

# Julia crash course

---

Mark Agerton

January 25, 2021

**Julia**

---

# Why Julia? Multiple dispatch

1. *Multiple dispatch*: functions do different things depending on the *types* of variables
  - Explanations
    - [https://www.juliaopt.org/meetings/santiago2019/slides/stefan\\_karpinski.pdf](https://www.juliaopt.org/meetings/santiago2019/slides/stefan_karpinski.pdf)
    - <https://arstechnica.com/science/2020/10/the-unreasonable-effectiveness-of-the-julia-programming-language/>
  - Class-based OOP
    - All methods hard-coded in to class from outset
    - $\implies$  hard to extend packages
    - New function?  $\implies$  rewrite data type
  - Functional language
    - Can add new functions... but new data types means rewriting existing functions
  - Multiple dispatch?
    - Old functions handle new ingredients
    - New functions handle old ingredients

# Why Julia? (con'td)

2. Super fast without special tweaks (vs Python, R)
  - Don't have to vectorize for performance (looking at you, MATLAB!)
  - Don't have to use Numba/Cython whatever you do in Python
  - Thanks, multiple dispatch!
3. Programming syntax is close to the mathematics
4. Automatic differentiation
5. Parallelism is as straightforward as it can be
6. Open-source ecosystem
  - Free
  - Easy to contribute via Github
7. Codebase is in Julia

## Why Julia (cont'd)

8. Can call R, Python, C, etc
9. Easy to do unit-testing, work with packages
10. Does functional programming (like Python or R's `purrr`)
11. Programming language JuMP for LP/NLP/Integer Prog
  - Like GAMS/AAMPL
  - Many backend solvers (Knitro/Ipopt/Gurobi/etc)
  - Automatic differentiation

# Why NOT Julia?

- Documentation is so-so
- Packages do get updated sometimes and break your code
- Slow to get to first plot b/c of compilation

# When to use Julia?

- Julia was designed for *scientific computing* first and foremost
- Use it when you have to do some serious DIY computation
- If there is a canned stats routine in R/Python/MATLAB, you should prob use it instead

# Things you need to know about Julia

- You can't delete variables from memory. Assign them a new value and let garbage collector take care of them
- Functions pass arguments by *reference*, not by *value*. (can't update scalars though)
- Naming convention: functions with names ending in ! modify first argument(s)

```
x = [1.0, 2.0, 3.0,]  
function f!(z::AbstractArray)  
    z[1] = 0.0  
end  
f!(x)  
x == [0.0, 2.0, 3.0]  # should be true
```



## Things you need to know about Julia (cont'd)

- Every variable has a *type*. There are hierarchies of types (recall numbers taxonomy). Functions can do different things depending on the types you pass to them (*multiple dispatch*)
- Types have sub-types: `Vector{Int}` vs `Vector{Float64}`
- We can *broadcast* any function or operator element-by-element by prefixing with dots

```
A = [1.0 2.0; 3.0 4.0]
```

```
B = [5.0 6.0; 7.0 8.0]
```

```
all(A*B .== A .* B)    # broadcast vs matrix multiplication
```

- We load in libraries of code (*packages* or *modules*) by writing the following (similar to `library(dplyr)` in R or `import numpy` in Python)

```
using Plots
```

# Julia references

- Julia documentation: [Julia vs MATLAB, R Syntax](#)
- QuantEcon [Julia Lectures](#). Skim through lectures 1 & 2 from the QuantEcon Julia lectures to see how to install Julia and get started. Nice macro/DP lectures.
- [Julia Cheat Sheet](#)
  - See also MATLAB/Python/Julia translation:  
<https://cheatsheets.quantecon.org/>
- *Think Julia* book (also available via [UCD Library](#) after authentication) is good for getting started.
- *Hands-On Design Patterns and Best Practices with Julia* book has more advanced programming concepts
- Jesús Fernández-Villaverde's [Chapter on Julia](#) and [list of Julia commands](#)

# Jupyter notebooks/Pluto

- Can use Jupyter notebooks like Python does
  - similar to browser-based Rmarkdown, but less klutzy with the cells
  - Handles JuMP
- I MUCH prefer Julia's `Pluto.jl`
  - Trackable in Git
  - No hidden state!
    - Create `x=1`
    - Run some code that knows about `z = f(x)`
    - And then rebind `x` to `x=2`
    - `z` gets update
    - Results NEVER depend on the order you ran each bit of code (as it does interactively)





# Julia for package development

- Idea
  - put functions inside a *module* (package)
  - build up functions based on tests I work through
  - Have Julia watch for changes in module using [Revise.jl](#)
- Generate a new package
  - In REPL, change to dev (development) directory:

```
;cd C:\Users\<myusername>\.julia\dev  
;cd /Users/<myusername>/.julia/dev
```
  - Generate a package

```
]generate Homework06
```
  - Make sure to `]dev` or `]add Homework06`
  - `cd` into Homework06 and `]activate .`
  - add packages to update `Project.toml`

## Then, in Atom VS Code

- Add `rcmn1` dir to project folder
- Develop code in `src/rcmn1.jl`
- Run tests in `test/runtests.jl`
- Can add using `Revise` before loading `rcmn1` to have Julia pick up updates
- Also, do automatic testing `]test rcmn1`
- See [Revise.jl based workflows](#)

# Random coef multinomial logit

Have panel with individual  $i$ , time  $t$ , choice  $k = 0, \dots, 2$ .

Utilities of choices are

$$u_{itk} = \begin{cases} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ x_{it}^\top \beta_k + e_{ik} & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$e_i \sim N(0, \Sigma) \qquad \epsilon_{itk} \sim iid \text{ T1EV}$$

Individual solves  $V_{it} = \max_k \{u_{itk} + \epsilon_{itk}\}$



# Likelihood conditional on random effect

Likelihood conditional on  $X_i, e_i$

$$\log L_i(y_i|X_i, v_i) = \sum_t \left( u_{itk} - \log \sum_k \exp\{u_{itk}\} \right)$$

## Integrating out $e_i$

Integrate out  $e_i$  using Gauss-Hermite Quadrature

G-H designed for  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-x^2} G(x) dx$

Need to use Cholesky decomposition of  $\Sigma = LL^\top$

If we use  $J$  integration points for  $v_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  where  $k = 2$ , need to compute

$$\int \text{Lik}(e) dF(e; \Sigma) \approx \pi^{-k/2} \sum_{i=1}^J \sum_{j=1}^J \omega_i \omega_j \text{Lik}(\sqrt{2} L v_{ij} + \mu)$$

where  $v_{ij} = [\text{node}_i, \text{node}_j]^\top$

## Thus, simulated likelihood is

Compute simulated log likelihood as

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \log \left( \sum_j \omega_j \text{Lik}(y_i | X_i, v_j) \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \log \sum_j \exp \{ \log(\omega_j) + \log L(y_i | X_i, v_j) \}$$

$$u_{itk} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \mathbf{x}_{it}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}_k + e_{ik} & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

Likelihood conditional on shock  $e_i$

$$\log L_i(y_i | X_i, e_i) = \sum_t \left( u_{itk} - \log \sum_k \exp\{u_{itk}\} \right)$$

SLL is

$$\log L(data) = \sum_i \frac{1}{\pi} \log \sum_j \exp \left\{ \log \omega_j + \log L(y_i | X_i, \sqrt{2} L v_j) \right\}$$

where  $\omega$  is quadrature weight and  $v_j \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is the node