1a) Equilibrium factors of the population purchasing the good

Given:

$$R(x) = 1-x$$

$$F(z) = 4z$$

Price p = 0.75

Reservation price for consumer x: r(x)f(z)=(1-x)(4z). At equilibrium, x=z, so:

$$(1-z)(4z)=0.75$$

Expand and simplify:

$$4z - 4z^2 = 0.75$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $4z^2 - 4z + 0.75 = 0$ 

Divide by 4:

$$z^2 - z + 0.1875 = 0$$

Solve the quadratic equation:

$$z=rac{-(-1)\pm\sqrt{(-1)^2-4(1)(0.1875)}}{2(1)}=rac{1\pm\sqrt{0.25}}{2}$$
  $z=rac{1\pm0.5}{2}$ 

Thus:

$$z = 0.75$$
 or  $z = 0.25$ 

**Answer**: z = 0.25 and z = 0.75.

# b) stability of equilibria

To determine stability:

- z=0.25: If z increases slightly, f(z)=4z>0.75, leading to further adoption. z=0.25 is unstable.
- z=0.75: If z decreases slightly, f(z)<0.75, leading to fewer users. z=0.75 is stable.

Answer: z=0.75 is stable; z=0.25 is unstable.

c) trend if z = 0.3 initially

At z = 0.3:

$$f(z) = 4z = 4(0.3) = 1.2$$
 (greater than 0.75).

This means adoption will increase. The product becomes more popular, trending toward z=0.75.

Answer: The product becomes more popular over time, trending toward z=0.75.

d) trend if z = 0.5 initially

at z = 0.5:

$$f(z) = 4z = 4(0.5) = 2$$
 (greater than 0.75)

adoption will continue rising toward z = 0.75

the product becomes increasingly popular, trending toward z = 0.75

2)

#### a) Is $z = \frac{1}{4}$ an equilibrium?

At 
$$z = \frac{1}{4}$$
,  $f(z) = 4z = 1$ :

$$R(z)f(z) = (1-z)f(z) = (1-1/4)(1) = \frac{3}{4}$$

Since  $\frac{3}{4} > 7/16$ ,  $z = \frac{1}{4}$  isn't an equilibrium because more people will adopt the product.

Thus,  $z = \frac{1}{4}$  isn't an equilibrium

#### b) Equilibrium fractions lesser than 1/4

For  $z < \frac{1}{4}$ , f(z) = 4z. At equilibrium:

$$(1-z)(4z) = 7/16$$

$$4z - 4z^2 = 7/16 \Rightarrow 4z^2 - 4z + 7/16 = 0$$

$$Z^2 - z + 7/64 = 0$$

Solve:

$$z=rac{1\pm\sqrt{1-4(1)(7/64)}}{2}=rac{1\pm\sqrt{0.5625}}{2}$$
  $z=rac{1\pm0.75}{2}$ 

Thus:

$$z=0.875$$
 (invalid as it exceeds 1) or  $z=0.125$ 

Answer: z=0.125 is the only equilibrium less than 1/4.

# c) Equilibrium fractions greater than 1/4

For z>1/4, f(z)=1. At equilibrium:

$$(1-z) = 7/16 \implies z = 9/16$$

Answer: z = 9/16 is the equilibrium greater than 1/4.

# D) trend if z=1/4 initially

Since  $z = \frac{1}{4}$  isn't an equilibrium; adoption will increase toward  $z = \frac{9}{16}$ 

Ans: adoption increases to z = 9/16

- 3) given budget of \$50k split into x (reducing contacts) and y (reducing infection probability)
  - 1. Current spending (x = y = 25,000):
    - Contact reduction: 20 25,000/5,000 = 15
    - Infection probability: 0.1 25,000/2,500,000 = 0.09
  - 2. Reallocating budget entirely to x:
    - Contacts: 20 50,000/5,000 = 10
    - Probability remains 0.1.
  - 3. Reallocating budget entirely to y:
    - Probability: 0.1 50,000/2,500,000 = 0.08
    - Contacts remain 20.

Compare basic reproduction number  $(R_0)$ :

$$R_0 = ext{Contacts} imes ext{Infection Probability}$$

- x = y = 25,000:  $R_0 = 15 \times 0.09 = 1.35$
- All to x:  $R_0 = 10 \times 0.1 = 1.0$
- All to y:  $R_0 = 20 \times 0.08 = 1.6$

Answer: Allocating all \$50,000 to x is the optimal strategy.

#### Question 4:

# (a) Expected outcome

- Network 1: Calculate q for each node:
  - ullet Central node:  $q=1-(1-p)^4=1-(1-0.5)^4=0.9375$
  - Peripheral nodes: q = 0.5.

Average  $q = (0.9375 + 4 \times 0.5)/5 = 0.5875$ .

- Network 2: Symmetric connections:
  - Each node:  $q = 1 (1 p)^2 = 1 (1 0.5)^2 = 0.75$ .

Average q = 0.75.

Answer: Network 1: q=0.5875, Network 2: q=0.75.

#### (b) Worst-case outcome

- Network 1: Worst-case node is the central one, q=0.9375.
- Network 2: All nodes have the same q=0.75.

Answer: Network 1: q=0.9375, Network 2: q=0.75.