Vulnerability assessment city of LARISA (Greece), LARISA (1 PM), KEMEA (1 PM)
- 2.5 Vulnerability assessment city of VILNIAUS (Lithuania), VILNIAUS (1 PM), L3CE (2 PM)
- 2.6 Vulnerability assessment city of BRASOV (Romania), BRASOV (1PM), IGPR (1PM)
- 2.7 Aggregate and report on results, EFUS (0.5 PM), L3CE (1PM), IGPR (1 PM), EINDHOVEN (1 PM), MALAGA (1 PM), LARISA (1 PM), VILNIAUS (1 PM), BRASOV (1 PM),MR-SPAIN (1PM)

### Participation per Partner

<b>Partner number and short name</b> <sup>10</sup>
1 - DITSS
2 - KEMEA
3 - TNO
4 - IGPR
5 - FESU
6 - L3CE
7 - Eindhoven
8 - MALAGA
9 - DL
10 - VMSA
11 - MUNBV
13 - MIR

## List of deliverables

<b>Deliverable Number<sup>14</sup></b>	<b>Deliverable Title</b>	<b>Lead beneficiary</b>	<b>Type<sup>15</sup></b>	<b>Dissemination level<sup>16</sup></b>	<b>Due Date (in months)<sup>17</sup></b>
D2.1	Manual for vulnerability assessment	5 - FESU	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	4
D2.2	Workshop results EINDHOVEN (Netherlands)	7 - Eindhoven	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	5
D2.3	Workshop results MALAGA (Spain)	8 - MALAGA	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	6
D2.4	Workshop results LARISA (Greece)	9 - DL	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	7
D2.5	Workshop results VILNIAUS (Lithuania)	11 - MUNBV	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	8
D2.6	Workshop results BRASOV (Romania)	11 - MUNBV	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	9
D2.7	Aggregate Report	5 - FESU	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	10

## Description of deliverables

D2.1: Manual for vulnerability assessment, printed / electronic- Max.50 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: EFUS members.

D2.2: Workshop results EINDHOVEN (Netherlands), printed / electronic- max 30 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: EFUS members.

D2.3: Workshop results MALAGA (Spain), printed / electronic- max 30 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: EFUS members.

D2.4: Workshop results LARISA (Greece), printed / electronic- max 30 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: EFUS members.

D2.5: Workshop results VILNIAUS (Lithuania), printed / electronic- max 30 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: EFUS members.

D2.6: Workshop results BRASOV (Romania), printed / electronic- max 30 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: EFUS members.

D2.7: Aggregate Report, printed / electronic- 100 pages, English, European Commission /Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: EFUS members, DG HOME

D2.1 : Manual for vulnerability assessment [4]

The manual to be used for the vulnerability assessment. This will be both printed / electronic- Max.50 pages

D2.2 : Workshop results EINDHOVEN (Netherlands) [5]

Report on the Workshop results in the city of EINDHOVEN.

D2.3 : Workshop results MALAGA (Spain) [6]

Report on the Workshop results in the city of MALAGA (Spain)

D2.4 : Workshop results LARISA (Greece) [7]

Report on the Workshop results in the city of LARISA (Greece)

D2.5 : Workshop results VILNIAUS (Lithuania) [8]

Report on the Workshop results in the city of VILNIAUS (Lithuania)

D2.6 : Workshop results BRASOV (Romania) [9]

Report on the Workshop results in the city of BRASOV (Romania)

D2.7 : Aggregate Report [10]

Report aggregating all results for the previous workshops.

#### Schedule of relevant Milestones

Milestone number <sup>18</sup>	Milestone title	Lead beneficiary	Due Date (in months)	Means of verification
--------------------------------	-----------------	------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

<b>Work package number</b> <sup>9</sup>	WP3	<b>Lead beneficiary</b> <sup>10</sup>	12 - JADS
<b>Work package title</b>	Technology Assessment & Open Calls		
<b>Start month</b>	10	<b>End month</b>	17

### Objectives

This WP is at the core of the project. The main objectives of WP3 are, as follows:

- Description of best practices and technologies for the protection of urban areas
- Bringing innovative solutions (EU-based) originated from EU research projects in the security domain
- Provide a technology roadmap for the protection of soft targets in EU cities

### Description of work and role of partners

#### **WP3 - Technology Assessment & Open Calls** [Months: 10-17]

##### **JADS**

WP3 represents the technology related part of the PRoTECT project. The consortium will make extensive usage of previous efforts in the protection of public spaces and previous work from the ENLETS network and EFUS members. Research results from previous H2020 projects and with high TRL level (6 and above) will be assessed as part of our technology evaluation framework; promising research solutions will be selected for a demonstration set up in the 5 city partners. To do so, the evaluation framework will be defined systematically by the entire consortium and communicated and assessed by the 5 target cities. At the end, WP3 will provide a technology roadmap to be used as a handbook by an extended numbers of EU municipalities via the EFUS Association. Therefore, this WP comprises of the following activities:

3.1 Description of Best practices and Technologies from the state of the art - the activity will entail developing a systematic literature review (SLR) of said best practices and technologies, with a focus on protection of public spaces in safe and secure cities, JADS (3.5 PM), IGPR (3 PM), TNO (3 PM), DITSS (1 PM), L3CE (2 PM).

3.2 Technology Evaluation framework - stemming from the SLR, we aim at developing a framework of parameters and factors to be used for evaluation and decision-making of future technologies, also in connection with available field labs in public spaces in the beneficiary 5 cities, KEMEA (2 PM), TNO (3 PM), EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV (each 1 PM).

3.3 Open calls for security and assessment - we will prepare and execute a structured call outline, specifying objectives, assessment priorities, envisioned targets, and expected results using the technology assessment framework, KEMEA (4 PM), DITSS (2 PM).

3.4 Definition of Technology roadmap - stemming from the factors in the framework and the results of the open calls, we will define a technology challenges roadmap, possibly providing datasets (within limits of privacy, security and data management issues), and specific research questions/methods for further research and development, JADS (4 PM), TNO (2 PM), DITSS (1 PM), L3CE (3 PM).

### Participation per Partner

<b>Partner number and short name</b> <sup>10</sup>
1 - DITSS
2 - KEMEA
3 - TNO
4 - IGPR
6 - L3CE
7 - Eindhoven
8 - MALAGA

Partner number and short name <sup>10</sup>
9 - DL
10 - VMSA
11 - MUNBV
12 - JADS

#### List of deliverables

Deliverable Number <sup>14</sup>	Deliverable Title	Lead beneficiary	Type <sup>15</sup>	Dissemination level <sup>16</sup>	Due Date (in months) <sup>17</sup>
D3.1	Description of Best practices and Technologies/report	12 - JADS	Report	Public	12
D3.2	Technology Evaluation framework/report	3 - TNO	Report	Public	14
D3.3	Open calls/website	2 - KEMEA	Websites, patents filling, etc.	Public	14
D3.4	Technology roadmap/report	12 - JADS	Report	Public	17

#### Description of deliverables

D3.1: Description of Best practices and Technologies/report, Printed/electronic, max 50 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: EFUS members.

D3.2: Technology Evaluation framework/report, Printed/electronic, max 50 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: EFUS members.

D3.3: Open calls/website, Web-tool, English, Short term beneficiaries: 5 EU research projects, Medium Term beneficiaries: EU research community, Long term beneficiaries: DG Home, EFUS members.

D3.4: Technology roadmap/report, Printed/electronic, max 50 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: EFUS members.

D3.1 : Description of Best practices and Technologies/report [12]

This Deliverable will provide a report on Best practices and Technologies related to the protection of public places in European cities.

D3.2 : Technology Evaluation framework/report [14]

This deliverable will provide a Technology Evaluation framework - stemming from the SLR, with parameters and factors to be used for evaluation and decision-making of future technologies, also in connection with available field labs in public spaces in the beneficiary 5 cities.

D3.3 : Open calls/website [14]

This deliverable will provide a website for the support of the open calls including the supporting documentation that will be provided to the applicants. It will also provide a report on the results of the open calls.

D3.4 : Technology roadmap/report [17]

This deliverable will define a technology challenges roadmap, possibly providing datasets (within limits of privacy, security and data management issues), and specific research questions/methods for further research, and development stemming from the factors in the framework and the results of the open calls.

#### Schedule of relevant Milestones

Milestone number <sup>18</sup>	Milestone title	Lead beneficiary	Due Date (in months)	Means of verification
--------------------------------	-----------------	------------------	----------------------	-----------------------



<b>Work package number</b> <sup>9</sup>	WP4	<b>Lead beneficiary</b> <sup>10</sup>	2 - KEMEA
<b>Work package title</b>	Training & Demonstrations		
<b>Start month</b>	13	<b>End month</b>	24

### Objectives

This WP will provide the capacity to orchestrate training via table-top exercises and demonstration of technologies related to the protection of public spaces. More specifically, the objectives of this WP can be summarised, as follows:

- Create awareness on the protection of public spaces
- Train municipal personnel
- Demonstrate innovative technological solutions on the protection of public spaces

### Description of work and role of partners

#### **WP4 - Training & Demonstrations** [Months: 13-24]

##### **KEMEA**

To achieve the above objectives, we organise in WP4 the following activities:

4.1 Test cases definition, KEMEA (3 PM), EFUS (2 PM), L3CE (1 PM), EINDHOVEN (1 PM), MALAGA (1 PM), LARISA (1 PM), VILNIAUS (1 PM), BRASOV (1 PM), MR-SPAIN (3 PM).

4.2 Organisation and execution of table-top exercises, KEMEA (3 PM), DITSS (1 PM), IGPR (2 PM), EINDHOVEN (1 PM), MALAGA (1 PM), LARISA (1 PM), VILNIAUS (1 PM), BRASOV (1 PM), MR-SPAIN (2 PM).

4.3 Demonstrations, KEMEA (5 PM), DITSS (1 PM), IGPR (1 PM), L3CE (1 PM), EINDHOVEN (1 PM), MALAGA (1 PM), LARISA (1 PM), VILNIAUS (1 PM), BRASOV (1 PM), MR-SPAIN (1 PM).

### Participation per Partner

<b>Partner number and short name</b> <sup>10</sup>
1 - DITSS
2 - KEMEA
4 - IGPR
5 - FESU
6 - L3CE
7 - Eindhoven
8 - MALAGA
9 - DL
10 - VMSA
11 - MUNBV
13 - MIR

### List of deliverables

<b>Deliverable Number</b> <sup>14</sup>	<b>Deliverable Title</b>	<b>Lead beneficiary</b>	<b>Type</b> <sup>15</sup>	<b>Dissemination level</b> <sup>16</sup>	<b>Due Date (in months)</b> <sup>17</sup>
D4.1	Test scenarios Electronic	2 - KEMEA	Report	Public	14

### List of deliverables

Deliverable Number <sup>14</sup>	Deliverable Title	Lead beneficiary	Type <sup>15</sup>	Dissemination level <sup>16</sup>	Due Date (in months) <sup>17</sup>
D4.2	Exercise results	2 - KEMEA	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	18
D4.3	Demonstration results	2 - KEMEA	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	24
D4.4	Manual: A concise guide to contact table-top exercises for the protection of soft targets.	2 - KEMEA	Report	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	24

### Description of deliverables

D4.1: Test scenarios, Electronic, max 20 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: all EFUS and ENLETS members.

D4.2: Exercise results, Electronic, max 50 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: all EFUS and ENLETS members.

D4.3: Demonstration results, Electronic, max 50 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: all EFUS and ENLETS members.

D4.4: Manual: A concise guide to contact table-top exercises for the protection of soft targets, Electronic, max 50 pages, English, Short term beneficiaries: EINDHOVEN, MALAGA, LARISA, VILNIAUS, BRASOV, Medium Term beneficiaries: 25 EU cities, DG Home, ENLETS, Long term beneficiaries: all EFUS and ENLETS members.

D4.1 : Test scenarios Electronic [14]

This deliverable will provide details of the test scenarios to be executed in the 5 target cities

D4.2 : Exercise results [18]

This deliverable will provide a report including the organisation and the results of the execution of the table-top exercises.

D4.3 : Demonstration results [24]

This deliverable will provide the demonstration results of the related technologies that will be tested in the five target cities.

D4.4 : Manual: A concise guide to contact table-top exercises for the protection of soft targets. [24]

This deliverable will provide a manual for the planning, organisation and execution of table-top exercises for the protection of soft targets.

### Schedule of relevant Milestones

Milestone number <sup>18</sup>	Milestone title	Lead beneficiary	Due Date (in months)	Means of verification
--------------------------------	-----------------	------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

<b>Work package number</b> <sup>9</sup>	WP5	<b>Lead beneficiary</b> <sup>10</sup>	1 - DITSS
<b>Work package title</b>	Dissemination and communication		
<b>Start month</b>	1	<b>End month</b>	24

### Objectives

The overall objective of this WP is to develop interaction between the project and local municipal authorities across Europe. Dissemination of results will be achieved through two main channels: online and non-electronic materials. The project will create a dedicated Web Site and identity set which will include updated information with public and restricted areas with content dedicated to project partners and the Commission only. The site will facilitate the exchange of knowledge and documents internally and will be used to raise public awareness of the results of the project. For non-electronic dissemination channels, the project will make use of the existing channels of ENLETS and EFUS. All project partners will be involved in these activities. The dissemination activities will also include the preparation of relevant material (e.g., updated multimedia, presentations, electronic and printed brochures, press releases) and usage of existing infrastructure via the I-LEAD project (led by the PRoTECT Coordinator) and Securipedia website (result of FP7 VITRUV - TNO ownership).

### Description of work and role of partners

#### **WP5 - Dissemination and communication** [Months: 1-24]

##### **DITSS**

WP5 consists of the following activities:

5.1 Communication activities Plan, DITSS (2PM)

5.2 PRoTECT web site, EFUS (3 PM), all partners 0,5 PM for content)

5.3 Production of Dissemination materials, KEMEA (2.5 PM)

5.4 Publication on Securipedia, TNO (2.5 PM).

5.5 Workshops Organisation, EFUS(3 PM), EINDHOVEN (1 PM), LARISA (1 PM), BRASOV (1 PM).

### Participation per Partner

<b>Partner number and short name</b> <sup>10</sup>
1 - DITSS
2 - KEMEA
3 - TNO
5 - FESU
7 - Eindhoven
9 - DL
11 - MUNBV

### List of deliverables

<b>Deliverable Number</b> <sup>14</sup>	<b>Deliverable Title</b>	<b>Lead beneficiary</b>	<b>Type</b> <sup>15</sup>	<b>Dissemination level</b> <sup>16</sup>	<b>Due Date (in months)</b> <sup>17</sup>
D5.1	Communications Plan & Dissemination Roadmap	1 - DITSS	Report	Public	3

## List of deliverables

<b>Deliverable Number<sup>14</sup></b>	<b>Deliverable Title</b>	<b>Lead beneficiary</b>	<b>Type<sup>15</sup></b>	<b>Dissemination level<sup>16</sup></b>	<b>Due Date (in months)<sup>17</sup></b>
D5.2	PRoTECT web site	5 - FESU	Websites, patents filling, etc.	Public	3
D5.3	Dissemination materials	2 - KEMEA	Websites, patents filling, etc.	Public	6
D5.4	Securipedia content	3 - TNO	Websites, patents filling, etc.	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)	24
D5.5	1st Workshop results	9 - DL	Report	Public	11
D5.6	2nd PRoTECT Workshop	11 - MUNBV	Report	Public	19
D5.7	3rd Workshop results	7 - Eindhoven	Report	Public	24

## Description of deliverables

D5.1: Communications Plan & Dissemination Roadmap, Report/50 pages, English, Beneficiaries: General Public.  
D5.2: PRoTECT web site, Website, English, Beneficiaries: General Public.  
D5.3: Dissemination materials, Printed/brochures, newsletters/electronic, Beneficiaries: General Public.  
D5.4: Securipedia content, website, Beneficiaries: General Public.  
D5.5: 1st Workshop results, Report/max 30 pages, Beneficiaries: General Public.  
D5.6: 2nd Workshop results, Report/max 30 pages, Beneficiaries: General Public.  
D5.7: 3rd Workshop results, Report/max 30 pages, Beneficiaries: General Public.

**D5.1 : Communications Plan & Dissemination Roadmap [3]**  
This deliverable will provide the project communication and dissemination plan to be followed by the consortium throughout the project lifetime.

**D5.2 : PRoTECT web site [3]**  
This deliverable will develop the project website and a short report indicating the design attributes.

**D5.3 : Dissemination materials [6]**  
This deliverable will provide the plan and the layout for the project dissemination materials based on the the deliverable D5.1: Communications Plan & Dissemination Roadmap guidance (e.g: Newsletters, leaflets. presentations etc)

**D5.4 : Securipedia content [24]**  
This deliverable will provide the platform for the exchange of knowledge between LEAs users.

**D5.5 : 1st Workshop results [11]**  
This deliverable will provide the results of the 1st Workshop with the participation of EU city representatives coordinated by EFUS. The Workshop will be organised in the city of LARISA, Greece at M10, after the completion of WP2.

**D5.6 : 2nd PRoTECT Workshop [19]**  
This deliverable will provide the results of the 2nd Workshop Workshop with the participation of EU city representatives coordinated by EFUS. This will be organised in the city of BRASOV, Romania, at M18, after the completion the technology roadmap in WP3 and the table-top exercises in WP4.

**D5.7 : 3rd Workshop results [24]**

This deliverable will provide the results of the 3rd Workshop with the participation of EU city representatives coordinated by EFUS. This will be organised in the city of EINDHOVEN, Netherlands at M24, after the completion of the demonstrations that are planned to be conducted in WP4.

#### Schedule of relevant Milestones

Milestone number <sup>18</sup>	Milestone title	Lead beneficiary	Due Date (in months)	Means of verification
--------------------------------	-----------------	------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

#### ***1.3.4. WT4 List of milestones***

No milestones indicated

### ***1.3.5. WT5 Critical Implementation risks and mitigation actions***

No risks indicated

### 1.3.6. WT6 Summary of project effort contribution

	WP1	WP2	WP3	WP4	WP5
1 - DITSS	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2 - KEMEA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3 - TNO	✓	✓	✓		✓
4 - IGPR	✓	✓	✓	✓	
5 - FESU	✓	✓		✓	✓
6 - L3CE	✓	✓	✓	✓	
7 - Eindhoven	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8 - MALAGA	✓	✓	✓	✓	
9 - DL	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10 - VMSA	✓	✓	✓	✓	
11 - MUNBV	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12 - JADS	✓		✓		
13 - MIR	✓	✓		✓	



### ***1.3.7. WT7 Tentative schedule of project reviews***

No project reviews indicated

### 1. Project number

The project number has been assigned by the Commission as the unique identifier for your project. It cannot be changed. The project number **should appear on each page of the grant agreement preparation documents (part A and part B)** to prevent errors during its handling.

### 2. Project acronym

Use the project acronym as given in the submitted proposal. It can generally not be changed. The same acronym **should appear on each page of the grant agreement preparation documents (part A and part B)** to prevent errors during its handling.

### 3. Project title

Use the title (preferably no longer than 200 characters) as indicated in the submitted proposal. Minor corrections are possible if agreed during the preparation of the grant agreement.

### 4. Starting date

Unless a specific (fixed) starting date is duly justified and agreed upon during the preparation of the Grant Agreement, the project will start on the first day of the month following the entry into force of the Grant Agreement (NB : entry into force = signature by the Commission). Please note that if a fixed starting date is used, you will be required to provide a written justification.

### 5. Duration

Insert the duration of the project in full months.

### 6. Call (part) identifier

The Call (part) identifier is the reference number given in the call or part of the call you were addressing, as indicated in the publication of the call in the Official Journal of the European Union. You have to use the identifier given by the Commission in the letter inviting to prepare the grant agreement.

### 7. Abstract

### 8. Project Entry Month

The month at which the participant joined the consortium, month 1 marking the start date of the project, and all other start dates being relative to this start date.

### 9. Work Package number

Work package number: WP1, WP2, WP3, ..., WPn

### 10. Lead beneficiary

This must be one of the beneficiaries in the grant (not a third party) - Number of the beneficiary leading the work in this work package

### 11. Person-months per work package

The total number of person-months allocated to each work package.

### 12. Start month

Relative start date for the work in the specific work packages, month 1 marking the start date of the project, and all other start dates being relative to this start date.

### 13. End month

Relative end date, month 1 marking the start date of the project, and all end dates being relative to this start date.

### 14. Deliverable number

Deliverable numbers: D1 - Dn

### 15. Type

Please indicate the type of the deliverable using one of the following codes:

- R Document, report
- DEM Demonstrator, pilot, prototype
- DEC Websites, patent filings, videos, etc.
- OTHER
- ETHICS Ethics requirement
- ORDP Open Research Data Pilot

### 16. Dissemination level

Please indicate the dissemination level using one of the following codes:

- PU Public
- CO Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)
- EU-RES Classified Information: RESTREINT UE (Commission Decision 2005/444/EC)
- EU-CON Classified Information: CONFIDENTIEL UE (Commission Decision 2005/444/EC)
- EU-SEC Classified Information: SECRET UE (Commission Decision 2005/444/EC)

**17. Delivery date for Deliverable**

Month in which the deliverables will be available, month 1 marking the start date of the project, and all delivery dates being relative to this start date.

**18. Milestone number**

Milestone number: MS1, MS2, ..., MSn

**19. Review number**

Review number: RV1, RV2, ..., RVn

**20. Installation Number**

Number progressively the installations of a same infrastructure. An installation is a part of an infrastructure that could be used independently from the rest.

**21. Installation country**

Code of the country where the installation is located or IO if the access provider (the beneficiary or linked third party) is an international organization, an ERIC or a similar legal entity.

**22. Type of access**

- VA if virtual access,
- TA-uc if trans-national access with access costs declared on the basis of unit cost,
- TA-ac if trans-national access with access costs declared as actual costs, and
- TA-cb if trans-national access with access costs declared as a combination of actual costs and costs on the basis of unit cost.

**23. Access costs**

Cost of the access provided under the project. For virtual access fill only the second column. For trans-national access fill one of the two columns or both according to the way access costs are declared. Trans-national access costs on the basis of unit cost will result from the unit cost by the quantity of access to be provided.