

COCS 6323: Statistical Methods in Research
Group Project

Group <number>
Department of Computer Science
University of Houston
March 7, 2019

Contents

1 Contribution	3
2 Figure 2A	4
3 Figure 2B	5
4 Figure 3A	6
5 Figure 3B	7
6 Figure 3C	8
7 Figure 3D	9
8 Figure 3E	10
9 Figure 3F	11
10 Supplementary S1	12
11 Supplementary S2	13
12 Supplementary S3	14
13 Supplementary S4	15

List of Tables

List of Figures

1 Growth of cross-disciplinary social capital	4
2 Growth of cross-disciplinary social capital	5
3 Network Distributions for Direct and Mediated Associations of Biologists	13
4 Network Distributions for Direct and Mediated Associations of Computer Scientists	13
5 Three perspectives on the centrality of F_i in the direct collaboration network	14
6 Growth of cross-disciplinary social capital	15

1 Contribution

Add your content here

2 Figure 2A

Giant Component




Figure 1: Growth of cross-disciplinary social capital

Evolution of the giant component in the U.S. biology-computing network. Green and magenta nodes represent faculty F_i with BIOF and CSF affiliation, respectively; black nodes represent faculty F_i that, by time t , published at least one cross-disciplinary publication and joined the XDF group; node size is proportional to the logarithm of the degree centrality, $\ln C_{Di}$, of F_i at time t .

3 Figure 2B




Figure 2: Growth of cross-disciplinary social capital

4 Figure 3A

Add the content of this section

5 Figure 3B

Add the content of this section

6 Figure 3C

Add the content of this section

7 Figure 3D

Add the content of this section

8 Figure 3E

Add the content of this section

9 Figure 3F

Add the content of this section

10 Supplementary S1

Add your content here

11 Supplementary S2




Figure 3: Network Distributions for Direct and Mediated Associations of Biologists





Figure 4: Network Distributions for Direct and Mediated Associations of Computer Scientists

Figure S2 depicts the number of associations between professors within biology departments (a), and computer science departments (b) with other researchers studying genomics. The dark area shows counts the direct connections between professors, while the lighter orange area shows counts of mediated connections. Vertical lines represent means of each distribution. Direct links are made when professors within the dataset collaborate to publish a paper. Mediated links are established when professors have a collaborator in common. The histograms above demonstrate the importance of the mediated connections, with regards to the robustness of the network.


12 Supplementary S3

Centrality

Degree



PageRank



Betweenness




Figure 5: Three perspectives on the centrality of Fi in the direct collaboration network

Shown is the giant connected component of the faculty network F using all data up to 2015. The nodes and links across each network are fixed, only the node sizes vary according to the indicated centrality measure. Notably, the most central according to each of the three measures is Eric Lander, one of the leaders of the HGP.

13 Supplementary S4

Dismishing of Giant Component




Figure 6: Growth of cross-disciplinary social capital

Green and magenta nodes represent faculty F_i with BIO and CS affiliation, respectively; black nodes represent faculty F_i that by time t collaborated with at least one faculty from the opposite department and thus joined the XD group.