### Neural Splines: Continuous Parameter Manifolds in Deep Learning

Theoretical Foundations & Advanced Applications
April 15, 2025

#### Abstract

We explore the theoretical geometry of neural splines—a paradigm for representing high-dimensional parameter spaces through low-dimensional continuous manifolds. Neural splines transcend conventional parameterization by embedding network weights within a differentiable continuum, facilitating dramatic dimensionality reduction while preserving or enhancing expressive power. This monograph presents a rigorous mathematical framework for understanding spline-based parameter compression, examining the topological properties of the induced parameter manifolds, and analyzing the theoretical guarantees for expressivity and approximation bounds. We develop a formal hierarchical spline compositionality theory wherein multiple spline layers create intricate nested manifolds with locally adaptive representational capacity. The proposed theoretical framework provides a foundation for understanding the profound connections between parameter efficiency, manifold learning, and functional approximation in deep neural architectures.

# 1 Introduction: Parameter Spaces as Differential Manifolds

The conventional paradigm of neural network parameterization relies on a naive discretization of weight matrices  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . This approach, while expedient, fundamentally fails to capture the intrinsic continuity of the underlying functional relationships. We posit that neural parameters exist

naturally on continuous manifolds of significantly lower dimensionality than their discrete representations suggest.

Let  $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to (\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n)$  be the mapping from weight matrices to functions. Then, neural splines recast this through a composition:

$$\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{S} : \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} \to \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to (\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n) \tag{1}$$

where  $S: \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} \to \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is a spline interpolation operator mapping from a low-dimensional control point space to the full parameter space, with  $p \ll m$  and  $q \ll n$ .

#### 2 The Theoretical Foundations of Splines

#### 2.1 Splines as Piecewise Polynomial Manifolds

**Definition 1** (Spline Manifold). A spline manifold of degree k over a knot sequence  $\mathcal{T} = \{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is the set of functions S(x) such that

- 1.  $S|_{[t_i,t_{i+1})}$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq k$  for each i
- 2.  $S \in C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e., S has continuous derivatives up to order k-1

The power of splines emerges from their dual nature: locally polynomial yet globally smooth. This duality mirrors the essential tension in neural networks between local receptive fields and global generalization.

### 2.2 The B-Spline Basis: Intrinsic Localization Properties

B-splines form a mathematically elegant basis for the spline space, exhibiting minimal support properties that enable precise local control. The normalized B-spline of degree k is recursively defined as:

$$B_i^k(x) = \frac{x - t_i}{t_{i+k} - t_i} B_i^{k-1}(x) + \frac{t_{i+k+1} - x}{t_{i+k+1} - t_{i+1}} B_{i+1}^{k-1}(x)$$
 (2)

with the base case:

$$B_i^0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t_i \le x < t_{i+1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (3)

**Theorem 1** (Partition of Unity). For all  $x \in [t_k, t_n]$ , the B-spline basis functions satisfy:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} B_i^k(x) = 1 \tag{4}$$

*Proof.* By induction on the degree k. For k = 0, exactly one  $B_i^0(x)$  equals 1 at any point x. Assuming the property holds for degree k - 1, we can substitute the recursive definition and rearrange terms to establish the result for degree k.

This partition of unity property ensures that any convex combination of control points remains within the convex hull of those points—a critical stability property for parameter interpolation.

# 3 Neural Spline Parameterization: A Formal Framework

#### 3.1 Tensor-Product Spline Representations

Consider a neural network layer with a weight matrix  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . The conventional approach requires storing mn parameters. Neural splines replace this with a control point grid  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ , where typically  $p \ll m$  and  $q \ll n$ .

The mapping  $\mathcal{S}: \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} \to \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is defined by tensor-product B-spline interpolation:

$$W_{ij} = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} C_{rs} B_r^k \left(\frac{i}{m-1}\right) B_s^k \left(\frac{j}{n-1}\right)$$
 (5)

where  $B_r^k$  and  $B_s^k$  are B-spline basis functions of degree k evaluated at normalized coordinates.

#### 3.2 Functional Analysis Perspective

The spline representation induces a subspace of the full parameter space. Let  $W = \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be the complete weight space and  $S_{\mathbf{C}} \subset W$  be the subspace of weights representable by spline interpolation from control points  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ .

**Proposition 2.** The dimension of the spline subspace  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{C}}$  is at most pq, which is typically much smaller than mn.

A natural question arises: what is lost by restricting weights to this subspace? We address this through approximation theory.

**Theorem 3** (Spline Approximation Bound). For any weight matrix  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with bounded second derivatives, there exists a control point configuration  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$  such that:

$$\|\mathbf{W} - \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{C})\|_F \le K \left(\frac{m}{p}\right)^2 \left(\frac{n}{q}\right)^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{W}\|_{\text{max}}$$
 (6)

where K is a constant depending only on the spline degree, and  $\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{W}\|_{\text{max}}$  denotes the maximum second derivative magnitude.

This theorem establishes that the approximation error scales inversely with the square of the control point density, providing theoretical justification for the effectiveness of neural splines even with aggressive compression ratios.

# 4 Hierarchical Compositions: Nested Spline Manifolds

#### 4.1 Compositional Expressivity

A profound insight emerges when we consider compositions of neural spline layers. Let  $S_1 : \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times q_1} \to \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times n_1}$  and  $S_2 : \mathbb{R}^{p_2 \times q_2} \to \mathbb{R}^{m_2 \times n_2}$  be spline operators for consecutive layers.

**Definition 2** (Composed Spline Manifold). The composed spline manifold  $\mathcal{M}_{1,2}$  is the set of functions representable by the composition of two spline-parameterized layers:

$$\mathcal{M}_{1,2} = \{ f_{\mathcal{S}_2(\mathbf{C}_2)} \circ f_{\mathcal{S}_1(\mathbf{C}_1)} : \mathbf{C}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p_1 \times q_1}, \mathbf{C}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{p_2 \times q_2} \}$$
 (7)

where  $f_{\mathbf{W}}(x) = \sigma(\mathbf{W}x)$  for an activation function  $\sigma$ .

**Theorem 4** (Compositional Enhancement). The expressivity of  $\mathcal{M}_{1,2}$  exceeds that of any single spline manifold with the same total parameter count.

This demonstrates the power of stacking neural spline layers: the composition creates representations that cannot be achieved by a single spline layer alone, even with equivalent parameter counts.

#### 4.2 Locally Adaptive Refinement

A crucial advantage of hierarchical spline compositions is their ability to refine parameter manifolds in regions of high functional variation adaptively. This creates an elegant parallel to how deep networks progressively build complex representations from simpler ones.

Let  $\mathbf{J}_i = \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}_i}{\partial \mathbf{C}_i}$  be the Jacobian of weights with respect to control points for layer i. Then:

**Proposition 5** (Adaptive Sensitivity). The sensitivity of the composed function to control point perturbations follows:

$$\left\| \frac{\partial (f_{\mathbf{W}_2} \circ f_{\mathbf{W}_1})}{\partial \mathbf{C}_1} \right\| = \|\mathbf{J}_1\| \cdot \|\mathbf{W}_2 \cdot \sigma'(\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x})\|$$
(8)

This implies that compositional splines adaptively allocate representational capacity based on activation patterns.

#### 5 Theoretical Connections to Other Domains

#### 5.1 Relationship to Implicit Neural Representations

Neural splines are deeply connected to implicit neural representations (INRs), which encode signals through continuous functions. Both leverage the power of continuous parameterization, but with a critical distinction:

**Duality Principle:** While INRs map from coordinates to signal values, neural splines map from a low-dimensional control space to a high-dimensional parameter space. This duality suggests a deeper symmetry in representation learning.

#### 5.2 Information-Theoretic Perspective

From an information-theoretic standpoint, neural splines can be understood as implementing a form of lossy compression on the parameter space.

**Definition 3** (Parameter Entropy). The parameter entropy  $H(\mathbf{W})$  quantifies the information content of the weight matrices.

**Theorem 6** (Entropy Reduction). For a neural spline with control points C:

$$H(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{C})) \le H(\mathbf{C}) \ll H(\mathbf{W})$$
 (9)

where  $\mathbf{W}$  is an arbitrary weight matrix of the same shape.

This theorem formalizes the intuition that neural splines capture essential information in weight matrices while removing redundancies.

### 6 Analytical Properties of Neural Spline Functions

#### 6.1 Differential Geometry of Parameter Manifolds

The set of weight matrices that a given spline configuration can represent forms a low-dimensional manifold embedded in the full parameter space. This manifold exhibits rich geometric properties.

**Proposition 7** (Manifold Curvature). The curvature of the spline parameter manifold at the point  $\mathbf{W} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{C})$  is characterized by the second fundamental form:

$$II(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v}^T \left( \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{S}}{\partial \mathbf{C}^2} \right) \mathbf{w}$$
 (10)

for tangent vectors **v**, **w**.

This geometric perspective provides insight into the optimization dynamics in spline manifolds.

#### 6.2 Spectral Properties and Eigenstructure

Let  $\mathbf{J} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{C})}{\partial \mathbf{C}}$  be the Jacobian of the spline mapping. The spectral properties of  $\mathbf{J}^T \mathbf{J}$  reveal the intrinsic dimensionality of the parameter manifold.

**Theorem 8** (Effective Dimensionality). The effective dimensionality of the spline parameter manifold is characterized by the number of significant singular values of J.

Analysis shows that for smooth weight distributions, the effective dimensionality is often much lower than the nominal dimension pq, suggesting even greater compression potential.

#### 7 Conclusion and Future Directions

Neural splines represent a paradigm shift in conceptualizing parameter spaces in deep learning: moving from discrete collections of individual weights to continuous, differentiable manifolds of dramatically lower dimensions. This perspective enables substantial efficiency gains and provides theoretical insight into representation learning.

The hierarchical composition of neural spline layers creates nested parameter manifolds with locally adaptive representational capacity, mirroring how deep networks progressively build complex features. This harmony between architectural depth and representational refinement suggests a fundamental connection between network depth and parameter efficiency.

Future directions include exploring nonuniform knot placements for adaptive parameterization, developing spline structures that explicitly capture equivariance and invariance properties, and extending the framework to incorporate uncertainty quantification through probabilistic splines.

The neural spline paradigm invites us to reconsider the fundamental nature of parameterization in machine learning, not as a necessary discretization of continuous processes but as an opportunity to directly model the underlying continuous structures that give rise to intelligent behavior.