

Lecture 7:

Convolutional Neural Networks

Administrative

A2 is due Feb 5 (next Friday)


Project proposal due Jan 30 (Saturday)

- ungraded, one paragraph
 - feel free to give 2 options, we can try help you narrow it
-
- What is the problem that you will be investigating? Why is it interesting?
 - What data will you use? If you are collecting new datasets, how do you plan to collect them?
 - What method or algorithm are you proposing? If there are existing implementations, will you use them and how? How do you plan to improve or modify such implementations?
 - What reading will you examine to provide context and background?
 - How will you evaluate your results? Qualitatively, what kind of results do you expect (e.g. plots or figures)? Quantitatively, what kind of analysis will you use to evaluate and/or compare your results (e.g. what performance metrics or statistical tests)?


Mini-batch SGD

Loop:

1. **Sample** a batch of data
2. **Forward** prop it through the graph, get loss
3. **Backprop** to calculate the gradients
4. **Update** the parameters using the gradient



Parameter updates




We covered:

sgd,
momentum,
nag,
adagrad,
rmsprop,
adam (not in this vis),

we did not cover adadelta

Image credits: Alec Radford


Dropout



Forces the network to have a redundant representation.



Convolutional Neural Networks



[LeNet-5, LeCun 1980]

A bit of history:




Hubel & Wiesel, 1959

RECEPTIVE FIELDS OF SINGLE
NEURONES IN
THE CAT'S STRIATE CORTEX


1962

RECEPTIVE FIELDS, BINOCULAR
INTERACTION
AND FUNCTIONAL ARCHITECTURE IN
THE CAT'S VISUAL CORTEX

1968...



Hierarchical organization




Convolutional Neural Networks

(First without the brain stuff)


Convolution Layer

32x32x3 image



Convolution Layer

32x32x3 image




5x5x3 filter



Convolve the filter with the image
i.e. “slide over the image spatially,
computing dot products”

Convolution Layer

32x32x3 image




Filters always extend the full depth of the input volume

5x5x3 filter




Convolve the filter with the image
i.e. “slide over the image spatially,
computing dot products”

Convolution Layer




Convolution Layer




Convolution Layer

consider a second, **green** filter




For example, if we had 6 5x5 filters, we'll get 6 separate activation maps:




We stack these up to get a “new image” of size 28x28x6!

Preview: ConvNet is a sequence of Convolution Layers, interspersed with activation functions




Preview: ConvNet is a sequence of Convolutional Layers, interspersed with activation functions




Preview

[From recent Yann
LeCun slides]




Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]

Preview



[From recent Yann LeCun slides]

Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]






one filter =>
one activation map

example 5x5 filters
(32 total)

Activations:




We call the layer convolutional
because it is related to convolution
of two signals:


$$f[x,y] * g[x,y] = \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} f[n_1,n_2] \cdot g[x-n_1,y-n_2]$$

↑
elementwise multiplication and sum of
a filter and the signal (image)

preview:




A closer look at spatial dimensions:



A closer look at spatial dimensions:

7




7x7 input (spatially)
assume 3x3 filter

7

A closer look at spatial dimensions:

7




7

7x7 input (spatially)
assume 3x3 filter

A closer look at spatial dimensions:

7




7

7x7 input (spatially)
assume 3x3 filter

A closer look at spatial dimensions:

7



7

7x7 input (spatially)
assume 3x3 filter

A closer look at spatial dimensions:


7

7

7x7 input (spatially)
assume 3x3 filter


=> 5x5 output

A closer look at spatial dimensions:




7x7 input (spatially)
assume 3x3 filter
applied **with stride 2**

A closer look at spatial dimensions:




7x7 input (spatially)
assume 3x3 filter
applied **with stride 2**

A closer look at spatial dimensions:




7x7 input (spatially)
assume 3x3 filter
applied **with stride 2**
=> 3x3 output!

A closer look at spatial dimensions:




7x7 input (spatially)
assume 3x3 filter
applied **with stride 3**?

A closer look at spatial dimensions:



7x7 input (spatially)
assume 3x3 filter
applied **with stride 3**?

doesn't fit!
cannot apply 3x3 filter on
7x7 input with stride 3.



Output size:
 $(N - F) / \text{stride} + 1$

e.g. $N = 7, F = 3$:

stride 1 $\Rightarrow (7 - 3) / 1 + 1 = 5$

stride 2 $\Rightarrow (7 - 3) / 2 + 1 = 3$

stride 3 $\Rightarrow (7 - 3) / 3 + 1 = 2.33 \therefore \backslash$

In practice: Common to zero pad the border

0	0	0	0	0	0			
0								
0								
0								
0								

e.g. input 7x7

3x3 filter, applied with **stride 1**

pad with 1 pixel border => what is the output?

(recall:)

$$(N - F) / \text{stride} + 1$$

In practice: Common to zero pad the border

0	0	0	0	0	0			
0								
0								
0								
0								

e.g. input 7x7

3x3 filter, applied with **stride 1**

pad with 1 pixel border => what is the output?

7x7 output!

In practice: Common to zero pad the border

0	0	0	0	0	0			
0								
0								
0								
0								

e.g. input 7x7

3x3 filter, applied with **stride 1**

pad with 1 pixel border => what is the output?

7x7 output!

in general, common to see CONV layers with stride 1, filters of size $F \times F$, and zero-padding with $(F-1)/2$. (will preserve size spatially)


e.g. $F = 3 \Rightarrow$ zero pad with 1

$F = 5 \Rightarrow$ zero pad with 2

$F = 7 \Rightarrow$ zero pad with 3

Remember back to...

E.g. 32x32 input convolved repeatedly with 5x5 filters shrinks volumes spatially! (32 \rightarrow 28 \rightarrow 24 ...). Shrinking too fast is not good, doesn't work well.




Examples time:

Input volume: **32x32x3**

10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2

Output volume size: ?



Examples time:


Input volume: **32x32x3**

10 **5x5** filters with stride **1**, pad **2**

Output volume size:

$(32 + 2 * 2 - 5) / 1 + 1 = 32$ spatially, so


32x32x10



Examples time:

Input volume: **32x32x3**

10 5x5 filters with stride 1, pad 2




Number of parameters in this layer?

Examples time:

Input volume: **32x32x3**

10 **5x5** filters with stride 1, pad 2



Number of parameters in this layer?

each filter has $5*5*3 + 1 = 76$ params (+1 for bias)

=> $76*10 = 760$

Summary. To summarize, the Conv Layer:

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 \times H_1 \times D_1$
- Requires four hyperparameters:
 - Number of filters K ,
 - their spatial extent F ,
 - the stride S ,
 - the amount of zero padding P .
- Produces a volume of size $W_2 \times H_2 \times D_2$ where:
 - $W_2 = (W_1 - F + 2P)/S + 1$
 - $H_2 = (H_1 - F + 2P)/S + 1$ (i.e. width and height are computed equally by symmetry)
 - $D_2 = K$
- With parameter sharing, it introduces $F \cdot F \cdot D_1$ weights per filter, for a total of $(F \cdot F \cdot D_1) \cdot K$ weights and K biases.
- In the output volume, the d -th depth slice (of size $W_2 \times H_2$) is the result of performing a valid convolution of the d -th filter over the input volume with a stride of S , and then offset by d -th bias.

Common settings:


Summary. To summarize, the Conv Layer:

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 \times H_1 \times D_1$
- Requires four hyperparameters:
 - Number of filters K ,
 - their spatial extent F ,
 - the stride S ,
 - the amount of zero padding P .
- Produces a volume of size $W_2 \times H_2 \times D_2$ where:
 - $W_2 = (W_1 - F + 2P)/S + 1$
 - $H_2 = (H_1 - F + 2P)/S + 1$ (i.e. width and height are computed equally by symmetry)
 - $D_2 = K$
- With parameter sharing, it introduces $F \cdot F \cdot D_1$ weights per filter, for a total of $(F \cdot F \cdot D_1) \cdot K$ weights and K biases.
- In the output volume, the d -th depth slice (of size $W_2 \times H_2$) is the result of performing a valid convolution of the d -th filter over the input volume with a stride of S , and then offset by d -th bias.

$K = (\text{powers of 2, e.g. 32, 64, 128, 512})$

- $F = 3, S = 1, P = 1$
- $F = 5, S = 1, P = 2$
- $F = 5, S = 2, P = ?$ (whatever fits)
- $F = 1, S = 1, P = 0$

(btw, 1x1 convolution layers make perfect sense)



Example: CONV layer in Torch

Summary. To summarize, the Conv Layer:

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 \times H_1 \times D_1$
- Requires four hyperparameters:
 - Number of filters K ,
 - their spatial extent F ,
 - the stride S ,
 - the amount of zero padding P .

SpatialConvolution

```
module = nn.SpatialConvolution(nInputPlane, nOutputPlane, kW, kH, [dW], [dH], [padW], [padH])
```

Applies a 2D convolution over an input image composed of several input planes. The `input` tensor in `forward(input)` is expected to be a 3D tensor (`nInputPlane` x `height` x `width`).

The parameters are the following:

- `nInputPlane` : The number of expected input planes in the image given into `forward()`.
- `nOutputPlane` : The number of output planes the convolution layer will produce.
- `kW` : The kernel width of the convolution
- `kH` : The kernel height of the convolution
- `dW` : The step of the convolution in the width dimension. Default is `1`.
- `dH` : The step of the convolution in the height dimension. Default is `1`.
- `padW` : The additional zeros added per width to the input planes. Default is `0`, a good number is $(kW-1)/2$.
- `padH` : The additional zeros added per height to the input planes. Default is `padW`, a good number is $(kH-1)/2$.

Note that depending of the size of your kernel, several (of the last) columns or rows of the input image might be lost. It is up to the user to add proper padding in images.

If the input image is a 3D tensor `nInputPlane` x `height` x `width`, the output image size will be `nOutputPlane` x `oheight` x `owidth` where

```
owidth = floor((width + 2*padW - kW) / dW + 1)  
oheight = floor((height + 2*padH - kH) / dH + 1)
```

Example: CONV layer in Caffe

```
layer {
  name: "conv1"
  type: "Convolution"
  bottom: "data"
  top: "conv1"
  # learning rate and decay multipliers for the filters
  param { lr_mult: 1 decay_mult: 1 }
  # learning rate and decay multipliers for the biases
  param { lr_mult: 2 decay_mult: 0 }
  convolution_param {
    num_output: 96      # learn 96 filters
    kernel_size: 11     # each filter is 11x11
    stride: 4           # step 4 pixels between each filter application
    weight_filler {
      type: "gaussian" # initialize the filters from a Gaussian
      std: 0.01        # distribution with stdev 0.01 (default mean: 0)
    }
    bias_filler {
      type: "constant" # initialize the biases to zero (0)
      value: 0
    }
  }
}
```

Summary. To summarize, the Conv Layer:

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 \times H_1 \times D_1$
- Requires four hyperparameters:
 - Number of filters K ,
 - their spatial extent F ,
 - the stride S ,
 - the amount of zero padding P .

Example: CONV layer in Lasagne

Summary. To summarize, the Conv Layer:

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 \times H_1 \times D_1$
- Requires four hyperparameters:
 - Number of filters K ,
 - their spatial extent F ,
 - the stride S ,
 - the amount of zero padding P .

```
class lasagne.layers.Conv2DLayer(incoming, num_filters, filter_size, stride=(1, 1), pad=0,
    untie_biases=False, W=lasagne.init.GlorotUniform(), b=lasagne.init.Constant(0),
    nonlinearity=lasagne.nonlinearities.rectify, flip_filters=True, convolution=theano.tensor.nnet.conv2d,
    **kwargs) [source]
```

2D convolutional layer

Performs a 2D convolution on its input and optionally adds a bias and applies an elementwise nonlinearity.

Parameters: **incoming**: a `Layer` instance or a tuple

The layer feeding into this layer, or the expected input shape. The output of this layer should be a 4D tensor, with shape `(batch_size, num_input_channels, input_rows, input_columns)`.

num_filters: int

The number of learnable convolutional filters this layer has.

filter_size: int or iterable of int

An integer or a 2-element tuple specifying the size of the filters.

stride: int or iterable of int

An integer or a 2-element tuple specifying the stride of the convolution operation.

pad: int, iterable of int, 'full', 'same' or 'valid' (default: 0)

By default, the convolution is only computed where the input and the filter fully overlap (a valid convolution). When `stride=1`, this yields an output that is smaller than the input by `filter_size - 1`. The `pad` argument allows you to implicitly pad the input with zeros, extending the output size.


A single integer results in symmetric zero-padding of the given size on all borders, a tuple of two integers allows different symmetric padding per dimension.

`'full'` pads with one less than the filter size on both sides. This is equivalent to computing the convolution wherever the input and the filter overlap by at least one position.



`'same'` pads with half the filter size (rounded down) on both sides. When `stride=1` this results in an output size equal to the input size. Even filter size is not supported.

`'valid'` is an alias for `0` (no padding / a valid convolution).

The brain/neuron view of CONV Layer





The brain/neuron view of CONV Layer



It's just a neuron with local connectivity...

The brain/neuron view of CONV Layer





An activation map is a 28x28 sheet of neuron outputs:

1. Each is connected to a small region in the input
2. All of them share parameters

“5x5 filter” -> “5x5 receptive field for each neuron”

The brain/neuron view of CONV Layer



E.g. with 5 filters,
CONV layer consists of
neurons arranged in a 3D grid
(28x28x5)


There will be 5 different
neurons all looking at the same
region in the input volume

two more layers to go: POOL/FC




Pooling layer

- makes the representations smaller and more manageable
- operates over each activation map independently:



MAX POOLING



- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 \times H_1 \times D_1$
- Requires three hyperparameters:
 - their spatial extent F ,
 - the stride S ,
- Produces a volume of size $W_2 \times H_2 \times D_2$ where:
 - $W_2 = (W_1 - F)/S + 1$
 - $H_2 = (H_1 - F)/S + 1$
 - $D_2 = D_1$
- Introduces zero parameters since it computes a fixed function of the input
- Note that it is not common to use zero-padding for Pooling layers

Common settings:


$$F = 2, S = 2$$

$$F = 3, S = 2$$

- Accepts a volume of size $W_1 \times H_1 \times D_1$
- Requires three hyperparameters:
 - their spatial extent F ,
 - the stride S ,
- Produces a volume of size $W_2 \times H_2 \times D_2$ where:
 - $W_2 = (W_1 - F)/S + 1$
 - $H_2 = (H_1 - F)/S + 1$
 - $D_2 = D_1$
- Introduces zero parameters since it computes a fixed function of the input
- Note that it is not common to use zero-padding for Pooling layers

Fully Connected Layer (FC layer)

- Contains neurons that connect to the entire input volume, as in ordinary Neural Networks




[ConvNetJS demo: training on CIFAR-10]

<http://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/convnetjs/demo/cifar10.html>

Case Study: LeNet-5


[LeCun et al., 1998]



Conv filters were 5x5, applied at stride 1
Subsampling (Pooling) layers were 2x2 applied at stride 2
i.e. architecture is [CONV-POOL-CONV-POOL-CONV-FC]

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images


First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4

=>

Q: what is the output volume size? Hint: $(227-11)/4+1 = 55$

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4


=>

Output volume **[55x55x96]**

Q: What is the total number of parameters in this layer?

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

First layer (CONV1): 96 11x11 filters applied at stride 4


=>

Output volume **[55x55x96]**

Parameters: $(11*11*3)*96 = \mathbf{35K}$

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images


After CONV1: 55x55x96

Second layer (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2

Q: what is the output volume size? Hint: $(55-3)/2+1 = 27$

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

After CONV1: 55x55x96


Second layer (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2

Output volume: 27x27x96

Q: what is the number of parameters in this layer?

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

After CONV1: 55x55x96


Second layer (POOL1): 3x3 filters applied at stride 2

Output volume: 27x27x96

Parameters: 0!

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]



Input: 227x227x3 images

After CONV1: 55x55x96

After POOL1: 27x27x96

...

Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture:

[227x227x3] INPUT

[55x55x96] **CONV1**: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0

[27x27x96] **MAX POOL1**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[27x27x96] **NORM1**: Normalization layer

[27x27x256] **CONV2**: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2

[13x13x256] **MAX POOL2**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[13x13x256] **NORM2**: Normalization layer

[13x13x384] **CONV3**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[13x13x384] **CONV4**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1


[13x13x256] **CONV5**: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[6x6x256] **MAX POOL3**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[4096] **FC6**: 4096 neurons

[4096] **FC7**: 4096 neurons

[1000] **FC8**: 1000 neurons (class scores)



Case Study: AlexNet

[Krizhevsky et al. 2012]

Full (simplified) AlexNet architecture:

[227x227x3] INPUT

[55x55x96] **CONV1**: 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0

[27x27x96] **MAX POOL1**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[27x27x96] **NORM1**: Normalization layer

[27x27x256] **CONV2**: 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2

[13x13x256] **MAX POOL2**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[13x13x256] **NORM2**: Normalization layer

[13x13x384] **CONV3**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[13x13x384] **CONV4**: 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1


[13x13x256] **CONV5**: 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1

[6x6x256] **MAX POOL3**: 3x3 filters at stride 2

[4096] **FC6**: 4096 neurons

[4096] **FC7**: 4096 neurons

[1000] **FC8**: 1000 neurons (class scores)




Details/Retrospectives:

- first use of ReLU
- used Norm layers (not common anymore)
- heavy data augmentation
- dropout 0.5
- batch size 128
- SGD Momentum 0.9
- Learning rate 1e-2, reduced by 10 manually when val accuracy plateaus
- L2 weight decay 5e-4
- 7 CNN ensemble: 18.2% -> 15.4%

Case Study: ZFNet

[Zeiler and Fergus, 2013]



AlexNet but:

CONV1: change from (11x11 stride 4) to (7x7 stride 2)

CONV3,4,5: instead of 384, 384, 256 filters use 512, 1024, 512

ImageNet top 5 error: 15.4% -> 14.8%

Case Study: VGGNet

[Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]

Only 3x3 CONV stride 1, pad 1
and 2x2 MAX POOL stride 2

best model

11.2% top 5 error in ILSVRC 2013

->

7.3% top 5 error

ConvNet Configuration					
A	A-LRN	B	C	D	E
11 weight layers	11 weight layers	13 weight layers	16 weight layers	16 weight layers	19 weight layers
input (224×224 RGB image)					
conv3-64	conv3-64 LRN	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64
maxpool					
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128
maxpool					
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
maxpool					
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
maxpool					
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
maxpool					
FC-4096					
FC-4096					
FC-1000					
soft-max					

Table 2: Number of parameters (in millions).

Network	A,A-LRN	B	C	D	E
Number of parameters	133	133	134	138	144

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: $224*224*3=150\text{K}$ params: 0 (not counting biases)

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: $224*224*64=3.2\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*3)*64 = 1,728$

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: $224*224*64=3.2\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*64)*64 = 36,864$

POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: $112*112*64=800\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: $112*112*128=1.6\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*64)*128 = 73,728$

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: $112*112*128=1.6\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*128)*128 = 147,456$

POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: $56*56*128=400\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*128)*256 = 294,912$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: $28*28*256=200\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: $7*7*512=25\text{K}$ params: 0

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $7*7*512*4096 = 102,760,448$

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $4096*4096 = 16,777,216$

FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: $4096*1000 = 4,096,000$

ConvNet Configuration			
B	C	D	
13 weight layers	16 weight layers	16 weight layers	19
Input (224 × 224 RGB image)			
conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64
conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64
maxpool			
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128
maxpool			
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
	conv1-256	conv3-256	conv3-256
maxpool			
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
	conv1-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
maxpool			
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
	conv1-512	conv3-512	conv3-512
maxpool			
FC-4096			
FC-4096			
FC-1000			
soft-max			

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: $224*224*3=150\text{K}$ params: 0 (not counting biases)

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: $224*224*64=3.2\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*3)*64 = 1,728$

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: $224*224*64=3.2\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*64)*64 = 36,864$

POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: $112*112*64=800\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: $112*112*128=1.6\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*64)*128 = 73,728$

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: $112*112*128=1.6\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*128)*128 = 147,456$

POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: $56*56*128=400\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*128)*256 = 294,912$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: $28*28*256=200\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: $7*7*512=25\text{K}$ params: 0

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $7*7*512*4096 = 102,760,448$

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $4096*4096 = 16,777,216$

FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: $4096*1000 = 4,096,000$

TOTAL memory: $24\text{M} * 4 \text{ bytes} \sim 93\text{MB} / \text{image}$ (only forward! ~ 2 for bwd)

TOTAL params: 138M parameters

ConvNet Configuration			
B	C	D	
13 weight layers	16 weight layers	16 weight layers	19
put (224 × 224 RGB image)			
conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	cc
conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	cc
maxpool			
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	co
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	co
maxpool			
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	co
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	co
	conv1-256	conv3-256	co
			co
maxpool			
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	co
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	co
	conv1-512	conv3-512	co
			co
maxpool			
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	co
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	co
	conv1-512	conv3-512	co
			co
maxpool			
FC-4096			
FC-4096			
FC-1000			
soft-max			

INPUT: [224x224x3] memory: $224*224*3=150\text{K}$ params: 0 (not counting biases)

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: $224*224*64=3.2\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*3)*64 = 1,728$

CONV3-64: [224x224x64] memory: $224*224*64=3.2\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*64)*64 = 36,864$

POOL2: [112x112x64] memory: $112*112*64=800\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: $112*112*128=1.6\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*64)*128 = 73,728$

CONV3-128: [112x112x128] memory: $112*112*128=1.6\text{M}$ params: $(3*3*128)*128 = 147,456$

POOL2: [56x56x128] memory: $56*56*128=400\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*128)*256 = 294,912$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

CONV3-256: [56x56x256] memory: $56*56*256=800\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*256 = 589,824$

POOL2: [28x28x256] memory: $28*28*256=200\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*256)*512 = 1,179,648$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [28x28x512] memory: $28*28*512=400\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: 0

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

CONV3-512: [14x14x512] memory: $14*14*512=100\text{K}$ params: $(3*3*512)*512 = 2,359,296$

POOL2: [7x7x512] memory: $7*7*512=25\text{K}$ params: 0

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $7*7*512*4096 = 102,760,448$

FC: [1x1x4096] memory: 4096 params: $4096*4096 = 16,777,216$

FC: [1x1x1000] memory: 1000 params: $4096*1000 = 4,096,000$

TOTAL memory: $24\text{M} * 4 \text{ bytes} \approx 93\text{MB} / \text{image}$ (only forward! ~ 2 for bwd)

TOTAL params: 138M parameters



Note:

Most memory is in
early CONV

Most params are
in late FC

Case Study: GoogLeNet

[Szegedy et al., 2014]



Inception module

ILSVRC 2014 winner (6.7% top 5 error)

Case Study: GoogLeNet

type	patch size/ stride	output size	depth	#1×1	#3×3 reduce	#3×3	#5×5 reduce	#5×5	pool proj	params	ops
convolution	7×7/2	112×112×64	1							2.7K	34M
max pool	3×3/2	56×56×64	0								
convolution	3×3/1	56×56×192	2		64	192				112K	360M
max pool	3×3/2	28×28×192	0								
inception (3a)		28×28×256	2	64	96	128	16	32	32	159K	128M
inception (3b)		28×28×480	2	128	128	192	32	96	64	380K	304M
max pool	3×3/2	14×14×480	0								
inception (4a)		14×14×512	2	192	96	208	16	48	64	364K	73M
inception (4b)		14×14×512	2	160	112	224	24	64	64	437K	88M
inception (4c)		14×14×512	2	128	128	256	24	64	64	463K	100M
inception (4d)		14×14×528	2	112	144	288	32	64	64	580K	119M
inception (4e)		14×14×832	2	256	160	320	32	128	128	840K	170M
max pool	3×3/2	7×7×832	0								
inception (5a)		7×7×832	2	256	160	320	32	128	128	1072K	54M
inception (5b)		7×7×1024	2	384	192	384	48	128	128	1388K	71M
avg pool	7×7/1	1×1×1024	0								
dropout (40%)		1×1×1024	0								
linear		1×1×1000	1							1000K	1M
softmax		1×1×1000	0								

Fun features:


- Only 5 million params!
(Removes FC layers completely)

Compared to AlexNet:

- 12X less params
- 2x more compute
- 6.67% (vs. 16.4%)


Case Study: ResNet [He et al., 2015]

ILSVRC 2015 winner (3.6% top 5 error)

Microsoft
Research

MSRA @ ILSVRC & COCO 2015 Competitions

- **1st places in all five main tracks**
 - ImageNet Classification: “*Ultra-deep*” (quote Yann) **152-layer** nets
 - ImageNet Detection: **16%** better than 2nd
 - ImageNet Localization: **27%** better than 2nd
 - COCO Detection: **11%** better than 2nd
 - COCO Segmentation: **12%** better than 2nd


ICCV 15
International Conference on Computer Vision

*improvements are relative numbers

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. “Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition”. arXiv 2015.

Slide from Kaiming He’s recent presentation <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1PGLj-uKT1w>

Revolution of Depth




ImageNet Classification top-5 error (%)

Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, & Jian Sun. "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition". arXiv 2015.


(slide from Kaiming He's recent presentation)

CIFAR-10 experiments

CIFAR-10 plain nets




CIFAR-10 ResNets



Case Study: ResNet [He et al., 2015]

ILSVRC 2015 winner (3.6% top 5 error)




2-3 weeks of training
on 8 GPU machine

at runtime: faster
than a VGGNet!
(even though it has
8x more layers)

(slide from Kaiming He's recent presentation)

Case Study: ResNet



[He et al., 2015]



spatial dimension
only 56x56!

Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]




Case Study: ResNet *[He et al., 2015]*

- Batch Normalization after every CONV layer
- Xavier/2 initialization from He et al.
- SGD + Momentum (0.9)
- Learning rate: 0.1, divided by 10 when validation error plateaus
- Mini-batch size 256
- Weight decay of $1e-5$
- No dropout used



Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]




Case Study: ResNet

[He et al., 2015]




(this trick is also used in GoogLeNet)

Case Study: ResNet [He et al., 2015]



layer name	output size	18-layer	34-layer	50-layer	101-layer	152-layer
conv1	112×112	7×7, 64, stride 2				
conv2_x	56×56	3×3 max pool, stride 2				
		$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \end{bmatrix} \times 2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 1 \times 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 1 \times 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 64 \\ 3 \times 3, 64 \\ 1 \times 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$
conv3_x	28×28	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \end{bmatrix} \times 2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \end{bmatrix} \times 4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 1 \times 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 1 \times 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 128 \\ 3 \times 3, 128 \\ 1 \times 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 8$
conv4_x	14×14	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \end{bmatrix} \times 6$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 1 \times 1, 1024 \end{bmatrix} \times 6$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 1 \times 1, 1024 \end{bmatrix} \times 23$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 256 \\ 3 \times 3, 256 \\ 1 \times 1, 1024 \end{bmatrix} \times 36$
conv5_x	7×7	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 1 \times 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 1 \times 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1, 512 \\ 3 \times 3, 512 \\ 1 \times 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} \times 3$
	1×1	average pool, 1000-d fc, softmax				
FLOPs		1.8×10^9	3.6×10^9	3.8×10^9	7.6×10^9	11.3×10^9

Case Study Bonus: DeepMind's AlphaGo



The input to the policy network is a $19 \times 19 \times 48$ image stack consisting of 48 feature planes. The first hidden layer zero pads the input into a 23×23 image, then convolves k filters of kernel size 5×5 with stride 1 with the input image and applies a rectifier nonlinearity. Each of the subsequent hidden layers 2 to 12 zero pads the respective previous hidden layer into a 21×21 image, then convolves k filters of kernel size 3×3 with stride 1, again followed by a rectifier nonlinearity. The final layer convolves 1 filter of kernel size 1×1 with stride 1, with a different bias for each position, and applies a softmax function. The match version of AlphaGo used $k = 192$ filters; [Fig. 2b](#) and [Extended Data Table 3](#) additionally show the results of training with $k = 128, 256$ and 384 filters.

policy network:

[19x19x48] Input

CONV1: 192 5x5 filters , stride 1, pad 2 => [19x19x192]

CONV2..12: 192 3x3 filters, stride 1, pad 1 => [19x19x192]

CONV: 1 1x1 filter, stride 1, pad 0 => [19x19] (*probability map of promising moves*)

Summary

- ConvNets stack CONV, POOL, FC layers
- Trend towards smaller filters and deeper architectures
- Trend towards getting rid of POOL/FC layers (just CONV)
- Typical architectures look like
 $[(\text{CONV-RELU})^N \text{- POOL?}]^M \text{- (FC-RELU)}^K, \text{SOFTMAX}$
where N is usually up to ~5, M is large, $0 \leq K \leq 2$.
 - but recent advances such as ResNet/GoogLeNet challenge this paradigm