

# Scala

Carlos Tomé Cortiñas, Renate Eilers and Matthew Swart



Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science  
Information and Computing  
Sciences]

# Table of Contents

- ▶ Introduction
- ▶ Static semantics
- ▶ Dynamic semantics





Figure 1: Martin Odersky



# History

From A Brief, Incomplete, and Mostly Wrong History of Programming Languages by James Iry

...

**2003** - A drunken Martin Odersky sees a Reese's Peanut Butter Cup ad featuring somebody's peanut butter getting on somebody else's chocolate and has an idea. He creates Scala, a language that unifies constructs from both object oriented and functional languages. This pisses off both groups and each promptly declares jihad.



# History

- ▶ Created by Prof. Martin Odersky at EPFL.
- ▶ Design started in 2001.
- ▶ Released to the public in 2003.
- ▶ But research on Scala is still going on nowadays.



# Is Scala popular?

- ▶ Twitter
- ▶ LinkedIn
- ▶ The Guardian
- ▶ FourSquare
- ▶ Sony
- ▶ Many more...

	TIOBE	Redmonk
Scala	31	14
Java	1	2
Haskell	38	16



# Projects

- ▶ SBT
- ▶ Squeryl
- ▶ PlayFramework
- ▶ Akka
- ▶ Finagle
- ▶ React
- ▶ Apache Kafka
- ▶ Apache Spark



# Overview of features

- ▶ Scala is **F**unctional and **O**bject **O**riented.
- ▶ Scala is **S**tatically **T**yped.
- ▶ Scala compiles to Java bytecode and runs on the **JVM** (write once, run everywhere)
- ▶ Scala can seamlessly interoperate with Java written code.
- ▶ Scala is **not** a pure language.





# The full picture




Figure 2



# Object oriented but ...

- ▶ Scala approach to OO programming is quite different from Java.
- ▶ However, it has also classes and abstract classes as in Java.

```
abstract class Animal {  
    def shout : Unit  
}  
class Dog(name : String) extends Animal{  
    def shout : Unit = println("Woof!")  
}
```

- ▶ This is actually a keypoint for the interoperability between both languages.



## Object oriented but ... (II)

- ▶ In Scala there are no interfaces like in Java.
- ▶ Scala supports out of the box type parameters (aka generics in Java).

```
abstract class Producer[A] {  
  def produce(x : A) : String  
}  
class IntProducer extends Producer[Int] {  
  def produce(x : Int) : String = x.toString()  
}
```

```
scala> new IntProducer().produce(666)  
res1: String = 666
```



# What really makes Scala OO different?

- ▶ In Scala there is the notion of **singleton object**.
- ▶ There are also **case classes** which are a “special” kind of classes.
- ▶ **Traits** are the key construction in Scala, and can be seen as a mixture of Java abstract classes and interfaces.



# Intermezzo: Covariance and contravariance

```
class GrandParent
class Parent extends GrandParent
class Child extends Parent
```

```
class CoBox[+A]
class ContraBox[-A]
```

```
def foo(x : CoBox[Parent]) : CoBox[Parent] =
  identity(x)
```

```
def bar(x : ContraBox[Parent]) : ContraBox[Parent] =
  identity(x)
```

```
foo(new CoBox[Child])           // success
foo(new CoBox[GrandParent])    // type error
```



# Immutable vs Mutable

- Scala supports both immutable and mutable

```
scala> var x : Int = 1  
x: Int = 1
```

```
scala> x = 3  
x: Int = 3
```

```
scala> val y : Int = 1  
y: Int = 1
```

```
scala> y = 3  
<console>:12: error: reassignment to val  
      y = 3
```



# Type hierarchy




Figure 3



# Singleton objects

- ▶ In Scala we are allow to define a class with only one object of such class.
- ▶ Instantiation of the class is done at the point of usage of the object.

```
object Singl {  
  val int_with_missile : Int = {  
    println("Throw missiles!");  
    1  
  }  
}  
  
scala> val s = Singl  
Throw missiles!  
s: Singl.type = Singl$@498057bb
```





# Case Classes and Case Objects

## Case classes

- ▶ Immutable by default
- ▶ Decomposable through pattern matching
- ▶ Compared by structural equality instead of by reference
- ▶ Succinct to instantiate and operate on

## Case Object

- ▶ Does not take any arguments
- ▶ Singleton object
- ▶ Similar to the Case class(Except for the above bullets)



# Case Classes and Objects - Example

```
case class Person(name : String) {  
  def noise = "I am a person"  
}
```

```
case object Frog{  
  def noise : String = "CROAK"  
}
```



# Pattern Match

```
def whatDoAnySay(animal : Any) : Unit = {  
  animal match {  
    case Frog => println(Frog.noise)  
    case Person(name) => println(name)  
    case 1 => println("I am an Int")  
    case 'a' => println("I am a Character")  
    case "aaaa" => println("I am a String")  
    case x =>  
      println("Ahhh! No pattern match")  
  }  
}
```



# Traits

- ▶ Traits are the fundamental unit of code reuse in **Scala**.
- ▶ A trait encapsulates method and field definitions that can be reused by any class through **mixin composition**.
- ▶ Unlike class inheritance, a class may **mixin** any number of traits.
- ▶ We will see how this works in practice.



# Traits as stackable modifications

## A simple Queue

```
import scala.collection.mutable.ArrayBuffer
```

```
trait Queue[A] {  
  def get() : A  
  def put(x : A) : Unit  
}
```

```
class BasicIntQueue extends Queue[Int] {  
  private val buf = new ArrayBuffer[Int]  
  def get() : Int = buf.remove(0)  
  def put(x : Int) : Unit = buf += x  
}
```



# Traits as stackable modifications (II)

- ▶ Now suppose we want to modify the behaviour of the BasicIntQueue in different ways
  1. *Doubling* the integers that are inserted in the Queue
  2. *Incrementing* the integers that are inserted in the Queue
  3. *Filtering* out negative integers from the Queue
- ▶ How do we do that without modifying the existing code?



# Traits as stackable modifications (III)

```
trait Doubling extends Queue[Int] {  
  abstract override def put(x : Int) : Unit = {  
    super.put(2 * x)  
  }  
}  
  
trait Incrementing extends Queue[Int] {  
  abstract override def put(x : Int) : Unit = {  
    super.put(1 + x)  
  }  
}  
  
trait Filtering extends Queue[Int] {  
  abstract override def put(x : Int) : Unit = {  
    if (x > 0) super.put(x)  
  }  
}
```



# Traits as stackable modifications (III)

```
scala> val q1 =  
  | new BasicIntQueue with Incrementing  
                                with Filtering  
q1: BasicIntQueue with Incrementing with Filtering =  
$anon$1@f5a7226  
  
scala> q1.put(0)  
  
scala> q1.get()  
java.lang.IndexOutOfBoundsException: 0  
  at scala.collection.mutable.ResizableArray. ...  
  ...  
  at BasicIntQueue.get(<console>:15)  
  ... 31 elided
```





# Traits as stackable modifications (IV)

```
scala> val q2 =  
  | new BasicIntQueue with Filtering  
                        with Incrementing  
q2: BasicIntQueue with Filtering with Incrementing =  
$anon$1@6f731759  
  
scala> q2.put(0)  
  
scala> q2.get()  
res7: Int = 1
```



# Mixin composition & Linearization

- ▶ When resolving the calls to **super**, the mixins are resolved through process called linearization.
1. Queue  $\rightarrow$  AnyRef  $\rightarrow$  Any
  2. Filtering  $\rightarrow$  Queue  $\rightarrow$  AnyRef
  3. Incrementing  $\rightarrow$  Queue  $\rightarrow$  AnyRef
  4. BasicIntQueue  $\rightarrow$  Incrementing  $\rightarrow$  Filtering  $\rightarrow$  ...



# Traits as ad-hoc polymorphism (with implicits)

- We can use *traits* to model Haskell's *type classes*

```
trait Monoid[A] {  
  def mempty : A  
  def mappend(x : A, y : A) : A  
}
```

- An instance for the type class represented by a trait is just a *value* implementing such trait

```
object IntMonoid extends Monoid[Int] {  
  def mempty : Int = 0  
  def mappend(x : Int, y : Int) : Int = x + y  
}
```



# Traits as ad-hoc polymorphism (with implicits) (II)

- For example now we can define a function such as **foldMap**

```
def foldMap[A,M](f : A => M)
                 (xs : List[A])
                 (ma : Monoid[M]) : M = {
  xs.foldLeft(ma.empty)
    ((x : M, y : A) => ma.mappend(x, f(y)))
}
```

```
scala> foldMap((x : Int) => x)
        (List(1,2,3,4,5))
        (IntMonoid)

res1: Int = 15
```



# Traits as ad-hoc polymorphism (with implicits) (III)

- ▶ Its a bit cumbersome to pass all around the instance for `Monoid[Int]`...
- ▶ Scala allows us to declare some parameters as **implicit**

```
implicit object IntMonoid extends Monoid[Int] ...
```

```
def foldMap[A,M](f : A => M)  
  (xs : List[A])  
  (implicit ma : Monoid[M]) : M = ...
```

```
scala> foldMap((x : Int) => x)(List(1,2,3,4,5))  
res1: Int = 15
```



# Traits as ad-hoc polymorphism (with implicits) (IV)

- ▶ The compiler is in charge of figuring out the correct implementation for an **implicit** declared argument.
- ▶ If there are several that match the required type then apply some rules of preference. Or in the extreme case raises an error.
- ▶ Moreless works like Haskell class resolution (+ OverloadedInstances).
- ▶ But is nice that instances are first class objects because we can **explicitly** pass them as arguments.



# Traits as ad-hoc polymorphism (with implicits) (V)

```
object ProdIntMonoid extends Monoid[Int] ...  
implicit object PlusIntMonoid extends Monoid[Int] ...
```

```
def foldMap[A,M](f : A => M)  
    (xs : List[A])  
    (implicit ma : Monoid[M]) : M = ...
```

```
scala> foldMap((x : Int) => x)(List(1,2,3,4,5))  
res1: Int = 15
```

```
scala> foldMap((x : Int) => x)(List(1,2,3,4,5))  
    (ProdIntMonoid)  
res2: Int = 120
```



# Traits as Generalized Algebraic Datatypes (GADTs)

- With a combination of **case classes** and **traits** we can easily implement (Generalized) ADT.

(Well typed) Expression language

```
sealed trait Expr[A]

case class Val[A](x : A) extends Expr[A]
case class Add(x : Expr[Int], y : Expr[Int])
    extends Expr[Int]
case class If[A](c : Expr[Boolean])
    (x : Expr[A], y : Expr[A])
    extends Expr[A]
```





## Traits as GADTs (II)

```
def eval[A](e : Expr[A]) : A = e match {  
  case Val(x) => x;  
  case Add(x,y) => eval(x) + eval(y)  
  case If(c)(x,y) =>  
    if (eval(c)) eval(x) else eval(y);  
}
```

```
scala> val ex1 =  
  | If(Val(true),Add(Val(1),Val(1)),Val(0))  
ex1: If[Int] =  
  | If(Val(true),Add(Val(1),Val(1)),Val(0))
```

```
scala> eval(ex1)  
res1: Int = 2
```



# Traits and Higher-Kinded types

- ▶ Higher kinded types are types that take types as arguments
- ▶ For example in Haskell the type `Maybe :: * -> *`
- ▶ Scala supports Higher-kinded types

```
trait Functor[F[_]] {  
  def fmap[A,B](f : A => B)(F[A]) : F[B]  
}
```

- ▶ However, the support for type inference is somewhat limited and many times it cannot correctly typecheck the program.



# Abstract type members

```
trait AbsCell {  
  type T  
  val init : T  
  var value : T = init  
  def get() : T = value  
  def set(x : T) : Unit = value = x  
}  
  
scala> val mc = new AbsCell { type T = Int;  
                               val init = 0 }  
mc: AbsCell{type T = Int} = $anon$1@7989d46  
  
scala> mc.set(99)  
  
scala> mc.get()  
res26: mc.T = 99
```



# Path-dependent types

```
def resetCell(cell : AbsCell) = {  
  cell.set(cell.init)  
}
```

- ▶ Is this well typed?
- ▶ The expression `cell.init` has type `cell.T`
- ▶ The method `cell.set` has type `cell.T => Unit`
- ▶ `cell.T` is an example of a path-dependent type



# Intermezzo: Implicit classes

- ▶ Scala also supports the definition of a class to be **implicit**.
- ▶ This makes the methods defined in the class to be available without ever instantiating the class explicitly.
- ▶ Of course, there are severe restrictions on how this classes are defined.

```
implicit class Incr(x : Int) {  
  def incr = x + 1  
}  
implicit class Decr(x : Int) {  
  def decr = x - 1  
}  
scala> 1.incr.incr.incr.decr  
res1: Int = 3
```



## Intermezzo: Implicit classes (II)

- We can even add type parameters to the class so it works out of the box for any type.

```
implicit class Print[A](x : A) {  
  def print = println(x)  
}
```

```
scala> ((x : Int) => 1).print  
$line123.$read$$iw$$iw$$iw$$$Lambda$2891/...
```

```
scala> true.print  
true
```



# Functional programming in Scala

- ▶ As we've seen before, Scala is defined as being a functional programming language.
- ▶ However, the core of the language are not functions but **Traits**
- ▶ While the approach of OCaml to OO+FP is to introduce an Object system on top of the core  $\lambda$ -**calculus**
- ▶ In Scala the approach is the oposite. Everything is an **Object**.



# Functions as Objects

- ▶ In fact function values are treated as objects in Scala
- ▶ The function type  $A \Rightarrow B$  is just an abbreviation for the class `scala.Function1[A,B]`, which is roughly defined as follows.

```
trait Function1[A,B]{  
  def apply(x : A) : B  
}
```

So functions are object that implement such **Trait** with `apply`

There are also traits `Function2`, `Function3`, ... for functions which take more parameters (Currently up to 22)





# Functions as Objects (II)

- ▶ So are function values?
- ▶ Every object is a value in Scala, and functions are objects so ...
- ▶ But how about methods, are they values?
- ▶ Not really.

```
def id[A](x : A):A = x
```

- ▶ But we can turn any method into a function value using `_` (underscore) in the argument positions.

```
scala> id _
```



# Functions as Objects (III)

```
scala> (id _)(1)
<console>:19: error: type mismatch;
 found   : Int(1)
 required: Nothing
      (id _)(1)
              ^
```

- What just happened?

```
scala> id _
res1: Nothing => Nothing = $$Lambda$2921/351794524
```

- Suspicious ...



## Functions as Objects (IV)

- ▶ We need to actually provide the type of the parameter explicitly, because during the conversion to a function **Trait** Scala can't figure out the type parameters (they need to be concrete!).
- ▶ Now better.

```
scala> (id[String] _)("Hello")  
res1: String = "Hello"
```



# Intermezzo: Apply method and Companion object

- ▶ Any class or trait can implement a method apply.
- ▶ And use it as if it was a function call (is just syntax sugar).

```
trait Dummy {  
  val value : Int  
}  
  
object Dummy {  
  class DummyImpl(x:Int) extends Dummy  
    { val value = x }  
  def apply(x : Int) = new DummyImpl(x)  
}
```

```
scala> val d = Dummy(1)  
d: Dummy.DummyImpl = Dummy$DummyImpl@71bce710
```



# Expansion of Function values

An anonymous function such as

```
(x : Int) => x * x
```

is expanded to

```
{class AnonFun extends Function1[Int,Int] {  
    def apply(x : Int) = x * x  
}  
new AnonFun()}
```

or, shorter, using anonymous class syntax:

```
new Function1[Int,Int]{  
    def apply(x : Int) = x * x  
}
```



# Expansion of Function Calls

A function call, such as  $f(a,b)$ , where  $f$  is a value of some class type, is expanded to

`f.apply(a,b)`

So the OO-translation of

```
val f = (x : int) => x * x  
f(7)
```

would be

```
val f = new Function[Int,Int]{  
  def apply(x : Int) = x * x  
}  
f.apply(7)
```



# Functions and Methods

Note that a method such as

```
def f(x : Int) : Boolean = ???
```

is not itself a function value.

But if `f` is used in a place where a Function type is expected, it is converted automatically to the function value

```
(x : Int) => f(x)
```

or, expanded

```
new Function1[Int, Boolean]{  
  def apply(x : Int) = f(x)  
}
```



How does Scala evaluate expressions?

- ▶ Strict
- ▶ Lazy





# Dynamic semantics - example

```
def cyclicList(x:Int): List[Int] = {  
  x :: cyclicList(x-1)  
}
```

```
scala> cyclicList(Int.MaxValue)  
java.lang.StackOverflowError  
at .cyclicList(<console>:11)
```



# Dynamic semantics - example

```
def cyclicStream(x:Int): Stream[Int] = {  
  x #:: cyclicStream(x-1)  
}
```

```
scala> cyclicStream(Int.MaxValue)  
res1: Stream[Int] = Stream(2147483647, ?)
```



# Dynamic semantics

```
def CallByValue(x: Int) = {  
  println("x1=" + x)  
  println("x2=" + x)  
}  
  
def callByName(x: => Int) = {  
  println("x1=" + x)  
  println("x2=" + x)  
}  
  
def zeroArityFunction(x: () => Int) = {  
  println("x1=" + x())  
  println("x2=" + x())  
}
```



# Dynamic semantics

```
def something() : Int = {  
  println("calling something")  
  1  
}
```



# Dynamic semantics - Call by value

```
def something() : Int = {  
  println("calling something")  
  1  
}  
  
def CallByValue(x: Int) = {  
  println("x1=" + x)  
  println("x2=" + x)  
}  
  
scala> CallByValue(something())  
calling something  
x1=1  
x2=1
```



# Dynamic semantics - Call by name

```
def something() : Int = {  
  println("calling something")  
  1  
}  
  
def callByName(x: => Int) = {  
  println("x1=" + x)  
  println("x2=" + x)  
}  
  
scala> callByName(something())  
...
```



# Dynamic semantics - Call by name

```
def something() : Int = {  
  println("calling something")  
  1  
}  
  
def callByName(x: => Int) = {  
  println("x1=" + x)  
  println("x2=" + x)  
}  
  
scala> callByName(something())  
calling something  
x1=1  
calling something  
x2=1
```



# Dynamic semantics - 0-arity-function

```
def something() : Int = {  
  println("calling something")  
  1  
}  
  
def zeroArityFunction(x: () => Int) = {  
  println("x1=" + x())  
  println("x2=" + x())  
}  
  
scala> zeroArityFunction(something())  
<console>:14: error: type mismatch;  
found    : Int  
required: () => Int
```





# Dynamic semantics - 0-arity-function

```
def something() : Int = {  
  println("calling something")  
  1  
}  
  
def zeroArityFunction(x: () => Int) = {  
  println("x1=" + x())  
  println("x2=" + x())  
}  
  
scala> zeroArityFunction(() => something())  
...
```



# Dynamic semantics - 0-arity-function

```
def something() : Int = {  
  println("calling something")  
  1  
}  
  
def zeroArityFunction(x: () => Int) = {  
  println("x1=" + x())  
  println("x2=" + x())  
}  
  
scala> zeroArityFunction(() => something())  
calling something  
x1=1  
calling something  
x2=1
```



# Dynamic semantics - Lazy keyword

```
scala> lazy val number1 = {  
  | println("I am a number ");  
  | 13  
  | }  
number1: Int = <lazy>
```

```
scala> val number2 = {  
  | println("I am a number: ");  
  | 20  
  | }  
I am a number:  
number2: Int = 20
```



# Dynamic semantics - Lazy keyword

```
scala> lazy val number1 = {  
    | println("I am a number "); 13}  
number1: Int = <lazy>
```

```
scala> number1  
I am a number  
res0: Int = 13
```

```
scala> number1  
...
```



# Dynamic semantics - Lazy keyword

```
scala> lazy val number1 = {  
    | println("I am a number "); 13 }  
number1: Int = <lazy>
```

```
scala> number1  
I am a number  
res0: Int = 13
```

```
scala> number1  
res2: Int = 13
```



# Dynamic semantics - Lazy keyword

```
scala> lazy val number1 = {  
    | println("I am a number ");  
    | 13  
    | }  
number1: Int = <lazy>
```

```
scala> val number2 = {  
    | println("I am a number: "); 20 }  
I am a number:  
number2: Int = 20
```

```
scala> number2  
res1: Int = 20
```



# Dynamic semantics - Lazy keyword

```
scala> lazy val number1 = {  
  | println("I am a number "); 13}  
number1: Int = <lazy>
```

```
scala> val number2 = {  
  | println("I am a number: "); 20}  
I am a number:  
number2: Int = 20
```

```
scala> number2  
res1: Int = 20
```

```
scala> number2  
res3: Int = 20
```



# Dynamic semantics - summary

- ▶ Call by value
- ▶ Call by name
- ▶ Call by need





# Recap

- ▶ Scala combines **O**bject **O**riented with **F**unctional.
- ▶ But its approach differs both from Java and Haskell quite a lot.
- ▶ It is a very interesting language.
- ▶ The different features we have shown are **composable** and allow to create awesome new patterns.
- ▶ Scala uses the Java Virtual Machine to execute the code.
- ▶ All standard libraries from Java are available.



Thank you!

Any questions?

