Ants


Amazing ants!

Martijn Fleuren Marinus Oosters Carlos Tomé Cortiñas Matthew Swart

Overview

- ► Architecture
- ► Genetic Strategy search
- Optimizations
- Testing

Architecture of the EDSL





Internals (I) (Ant/Monad.hs)

A Tardis is the combination of the State monad transformer and the Reverse State monad transformer.

```
instance MonadFix m => Monad (TardisT bw fw m)
...
```



Internals (II) (Ant/Monad.hs)

Example

Abstractions over AntT (Abstractions.hs)

Genetic (I) (Genetic/Evolve.hs)

- Instead of thinking deep about how to write a strategy, let a computer do the searching for you.
- ► How to generate random programs?

Genetic (II) Meat of the search

- QuickCheck has generate :: Gen a -> IO a to transfer random samples to IO
- ▶ Use some kind of 'max' function and then use it in a fold

```
evalP (p1, f1) p2 =
  fitness p2 >>=
    \f2 -> return $ if f1 < f2 then (p2, f2)
    else (p1, f1)
search n = do
  prog1 <- newProgram
  fit1 <- fitness prog1
  xs <- generate n - 1 programs
  (best,_) <- foldM evalP (prog1, fit1) xs
  return best</pre>
```

Genetic (III) Results

- Benchmark against the winner of ICFP2004 lightning division
- ▶ None of the programs obtained a score >0
- There is no meaningful way to tune a random program
- possible solution: write small programs and compose them randomly (attempted, not finished)
- ▶ Brute force random search is *not a good idea*



Optimizations (I) (Ant/Optimizations.hs)

The size of generated programs is huge, consider:

```
move (goto p_label) (goto p_label)
p_label <- label <* p</pre>
```

versus

move p p

Optimizations (II) (Ant/Optimizations.hs)

```
We define a optimization as:
```

```
newtype Opt 11 12 =
  Opt { unOpt :: Program 11 -> Program 12 }
type Optimization 1 = Opt 1 1
instance C.Category Opt where
applyOpt :: Optimization 1 -> Program 1 -> Program 1
```

. . . And we have implemented a couple of them:

```
unreachableOpt :: Label 1 => Optimization 1
duplicateCodeOpt :: Label 1 => Optimization 1
                                                   Faculty of Science
                                            Information and Computing
 Universiteit Utrecht
                                                         Sciences
```

How do we know that the optimizations do not change the intended behaviour of a program?

At least the optimised program should be valid ...

How do we know that the optimizations do not change the intended behaviour of a program?

At least the optimised program should be valid ...

QuickCheck!



How do we know that the optimizations do not change the intended behaviour of a program?

At least the optimised program should be valid ...

QuickCheck!

How do we know that the optimizations do not change the intended behaviour of a program?

At least the optimised program should be valid ...

QuickCheck!

But we could do better, and we do!



```
test :: Int -> Int -> AntMTest L -> Op -> Property
test r seed cprog opt = do
  gs1 <- run $
    initGameState seed tinyWorld
         (toCmds cprog)
        blackInstr
    >>= runNRounds r
  gs2 <- run $
    initGameState seed tinyWorld
         (toCmds $ applyOpt
                    (toOptimization opt)
                    cprog)
        blackInstr
    >>= runNRounds r
  run (gs1 =~= gs2)
                                             [Faculty of Science
                                       Information and Computing
 Universiteit Utrecht
```

Questions

Thank you for your attention!

Any Questions?

