Matjhabeng Local Municipality

Communications register

Total	220
Closed	0
Withdrawn	3
Not issued	0
Resolved	57
Late response	47
Received	168
Overdue	9
Issued, not due	43



Communicati on #	iss	Description	Audit report matter	Management report matter	0	ate Issued	Date Due	Date Responded	Status	Was response received on time	Resolved, Not resolved or partially resolved	Auditors Response where not resolved Days Lat
1	2	(RESOLVED) Requested Information not submitted (General Planning)				25-Oct-19	01-Nov-19	04-Nov-19	Received	No	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
2	3	(RESOLVED) Requested Information relating to internal audit not submitted				25-Oct-19	01-Nov-19	01-Nov-19	Received	Yes	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
3	4	Annual Financial Statements not submitted within two months after financial year	Х	х		25-Oct-19	01-Nov-19	01-Nov-19	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management acknowledges the finding therefore the finding remains
4	5	(RESOLVED) Requested Information relating to Human Resource Management not submitted				25-Oct-19	01-Nov-19	01-Nov-19	Received	Yes	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
5	6	No Performance agreements and evaluations for employees below sec57		Х		25-Oct-19	01-Nov-19	01-Nov-19	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management acknowledges the finding therefore the finding remains
6	8	Requested information RFI 10 not submitted		х		25-Oct-19	01-Nov-19	01-Nov-19	Received	Yes	Partially resolved	Management did not submit ARMs contract
7	13	Requested information relating to consequence management not submitted		х		31-Oct-19	06-Nov-19	07-Nov-19	Received	no	Partially resolved	Management did not submit the following: - Any investigation report (for cases reported to SAPS).
8	10	(RESOLVED) Requested cash and bank not submitted					01-Nov-19	01-Nov-19	Received	Yes	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
9	11	AOPO Key performance indicators not defined	Х	Х		14-Nov-19		28-Nov-19	Received	no	Not resolved	Management requested a workshop with the Audit team
10	16	(RESOLVED) AOPO Limitation				04-Nov-19	11-Nov-19	07-Nov-19	Received	Yes	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
11	18	(RESOLVED) Key management qualifications and experience				04-Nov-19	11-Nov-19	12-Nov-19	Received	No	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
12	28	Declaration of interest -Audit committee		Х		14-Nov-19	20-Nov-19	17-Dec-19	Received	No	Not resolved	The declaration of interest for the other 3 audit committee members are still outstanding.
13	29	Declaration of interest - Section 57 Managers and council		х	1 1	14-Nov-19	20-Nov-19	18-Nov-19	Received	Yes	Partially	Council Declaration of interest for 2017/18 still outstanding
14	20	No disciplinary board committee for 201819		х		22-Nov-19			Received	No	Not resolved	Management agrees with the finding, its yet to appoint a disciplinary board committee
15	27		Х			22-Nov-19	27-Nov-19	28-Nov-19	Received	No	Not resolved	Management agrees with findings, adjusted AFS awaited.
16	14	High Level review of the annual financial statements Employee Costs - High level of Vacancy rates		х		25-Nov-19	02-Dec-19	10-Dec-19	Received	No	Not resolved	Management agrees with finding
17	19	(RESOLVED) Employee Cost There was no Performance agreement for the				25-Nov-19	02-Dec-19	28-Nov-19	Received	Yes	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
18	22	Municipal Manager and the CFO (RESOLVED) No Interview reports for the shortlisted people for interviews			 	25-Nov-19	02-Dec-19	03-Dec-19	Received	No	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
19	24	(RESOLVED) Employee costs Executive director position not re-advertised				25-Nov-19	02-Dec-19	03-Dec-19	Received	No	Resolved	Management submitted air the information Management submitted the information
20	30	Employee Costs there is no performance appraisals for s57 Employees		х		25-Nov-19	02-Dec-19	10-Dec-19	Received	No	Not resolved	Management agree with finding
21	25	Payables (non- compliance)		Х		25-Nov-19		02-Dec-19	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management agrees with finding Management responses was evaluated, all information was provided was assessed except for these internal control deficiencies:
22	1	Internal control deficiencies identified during planning		X		26-Nov-19	03-Dec-19	03-Dec-19	Received	No	Not resolved	1. The organisational structure has not been approved by Council. 2. The action plan of Matjhabeng Local Municipality was inadequate and it did not address the internal control deficiencies as identified in previous years by the AGSA and internal auditors ••• **EL.3.12**
23	9	(RESOLVED) Limitation of scope (Capital assets)				04-Dec-19	09-Dec-19	11-Dec-19	Received	No	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
24	32	(RESOLVED) Internal Audit function (Non-Compliance)					09-Dec-19	18-Dec-19	Received	No	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
25	43	(RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Contracted Services					09-Dec-19	11-Dec-19	Received	No	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
26	44	Information requested not submitted - General Expenses		х		04-Dec-19		12-Dec-19	Received	yes	Partially resolved	Auditor's conclusion Management did submit information subsequently. It should however be noted that there are still items outstanding.
27	45	Information requested not submitted - Repairs and Maintenance				04-Dec-19		11-Dec-19	Received	No	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
28	46	Information requested not submitted - Additional Contracted Services				04-Dec-19			Received	yes	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
29	47	Information requested not submitted - Data Requested		х		04-Dec-19			Received	No	Not resolved	Management did not submit the information on the RFI
30	42	Information requested not submitted - Consultants		х		04-Dec-19			Received	No	Not resolved	Management submitted all the information
31	34	(RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Employee Cost		l .		U4-Dec-19	оя-пес-19	09-Dec-19	Received	Yes	Resolved	Management submitted all the information

22		Information required not submitted. Betty Cook			1 104	Dan 40 L 00	00 Dec 40	10 Dec 10				Management agree with first an
32	26	Information requested not submitted - Petty Cash		Х	04	Dec-19 09	9-Dec-19	10-Dec-19	Received	No	Not resolved	Management agrees with finding
33	52	Information requested not submitted - Payables		Х	06-	Dec-19 10	0-Dec-19	11-Dec-19	Received	No	Not resolved	Management submitted all the information except for item 4 - Restatement journal amounting to R17 237 703
34	51	Information requested not submitted - Going Concern	+	X	06	Dec-19 10	0-Dec-19	12-Dec-19	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management did not submit the appropriate assessment
35	50	(RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - VAT			09-	Dec-19 12	2-Dec-19	17-Dec-19	Received	No	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
36	48	Subsequent Events not correctly disclosed		Х	09-	Dec-19 12	2-Dec-19	12-Dec-19	Pagaiyad	Voc	Not received	Management agrees with the finding therefore the finding remains. Management agrees with the finding therefore the finding remains.
	40								Received	Yes	Not resolved	We will wait for the adjusted financial statements to evaluate further.
37		Consultants Discrepancies identifed (Internal Control)		Х	09-	Dec-19 12	2-Dec-19	18-Dec-19				Management response received and assessed. The following was concluded:
												1.Management did not specify whether they agree or disagree. They indicated that they have not re-appointed HR consultants that were there in the prior year .
	49								Received	No	Not resolved	Management however did not indicate if they do have a policy in place for consultants. Matter therefore remains
												2.Management did not specify whether they agree or disagree. The response did not address the finding, Matter still remains.
20		(DECOLVED) Information requested not submitted. Deviations			1 17	Dec 10 00	00 Dec 40	10 Dec 10		Wee		
38	60	(RESOLVED)Information requested not submitted - Deviations Information requested not submitted - Competitive Bidding	x			Dec-19 20 Dec-19 06		19-Dec-19 19-Dec-19	Received	Yes	Resolved	Management submitted all the information We acknowledge receipt of management response and is responded to as follows:
00		- Submitted Stating	^		"	500 .0	JO GUII 20	10 200 10				The definition of the second o
												CHIPPA WASTE MANAGEMENT
												BULK WATER PURCHASES 10114471
												CHIPPA WASTE MANAGEMENT
												869 565,22 The cession agreement between Chippa Waste Management and F N Khosana Transport & Construction was inspected. Note is taken of bid 20/2015 which
												management indicates the cession relates to and we have confirmed that the award that was audited in prior years is that of Mphephetwa Trading and not of F N
												Khosana.
												Submitted as well is the file of FN Khosana which includes only the documents F N Khosana and the BEC and BAC minutes. This therefore places a limitation in the
											Partially	audit of the procurement process that was followed as information of the other bidders was not submitted. This matter is not resolved
	54								Received	Yes	resolved	ETSHO CIVILS
												C&PS: B&A PROJECT MANAGEMENT 10116899
												10110899 ETSHO CIVILS
												422 394,92
												Management has indicated that proper procurement processes were not followed for this appointment. However, no information was submitted to confirm procurement process followed. This matter therefore remains unresolved as the requested information was not submitted to audit the procurement process that was
												followed
												LITEGREEN
												C&PS: B&A BUSINESS & FIN MANAGEMENT
												10116916 LITEGREEN
			1 1		1 1							
			1 1									1 304 347,83
40	Several	Information requested not submitted - ISA		X	12-	Dec-19 18	8-Dec-19					
40 41	Several 53	Information requested not submitted - ISA Information requested not submitted - Government Grants		Х			8-Dec-19 06-Jan-20	18-Dec-19	Received	Yes	Resolved	1 304 347,83
		·		Х	18-	Dec-19 06		18-Dec-19 19-Dec-19	Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Resolved	1 304 347,83 The file of Litecreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this
41	53 57	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants		X	18· 18·	Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20		Received	Yes		1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information
41 42 43	53 57 55	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services			18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved	1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech
41 42 43 44	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals)		х	18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19	Received Received	Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved	1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects			18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved	1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client
41 42 43 44	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals)	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted,
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	X	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however; there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted,
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however; there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons Count of Account Number Entry refused 456
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however; there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons Count of Account Number
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Sill information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however; there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	1 304 347,83 The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however; there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference:
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	X	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however; there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality can safely remove the bees (eg: fumigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	X	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information. No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved. Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech. Management submitted the information. Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client. Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows. 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however; there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality can safely remove the bees (eg: fumigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	X	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however; there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality can safely remove the bees (eg: fumigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Litegreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality and adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality can safely remove the bees (eg: fumigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Flooded 1 814 Considered to be wi
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Litegreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality can safely remove the bees (eg. fumigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality to replace or fix the defective meters Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months.
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information. Management submitted all the information. No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved. Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech. Management submitted the information. Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client. Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows. 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons. Count of Account Number. Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number. Auditor's assessment. Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality can safely remove the bees (eg: furnigation process). Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters. Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Duplicat
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information. No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved. Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech. Management submitted the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech. Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons. Count of Account Number. Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment. Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality can safely remove the bees (eg: fumigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters. Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Flooded
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information. No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved. Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information except the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality and adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality can safely remove the bees (eg. fumigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Duplicate number 461 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Flooded 1814 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time durin
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	x	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information. Management submitted all the information was submitted. Thus placing a management submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted. Schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Properly locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality can safely remove the bees (eg. fumigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to obtain an actual reading subsequent to the flooding Leaking ali ya 36 Considered to be within the cont
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	X	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information. No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved. Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information except the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality and adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality can safely remove the bees (eg. fumigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Duplicate number 461 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Flooded 1814 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time durin
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	X	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Managements count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Flooded 1814 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to obtain an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Flooded 1814 Considered to be
41 42 43 44 45	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects	X	х	18 18 18 18 18	Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06 Dec-19 06	06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20 06-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	The file of Liteoreen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information. No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved. Management submitted the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech. Management submitted the information. Still information not submitted, schedule sent to client. Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows. 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Managements reasons. Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality and therefore the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality can safely remove the bees (eg: furnigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters Dogs 465 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Flooded 1814 Considered to be within the control
41 42 43 44 45 46	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects Revenue- Estimates provided not reliable Information requested not submitted - AOPO		х	18 18 18 18 07	Dec-19 0i	06-Jan-20 16-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 20-Jan-20 20-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved Not resolved	1 304 347,83 The file of Liverseen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved. Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Entry reflueed 456. No access 15 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Endower of the municipality to obtain an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaini
41 42 43 44 45 46	53 57 55 78 56	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects Revenue- Estimates provided not reliable	x	x	18 18 18 18 07	Dec-19 0i	06-Jan-20 16-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 20-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received Received Overdue	Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved	1 304 347.83 The file of Livereen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted sent to client Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Managements reasons Count of Account Number Entry refused 456 No access 57 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality. The municipality can safely remove the bees (eg: fumigation process) Defective 85 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Duplica
41 42 43 44 45 46	53 57 55 78 56 58	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects Revenue- Estimates provided not reliable Information requested not submitted - AOPO		x	18 18 18 18 07	Dec-19 0i	06-Jan-20 16-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 20-Jan-20 20-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received Received Overdue	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved Not resolved	1 304 347,83 The file of Liverseen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved. Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Entry reflueed 456. No access 15 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Endower of the municipality to obtain an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaini
41 42 43 44 45 46	53 57 55 78 56 58	Information requested not submitted - Government Grants Information requested not submitted - Quotation Information requested not submitted - Follow up on contracted services (RESOLVED) Information requested not submitted - Property Rates (Journals) Information requested not submitted - Key projects Revenue- Estimates provided not reliable Information requested not submitted - AOPO	X	x	18 18 18 18 07	Dec-19 00 Dec-19 00 Dec-19 00 Dec-19 00 Dec-19 00 Dec-19 00 Dec-19 01	06-Jan-20 16-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 106-Jan-20 20-Jan-20 20-Jan-20	19-Dec-19 20-Dec-19 20-Dec-19	Received Received Received Received Overdue	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Resolved Partially resolved Resolved Partially resolved Not resolved	1 304 347,83 The file of Liverseen Annasa JV was submitted. The BEC and BAC minutes were also submitted. No other procurement information was submitted. Thus placing a Management submitted all the information No formal response was received from management. However, the information was subsequently submitted to the office of the Auditor General. Therefore, this finding has been resolved. Management submitted all the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management submitted the information except the follow up on a moon and earth trading and projects, and Jager Tech Management provided the auditors with the response to the finding as above. These responses were evaluated as follows 1. Management indicated that readings for the following meters could not be obtained due to lack of entry to the respective premises or access not permitted, however, there is no clear indication of the reasonable steps management took to obtain the actual readings for the period of 12 months. The finding therefore remains. Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Entry reflueed 456. No access 15 Property locked 4 402 2. The following reasons provided by management for charging estimates were considered to be within the control of the municipality had adequate time during the 2018/19 financial year to obtain the actual meter readings and therefore the finding remains. The following bears reference: Management's reasons. Count of Account Number Auditor's assessment Bees 18 Considered to be within the control of the municipality as the there was a sufficient period of time during the 2018/19 financial year for the municipality to replace or fix the defective meters Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaining an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Endower of the municipality to obtain an actual reading for the respective premises over a period of 12 months. Dogs 455 The reason provided does not substantiate not obtaini

51											
	7	Audit Committee not functioning adequately.		X I	08-Jan-20	15-Jan-20	16-Jan-20	Received	No	Not resolved	Management agreed with the finding, therefore the finding remains.
52		Procurement competitive bidding process not followed			08-Jan-20	15-Jan-20	14-Jan-20				Matters from this finding will be addressed under CAF 39 as the issues included in this finding were responded to by management under CAF 39.
	84			1			1	Received	Yes	Resolved	
		Towns of south Assets and death and the self-of-divined and the self-of-south			07.1 00	00 5-1-00	44.5-1-00				This finding is therefore resolved.
53	85	Transport asset: Assets could not be verified (Limitation of scope)		_	27-Jan-20			Received	No	Resolved	Information was received, therefore the finding has been resolved.
54	86	Infrastructure assets: Asserts could not be verified (Limitation of scope)			27-Jan-20	03-Feb-20		Received	No	Resolved	Information was received, therefore the finding has been resolved.
55		Other movable assets: Assets could not be verified (Limitation of scope)	ļ		27-Jan-20	03-Feb-20	03-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	Information was received, therefore the finding has been resolved.
56	88	Infrastructure asset (additions): Asset could not be verified (Limitation of scope)			27-Jan-20	03-Feb-20	11-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	
57	89	Landfill site: Asset could not be verified (Limitation of scope)		 	27-Jan-20	03-Feb-20	03-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	
58	100	Information requested not submitted - employee cost - rental agreements		—	10-Jan-20	17-Jan-20	16-Jan-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	Information was received, therefore the finding has been resolved.
59	101	Information requested not submitted - Rental Agreements			10-Jan-20	17-Jan-20	16-Jan-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	Information was received, therefore the finding has been resolved.
60	PI	Property plant and equipment- Mmamahabane fire station was not secured and		X	22-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	1	Closed			Management did not respond to finding, finding closed.
61		vandalized Work in progress- Installation of zonal meter and valve: Delay of project and		+ , +	22-Jan-20	29-Jan-20		Closed			Management comments are noted and the project will be followed up in the new year to determine if completed and if contractors were not overpaid
01		advance payment made to contractors.		X	22 0011 20	25 0411 20	1	Cioseu			and the project will be roled and the project will be rollowed up in the new year to determine it completed and it contracted with not overplan
	PI			1			1				The finding will remain as an internal control deficiency
				1			1				ANNEXURE A
00		Fallerman Carllana TAO Carllana and o'llana	ļ	.	00 1 00	00 1 00		a			
62	PI	Follow up findings T16 findings and witpan		X	22-Jan-20	29-Jan-20		Closed			Management did not respond to finding, finding closed.
				1			1				Management response was received on the 03 February 2020. The auditors inspected procedure 14, paragraph 7.3.3 of the MFMA circular no 87 as indicated by management. Management should be advised that this procedure was derived from regulation 18(a) of the municipal supply chain management regulations, which
				1			1				states that A supply chain management policy must determine the procedure for the procurement of goods or services through written or verbal quotations or formal
				1			1				written price quotations, and must stipulate that all requirements in excess of R30 000 (VAT included) that are to be procured by means of formal written price
00			.,	1	00 1 00	00 1 00	00 1 00	Barrella d	NI.		quotations must, in addition to the requirements of regulation 17, be advertised for at least seven days on the website and an official notice board of the municipality
63	90	Procurement: Less than three quotes were obtained	Х	1	22-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	Received	No	Not resolved	or municipal entity.
				1			1				As a result, regulation 17c should have still been applied by management in an event that it was not possible to obtain three quotes, which indicates that reasons must be recorded and approved by the chief financial officer or an official designated by the chief financial officer.
				1			1				Therefore, this finding remains and will be reported on accordingly.
				1			1				The body and many contains and the body control of accordingly.
						L	 '				
64	112	(RESOLVED) Procurement: No declaration of interest was submitted by the winning			22-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	Received	No	Resolved	Management response received with information, therefore communication is resolved.
65		bidder Procurement: Limitation of scope. CSD compliance reports not submitted.	· ·		22-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	Received		Partially	Management did not submit all the information outstanding
	110	Trocuroment. Limitation of scope. CSD compilance reports not submitted.	Х		22-Jdf1-20	25"Jail-20	25-Jan-20	Received	No	resolved	managaman ana nat sutrini di dia di dinamatan na dia di dinamana na dia di dia di
66	110	Procurement: Limitation of scope-payment vouchers	х		22-Jan-20	29-Jan-20		Received	No	Partially	Some of the information has been received and there is information outstanding.
	113								NO	resolved	
67	92	(RESOLVED) Procurement: Quotes obtained from suppliers who are not registered		_	22-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	Received	No	Resolved	Management response received, therefore communication has been resolved.
60	+	on NT database			22 Jan 20	20 los 20	 '	Closed			No management company upg received on the 20 January 2020 which upge the drug date of this communication of qualit findings. Therefore, no further information
68	116	Procurement: Incorrect bidder selected	X	1	22-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	1	Closed			No management response was received on the 29 January 2020 which was the due date of this communication of audit findings. Therefore, no further information will be accepted and management should consider implementing the recommendations provided by the auditors. This finding has been closed.
				1			1				and accepted the management entered extracting the recommendations promoted by the detailed. The management entered
69		Property, Plant and equipment: WIP - Differences between the WIP register and		Х	24-Jan-20	31-Jan-20	03-Feb-20	Received			Management comments noted, For No. 1 and 3 the adjusted annual financial statements will be reviewed for the adjustments.
	109	amount disclosed on the annual financial statements		1 1			1		Yes	Not resolved	
				1			1				For No.2 the finding is on prior year adjustment on R1 232 013.00 and not on the R2 938 708.00 this finding will remain and will reported on the management report.
0		Venter fire station Projects RFI - Key Projects - Requested information not		 	24-Jan-20	31-Jan-20	03-Feb-20	Received			Management response has been received. Awaiting assessment from auditor
0	107	submitted		X	24-3411-20	31-Jan-20	03-1 60-20	Neceiveu	Yes		Indiagement response has been received. Awaring assessment from addition
71	126	VAT Receivable: Late Submissions of VAT returns		X	27-Jan-20	03-Feb-20	29-Jan-20	Received	No	Not resolved	Management response has received, management agrees with the finding. Therefore the finding remains.
72	129	Requested information not submitted (RFI 88 - Irregular expenditure)			27-Jan-20	03-Feb-20	31-Jan-20	Received	No	Resolved	Management submitted all the information.
73		Contracted Services journal - Requested information not submitted		Х	24-Jan-20	31-Jan-20	29-Jan-20	Received		Partially	Some of the information has been received, but however there is still information outstanding. 2 invoices of Hill McHArdy
	127			_ ^						resolved	
74	97	AoPO - Difference identified between APR and reports/register/list	Х		29-Jan-20	05-Feb-20	04-Feb-20	Received	No	Not resolved	Management response has been received and agreed to the finding. The matter will be reported on in the audit report.
75		Work in progress: Kutlwanong Outfall Sewer		Х	29-Jan-20	05-Feb-20	10-Feb-20	Received			Management comments are noted and the matter will be followed in the next year.
	PI			1			1		No	Not resolved	The finding will remain as internal control deficiency
				1			1				
76	400	Employee cost: Trade Payables - Salary Control Account Requested information		1	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	29-Jan-20	Received	NI.		
	128	not submitted							No	Resolved	
77	130	CAF No 77 of 2020 - VAT Receivable Limitation of scope on RFI 93			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	[Received	No	Not resolved	One payment is still outstanding (Flight Special)
78			Х	1				Descrived			Management representated Management has precided up with the fellowing information.
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll	X		30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			Management response noted, Management has provided us with the following information:
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			The qualifications and the CV of the valuer
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			The qualifications and the CV of the valuer
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			• The qualifications and the CV of the valuer • A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property.
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			• The qualifications and the CV of the valuer • A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property.
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			• The qualifications and the CV of the valuer • A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as:
		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received			The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are
	409	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	Mo	Partially	• The qualifications and the CV of the valuer • A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as:
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No		The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake.
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake.
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018.
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018.
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works.
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality for revalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be quotied to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Work's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works.
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality for revalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works, properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will
	108	Property rates Supplementary valuation roll			30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	06-Feb-20	Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Ngole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works, properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will
3		Property rates Supplementary valuation roll Employee related Costs. Overtime Payments Limitation of scope				06-Feb-20		Received	No	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Ngole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works, properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will
				x	30-Jan-20		12-Feb-20	Received		Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works, properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will
		Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		X	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received		Partially resolved	• The qualifications and the CV of the valuer • A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: • The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Ngole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Lethake. • On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. • The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Work's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will be reported in the auditor's report. The response from management has been analysed and concluded as follows:
		Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		x	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received		Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Ngole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Work's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will be reported in the auditor's report. The response from management has been analysed and concluded as follows: 1. Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication was provided that the necessary correction will be made. Although both votes relate to contracted
		Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		x	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received		Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Ngole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works. Therefore, no reliance can be placed on the supplementary roll related to the Department of Public Work's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will be reported in the auditor's report. The response from management has been analysed and concluded as follows: 1. Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication was provided that the necessary correction will be made. Although both votes relate to contracted services, this tr
		Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		х	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received		Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Ngole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the auditors, however included in a letter addressed to the
		Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		X	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received		Partially resolved Resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Ngole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Work's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will be reported in the auditor's report. The response from management has been analysed and concluded as follows: 1. Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication was provided that the necessary correction will be made. Although both
	131	Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		x	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Partially resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Ngole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Lethake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality from the Department of Public Works with a Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Work's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will be reported in the auditor's report. The response from management has been analysed and concluded as follows: 1. Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication was provided that the necessary correction will be
	131	Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		x	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Partially resolved Resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property retails its was being investigated by the Department of Public Works. Therefore, no reliance can be placed on the supplementary roll related to the Department of Public Work's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will be reported in the auditor's report. The response from management has been analysed and concluded as follows: 1. Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication
	131	Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		x	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Partially resolved Resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: *The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. *On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. *The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works discussed in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works instructed the valuers of the value in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works with the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works's properties and. In that case, the finding re
	131	Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		X	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Partially resolved Resolved	 The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the attention of the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and so that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Work's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will be reported in the auditor's report. The response from management has been analysed and concluded as follows: 1. Management acknowledged the finding; howev
	131	Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		x	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Partially resolved Resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: *The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Napide Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works, the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Letthake. *On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. *The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works discussed the valuation of the properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works in properties and also that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Work's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will be reported in the auditor's report. The response from management has been analysed and concluded as follows: 1. Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication was provi
0	131	Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		x	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Partially resolved Resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Lethlake. *On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. *The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality for evalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and so that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will be reported in the auditor's report. The response from management has been analysed and concluded as follows: 1. Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication was provided that the necessary cor
9 900	131	Employee related Costs Overtime Payments Limitation of scope		x	30-Jan-20	06-Feb-20	12-Feb-20 05-Feb-20	Received	Yes No	Partially resolved Resolved	The qualifications and the CV of the valuer A letter from the valuer stating that they prepared the supplementary roll of the Department of Public works' properties and the date in which the supplementary roll came into effective. However, we did not receive any evidence that indicates that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality to revalue its property. A correspondence between the Department of Public Works and the Municipality came to the auditors, where the Department of Public Works disputed the values. We identified other inconsistencies in the correspondence, such as: The details (qualification and CV) of the valuer submitted by management and the valuer included in the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the qualifications and CV of Mr Nqole Arther Lelosa and in the valuation report that was attached to the correspondence with the Department of Public Works are different. Management provided the valuer that signed off is Mr Andrew Lethlake. *On December 2018, the valuation of the property was not yet complete, however management indicated that the supplementary roll came into effect from 1 July 2018. *The auditors through enquiry with the Manager of Revenue at the municipality on the 13th of February 2020 were told that the Department of Public Works instructed the municipality for evalue its properties and evidence of that instruction was going to be provided to the auditors, however evidence included in a letter addressed to the municipality from the Department of Public Works gives indications that the Department of Public Works disputed the valuation of the properties and so that the property rates list was being investigated by the Department of Public Works's properties and. In that case, the finding remains and will be reported in the auditor's report. The response from management has been analysed and concluded as follows: 1. Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication was provided that the necessary cor

83		Payables: Eskom and Sedibeng accounts - Differences identified	Х		31	1-Jan-20 (07-Feb-20	07-Feb-20	Received			Management submitted the Eskom and Sedibeng reconciliations/workings for the year ended 30 June 2019. These schedules were evaluated and the following conclusions were reached: a) Sedibeng Account: Management should submit the Sedibeng statement after year end (July 2019) to the auditors that will reflect the true billing for the for period 27 June 2019-27 June 2019. This billing will enable the auditor to determine the billing for the period 27 June 2019-30 June 2019.
	98									yes	Not resolved	b) Eskom Account: It is management's responsibility to ensure that the statements that they receive and accept from Eskom is a true reflection of the balance outstanding. There is also no evidence that management has raised concerns with regards to the outstanding balances as per the Eskom statements. Based on the evaluations above, the finding remains and management should ensure that the appropriate supporting documentation is submitted to the auditors by 17th February 2020.
84	133	VAT Receivable: Input VAT claimed with an invalid invoice		Х		1-Jan-20		07-Feb-20		yes	Not resolved	Management acknowledged the finding. Inspected the invoice submitted by management and it could be confirmed that VAT was incorrectly. (Management used 13% to calculate VAT)
85		Expenditure (Legal services) - Incorrect classification	х		31	1-Jan-20 (07-Feb-20	10-Feb-20	Received		Partially resolved	1.Management disagreed with the finding. Inspected journal entry 1819June107 and confirmed that the entry was reallocated to insurance. The finding is therefore resolved 2.Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication was provided that the necessary correction will be made. Although both votes relate to contracted services, this transaction should be reallocated from the sub-line item "Legal Services" in note 35 as this is not a legal service transaction. This finding therefore remains. 3.Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication was provided that the necessary correction will be made. Although both votes relate to contracted services, this transaction should be reallocated from the sub-line item "Legal Services" in note 35 as this is not a legal service transaction. This finding therefore
	120									No		termains. 4.Management disagreed with the finding. Inspected the general ledger and confirmed that the entry was cancelled and therefore the effect will be Nii. This finding is therefore resolved. 5.Management disagreed with the finding. Inspected journal entry 1819June76 and confirmed that the transaction was reallocated. Therefore, the finding has been resolved. 6.Management disagreed with the finding. Management stated that the transaction was reallocated by means of journal entry 1819June100, however this journal was not provided. Followed up with Mothusi on 11/02/2020 who indicated that he would get back to me. The finding therefore remains. 7.Management acknowledged the finding; however, no indication was provided that the necessary correction will be made. Although both votes relate to contracted services, this transaction should be reallocated from the sub-line item "Legal Services" in note 35 as this is not a legal service transaction. This finding therefore remains.
								40.51.00				Projected error of R27 481 074.38 remains.
86 87	121	Expenditure (Legal services) - Limitation of scope Awards made to suppliers that are not tax compliant		х		1-Jan-20 (1-Jan-20 (07-Feb-20 07-Feb-20	10-Feb-20 10-Feb-20		No	Resolved	Management submitted all the information
				^								Management response is noted as received on the 10 February 2020 and the auditors would like to response as follows: Item 1 Motsewarona construction and maintenance The approval to appoint the supplier was done on the 25th January 2019 which is the date that the municipality noted that the supplier is not tax compliant. 7 working days ended on 5 February 2019 and the supplier was still non-tax compliant. The transaction went through and the official purchase order was made on the 7 February, the date which the supplier was still not tax compliant.
	148									No	Not resolved	Item 2 Sompena Trading Enterprise
												Management agrees with the finding and the matter will be considered accordingly
												Item 3 1st and 2nd correct civil and construction (PTY) LTD
												The purchase order went through without the approval. On the 20 September the order was created, the supplier was not tax compliant and no evidence of the tax compliance of the supplier was submitted to the auditors
88	118	Revenue Government grants and subsidies - Presentation and disclosure		х	03	3-Feb-20	10-Feb-20	07-Feb-20	Received	yes	Not resolved	Management did not obtain the required roll-over from the relevant treasury and at this stage the unspent portion has turn into a payable and management is required to pay back the money to the relevant treasury. Which means the money have moved from being a conditional grant to "amounts owed to treasury". The amount for equitable share was reduced by the unspent portion, however the unspent portion was already spent in the 2017/18 financial year and it cannot be recognised as revenue in the 2018/19 financial year.
89	WITHDRAWN								Withdrawn			
90 91	146	Limitation of Scope - Consumer Deposits Payables - Payments received in advance overstated		X			11-Feb-20 11-Feb-20	07-Feb-20 11-Feb-20	Received	yes	Partially	Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved Management's response has been noted. Management submitted a schedule showing a calculation of the debit balances amounting to R2 906 354.32 as indicated
31	136	1 ayables - 1 ayılıcılıs lecelved ili advalice oversialed		^		F1 60-20	11-1 60-20	114 60-20	Reverveu	yes	resolved	in the managements response. The auditors performed recalculations and arrived at the same conclusions as management. Debit balances amounting to R2 893 423.82 were identified by the auditor. Therefore, the finding is partially resolved. Management should investigate the debit balances amounting to R2 893 423.82.
92	135	Receivables from exchange transaction (Rental): Rental agreement not submitted	х		04	1-Feb-20	11-Feb-20	11-Feb-20	Received	yes	Not resolved	Auditor's conclusion Management response noted, Management partially agrees with the finding, Therefore the finding remains
93	150	Use of consultants - Information requested not submitted		х	04	1-Feb-20	11-Feb-20	10-Feb-20	Received	yes	Partially resolved	Management's response has been noted. Management submitted the following information after communication 93 was issued: -Amounts paid to consultants during the year under review. -Amounts included as a payable as at year end. -Appointment letters and service level agreements for consultants responsible financial reporting services. -The case number and affidavit with regard to the Solar system hacking reported.
												Management however failed to provide the auditors with the following information: -Appointment letters and service level agreements for consultants responsible IT related services (including MSCOA). Failure by management to submit the outstanding information will result in a limitation.
94	EA	Matjhabeng Communication of Audit Findings No. 94 - 2020 (Environmental Audit)		Х	04	1-Feb-20	11-Feb-20	11-Feb-20	Received	yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
95	155	Information requested not submitted - General Expenses (Follow up)	Х		04	1-Feb-20	11-Feb-20	11-Feb-20	Received	yes	Partially	Management did not submit all the information: Free State Provicial Government is still outstanding
96	152	Payables - Limitation of Scope	Х				11-Feb-20			yes	Not resolved	Management did not submit all the information (4 payments are still outstanding)
97	82	AoPO - Usefulness - Prior year figures and performance procedures	Х		05	5-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	05-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management response has been received and taking note of paragraph 2 of the management response, management agrees to the finding and it remains. On the second issue of measures taken to improve performance, the finding remains with the exception of BS 58 which was correctly quoted as an oversight on the part of auditors.
98	38	Expenditure - Difference between 2018 Restated Balance and Note 46	Х			5-Feb-20 5-Feb-20	12-Feb-20 12-Feb-20	11-Feb-20 10-Feb-20		yes	Not resolved	Management acknowledges the finding, auditor is waiting for the adjustments.
99 100	151 132	Payables (Completeness) - Limitation of Scope Property, plant and equipment Differences between asset register and the amounts		Х			12-Feb-20 12-Feb-20	10-Feb-20 12-Feb-20	Received Received	Yes Yes	Not resolved	Management acknowledges the finding, auditor is waiting for the adjusted asset register.
	132	on the annual financial statements								162		

101		VAT Receivable: VAT inputs and outputs in the VAT201 do not agree with amounts	v	1	1 0	5-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Pacaivad		Not resolved	Management response is noted	-
101		in the general ledger	Х	I		J-FeD-20	12-160-20	12-F60-20	Received		Not resolved	Management response is noted Through a discussion with Fezi consultant on the 17th February 2020 the following were some of the reasons provided for the differences in the GL and the VAT201:	U
		in the general leager										Though a discussion with real consultant on the Frin February 2020 the following were some on the reasons provided in the interences in the GL and the VAT201: Sedibeng Water transactions that were regarded as having being paid whilst they were not and input VAT being claimed and overstated	
												Sequency was the unisations in a were regarded as invaling being paid wints timely were not and injust var being claimed and overstated "Payments made through the bank being reconciled after the date of submission of the VAT201 "Payments made through the bank being reconciled after the date of submission of the VAT201	
												Payments made through the bank being reconciled after the date of submission of the VA1201	
												As there is usually stand above the supplies life, did not require sufficient a identification that the supplies in usually such as investor as contracts.	
												As these journals stand above the municipality did not provide sufficient evidence that supports these journals such as invoices or contracts. Furthermore, management has provided journals on VAT determination without support that corroborates what payments were made and received during the year	
												which enables us to assess:	
												VAT was correctly calculated at 15%.	
	149									Yes		2. VAT was correctly accounted for based example for standard rate supplies	
	149									165		VAT was correctly recognized for suppliers and payments made to registered VAT vendors.	
												VAT was correctly recorded for the payments made and received in the current financial year.	
												5. To determine the total amount including VAT	
												6. To recalculate and determine that VAT was accounted for correctly	
												Through considerations above the municipality does not have a properly management system of determining VAT accruals and of those accruals how much has	
												been paid.	
												This finding is therefore not resolved. The difference reported has a material impact.	
400		Dentel Agreements not signed by a least			+ +	5 Fab 00	40 F41 00	40 F-1-00	Descrived		Network	Till the restrict has been sized by the MM the restriction of the rest	
102	125	Rental Agreements not signed by a lessor		Х	05	5-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Till the contract has been signed by the MM, the contracts may still be seen to be invalid and not binding due to the fact that the other party to the	U
												contract had not signed. Therefore, the control finding remains and is not resolved.	
103	158	Roads infrastructure: No approved priority list		X	06	6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	13-Feb-20		Yes	Not resolved	Management agrees with the audit finding.	0
104	140	Procurement: disqualified bidders	Х		06	6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	Received	Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved	0
												Management's response has been noted.	12
												management o response has seen noted.	
1				I	1	I						The RFI for physical verification was sent on the 19th December 2019 and as a result of this, management had more than enough time to ensure that employees	
1				I	1	I						were contacted and availed themselves for verification purposes. However, the following employees did not avail themselves for verifications:	
				I	1	I						2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2	1
				I	1	I						New Appointed employee	1
				1	1							New Appointed employee No Intials & Sumame Employee Number	
				I	1	I						1 MOFOKENG DM 150488 2 MOLOI NN 150489	1
				I	1	I					Partially	2 MOLOI NN 150489 3 MASOKELA BF 150499	
105	171	Employee Cost - Employees not verified	Х	I	06	6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	Received	No	resolved	3 WAGONELA DE 130489	
											resorveu	O. The OMESSACION	
												2. The Old Employees	
												No Intials & Surrame Employee Number	
												1 THUTHELLO MH 110009	
												2 WH SMIT 110313	
												Therefore, based on the above mentioned, the finding is partially resolved and the error amount of the basic salary will be projected and reported on.	
400		Octobrated Combras Fellow Healthey treated of come			-	0.5-1-00	40 5-1-00	40 5-1-00				Manager and an all the first of	
106	170	Contracted Services Follow Up: Limitation of scope		Х			13-Feb-20	13-Feb-20		Yes	Not resolved	Management acknowldeged the finding	- 0
107	95	Deviations above R200 000 not in accordance with SCM regulation 36	X			6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20		Closed				0
108	169	Finance Cost Follow Up: Limitation of Scope			06	6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	10-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved		0
109	168	Repairs and Maintenance - Incorrect classification			06	6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved		0
110	167	Information requested not submitted - Repairs and Maintenance (Follow up)				6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved		0
											resolved		
111	166	Information requested not submitted - General Expenses (Follow up of prior year)			1 00	6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved		U
112	454	Investment Property: Municipal properties not identified on investment property			00	6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	Received	Vee	Decelved		
	154									Yes	Resolved		- 0
113	74	Expenditure - Payments not made within 30 days		X		6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	17-Feb-20	Received	No	Not resolved	Management acknowldeged the finding therefore the finding remains	4
114	157	Revenue (Service charges) - water and electricity (Cut off)		Х	06	6-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management response noted, auditors disagree, however upon making the reading the actual readings should be apportioned to the years in which the charges	0
										. 55		belong. Therefore, the finding is remains	
115	156	Payables from exchange transactions (Limitation)	Х			07-Feb-20	14-Feb-20		Closed				0
116	83	AoPO - Consistency between SDBIP and APR	Х			07-Feb-20	14-Feb-20		Closed				0
117		Land and buildings - Property in the name of Municipality not included in the		1		07-Feb-20	14-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	Received				0
'''	175	investment register or land and building register		1	1 1					Yes	Resolved		ĭ
118	176	Transport assets - Difference in condition		х	1 (07-Feb-20	14-Feb-20	13-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management acknowledges the finding therefore the finding remains	0
119	177	Investment Property - Difference in valuation amount	х			07-Feb-20	14-Feb-20		Closed				- 0
	1//	1 1	٨	 				40 E-1-00					0
120	178	Investment Property - Property identified which is not in the name of Municipality		I] []	07-Feb-20	14-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	■ I	0
404		Investment Departs, Home profit on the transition of the P		 	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	07 5-1-00	44 5-1-00	10 5-1-00	Deseived.	V			
121	179	Investment Property - Items could not be traced to valuation roll						12-Feb-20		Yes	Resolved		U
122		General Expenditure - Incorrect Classification		Х	1 11	11-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	Received		Not resolved	Management's response was noted.	0
	75			I						Yes		Note 36 to the Annual Financial Statements should be amended to correct the presentation and disclosure issue communicated to management.	
				I								This issue therefore remains.	
		0 15 11 0											
123	114	General Expenditure - Occurrence					18-Feb-20			Yes	Resolved		0
124	134	Remuneration of councillors		Х	1 1	11-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	12-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved		0
125		Contracted Services (Professional Services)		Х	1	11-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	Received			Managements response has been evaluated and concluded as follows:	0
		,,		1 ^	1	1						1. Performed a VAT vendor search on the VAT number per the invoice (4000142663) and confirmed that the invoice number on the tax invoice is not that of	
	405			I	1	l				V	Partially	Matjhabeng. This finding is therefore resolved	1
	105			I	1	l				Yes	resolved	2. A new invoice was submitted by management which agreed to the payment voucher. This invoice will not be accepted as the fees on the new invoice differs to	1
				I	1	l						that on the original submission. The impact of this finding is however below triviality and therefore will no longer be communicated	1
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u></u>								
126		Expenditure (Legal Services)	Х			11-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	17-Feb-20	Received		Not resolved	Managements response has been evaluated and concluded as follows:	0
i l			"	1	1								
i l				1	1							Management did not provide the payment voucher for the original invoice dated 06/08/2019 in order for us to corroborate that these were two separate valid	
i l	404			1	1					Vee		transactions.	
i l	124			1	1					Yes			
				1	1								
				I								l I	
				I								l I	
				T T	T			_			Not resolved	Managements response has been evaluated and concluded as follows:	1
1 1				I								Management has stated that these were payments made towards a court order settlement however the court order was not provided to corroborate managements	
1				I	1	l						response. Furthermore, management did not provide a breakdown of payments made towards the settlement in order for us to confirm the total payment and	
1				I	1	l						corroborate this to the settlement. Finding therefore remains.	1
127	164	Expenditure (Security) - Cut off	х	I		11-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	Received	No		i l	
			_ ^	1	1								l
				1	1								
				I	1							■ I	
				I	1								

128		Procurement - Limitation of scope (RFQ specific selection)	X			11-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	Received			Management response is noted. The information was subsequently received and audited accordingly. All matters addressed accept for the following:
												Item1: Carrus Information technology
												From the information that was now provided the following is still not evident and compliance can thus not be adequately considered.
												The municipality has demonstrated the benefits and discounts of participating in the contract. That the prices paid are the same as the original contract
												• The municipality did not procure beyond the scope of the original contract. The original contract was for a period of 36 months and came to an end in May 2018,
											Partially	whilst the municipality is still participating in the contract. The original appointment letter for the service provider did not specify a contract term.
	201									Yes	resolved	Due to the lack of adequate contract management processes we are not able to confirm whether the total payments made have exceeded the contract scope
											resorveu	Management has not provided adequate motivation as to why they participated in the contract rather than follow own procurement.
												The municipality does not have adequate processes in place to ensure that the contract was not identified as irregularly awarded by the originating state institution. Item3: Cliff 28
												Items: Culif 26 The information provided still does not support the deviation. The reasons are not given in the submission only approval so it is not possible to consider if the
												reasons meet the requirements for deviations. The non-compliance is thus considered confirmed as no reasons are provided.
129	204	Limitation of scope - declaration of interest for suppliers in service		Х		11-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	Received	Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
130	203	Information Requested not submitted - UIFW Investigations	x	^		11-Feb-20			Received	Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
131	202	Information Requested not submitted - Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure	_ ^	Х	 	11-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management agreed with the finding and we are waiting for the amended AFS to confirm whether Note 52 was corrected.
132	202	Procurement Deviations not reported to council	1	X	 	11-Feb-20			Received	163	Hot resolved	Management response is noted. Management response is noted.
102		1 Todardinon Deviations not reported to council		^		1110020	1010020	1010020	Received			management response to noted.
												Minutes of the MPAC meeting dated 31 October 2019 were not submitted and management 's comments could not be corroborated. Furthermore, there is no proof
	99						1			No	Not resolved	that the reports were ever prepared and tabled to council.
												Therefore, this finding is not resolved.
												Trouties, and maing is TRE ISSUITED.
133	104	Procurement Deviations : Approval	х			11-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	Received	Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved (0
134	138,142,144,145	Water Services Provision		Х		12-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	Received	Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved (0
				-			İ		Received		Not resolved	Sanitation Services: Planning and budgeting for sanitation infrastructure (
							1					1.1
1							1					Management agrees with the auditor's finding and no supporting documents were submitted to the auditors.
												The finding will remain, due to the following: - The Green Drop Improvement Plan of the municipality does not address the routine maintenance of sanitation infrastructure, e.g. funding, planning, backlogs etc.
												 I he Green Drop Improvement Plan of the municipality does not address the roune maintenance of sanitation infrastructure, e.g. funding, planning, backlogs etc. There is also no evidence that the plan was approved by the appropriate delegated official.
1							1					1.2
							1					Management agrees with the auditor's finding and no supporting documents were submitted to the auditors.
												The finding will remain, due to the following:
												- No link could be made between the Green Drop Improvement Plan and the condition assessments performed to determine if the assessments were performed in
												accordance with the Green Drop Improvement Plan. 1.3
												I.o Management disagrees with the finding.
							1					Management indicated that they submitted a Memorandum from Executive Director as proof, Communication to the Different Units to submit their data of
												assessments done and risk assessment documents.
135	106,198, 199	Sanitation Services		Х		11-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	18-Feb-20		Yes		However, no support was submitted to the auditors for evaluation.
												Thus, the finding remains due to the following:
												- The municipality did not provide the auditor with standard procedures in terms of which the condition assessments of sanitation infrastructure are done.
												Sanitation services: Evidence of licensing of waste water plants not provided
							1					Management's response has been noted. Management submitted WUL applications in response to CAF 94.
												The WUL applications were evaluated by the auditor and it was noted that the municipality made applications.
												The finding will remain, as the supporting documentation submitted to the auditors is not sufficient to provide evidence that the waste water plants of the municipality
												are licenced by Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) for the period under review.
												Sanitation Services: Environmental Policy does not address identification & monitoring of risk
												Management's response has been noted. Management agree with the auditor's findings.
												Also, no supporting documentation was submitted to the auditors for evaluation.
												The finding remains due to the following:
							1					- The Green Drop Improvement Plan of the municipality for the period under review (2018/19 financial year) does not address the identification and monitoring of environmental risks relating to waste water management.
												environmental risks relating to waste water management.
136	173	Expenditure (Professional Services) - Occurrence Limitation				12-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	
									Received			Auditor's conclusion (
												Through inspection of the contract submitted the occurrence of the transaction could be confirmed. Finding is therefore resolved.
							1					2. The contract has been submitted and evaluated, however due to the fact that the system is down, we were unable to confirm that reconnections and
												disconnections were performed. Finding therefore remains.
							1					The contract has been submitted and evaluated, however due to the fact that the system is down, we were unable to confirm that reconnections and disconnections were performed. Finding therefore remains.
107	160	Evpanditure (Mater Reading) - Occurrence Limitation		v		10 5-1-00	10.Eak 20	10 5-4 00		Voc	Partially	d. The contract has been submitted and evaluated, however due to the fact that the system is down, we were unable to confirm that reconnections and
137	160	Expenditure (Meter Reading) - Occurrence Limitation		Х		12-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	19-Feb-20		Yes	resolved	disconnections were performed. Finding therefore remains.
1							1					5. The contract has been submitted and evaluated, however due to the fact that the system is down, we were unable to confirm that reconnections and
												disconnections were performed. Finding therefore remains. 6 Per managements perspece management have striulated that the transaction is irregular, because this transaction has been disclosed as a contracted source.
												6. Per managements response management have stipulated that the transaction is irregular, however this transaction has been disclosed as a contracted service even though it is irregular. Finding therefore remains
1							1					even inough it is inegular. Finding interior tentains 7. Through inspection of the contract submitted the occurrence of the transaction could be confirmed. Finding is therefore resolved.
_			1 7		ı T		[Received			Auditor's conclusion
1							1					Managements response has been evaluated and concluded as follows: 1. Additional information (contract) has been submitted and evaluated. Finding is therefore resolved.
							1					Additional information (contract) has been submitted and evaluated. Finding is therefore resolved. Additional information (pictures) has been submitted. The information submitted has been evaluated and found to satisfactorily confirm the occurrence of the
							1					2. Adultional monimization (pictures) has been submitted. The minimization submitted has been evaluated and found to satisfactionly commit the occurrence of the transaction. Finding is therefore resolved
							1					3. No additional information was submitted to the auditors to confirm the occurrence of this transaction. Finding therefore remains
1							1					4. Additional information (timesheets) has been submitted. The information submitted has been evaluated and found to satisfactorily confirm the occurrence of the
							1					transaction. Finding is therefore resolved
											Partially	5. Additional information (court orders) has been submitted. The information submitted has been evaluated and found to satisfactorily confirm the occurrence of the transaction. Finding is therefore resolved
138	123	Expenditure (Legal Services) - Occurrence Limitation	X			12-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	19-Feb-20		Yes	resolved	transaction. Finding is therefore resolved 6. Additional information (court orders) has been submitted. The information submitted has been evaluated and found to satisfactorily confirm the occurrence of the
							1					Mountain monimization (count roters) has been submitted. The information submitted has been evaluated and round to satisfactionly commit the occurrence of the transaction. Finding is therefore resolved
1							1					7. The contract has been submitted the information submitted has been evaluated and found to satisfactorily confirm the occurrence of the transaction. Finding is
												therefore resolved 9. The contract has been submitted the information submitted has been such stated and found to extindentially confirm the occurrence of the transaction. Sinding is
												8. The contract has been submitted the information submitted has been evaluated and found to satisfactorily confirm the occurrence of the transaction. Finding is therefore resolved
												minima reserved
1												
					 							
1									Received			Managements response has been evaluated and concluded as follows: (Managements response has been evaluated and concluded as follows: (Management id not require for variety POPL01101141751 amounting to P2.478.360.87 and the timesheets submitted for variety POPL01101113505
												Management did not provide timesheets for voucher POR10110114751 amounting to R3 478 260.87 and the timesheets submitted for voucher POR10110113695 amounting to R1 304 347.83 did not correspond to the period stipulated on the invoices.
1							1					anisaning 6 11 00 10 11 00 did not concepting to the period disputation of the influence.
139	139	Expenditure (Security Services) - Occurrence Limitation	x			12-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	12-Feb-20		Yes	Not resolved	Furthermore, no valid contracts were submitted for the transaction listed above. Finding therefore remains.
												-
1												
					i 1		I	I				
												• I

140	174	Expenditure (Legal Services) - Discrepancies on VAT			12-Feb-20			Received	Yes	Resolved	
141	172	Expenditure (Professional Services) - Cut-off		x	12-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Managements response has been evaluated and concluded as follows: Management has stated that the incorrect information was submitted, however management did not provide the invoice of R3 050 000 that for which the payments were made as stipulated in the summary above. Finding will therefore remain.
142	212	Transport Assets - Additional Vehicle with difference in condition		Х	14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management's response has been noted, however the asset in question has been allocated for repairs for a period exceeding 1-2 years, therefore no economic benefits have been derived for a long period of time, contrary to management's response. The finding therefore remains.
143	207	VAT Receivable: Interest and Penalties incurred		х	14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	17-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management agrees with the finding and therefore finding remains.
144	20,	Receivables from non-exchange transactions - Interest not charged on overdue	х	^		21-Feb-20			100	Not resolved	Management response noted, however management need to give the auditors supporting evidence on the municipality not being allowed to charge interest on
	217	accounts							Yes		property rates. Therefore the finding remains pending evidence from management.
145	102	Procurement Declaration of interest (MBD4) Not submitted			14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	17-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	
146	103	Procurement Tax Status of suppliers awarded bids not confirmed	Χ		14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	Received	Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
147	188	Other movable assets: Assets could not be verified (Limitation of scope)		X	14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Partially resolved	Management response has been noted. All items could be successfully traced to the asset register, except one item as indicated below, of which management agrees with the finding: No Asset Scan Number Room Barcode Asset Category Description Condition (Good/Fair/ Poor/Broken) 5 4423 R00190(2) Equipment Starway (Air conditioner) Good .
148	200	Property, Plant and Equipment - WIP deficiencies in work in progress recognised	Х		14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20		Overdue			
	200										
149	208	Infrastructure assets: Existence of submerged assets		X	14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management response has been noted. The Klippan pump station has been operational since the 2013/2014 financial year and a contingent liability exists as a result of a court case between Matjhabeng Local Municipality and Oppenheimer Park Golf Club relating to the maintenance of the Witpan Sewer. Klippan has been overflowing since before the 2015/2016 financial year, therefore the pump station is not in a working condition. The finding therefore remains.
150		AOPO - limitation of scope	х		14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	Received			Management's response has been noted.
											The requested documents have been partially received. Refer to Annexure A for the list of documents which were not submitted with the above management response. The documents submitted were audited and the following misstatement relating to the completeness of the indicators were identified:
	209								Yes	Partially resolved	Details as per annual performance report Details as per supporting documents Performance indicator/ measure Actual Achievement as per Annual Performance Report Actual Achievement Attributable as per PPIM Framework Evidence Submitted Progress of Project Actual Achievement Attributable as per PPIM Framework Difference (Understatement) PPIM % Completed - Virginia: WWTP Sludge Management Phase 1 Project at retention 95 Completion Certificate dated 2018/07/04 Completed and handed over- no outstanding items 100 -5
								Received			Management response has been noted. All assets have been traced to the fixed asset register except one, which has been indicated by management as
151	213	Infrastructure assets could not be confirmed		х	14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	21-Feb-20		Yes	Partially resolved	nonexistent. The completeness of infrastructure assets could not be confirmed to a projected amount of R27 207 105. The finding therefore remains.
152	215	Contract Management- Limitation of Scope	х		14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	Received	Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
153	219	Revenue from exchange transactions (Service charges) - water and Electricity -			14-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	
154		Limitation of scope (Solar system Revenue - Conditional Grants - Transaction which did not meet the conditions of the	· ·		17 Feb 20	24-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	Pagained		Resolved	Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
154	218	grant.	x		17-Feb-20	24-760-20	25-Feb-20	Received	No		Suit assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
155	205	Rental of premises and facilities (Completeness)		X	17-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	19-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management's response has been noted. The updated population relating to rental of facilities should be submitted to the auditors for further audit considerations. It should also be noted that the figures in the management response are not in agreement with the rental register including billings (which agrees to the financial statement submitted for audit).
156	191	Trade and Payables: Insufficient support provided for journal entry		Х		24-Feb-20		Received	No	Not resolved	Management only provided a breakdown schedule of the items. Management did not provide support for the amounts obtained in the schedule.
157	190	Trade and Payables: No Proof of journal authorisation			17-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	18-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	
158	189	Trade and Payables: Limitation		х	17-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	22-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Partially resolved	Managements response has been evaluated and concluded as follows: 1. The prior year correcting journals have been submitted and it was found that the necessary adjustments were made. Finding is therefore resolved. 2. Management has stated that corrections for the following was made: 1. STALE VOTE 714 448,70 2. SELF INSUR RES: OPENING BALANCE 639 753,57 3. DEFAULT CASH VOTE 287 495,56 4. OVER/UNDER BANKING 9 998,45 5. COMMUNITY CAPACITY BUILDING 4 160,00 6. MAYORAL BURSARY ACTIVITY - 145 501,09 7. RECEIPT REVERSAL -205 924,05 However, through inspection of the current year creditors listing these balances were still present. Finding therefore remains 3. The prior year correcting journals have been submitted and it was found that the necessary adjustments were made. Finding is therefore resolved. Projected error of R2 427 555,44 therefore remains
159		Payments received in advance: Difference identified	Х		17-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	24-Feb-20	Received			Management's response has been noted. However, no supporting documentation has been submitted to the auditors for evaluation.
	180								Yes	Not resolved	Management need to provide the auditors with the appropriate supporting documentation for evaluation. This includes the journal to be passed to adjust the AFS, schedule of the workings management did to identify the nature and cause of the misstatement, etc. The finding will remain unresolved until management provide the auditors with the required support and the auditors are satisfied with the adjustments to be made.
160	159	Receivables from exchange transactions: Limitation of scope - Solar System	Х			24-Feb-20			Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
161	222	Consumer Deposit - Limitation of scope (Solar System)				25-Feb-20		Received	Yes	Resolved	
162	225	PPE: WIP Register		Х		25-Feb-20	23-Feb-20 24-Feb-20	Received	Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
163	221	Revenue from exchange - Limitation of Scope (Solar System)							Yes	Resolved	

The content of the													
1													
1													Management's comments noted.
Manual Continues Part													Auditors however do not agree.
Manual Continues Part													Item 1:
The content of the													Cheque 10114432 in the amount of R1 244 282.06 paid to Maritz Attorneys (Trust account) is for the payment of invoice 2268 dated 22/03/2018. Due to the fact that
No.													
No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1													
April 1995 The second of the content of a possible for the con													
April 1995 The second of the content of a possible for the con	164	165	Commitment not valued correctly		,		40 Feb 20	25 Eab 20	24 Fab 20	Pagaiyad	Voc	Matanashard	han 3:
April Apri	164	165	Commitment not valued correctly		×		18-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	
A Transfer of the Control of the C													inspection of the General Ledger for the 2018/19 financial year, these two cheques were the only cheques paid to Beka Schreder, except for cheque 10116546 in
1													
Column C													an amount of R2 oos 767.00 (Excr) was paid for this project, and this agrees to the reconculation performed by the auditors. This item is therefore not resolved.
1													
Management Man													Cheque 10119072 in the amount of R18 400.00 paid to Ess Equilibrium Safety Solutions is not included in the calculation due to the fact that Project Theronia
1													
The content of the													
The content of the													
The content of the	165	179	Investment Property: Items could not be traced to the valuation roll						24-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	
10													
March Marc									215122				
The content of the			·										
1			•									Not resolved	
Column C											163	Horresorveu	TRAINING OFFICE WITH THE HITMING DETECTION OF THE MINING STREET, STREE
Company Comp				<u> </u>	Х						Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
13 16 16 16 16 16 16 16				1								Partially	Management submitted the IDP review for the 2019/20 financial year.
The content of the												resolved	
The content of the	1												- Paragraph 5.2 of the plan highlights the backlogs in the provision of sanitation services relating to toilet use in households. It indicates that local government is
The contract of the contract	1	115									Yes		mandated to eradicate the bucket system and improve sanitation levels within the municipal area. The plan further details the percentage of households using the
Part	1	113									103		
1													
Page													
Page	17/		Procurement required number of quotations	-		 	18-Eab-20	25-Eah-20	25-Eob-20	Pacaivad			The SBD 4 use submitted and this part of the audit finding has been resolved. The change girls has been attached to the payment yourber. However, the date of
To Part Pa	174		Procurement required number of quotations	_ ^			10-гер-20	23-гер-20	25-Feb-20	Received			
The control of Parties Comments in the control of the Section of t											v		sundry payment thus there is no purchase order for it.
Part		232									Yes	Not resolved	
197 25 Substitution of the control of the con													
197 25 Substitution of the control of the con	475		To do and Brookles D'Warran habitation of the state of th				40 F.I. 00	05 5-1-00					
17	175	227	Trade and Payables: Differences between listing and supporting document		X		16-Feb-20	25-Feb-20		Overdue			
15					Х								
19			- · ·						05 5-1-00				
Management requested from the formation from the process of the growed in the grown to make the consequence transport of the grown of image the formation from the throught of the grown of the control from grown of image that the co									25-Feb-20		Yes	Not resolved	Management acknowledges the finding, waiting for the adjusted AFS. I herefore the finding remains
19 20 Work of the control of processor of pr	173	147							24-Feb-20			Not resolved	
Secretary Secr	180	220		^							Yes		
102 102 103 103 104 103 104 103 104 103 104 103 104 103 104 103 104													
102 102 103 103 104 103 104 103 104 103 104 103 104 103 104 103 104	181	153	Property, plant and equipment - Impairment losses	1	Х		18-Feb-20	25-Feb-20		Overdue			1
148 79 10 79 Non-complex on any information received freedom in not get macesed 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	182	187	Completeness of Commitments				18-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	21-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	
190				Х									
180					X				20-Feb-20		Yes		Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved
197 9 19 Impropose Clast Observations will absorate preprint to 1											 	 	
188 210				_ ^	v						1	1	
185 Processing Network Principle X				+								1	
19				х	<u> </u>							1	
193 193 Revenue Services Charges (Complements)					Х								
190 230 Revenue from service drauges (Water and Electronity) X 20 Feb-20 27 Feb-30 1 State (not due 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				Х					24-Feb-20		Yes	Not resolved	Management agrees with the finding. Therefore, the finding remains, awaiting adjusted AFS.
198				1								ļ	
196				 	X						<u> </u>	_	
Total Tota				<u> х</u>							-	1	
197 244 Fruites and Wastelli Expenditure Completeness X 20-Feb-20 27-Feb-20 18sued, not due 198 226 28-Feb-20 28-Feb			* ''	+							1	1	
198 226 Cash Flow Statement X					. ^						1	1	
198 226 Cash Flow Sistement X					X		20-Feb-201						
20 111 PPE - Application of the asset management policy X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 201 186 PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 202 40 Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 203 240 Provision of landfill sites X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 204 214 PPE - WIP X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 205 245 AFS, AFS, Annual Report X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 206 247 Contract Management-Umitation of Scope X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 207 193 Procurement awards to suppliers not tax compliant X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 208 2418 242 Consultants 209 248 PPE - Completed assets not transferred from WIP to completed assets X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 210 249 Procurement Deviation From WIP to completed assets X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 211 240 Prover with finding From WIP to completed assets X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 212 243 Revenue from Interest revenue X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 213 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 214 WITHDRAWN 214-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 215 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 216 WITHDRAWN 217-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 217 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 218 WITHDRAWN 218-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 219 Visit Management agrees with finding 219 Esset management agrees with finding 219 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 210 Sept-20 Sept-20 Issued, not due 211 Sept-20 Sept-20 Issued, not due 212 Visit Management agrees with finding 213 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 214 WITHDRAWN		244	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness		Х				25-Feb-20			Not resolved	
200		244	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness	х	Х				25-Feb-20		Yes	Not resolved	
201	198	244 226	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement	х	Х		21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20		Received			This issue therefore remains.
203 240 Provision of landfill sites X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 204 214 PPE - WIP X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Sisued, not due 205 245 AFS, APR, Annual Report X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28	198 199	244 226 246	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction : Limitation of Scope (Solar System)	х			21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20		Received Received			This issue therefore remains.
203 240 Provision of landfill sites X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due	198 199 200	244 226 246 111	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction : Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy	х	Х		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20		Received Received Issued, not due			This issue therefore remains.
204 214 PPE - WIP 205 245 AFS, APR, Annual Report 206 247 Contract Management: Limitation of Scope X 21-feb-20 28-feb-20 28-feb-20 25-feb-20 28-feb-20 25-feb-20 28-feb-20 28-feb-20 28-feb-20 28-feb-20 28-feb-20 28-feb-20 29 248 PPE - Completed assets not transferred from WIP to completed assets X 21-feb-20 28-feb-20 28-feb-2	198 199 200 201	244 226 246 111 186	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value		Х		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20		Received Received Issued, not due Issued, not due			This issue therefore remains.
205 245 AFS, APR, Annual Report X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28	198 199 200 201 202	244 226 246 111 186 40	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year		X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20		Received Received Issued, not due Issued, not due Issued, not due			This issue therefore remains.
206 247 Contract Management- Limitation of Scope X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 21-Feb-20 28-Fe	198 199 200 201 202 203	244 226 246 111 186 40 240	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year Provision of landfill sites	x	X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20		Received Received Issued, not due Issued, not due Issued, not due Issued, not due			This issue therefore remains.
208 2418 242 Consultants X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 25-Feb-20 Received Yes Still assessing the information received therefore it is not yet resolved 209 248 PPE - Completed assets not transferred from WIP to completed assets X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 210 249 Procurement Deviation X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 211 260 Prior Year Adjustements X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 212 243 Revenue from interest revenue X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 213 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 214 WITHDRAWN Withdrawn Withdrawn Withdrawn	198 199 200 201 202 203 204	244 226 246 111 186 40 240 214	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year Provision of landfill sites PPE - WIP	x	X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	Received Received Issued, not due	Yes	Resolved	This issue therefore remains. Management response noted, therefore the audit has resumed, therefore the finding will be resolved.
209 248 PPE - Completed assets not transferred from WIP to completed assets X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 210 249 Procurement Deviation X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 211 260 Prior Year Adjustments X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 212 243 Revenue from Interest revenue X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 213 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 214 WITHDRAWN WITHDRAWN Withdrawn Withdrawn	198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206	244 226 246 111 186 40 240 214 245	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year Provision of landfill sites PPE - WIP AFS, APR, Annual Report	x	X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	Received Received Issued, not due Received	Yes	Resolved	This issue therefore remains. Management response noted, therefore the audit has resumed, therefore the finding will be resolved.
210 249 Procurement Deviation X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 211 260 Prior Year Adjustements X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 212 243 Revenue from interest revenue X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 213 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 214 WITHDRAWN Withdrawn Withdrawn	198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207	244 226 246 111 186 40 240 214 245 247	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year Provision of landfill sites PPE - WIP AFS, APR, Annual Report Contract Management-Limitation of Scope Procurement awards to suppliers not tax compliant	X X X	X X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20	25-Feb-20 25-Feb-20	Received Issued, not due	Yes	Resolved	This issue therefore remains. Management response noted, therefore the audit has resumed, therefore the finding will be resolved. Management agrees with finding Management agrees with finding
211 260 Prior Year Adjustements X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 212 243 Revenue from interest revenue X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 213 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 214 WITHDRAWN Withdrawn Withdrawn	198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208	244 226 246 111 186 40 240 214 245 247 193 2418 2422	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year Provision of landfill sites PPE - WIP AFS, APR, Annual Report Contract Management- Limitation of Scope Procurement awards to suppliers not tax compliant Consultants	X X X	X X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20	25-Feb-20 25-Feb-20	Received Issued, not due Received Issued, not due Received	Yes	Resolved	This issue therefore remains. Management response noted, therefore the audit has resumed, therefore the finding will be resolved. Management agrees with finding Management agrees with finding
212 243 Revenue from interest revenue X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 213 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 214 WITHDRAWN Withdrawn	198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209	244 226 246 111 186 40 240 214 245 247 193 2418 242 248	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year Provision of landfill sites PPE - WIP AFS, APR, Annual Report Contract Management-Limitation of Scope Procurement awards to suppliers not tax compliant Consultants PPE - Completed assets not transferred from WIP to completed assets	X X X	X X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20	25-Feb-20 25-Feb-20	Received Received Issued, not due Received Issued, not due	Yes	Resolved	This issue therefore remains. Management response noted, therefore the audit has resumed, therefore the finding will be resolved. Management agrees with finding Management agrees with finding
213 Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall X 21-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 Issued, not due 214 WITHDRAWN Withdrawn Withdrawn	198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210	244 226 246 111 186 40 240 214 245 247 193 2418 248 249	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year Provision of landfill sites PPE - WIP AFS, APR, Annual Report Contract Management-Limitation of Scope Procurement awards to suppliers not tax compliant Consultants PPE - Completed assets not transferred from WIP to completed assets Procurement Deviation	X X X	X X X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20	25-Feb-20 25-Feb-20	Received Received Issued, not due Received Issued, not due	Yes	Resolved	This issue therefore remains. Management response noted, therefore the audit has resumed, therefore the finding will be resolved. Management agrees with finding Management agrees with finding
214 WITHDRAWN Withdrawn	198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211	244 226 246 111 186 40 240 241 245 247 193 2418 248 249	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year Provision of landfill sites PPE - WIP AFS, APR, Annual Report Contract Management- Limitation of Scope Procurement awards to suppliers not tax compliant Consultants PPE - Completed assets not transferred from WIP to completed assets Procurement Deviation Prior Year Adjustements	X X X	X X X X X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20	25-Feb-20 25-Feb-20	Received Received Issued, not due	Yes	Resolved	This issue therefore remains. Management response noted, therefore the audit has resumed, therefore the finding will be resolved. Management agrees with finding Management agrees with finding
215 96 Employee Costs : Discrepancies identified on 3rd party payments X 24-Feb-20 02-Mar-20 Issued, not due	198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212	244 226 246 111 186 40 240 241 245 247 193 2418 248 249	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year Provision of landfill sites PPE - WIP AFS, APR, Annual Report Contract Management- Limitation of Scope Procurement awards to suppliers not tax compliant Consultants PPE - Completed assets not transferred from WIP to completed assets Procurement Deviation Prior Year Adjustements Revenue from interest revenue	X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20	25-Feb-20 25-Feb-20	Received Issued, not due	Yes	Resolved	This issue therefore remains. Management response noted, therefore the audit has resumed, therefore the finding will be resolved. Management agrees with finding Management agrees with finding
	198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214	244 226 246 111 186 40 240 214 245 247 193 2418 242 248 249 260 243	Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Completeness Cash Flow Statement Receivables from exchange transaction: Limitation of Scope (Solar System) PPE - Application of the asset management policy PPE - Assets included in asset register at zero value Receivables from exchange transactions - Active meters not billed during the year Provision of landfill sites PPE - WIP AFS, APR, Annual Report Contract Management-Limitation of Scope Procurement awards to suppliers not tax compliant Consultants PPE - Completed assets not transferred from WIP to completed assets Procurement Deviation Prior Year Adjustements Revenue from interest revenue Audit Communication - Meloding New Hall	X X X	X X X X X X X X		21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20 21-Feb-20	28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20 28-Feb-20	25-Feb-20 25-Feb-20	Received Received Issued, not due Received Issued, not due	Yes	Resolved	This issue therefore remains. Management response noted, therefore the audit has resumed, therefore the finding will be resolved. Management agrees with finding Management agrees with finding

20													
231 Procurement functional or source decorations and quantition of support and support	216	250	Procurement: Regulation 12(3)	Х			24-Feb-20	02-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
23	217	137	Procurement: No SBD 4 Submitted	Х			24-Feb-20	02-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
231 233 Southward Definition on combined management X 244-90 24	218	251	Procurement: Limitation of scope (deviations and quotation)		Х		24-Feb-20	02-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
23 25	219	252	Procurement: Deviation Disclosures		Х		24-Feb-20	02-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
226 256 Control test Register Basiners disclosed in Nov 36 X 24 Feb 20 College 20 Status (not disclosed as Nov 20 Status (not	220	233	Procurement Deficiencies in contract management		Х		24-Feb-20	02-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
259 Projument Correct Proliphysis Regulators 32 X Professional State of Correct Proliphysis Regulators (1985) X Professional State of Correct Professional State of Correct Proliphysis Regulators (1985) X Professional State of Correct Professional S	221	235	Compliance HR -Staff establishment not approved by council				24-Feb-20	02-Mar-20	25-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Resolved	Duplication of 188,
254	222	256	Expenditure: Negative Balances disclosed on Note 36	Х			24-Feb-20	02-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
25	223	259	Procurement Contract Participation Regulation 32	Х			24-Feb-20	02-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	224	254	Trade Payables: Differences Between Creditors List and General Ledger		Х		24-Feb-20	02-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
25 Cash and cash equivalents. Differences between AFS amount and bank configurations of the configuration of the c	225	161	Contracted Services: Payments made without approval and/or verification of funds		Х		24-Feb-20	02-Mar-20	25-Feb-20	Received	Yes	Not resolved	Management agrees, therefore finding remains
1.00	226	257	Employee costs: Overtime payments differences identified		Х		25-Feb-20	03-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
28	227	255			Х		25-Feb-20	03-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
Secretary Control Co	228	261	Phomolong pump station: Fruitless and wasteful expenditure due to extension of time		Х		25-Feb-20	03-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
231 231 Payables from exchange transactors (Discrepencies identified)	229	263			Х		25-Feb-20	03-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
122 Sudget Statement	230	41	Employee Costs - Overtime compliance issues		Х		25-Feb-20	03-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
233 282 Control Management Control deficiencies X 25-Feb-20 03-Mar-20 Issued, not due	231	231	Payables from exchange transactions (Discrepencies identified)		Х		25-Feb-20	03-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
234	232	122	Budget Statement		Х		25-Feb-20	03-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
235	233	262	Control Management Control deficiencies		Х		25-Feb-20	03-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
236	234	235	HR Compliance - Staff establishment not approved by council		Х		25-Feb-20	03-Mar-20		Issued, not due			
237													
238													
239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 251 252						+ +	-			-	-		
240 ————————————————————————————————————						 							
242 ————————————————————————————————————													
243 ————————————————————————————————————	241												
244 ————————————————————————————————————													
245						 					-		
246 247 248 249 250 251 252						+ +					+		+
248 ————————————————————————————————————						 					1		1
249 250 251 252 252													
250						\vdash							
251 252						 					-		
252						+ +	-		-	-	+	-	
						+ +					<u> </u>		1
] 200	253					 					1		