


Identifying behaviorally-relevant dimensions for causal validation of circuit mechanisms



Jiayi Zhang¹, Tatiana A. Engel²

¹Lewis-Sigler Institute of Integrative Genomics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

²Princeton Neuroscience Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA



Lewis-Sigler Institute
for Integrative Genomics




National Institutes of Health
The BRAIN Initiative®

Most updated
poster version

Motivations

- Model replicates data
- Model guides design of photostimulation
- **Optogenetics** enables unprecedented precisions for **observing and perturbing neural circuits in actions**.
- **Designing perturbations** that test current theories or enhance insights into circuit mechanisms **remains an open challenge**¹.
- RNNs that replicate observed neural dynamics might serve as “**digital twins**” of brain circuits².
- **Can these digital twins help with designing effective perturbation patterns for causal validation of circuit mechanisms?**


Twins cannot predict random perturbations



Dream:
large effect size; accurate predictions


Reality:
large effect size;
inaccurate predictions

- **Ground-truth (GT)**: 200-node RNN, trained to perform delayed decision-making task in Daie et al., 2021¹.
- **Twins (500 replicates)**: 200-node RNNs, trained on the same task as GT and required to replicate GT neural dynamics.
- **Paired (random) perturbations**: inject the same (out-of-distribution) stimulus to twins and GT; measure R² between twin and GT trajectories.





Likely cause: Dim. of data << Dim. of observed units. **Low-D data cannot sufficiently constrain the full connectivity** of twin models.

Fitting models of varying dimensionality




Data uniquely constrain RNNs in low-D latent space



x-axis: $R^2(\text{GT before \& after perturbation})$
y-axis: $R^2(\text{GT vs. Twin after perturbation})$

- (Top) Twins can predict GT trajectories with high accuracies when perturbations are directed along the latent directions!
- (Left) All twins harbor the same low-D latent structure, despite their discrepancies with the GT in the full space.

Latent circuit inference



- **Latent circuits (100 replicates, size 4-10 nodes)**: small RNN, trained on the same task as GT and generates neural dynamics x . An orthonormal embedding matrix Q is learnt simultaneously Qx approximates y .

Under successful inference, low-D connectivity is a latent structure within the full connectivity.

$$Q^T W_{\text{rec}} Q \sim w_{\text{rec}}$$

Conclusions

- Low-dimensional data **do not uniquely constrain** high-dimensional RNNs, which undermines their interpretability and causal predictive power.
- Trained RNNs connectivity harbors **unique low-dimensional structure**, which offers maximal predictive power for guiding perturbations.

References

- 1.Diae, K., Svoboda, K., & Druckmann, S. (2021). Targeted photostimulation uncovers circuit motifs supporting short-term memory. *Nature neuroscience*, 24(2), 259-265.
- 2.Finkelstein, A., Fontolan, L., Economo, M. N., Li, N., Romani, S., & Svoboda, K. (2021). Attractor dynamics gate cortical information flow during decision-making. *Nature neuroscience*, 24(6), 843-850.
- 3.Langdon, C., & Engel, T. A. (2022). Latent circuit inference from heterogeneous neural responses during cognitive tasks. *BioRxiv*, 2022-01.