Introduction to Programming with Shift and Reset

Kenichi Asai

Oleg Kiselyov

September 23, 2011

Abstract

The concept of continuations arises naturally in programming: a conditional branch selects a continuation from the two possible futures; raising an exception discards a part of the continuation; a tail-call or 'goto' continues with the continuation. Although continuations are implicitly manipulated in every language, manipulating them explicitly as first-class objects is rarely used because of the perceived difficulty.

This tutorial aims to give a gentle introduction to continuations and a taste of programming with first-class delimited continuations using the control operators shift and reset. Assuming no prior knowledge on continuations, the tutorial helps participants write simple co-routines and non-deterministic searches. The tutorial should make it easier to understand and appreciate the talks at CW 2011.

We assume basic familiarity with functional programming languages, such as OCaml, Standard ML, Scheme, and Haskell. No prior knowledge of continuations is needed. Participants are encouraged to bring their laptops and program along.

1 Introduction

Continuations represent the rest of the computation. Manipulation of continuations is a powerful tool to realize complex control flow of programs without spoiling the overall structure of programs. It is a generalization of exception handling, but is far more expressive.

Traditional way to handle continuations explicitly in a program is to transform a program into continuation-passing style (CPS) [13]. However, it requires us to transform whole the program (or at least the part of programs where we want to manipulate continuations) into CPS. If we want to manipulate continuations in a more intuitive direct-style program, we need control operators.

The most famous control operator is call/cc found in Scheme and Standard ML. However, continuations captured by call/cc is the whole continuation that includes all the future computation. In practice, most of the continuations that we want to manipulate are only a part of computation. Such continuations are called *delimited* or *partial* continuations.

There are several control operators that capture delimited continuations, such as Felleisen's control/prompt [6], Danvy and Filinski's shift/reset [4], and Gunter, Rémy, and Riecke's cupto/prompt [8]. Among them, we use shift/reset in this tutorial, because their foundation is established the most, having sound and complete axiomatization [9] as well as polymorphism [2]. Furthermore, because of its clear connection to CPS, many applications of shift/reset have been proposed.

In this tutorial note, we introduce programming with shift and reset with various examples to experience what it looks like to program with shift and reset.

1.1 Implementation of shift and reset

There are a number of ways to use shift and reset in various programming languages.

- Filinski [5] showed that shift/reset can be emulated using call/cc and a reference cell. One can use shift/reset using this method in Scheme and Standard ML. In this emulation, one has to fix the answer type beforehand for each context.
- Gasbichler and Sperber implemented shift/reset directly on Scheme 48 [7]. It is reported that the implementation is much more efficient than emulation using call/cc.
- Racket supports various control operators including shift/reset.
- Kiselyov implements delimited control in Delimcc library [10] for OCaml, Scheme, and Haskell.

• Masuko and Asai implemented shift/reset directly in MinCaml compiler [11]. It supports type system with answer-type modification and polymorphism. The same idea is used to implement OchaCaml, an extension of Caml Light with shift/reset [12].

In this tutorial note, we use OchaCaml to explain and demonstrate programming with shift/reset.

1.2 Organization

The next section explains programming with shift and reset with examples and exercises. The section is almost self-contained and does not require base theory on delimited continuations. For those who are interested in the foundations of shift/reset, Section 3 shows the brief overview of the base theory of shift/reset. For more details, however, readers are referred to read technical papers.

1.3 Prerequisite

We assume general knowledge on functional programming languages, such as OCaml, Standard ML, Scheme, and/or Haskell, but no prior knowledge on continuations is required. In Section 3, we assume general knowledge on the λ -calculus with let polymorphism, its evaluation rules and type system.

2 Programming with Shift and Reset

2.1 What are continuations?

Continuations represent the rest of the computation. When a complex program is executed, a next expression to be evaluated (called redex, a reducible expression) is selected, the redex is evaluated, and the result is used to execute "the rest of the computation". This last "rest of the computation" is the continuation of the redex. Thus, the concept of continuations arises in any programming languages regardless of whether control operators are supported or not.

Continuations are relative to where the current expression being evaluated is. To clarify the currently executed expression, we enclose the current expression with a bracket written as [...]. For example, consider a simple arithmetic expression 3+5*2-1. If we are about to execute 5*2 of this expression, the current expression is 5*2. To indicate it, we write 3+[5*2]-1. At this moment, the continuation (or the *current* continuation) is $3+[\cdot]-1$, in other words, "given a value for $[\cdot]$ (called a *hole*), add three to it and subtract one from the sum." A continuation is similar to a function in that it receives a value for a hole and evaluates the rest of the computation using the received value.

We can also understand the current continuation as the discarded computation when the current expression is replaced with an aborting expression, such as raise Abort. In the above example, the current continuation of 3 + [5*2] - 1 is $3 + [\cdot] - 1$, because it is the discarded computation when executing 3 + [raise Abort] - 1.

The current continuation changes as the computation proceeds. After the evaluation of 5*2 finishes, the expression becomes 3+10-1. Since the next expression to be evaluated is 3+10, the current expression becomes 3+10 written as [3+10]-1. At this moment, the current continuation is $[\cdot]-1$, namely, "subtract one." After the evaluation of 3+10 finishes, the expression becomes 13-1. The only remaining expression to be evaluated is 13-1 written as [13-1]. The current continuation at this point is the empty context $[\cdot]$, namely, "do nothing."

Exercise 1 In the following expressions, identify the next expression to be evaluated and its continuation by marking the former with $[\cdot]$. What is the type of the former? Given a value of this type, what is the type of the value returned by the continuation?

```
(1) 5 * (2 * 3 + 3 * 4)
(2) (if 2 = 3 then "hello" else "hi") ^ " world"
(3) fst (let x = 1 + 2 in (x, x))
(4) string_length ("x" ^ string_of_int (3 + 1))
```

2.2 What are delimited continuations?

Delimited continuations are continuations whose extent is delimited. In the expression 3 + [5*2] - 1, we implicitly assumed that the rest of the current expression spans whole the expression, that is, $3 + [\cdot] - 1$. Rather than taking

whole the rest of the computation, however, we sometimes want to capture only a part of it. Such continuations are called *delimited* continuations.

The extent to which the current delimited continuation spans is designated by an explicit delimiter $\langle \cdots \rangle$. For example, in the expression $\langle 3 + [5*2] \rangle - 1$, the current delimited continuation at [5*2] is only $\langle 3 + [\cdot] \rangle$ and does not contain -1.

2.3 Delimiting continuations: reset

In OchaCaml, continuations are delimited by the reset construct:

```
reset (fun () \rightarrow M)
```

It receives a thunk (fun () \rightarrow M) and executes its body M in a delimited context. If a continuation is captured during the execution of M, it is delimited up to this reset. When M evaluates to a value, it becomes the value of this whole reset expression.

For example, in the following expression:

```
reset (fun () -> 3 + [5 * 2]) - 1
```

the current delimited continuation at [5 * 2] becomes "add three" and does not contain "subtract one." In this example, however, because continuations are not captured, the execution goes without any surprise: 5 * 2 is reduced to 10, 3 is added, 13 becomes the result of reset, and the final result is 12.

The delimited continuation is the discarded computation when reset is replaced with try ... with Abort -> ... and the current expression with raise Abort. For example, the current delimited continuation of

```
reset (fun () -> 3 + [5 * 2]) - 1
```

is $3 + [\cdot]$, because it is the discarded computation when executing

```
(try 3 + [raise Abort] with Abort -> 0) - 1
```

Exercise 2 In the following expressions, identify the delimited continuation and its type.

- (1) 5 * reset (fun () -> [2 * 3] + 3 * 4)
- (2) reset (fun () -> if [2 = 3] then "hello" else "hi") ^ " world"
- (3) fst (reset (fun () -> let x = [1 + 2] in (x, x))
- (4) string_length (reset (fun () -> "x" ^ string_of_int [3 + 1]))

2.4 Capturing continuations: shift

To capture the current delimited continuation in OchaCaml, we use shift construct:

```
shift (fun k \rightarrow M)
```

The execution of this expression proceeds in three steps:

- (1) The current delimited continuation is cleared.
- (2) The cleared continuation is bound to k.
- (3) The body M is executed.

We will see how to use shift in the subsequent sections in detail.

2.5 How to discard delimited continuations

The first use of shift is to discard delimited continuations by:

```
shift (fun \_ \rightarrow M)
```

Here, _ is a variable that does not occur anywhere else in the program. (The above program is the same as shift (fun $k \rightarrow M$) where where k is not mentioned in M at all.) The execution of shift (fun _ \rightarrow M) proceeds as follows:

- (1) The current delimited continuation is cleared.
- (2) The cleared continuation is passed to fun $_$ -> M as an argument, but it will never be used because it is not mentioned in M.
- (3) The body M is executed.

Since the body of shift does not mention the captured continuation, it is discarded and the current context up to the enclosing reset is replaced with M. In other words, we can discard (or abort) the computation up to the enclosing reset.

For example, to discard the continuation of 3 + [5 * 2] - 1, we enclose whole the expression with reset and replace $[\cdot]$ with shift (fun $_ \rightarrow M$).

```
# reset (fun () -> 3 + shift (fun \_ -> 5 * 2) - 1) ;;

- : int = 10

# In this case, M is 5*2, so the result becomes 10. We can even return a value of different type.

# reset (fun () -> 3 + shift (fun \_ -> "hello") - 1) ;;

- : string = "hello"
```

Note that the discarded continuation $3 + [\cdot] - 1$ had type int \rightarrow int. Even though the current context delimited by reset was going to return a value of type int, what is actually returned is a string. Still, the expression is well-typed. We will come back to this type modification later.

The discarded continuation is only up to the enclosing reset. In the following example, only "add three" is discarded:

Exercise 3 Discard the current continuations and return some values in the following expressions by replacing $[\cdot]$ with shift (fun $_ \rightarrow M$) for some M.

```
(1) 5 * reset (fun () -> [·] + 3 * 4)
(2) reset (fun () -> if [·] then "hello" else "hi") ^ " world"
(3) fst (reset (fun () -> let x = [·] in (x, x)))
(4) string_length (reset (fun () -> "x" ^ string_of_int [·]))
```

Exercise 4 Given a list of integers, the following function returns the product of all the elements.

For example, times [2; 3; 5] evaluates to 30. Suppose we apply times to [2; 3; 0; 5]. Because 0 exists in the list, we know that the result becomes 0 without performing any multiplication. Such a behavior can be realized by discarding the current continuation and returning 0 when 0 is found in the list. Modify the above definition of times to include the following clause

```
| 0 :: rest -> ...
```

to implement this behavior. How can we invoke the modified times?

2.6 How to extract delimited continuations

The second use of shift is to extract delimited continuations by: shift (fun $k \rightarrow k$)

The execution of shift (fun $k \rightarrow k$) proceeds as follows:

(1) The current delimited continuation is cleared.

- (2) The cleared continuation is bound to k.
- (3) The body k is executed.

Since the body of shift is just a variable representing the captured continuation, the last step finishes immediately and returns the captured continuation. Since the current delimited continuation is cleared, the value returned by the enclosing reset becomes the captured continuation. Thus, by executing shift (fun $k \rightarrow k$), we can extract the current delimited continuation.

For example, if we want to capture the continuation of 3 + [5*2] - 1, we enclose whole the expression with reset, replace [·] with shift (fun k -> k), and bind the result to a variable for later use.

```
# let f x = reset (fun () -> 3 + shift (fun k -> k) - 1) x ;;
f : int -> int = <fun>#
```

Because the returned continuation is a function, we η -expand it and bind it to a function f with an explicit argument x above. We could have written:

```
# let f = reset (fun () -> 3 + shift (fun k -> k) - 1) ;;
f : int => int = <fun>#
```

but then, because what is bound to f is not textually a value, we obtain a weakly polymorphic continuation that can be used only in a context with a specific answer type. In OchaCaml, such a special status of a function is indicated by a new arrow type =>. See Section 3.4 for details on =>. Here, we avoid it by η -expanding the definition of f.

Now, **f** is bound to a function that, when applied, invokes the captured continuation "add three and subtract one" with the applied value.

```
# f 10 ;;
- : int = 12
#
```

In this case, f behaves the same as fun $x \rightarrow reset$ (fun () -> 3 + x - 1).

Exercise 5 Extract delimited continuations of the following expressions by replacing [·] with shift (fun k -> k) and give names to them. Figure out what the extracted continuations do by actually applying them to values. What are their types?

```
(1) reset (fun () -> 5 * ([·] + 3 * 4))
(2) reset (fun () -> (if [·] then "hello" else "hi") ^ " world")
(3) reset (fun () -> fst (let x = [·] in (x, x)))
(4) reset (fun () -> string_length ("x" ^ string_of_int [·]))
```

Exercise 6 The following program traverses over a given list, and returns the list as is without any modification. In other words, it is an identity function over a list.

```
(* id : 'a list -> 'a list *)
let rec id lst = match lst with
    [] -> []
    | first :: rest -> first :: id rest ;;
Suppose we call this function with an argument list [1; 2; 3] as follows:
reset (fun () -> id [1; 2; 3]) ;;
```

The function traverses over this list, and at last it reaches an empty list. What is the continuation at this moment? Extract it by replacing [] with shift (fun $k \rightarrow k$). What is the type of the extracted continuation? What does it do?

2.7 How to preserve delimited continuations

We can not only extract/discard continuations but also save them temporarily in a data structure, and resume them later. For example, let us consider traversing over a tree defined as follows:

The following function traverses over a tree from left to right in a depth-first manner and prints all the values found in the tree.

```
(* walk : tree_t -> unit *)
let rec walk tree = match tree with
    Empty -> ()
    | Node (t1, n, t2) ->
        walk t1;
        print_int n;
        walk t2 ;;
For example, we have:
# let tree1 = Node (Node (Empty, 1, Empty), 2, Node (Empty, 3, Empty)) ;;
tree1 : tree_t = Node (Node (Empty, 1, Empty), 2, Node (Empty, 3, Empty))
# walk tree1 ;;
123- : unit = ()
#
```

The function walk traverses all the nodes in one go. But sometimes, we want to see each value one at a time and process it before receiving the next value (if any). To realize such a behavior, we replace print_int n with a shift expression as follows:

```
(* walk : tree_t => unit *)
let rec walk tree = match tree with
    Empty -> ()
    | Node (t1, n, t2) ->
        walk t1;
        yield n;
        walk t2 ;;
where yield is defined as follows:
(* yield : int => unit *)
let yield n = shift (fun k -> Next (n, k)) ;;
```

When a node is found, the function walk calls yield to abort and return the value n together with the current continuation in a suitable constructor Next (to be defined soon). The caller of walk will thus receive the first value n immediately, suspending traversal over other nodes. When the caller wants another value, it resumes the traversal by passing a unit () to the continuation. This unit becomes the value of yield and the traversal continues to walk t2. This way, by returning a value with the current continuation, one can stop and resume execution of a function.

Now that walk captures continuations, we need to enclose it with reset to invoke it. However, we cannot simply enclose walk with reset as follows:

```
reset (fun () -> walk tree1) ;;
```

because it results in a type error. Remember that whenever walk finds a node, it returns Next (n, k) to the enclosing reset. On the other hand, if the list passed to walk is an empty list, walk returns a unit. Thus, if the call to walk is directly enclosed by reset, the reset can return both Next (n, k) and a unit, leading to a type error.

To avoid the type error, we need another constructor Done (to be defined soon) indicating that there are no more nodes in a tree. Rather than finishing traversal with a unit, we return Done as in the following definition:

```
(* start : tree_t -> 'a result_t *)
let start tree =
  reset (fun () -> walk tree; Done) ;;
```

Observe how the returned value in the body of shift in yield called from walk affects the type of values returned by the enclosing reset. This implies that typing a shift expression requires information on the type of the enclosing reset.

The remaining task is to define the two constructors, Next and Done. They are defined as follows:

The constructor Done has no arguments, while Next has two arguments, one for an integer and the other for a continuation. The type of a captured continuation is basically a function from unit to 'a result_t: given a unit, it returns either Done or Next. In addition, however, one has to specify their answer types. Since answer types of

captured continuations are polymorphic, we added a type parameter 'a and use it for both the answer types. We will come back to answer types in detail in the subsequent sections.

We can now traverse over a tree by calling start. For example, the following function prints out all the integers in the nodes of a tree:

At the last line, traversal over tree is initiated by calling start. The inner function loop examines the result: if it is Done, there are no more nodes in tree; if the result is Next, it processes the current value n and continues (resumes) traversal by passing () to k. By calling print_nodes with tree1, We have:

```
# print_nodes tree1 ;;
123- : unit = ()
#
Likewise, the following function adds up all the integers in a tree:
(* add_tree : tree_t -> int *)
let add_tree tree =
    let rec loop r = match r with
        Done -> 0
        | Next (n, k) -> n + loop (k ()) in
        loop (start tree) ;;
We have:
# add_tree tree1 ;;
- : int = 6
```

Using this idea, we can implement a kind of co-routines where two processes execute alternately. The following exercise demonstrates it in the simplest form.

Exercise 7 Write a function same_fringe. Given two trees of type tree_t, it traverses over the two trees from left to right in a depth-first manner, and checks if the encountered sequences of numbers are the same for the two trees. For example, fringes of the following two trees are the same:

```
let tree1 = Node (Node (Empty, 1, Empty), 2, Node (Empty, 3, Empty)) ;;
let tree2 = Node (Empty, 1, Node (Empty, 2, Node (Empty, 3, Empty))) ;;
```

We can implement same_fringe by first converting the trees into lists and comparing the resulting lists. However, this implementation requires to traverse all the trees even if the first elements of the two trees are already different. Instead, write a function that can return false at the first point where two numbers differ without further traversing the rest of the trees.

2.8 How to wrap delimited continuations: printf

Suspended computation captured in k can be resumed by applying k to a value. Rather than applying k immediately, if we wrap the application with fun, we can defer the resumption of captured computation. Furthermore, it enables us to access an argument of the enclosing reset.

For example, consider the following expression:

```
shift (fun k -> fun () -> k "hello")
```

It captures the current continuation, binds it to k, aborts the current computation, and returns a thunk fun () -> k "hello" as a result of the enclosing reset. Namely, it temporarily suspends the current computation and waits for a unit to be passed outside the enclosing reset. When it receives a unit, it resumes computation with the value "hello".

Suppose the above expression is placed in the context [...] $^{\circ}$ " world" as follows:

 $^{^1{\}rm The}$ definition of f is $\eta{\rm -expanded}$ to make f answer-type polymorphic.

Observe that the thunk is placed (deep) under reset, while its argument () is passed outside of reset. By wrapping continuations, we can access the information outside of the enclosing reset while staying within reset lexically. By applying this idea, we can implement a (typed) printf function [1].

```
Exercise 8 By plugging "world" into the hole [...] of the following expression, we can obtain "hello world!".

reset (fun () -> "hello " ^ [...] ^ "!")
```

Instead of plugging "world" directly, can you fill in the hole with an expression so that the *argument* to the enclosing reset is plugged into the hole? In other words, we want the following interaction, by replacing [...] with a suitable expression:

```
# reset (fun () -> "hello " ^ [...] ^ "!") "world" ;;
- : string = "hello world!"
#
```

The expression in [...] behaves like %s directive. Instead of a string, can you achieve the behavior of %d such that an integer argument is embedded into the string? (Use string_of_int to convert an integer to a string.) Can you pass multiple arguments? (Be aware of the evaluation order of OchaCaml.)

2.9 Answer type modification

Now is a good time to consider how expressions with shift/reset are typed. In the following context, reset (fun () -> [...] ^ " world")

the value returned by **reset** appears to be a string, because ^ returns a string. How can we pass an argument to this expression without a type error?

To understand how the printf example is typed, we need to know what an *answer type* is. An answer type is a type of the enclosing reset. For example, in the expression reset (fun () -> 3 + [5 * 2]) (where the current expression is 5 * 2), the answer type is int, while in the expression reset (fun () -> string_of_int [5 * 2]), the answer type is string.

If an expression does not contain shift, it can be placed in a context of any answer type. In the above example, the expression 5 * 2 can be placed both in reset (fun () -> 3 + [...]) and reset (fun () -> string_of_int [...]), because the type of the hole is int in both cases. In other words, the answer type of continuations of 5 * 2 is arbitrary. This property is called answer type polymorphism.

When an expression contains shift, the story becomes different. Because shift captures the current continuation and installs a new one (*i.e.*, the body of shift), it can change the answer type. Back to the printf example:

```
reset (fun () -> [...] ^ " world")
```

the original answer type is string. Thus, the type of this context (to be captured below) is string -> string. Suppose that in [...], we execute:

```
shift (fun k -> fun () -> k "hello")
```

Since the type of the captured continuation k is string -> string, what is returned by shift to the enclosing reset is a thunk fun () -> k "hello" of type unit -> string. In other words, the answer type of the enclosing reset changed from string to unit -> string due to the execution of the shift expression. This phenomenon is called <u>answer type modification</u>. This is how the above reset expression can accept () as an argument.

Because execution of shift expressions can change the answer type of the enclosing context, it is necessary to keep track of the answer type all the time to properly type check expressions with shift and reset. This is how programs in OchaCaml are type checked. See Section 3.4 for technical details.

2.10 How to wrap delimited continuations: state monad

By wrapping continuations, we can access information that resides outside the enclosing reset. Using this idea, we can implement a mutable state by passing the current value of the state outside the enclosing reset.

For example, let us consider having one integer as a state. Like "world" in the printf example, we pass around an integer as an argument of the context as follows:

```
reset (fun () \rightarrow M) 3
```

In this example, the initial value of the state is 3. In the body M, we can access this state using the following function:

```
# let get () =
     shift (fun k -> fun state -> k state state) ;;
get : unit => 'a = <fun>#
```

After capturing the current continuation in k, the function get aborts it and returns a function fun state -> k state state to the enclosing context. Thus, the current value of the state is passed to state. After that, the computation in k is resumed with state. Namely, the value of get () becomes the value of the current state.

In the above definition, k is passed state twice. The first one becomes the value of get () while the second one becomes the value of the new state after get () is executed. Continuations captured by shift include the outermost enclosing reset. Thus, application of k to state constitutes a new context. To supply the value of the state during the execution of k, we pass the value of the state after the execution of get. Since get is supposed not to alter the value of the state, we pass state as a new value for the state. If we want to define a function that alters the state, we pass the new value there. For example, the following function adds one to the current state (and returns a unit):

It executes thunk with 0 as the initial value for the state. When the execution of thunk finishes, the value of the state is ignored and the result is returned. Using these functions, we have:

Exercise 9 What would be the value of the following program?

```
run_state (fun () ->
  (tick (); get ()) - (tick (); get ())) ;;
```

Check your result by actually executing it. (Be aware of the evaluation order of OchaCaml.)

Exercise 10 Similarly, write a function put. It updates the state with the argument of put and returns a unit. The method presented in this section effectively implements a state monad on top of a continuation monad.

2.11 How to reorder delimited continuations (advanced)

If we apply a continuation at the tail position, the captured computation is simply resumed. If we apply a continuation at the non-tail position, we can perform additional computation after resumed computation finishes. Put differently, we can switch the execution order of the surrounding context (captured continuation) and the local (additional) computation. For example, in the following expression:

```
# reset (fun () -> 1 + (shift (fun k -> 2 * k 3))) ;;
- : int = 8
#
```

the execution of 2 * [...] and the execution of the surrounding context 1 + [...] are swapped, and the latter is executed before the former. This simple idea generalizes to implementing A-normalization.

Consider the following definition of λ -terms:

Given a λ -term, we want to obtain its A-normal form, an equivalent term but all the applications in the term is given a unique name. For example, the A-normal form of the S combinator $\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.(xz)(yz)$ is

```
\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.let t_1=xz in let t_2=yz in let t_3=t_1t_2 in t_3
```

To write an A-normalizer, we first define an identity function that traverses all the subterms and reconstruct them:

```
(* id_term : term_t -> term_t *)
let rec id_term term = match term with
    Var (x) -> Var (x)
| Lam (x, t) -> Lam (x, id_term t)
| App (t1, t2) -> App (id_term t1, id_term t2) ;;
```

Because we want to assign a unique name to each application, we slightly change the above function to introduce a let expression for each application as follows:

Here, we emulated let t = M in N by $(\lambda t.N)M$. Using this new identity function, the S combinator is translated to the following term:

```
\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.let t_1=(\text{let }t_2=xz \text{ in }t_2)(\text{let }t_3=yz \text{ in }t_3) \text{ in }t_1
```

This is not quite what we want, however. What we want is a term where nested let expressions are flattened.

Now, suppose we are traversing the syntax tree for the S combinator using id_term' , and are currently looking at the first application xz. At this point, the current continuation is "to traverse yz, to reconstruct an outer application, and to reconstruct three lambdas":

```
\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.let t_1 = [\cdot](let t_3 = yz \text{ in } t_3) \text{ in } t_1
```

To flatten the nested let expressions, we now reorder the construction of the let expression for xz (i.e., let $t_2 = xz$ in $[\cdot]$) and the rest of the reconstruction up to lambda (i.e., let $t_1 = [\cdot]$ (let $t_3 = yz$ in t_3) in t_1) as follows:

In the App case, after capturing the current continuation in k, it is resumed with a newly generated variable t. Thus, the application in the original term is translated to this new variable. After translation of the whole term is finished, the definition of t is added in front of it.

Because we do not want the definition of variables to extrude the scope of lambdas, the context is delimited in the Lam case. With this definition, we achieve A-normalization. The presented method of residualizing let expressions is called *let insertion* in the partial evaluation community.

Exercise 11 Transform the S combinator into A-normal form using the above function a_normal. What can we observe?

2.12 How to duplicate delimited continuations

So far, we have used captured continuations only once. If we use them more than once, we can execute the rest of the computation in various ways. It can be used to achieve backtracking.

Consider the following function:

```
(* either : 'a -> 'a -> 'a *)
let either a b =
   shift (fun k -> k a; k b) ;;
```

The function either receives two arguments, a and b, captures the current continuation in k, and applies it to both a and b in this order. Because k is applied twice, the rest of the computation that calls either is executed twice. One can also understand either as returning two values. In fact, if we execute either in the following context, we can actually see that the computation after either is actually executed twice.

```
# reset (fun () ->
    let x = either 0 1 in
    print_int x;
    print_newline ()) ;;
0
1
- : unit = ()
#
```

Since either executes its continuation twice, the value of x is printed twice, once for the first argument of either and the other for the second argument.

Exercise 12 Define a recursive function choice that receives a list of values and returns all the elements of the list to the continuation one after the other.

Using either, we can write a simple generate-and-test style of functions easily. For example, suppose we have two boolean variables, P and Q, and want to know if the following boolean formula is satisfiable:

$$(P \lor Q) \land (P \lor \neg Q) \land (\neg P \lor \neg Q)$$

Since P and Q take either true or false, we can write the following program:

```
# reset (fun () ->
    let p = either true false in
    let q = either true false in
    if (p || q) && (p || not q) && (not p || not q)
    then (print_string (string_of_bool p);
        print_string ", ";
        print_string (string_of_bool q);
        print_newline ())) ;;
true, false
- : unit = ()
#
```

Notice that the program looks like a straightline program. It binds two variables p and q, checks whether the boolean formula is satisfied, and prints values of variables if it is. No loop, no backtracking. In the actual execution, the operator either executes its rest of the computation twice. Because there are two occurrences of either, the test is executed four times in total, each corresponding to the possible assignment of values to p and q. We can regard either as returning one of its arguments non-deterministically.

Exercise 13 Using choice, define a function that searches for three natural numbers between 1 and 5 that satisfy the Pythagorean theorem. In other words, find $1 \le x, y, z \le 5$ such that $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

3 Foundations of shift and reset

In this section, we show a brief overview of foundations of shift and reset. The language we consider is a left-to-right² call-by-value (CBV) λ -calculus extended with polymorphic let expressions and two control operators, shift and reset.

²Unlike OchaCaml.

3.1 Syntax

In this calculus, shift (fun k -> M) is written as Sk.M and reset (fun () -> M) as $\langle M \rangle$. The evaluation context is divided into two. The pure evaluation context is an evaluation context where the hole is not enclosed by any reset.

3.2 Reduction rules

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (\lambda x.\,M)\,V & \leadsto & M[x:=V] \\ \text{let } x = V \text{ in } M & \leadsto & M[x:=V] \\ & \langle V \rangle & \leadsto & V \\ & \langle F[\mathcal{S}\,V] \rangle & \leadsto & \langle V\left(\lambda x.\,\langle F[x] \rangle\right) \rangle & x \text{ is fresh} \end{array}$$

The first two rules are from the ordinary λ -calculus. The third rule says that **reset** around a value can be dropped. The last rule shows how to capture the current continuation represented as a pure evaluation context $F[\]$. It is reified as a function $\lambda x. \langle F[x] \rangle$ and passed to V. Notice that the enclosing **reset** remains in the right-hand side of the rule and that the reified function has an enclosing **reset** in it. (If one or both of these **reset** is removed, we obtain other kinds of control operators.)

3.3 Evaluation rule

The evaluation rule is defined as follows:

$$E[M] \rightarrow E[M'] \text{ if } M \rightsquigarrow M'$$

The definition of an evaluation context specifies that the evaluation order of this calculus is from left to right (i.e., the function part is evaluated before the argument part).

The evaluation rule can be divided into three steps:

- (1) If an expression to be evaluated is already a value, it is the result of evaluation. If it is not, it can be decomposed into the form E[M], where M is a redex.
- (2) The redex M is reduced to M' according to the reduction rules.
- (3) The result M' is plugged into the original evaluation context $E[\]$ to give the result E[M']. This is the result of one-step reduction.

3.4 Typing Rules

Types and type schemes are defined as follows, where t represents a type variable.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{type} & \tau & ::= & t \mid \tau/\tau \to \tau/\tau \\ \text{type scheme} & \sigma & ::= & \tau \mid \forall t.\sigma \end{array}$$

Here, the type $\tau_1/\alpha \to \tau_2/\beta$ represents a type of a function from τ_1 to τ_2 , but during the execution of this function, the answer type changes from α to β [3]. If a function does not have any control effects (roughly speaking, the function does not use shift), the two answer types α and β become both the same type variable that does not appear anywhere else. Such a function is called *pure*. It is also called *answer-type polymorphic*. See the next section for answer types.

In OchaCaml, types of pure functions are written as $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$, omitting answer types. On the other hand, types of impure functions (that contain shift expressions) are written as $\tau_1 = \tau_2$, indicating that the answer types (also omitted) are not polymorphic. When one wants to see all the answer types, OchaCaml supports a directive #answer "all";; OchaCaml will then show types of impure functions as $\tau_1 / \alpha \to \tau_2 / \beta$.

Typing judgements have one of the following forms:

$$\Gamma \vdash_p M : \tau$$

$$\Gamma, \alpha \vdash M : \tau, \beta$$

The former reads: under a type environment Γ , an expression M is pure and has type τ . The latter reads: under a type environment Γ , an expression M has type τ and execution of M changes the answer type from α to β . The typing rules are given as follows:

$$\frac{(x:\sigma) \in \Gamma \quad \sigma \succ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_p x : \tau} \text{ (var)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1, \alpha \vdash M : \tau_2, \beta}{\Gamma \vdash_p \lambda x. M : \tau_1/\alpha \to \tau_2/\beta} \text{ (fun)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \gamma \vdash M_1 : \tau_1/\alpha \to \tau_2/\beta, \delta \quad \Gamma, \beta \vdash M_2 : \tau_1, \gamma}{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash M_1 M_2 : \tau_2, \delta} \text{ (app)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_p M : \tau}{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash M : \tau, \alpha} \text{ (exp)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_p M_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma, x : \mathsf{Gen}(\tau_1, \Gamma), \alpha \vdash M_2 : \tau_2, \beta}{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash \mathsf{let} x = M_1 \text{ in } M_2 : \tau_2, \beta} \text{ (let)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, k : \forall t. (\tau/t \to \alpha/t), \gamma \vdash M : \gamma, \beta}{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash \mathcal{S}k. M : \tau, \beta} \text{ (shift)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \gamma \vdash M : \gamma, \tau}{\Gamma \vdash_p \langle M \rangle : \tau} \text{ (reset)}$$

As usual, $\sigma \succ \tau$ represents that a type τ is an instance of a type scheme σ , and $\mathsf{Gen}(\tau, \Gamma)$ represents a type scheme obtained by generalizing the type variables in τ that does not occur free in Γ .

3.5 Answer Types

An answer type is a type of the current context. For example, in the following expression:

reset (fun ()
$$->$$
 3 + [5 * 2] - 1)

the type of the current expression [5 * 2] is int and the type of a value returned by its surrounding context 3 + [5 * 2] - 1 is int (both before and after the execution of 5 * 2). Thus, the answer type of [5 * 2] is int. We represent this typing as the following judgement:

$$\Gamma$$
, int \vdash 5 * 2: int, int

The first int is the answer type before the execution of 5 * 2; the second int is the type of 5 * 2; and the third int is the answer type after the execution of 5 * 2.

The type of an expression and its answer type are not always the same. For example, in the expression:

reset (fun -> if
$$[2 = 3]$$
 then $1 + 2$ else $3 - 1$)

the current expression 2 = 3 has type bool, but the answer type is int. Thus, the typing judgement becomes as follows:

$$\Gamma, \mathtt{int} \vdash \mathtt{2} \; = \; \mathtt{3} : \mathtt{bool}, \mathtt{int}$$

The two answer types are always the same if the current expression is pure. Because answer types do not affect the type of pure expressions, we can forget about answer types when we are dealing with only pure expressions.

Next, let us consider examples where the answer type changes.

```
reset (fun () ->
[shift (fun k -> fun () -> k "hello")] ^ " world")
```

It is not immediately clear what the type of the current expression [...] is, but it is string, because the result of the current expression is passed to `which requires two string arguments. What is the answer type? Before the execution of [...], the answer type of the current context is string because it is the result of `which returns a string value. After the execution of [...], however, the current continuation is captured in k and what is actually returned by the enclosing reset is a function fun () -> k "hello". Since this function receives a unit () and returns a string "hello world", it has roughly type unit -> string. To be more precise, because the type of this function must also mention answer types and because the function turns out to be pure, it has type unit / 'a -> string / 'a. Thus, the typing judgement becomes as follows:

$$\Gamma$$
, string \vdash shift (fun k -> ...) : string, unit / 'a -> string / 'a

Thus, the use of shift can change the answer types in various ways. This phenomenon is called answer type modification.

We can understand a type of functions in a similar way. For example, consider get introduced in Section 2.8. Since this function receives () and returns an integer (the current value of the state), it has type unit -> int, if we ignore answer types. To see what the answer types are, we consider the context in which get is used. For example, in the following expression:

```
reset (fun () ->
  let result = [get ()] + 2 * get () in
  fun state -> result)
```

since the context returns a function fun state -> result as a result, the answer type is (roughly) int -> int. Thus, the type of get becomes something like unit / (int -> int) -> int / (int -> int). To be more precise, because the type of state is not constrained to int from the definition of get and the type of context can be more general, the exact type of get is:

```
unit / ('a / 'b -> 'c / 'd) -> 'a / ('a / 'b -> 'c / 'd)
```

The introduction of shift/reset forces us to think about answer types. It amounts to consider what the type of context is and how it changes during execution.

References

- [1] Asai, K. "On Typing Delimited Continuations: Three New Solutions to the Printf Problem," *Higher-Order and Symbolic Computation*, Vol. 22, No. 3, pp. 275–291, Kluwer Academic Publishers (September 2009).
- [2] Asai, K., and Y. Kameyama "Polymorphic Delimited Continuations," *Proceedings of the Fifth Asian Symposium on Programming Languages and Systems (APLAS'07), LNCS 4807*, pp. 239–254 (November 2007).
- [3] Danvy, O., and A. Filinski "A Functional Abstraction of Typed Contexts," Technical Report 89/12, DIKU, University of Copenhagen (July 1989).
- [4] Danvy, O., and A. Filinski "Abstracting Control," Proceedings of the 1990 ACM Conference on Lisp and Functional Programming, pp. 151–160 (June 1990).
- [5] Filinski, A. "Representing Monads," Conference Record of the 21st Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages, pp. 446–457 (January 1994).
- [6] Felleisen, M. "The Theory and Practice of First-Class Prompts," Conference Record of the 15th Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages, pp. 180–190 (January 1988).
- [7] Gasbichler, M., and M. Sperber "Final Shift for Call/cc: Direct Implementation of Shift and Reset," *Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP'02)*, pp. 271–282 (October 2002).
- [8] Gunter, C. A., D. Rémy, and J. G. Riecke "A Generalization of Exceptions and Control in ML-Like Languages," *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture (FPCA'95)*, pp. 12–23 (June 1995).
- [9] Kameyama, Y., and M. Hasegawa "A Sound and Complete Axiomatization of Delimited Continuations," *Proceedings of the eighth ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP'03)*, pp. 177–188 (August 2003).
- [10] Kiselyov, O. "Delimited Control in OCaml, Abstractly and Concretely: System Description," In M. Blume, N. Kobayashi, and G. Vidal, editors, Functional and Logic Programming (LNCS 6009), pp. 304–320 (April 2010).
- [11] Masuko, M., and K. Asai "Direct Implementation of Shift and Reset in the MinCaml Compiler," *Proceedings* of the 2009 ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on ML, pp. 49–60 (September 2009).
- [12] Masuko, M., and K. Asai "Caml Light + shift/reset = Caml Shift," Theory and Practice of Delimited Continuations (TPDC 2011), pp. 33–46 (May 2011).
- [13] Plotkin, G. D. "Call-by-name, call-by-value, and the λ -calculus," Theoretical Computer Science, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 125–159 (December 1975).