

Project 4

Magnus S Grytten

Task 1

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = f(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \Omega$$

Where Ω is the domain given by, $x \geq 0$, $y \geq 0$ and $y \leq 1 - x^2$.

With boundary conditions $u(x, 0) = u(0, x) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$ at the $y = 1 - x^2$ boundary.

Using central differences we get,

$$\frac{\delta_x^2 u}{h^2} + \frac{\delta_y^2 u}{h^2} = f(x, y)$$

which is the classic 5-point formula.

$$\frac{U_{m+1,n} - 2U_{m,n} + U_{m-1,n}}{h^2} + \frac{U_{m,n+1} - 2U_{m,n} + U_{m,n-1}}{h^2} = f(x, y)$$

Rewriting using the four directions north (n), south (s), east (e) and west (w), and p for the central point, while moving the h we get,

$$U_n + U_s + U_e + U_w - 4U_p = hf_p$$

We handle the boundary at $y = 1 - x^2$ as outlined on page 70 of the note by BO, we make a point Q inbetween the gridpoints at the intersection between the grid and the normal n . We approximate the point by linear interpolation between the two closest gridpoints, called S and R

We approximate $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ by,

$$\frac{U_p - U_Q}{d}$$

where d is the distance between U_p and U_Q , the approximation for Q is,

$$U_Q = U_R \frac{h'}{h} + U_S \frac{h - h'}{h}$$

where h' is the distance between U_Q and U_S

We insert the approximation for Q in the approximation of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$

$$\frac{1}{d}(U_p - (U_R \frac{h'}{h} + U_S \frac{h - h'}{h})) = 0$$

solving for U_p becomes,

$$U_p = U_R \frac{h'}{h} + U_S \frac{h - h'}{h}$$

We also need to handle the uneven stepsizes between the points next to the boundary $y = 1 - x^2$ and the points

Lets say we have a boundary point U_n north of a point U_p , with distance h_n between them. When you have variable stepsizes you get a lower error if the points on opposite sides of U_p are equidistant. We therefore approximate a point with a distance h_n from U_p south of U_p called U'_s

In the scheme would then become,

$$\frac{U_n - 2U_p + U'_s}{h_n^2} + \frac{U_w - 2U_p + U_e}{h^2} = f(x, y)$$

The approximation of U'_s is

$$U'_s = U_s \frac{h'_n}{h} + U_p \frac{h - h'_n}{h}$$

Inserting into the scheme we get,

$$\frac{U_n - U_p(1 + \frac{h'_n}{h}) + U_s \frac{h'_n}{h}}{h_n^2} + \frac{U_w - 2U_p + U_e}{h^2} = f(x, y)$$

Task 2

$$u_t - au_x = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad a > 0$$

With initial and boundary conditions,

$$u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad u(1, t) = 0$$

a)

The Lax-Wendroff method is,

$$U_m^{n+1} = U_m^n - \frac{-ap}{2}(U_{m+1}^n - U_{m-1}^n) + \frac{(-ap)^2}{2}(U_{m+1}^n - 2U_m^n + U_{m-1}^n)$$

This method breaks down at the boundary as it requires a point to the left of the boundary. We can solve this issue many different ways, one way is to use a different method at the boundary that only uses points to the right. Or you could use ghost points outside the domain, you could for instance set the ghost point $U_{-1}^n = U_0^n$.

I am going to use linear extrapolation to set the value of the ghost point,

$$U_{-1}^n = 2U_0^n - U_1^n$$

Using this point in the Lax-Wendroff method at the point U_0^{n+1} we get,

$$U_0^{n+1} = U_0^n - (-ap)(U_1^n - U_0^n)$$

Which is a 1st order method.

Since the $u_t - au_x = 0$ has a linear characteristic with a incline of $-a$ and the dependence interval for Lax-Wendroff is given by $[x^* - t^*/p, x^* + t^*/p]$ the CFL-condition becomes,

$$a \leq \frac{1}{p}$$

b)

Von Neumann stability:

By applying $U_m^n = \zeta^n e^{i\beta x_m}$ to the method we get,

$$\zeta^{n+1} e^{i\beta x_m} = \zeta^n e^{i\beta x_m} - \frac{-ap}{2} (\zeta^n e^{i\beta x_{m+1}} - \zeta^n e^{i\beta x_{m-1}}) + \frac{(-ap)^2}{2} (\zeta^n e^{i\beta x_{m+1}} - 2\zeta^n e^{i\beta x_m} + \zeta^n e^{i\beta x_{m-1}})$$

Dividing by $\zeta^n e^{i\beta x_m}$ we get

$$\zeta = 1 - \frac{-ap}{2} (e^{i\beta h} - e^{-i\beta h}) + \frac{(-ap)^2}{2} (e^{i\beta h} - 2 + e^{-i\beta h})$$

Using Eulers formula and setting $r = -ap$ we get,

$$\zeta = 1 - r \sin(\beta h) + r^2 (\cos(\beta h) - 1)$$

Finding $|\zeta|^2 = \text{Re}(\zeta)^2 + \text{Im}(\zeta)^2$

$$|\zeta|^2 = (1 + r^2 (\cos(\beta h) - 1))^2 + r^2 \sin^2(\beta h) = (1 - r^2 (\cos(\beta h) - 1))^2 + r^2 (1 - (\cos^2(\beta h)))$$

Using the trigonometric identity $\cos(\beta h) = 1 - \sin^2(\frac{\beta h}{2})$ and setting $q = \sin(\frac{\beta h}{2})$

$$|\zeta|^2 = (1 - r^2 q^2)^2 + r^2 + r^2 (1 - (1 - q^2)^2) = 1 - 4r^2 (1 - r^2) q^4$$

The Von Neumann stability condition $|\zeta| \leq 1$ becomes,

$$1 - 4r^2 (1 - r^2) q^4 \leq 1$$

$$r^2 (1 - r^2) q^4 \geq 0$$

Given that $r^2, q^4 \geq 0$ for all r, q we get

$$|r| \leq 1$$

Consistency:

The Taylor approximation of u_m^{n+1} is,

$$u_m^{n+1} = u_m^n + k u_t(x_m, t_n) + k^2 \frac{u_{tt}(x_m, t_n)}{2} + k^3 \frac{u_{ttt}(x_m, \xi_1)}{6} \quad \xi_1 \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$$

From the problem we have $u_t = -au_x$, using this we get,

$$u_m^{n+1} = u_m^n + k(-a)u_x(x_m, t_n) + k^2 \frac{a^2 u_{xx}(x_m, t_n)}{2} + k^3 \frac{u_{ttt}(x_m, \xi_1)}{6}$$

By Taylor expansion we find,

$$u_x(x_m, t_n) = \frac{u_{m+1}^n - u_{m-1}^n}{2h} + h^2 \frac{u_{xxx}(\xi_2, t_n)}{6}$$

and

$$u_{xx}(x_m, t_n) = \frac{u_{m+1}^n - 2u_m^n + u_{m-1}^n}{h} + h^2 \frac{u_{xxxx}(\xi_2, t_n)}{24}$$

Setting this in for $u_x(x_m, t_n)$ and $u_{xx}(x_m, t_n)$ we get,

$$u_m^{n+1} = u_m^n + k(-a) \frac{u_{m+1}^n - u_{m-1}^n}{2h} + k^2 a^2 \frac{u_{m+1}^n - 2u_m^n + u_{m-1}^n}{2h} + k^3 \frac{u_{xxx}(x_m, \xi_1)}{6} + h^2 k(-a) \frac{u_{xxx}(\xi_2, t_n)}{12} + h^2 k^2 a^2 \frac{u_{xxxx}(\xi_2, t_n)}{24}$$

By subtracting the numerical method from the exact we find the local truncation error τ ,

$$\tau = k^3 \frac{u_{xxx}(x_m, \xi_1)}{6} + h^2 k(-a) \frac{u_{xxx}(\xi_2, t_n)}{12} + h^2 k^2 a^2 \frac{u_{xxxx}(\xi_2, t_n)}{24}$$

We see that all the terms in τ depend on k or h , and thus,

$$k, h \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \tau \rightarrow 0$$

Since τ goes to zero when h, k goes to zero, we know that the method is consistent.

c)

A better method for finding the value could be to swap the central differences in Lax-Wendroff with forward differences,

$$U_m^{n+1} = U_m^n - (-ap)(U_{m+1}^n - U_m^n) + \frac{(-ap)^2}{2}(U_{m+2}^n - 2U_{m+1}^n + U_m^n)$$

Since this method is of second order.

Task 3

Dissipation:

By aplying $U_m^n = \zeta^n e^{i\beta x_m}$ to the method

$$U_m^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(U_{m+1}^n + U_{m-1}^n) + \frac{-ak}{2h}(U_{m+1}^n - U_{m-1}^n)$$

we get,

$$\zeta^{n+1} e^{i\beta x_m} = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta^n e^{i\beta x_{m+1}} + \zeta^n e^{i\beta x_{m-1}}) + \frac{-ak}{2h}(\zeta^n e^{i\beta x_{m+1}} - \zeta^n e^{i\beta x_{m-1}})$$

Dividing by $\zeta^n e^{i\beta x_m}$ we get

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\beta h} + e^{-i\beta h}) + \frac{-ak}{2h}(e^{i\beta h} - e^{-i\beta h})$$

Using Eulers formula we get,

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(\beta h) + i\sin(\beta h) + \cos(-\beta h) + i\sin(-\beta h)) + \frac{-ak}{2h}(\cos(\beta h) + i\sin(\beta h) - \cos(-\beta h) - i\sin(-\beta h))$$

Wich simplefies to,

$$\zeta = \cos(\beta h) + i\frac{-ak}{h}\sin(\beta h)$$

This gives us,

$$|\zeta|^2 = \cos^2(\beta h) + r^2 \sin^2(\beta h)$$

$$|\zeta|^2 = 1 - (1 - r^2)\sin^2(\beta h)$$

Because $\sqrt{1-x} \leq 1 - \frac{x}{2}$ we get,

$$|\zeta| \leq 1 - \frac{1-r^2}{2}\sin^2(\beta h) = 1 - \frac{1-r^2}{2}\left(\frac{\sin(\beta h)}{\beta h}\right)^2(\beta h)^2$$

The largest value $\frac{\sin(\beta h)}{\beta h}$ can have on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ is 1,

$$|\zeta| \leq 1 - \frac{1-r^2}{2}(\beta h)^2$$

From this we see that the method is dissapative of order 2.

Dispersion:

Using the formula,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\beta k} \arctan\left(\frac{-\text{Im}(\zeta)}{\text{Re}(\zeta)}\right)$$

we get,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\beta k} \arctan \left(\frac{\frac{ak}{h} \sin(\beta h)}{\cos(\beta h)} \right) = \frac{1}{\beta h} \arctan(r * \tan(\beta h))$$

We see that the method is generally dispersive. α depends on β for all values of r except for when $r = 1$, in which case α is constant equal to $\frac{h}{k}$.

Task 4

$$-u_{xx} + cu = f, \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0,$$

Find $u \in V$ such that $a(u, v) = F(v)$, for all $v \in V$.

a)

We set up the variational form by multiplying by the test function v and integrating over the domain;

$$-\int_0^1 u''(x)v(x)dx + c\int_0^1 u(x)v(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x)dx$$

By partial integrating the first integral we get,

$$\int_0^1 u'(x)v'(x)dx + c\int_0^1 u(x)v(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x)dx$$

since the term $[u'(x)v(x)]_0^1$ becomes zero.

We find,

$$a(u, v) = \int_0^1 u'(x)v'(x)dx + c\int_0^1 u(x)v(x)dx,$$

$$F(v) = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x)dx,$$

and V is the function space of square-integrable functions on $(0, 1)$ $L^2((0, 1))$

Letting $H^1((0, 1))$ be the space of functions $v \in L^2$ for which a weak derivative $v' \in L^2$ exists. H^1 is a hilbert space.

Defining innerproducts and norms for H^1 and L^2 :

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2} = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)dx$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H^1} = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)dx + \int_0^1 f'(x)g'(x)dx$$

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx$$

$$\|f\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx + \int_0^1 f'(x)^2 dx$$

From the Lax-Milgram theorem we know that the weak formulation has a unique solution if F is bounded on H^1 , and a is continuous and coercive.

The condition for continuity is,

$$|a(u, v)| \leq M \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}$$

and the condition for coercivity is,

$$|a(u, u)| \geq N \|u\|_{H^1}^2$$

F is bounded on H^1 if,

$$\|F\|_{H^1} = \sup_{v \neq 0} \frac{|F(v)|}{\|v\|_{H^1}} < \infty$$

Finding when F is bound by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in L^2

$$|F(v)| = |\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2}| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{H^1}$$



$$\|F\|_{H^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^2} < \infty$$

We need $f \in L^2$, and bounded on $(0, 1)$ for the weak formulation to have a unique solution.

Now we check for continuity, from the triangle inequality we have,

$$|a(u, v)| = | \langle u, v \rangle_{H^1} + (c-1) \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2} | \leq | \langle u, v \rangle_{H^1} | + |(c-1)| \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2} |$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have,

$$\leq \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1} + |c-1| \|u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \leq \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1} + |c-1| \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1} = |c| \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}$$

We find that the continuity condition is kept for all c

$$|a(u, v)| \leq M \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}, \quad M = |c|$$

Now we check for coercivity,

$$|a(u, u)| = \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + (c-1) \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

We see that,

$$|a(u, u)| \geq N \|u\|_{H^1}^2$$

when $c \geq 1$.

When using the Poincaré inequality when $c = 0$ we find,

$$|a(u, u)| = \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \geq C^2 \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

We have coercivity when $c = 0$ and when $c \geq 1$, since we have continuity for all c we know there is a unique solution for the weak formulation when $c = 0$ and $c \geq 1$.



b)

Let $V_h = \text{span}\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be some n -dimensional subspace of V

In a Galerkin method you restrict the functions u, v to lie in a finite dimensional subspace of V . The natural basis for this subspace is $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$, where φ_i is given by,

$$\varphi_i(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-x_{i-1}}{x_i-x_{i-1}} & x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i \\ \frac{x_{i+1}-x}{x_{i+1}-x_i} & x_i \leq x \leq x_{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If we write $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ as,

$$u(x) = \sum_i u_i \varphi_i(x), \quad v(x) = \sum_i v_i \varphi_i(x)$$

the weak formulation from earlier becomes,

$$\sum_{i,j} u_i v_j \int_0^1 \varphi_i'(x) \varphi_j'(x) dx + c \sum_{i,j} u_i v_j \int_0^1 \varphi_i(x) \varphi_j(x) dx = \sum_i v_j \int_0^1 \varphi_i(x) f(x) dx$$

Now we define the stiffness matrix B , mass matrix M and load vector F ,

$$A_{i,j} = \int_0^1 \varphi_i'(x) \varphi_j'(x) dx, \quad M_{i,j} = \int_0^1 \varphi_i(x) \varphi_j(x) dx, \quad F_j = \int_0^1 \varphi_j(x) f(x) dx$$

Using this we can rewrite the weak formulation as,

$$v^T A u + c v^T M u = v^T F$$

Since this must hold for all v this is equivalent to,

$$A u + c M u = F$$

$$(A + c M) u = F$$

Here $A + cM$ is the A and F is b in the system of linear equations outlined in the task.

Since φ_i -s are zero everywhere except around x_i we can assemble A and M from 2×2 matrices,

$$\int_k^{k+1} \varphi_k'(x) \varphi_k'(x) dx = \int_k^{k+1} \frac{1}{(x_{k+1} - x_k)^2} dx = \frac{1}{x_{k+1} - x_k}$$

$$\int_k^{k+1} \varphi_k'(x) \varphi_{k+1}'(x) dx = \int_k^{k+1} -\frac{1}{(x_{k+1} - x_k)^2} dx = -\frac{1}{x_{k+1} - x_k}$$

If we have an equidistant grid $\frac{1}{x_{k+1} - x_k}$ is h and the 2×2 matrices for A become,

$$\frac{1}{h} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The complete matrix becomes,

$$A = \frac{1}{h} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Doing the same for M :

$$\int_k^{k+1} \varphi_k(x) \varphi_k(x) dx = \int_k^{k+1} \frac{(x_{k+1} - x)^2}{(x_{k+1} - x_k)^2} dx = \frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{3}$$

$$\int_k^{k+1} \varphi_k(x) \varphi_k(x) dx = \int_k^{k+1} \frac{(x_{k+1} - x)(x - x_k)}{(x_{k+1} - x_k)^2} dx = \frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{6}$$

If we have an equidistant grid $\frac{1}{x_{k+1} - x_k}$ is h and the 2×2 matrices for B become,

$$\frac{h}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The complete matrix becomes,

$$A = \frac{1}{h} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We enforce the boundary conditions by removing the first and last rows and columns, the matrices become,

$$A = \frac{1}{h} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M = \frac{h}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

For F the 2-dimensional vector becomes,

$$\frac{1}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \begin{pmatrix} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} (x_{k+1} - x) f(x) dx \\ \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} (x - x_k) f(x) dx \end{pmatrix}$$

For most $f(x)$ we need to use a quadrature rule like $\int_a^b g(x) dx \simeq (b-a) \frac{g(a) + g(b)}{2}$ to solve the integral. By doing this we get,

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} f(x_{x_k}) \\ f(x_{x_{k+1}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus making the full vector,

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} f(x_0) & 2f(x_1) & 2f(x_2) & \dots & 2f(x_M) & f(x_{M+1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Enforcing the boundary we get,

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) & f(x_2) & \dots & f(x_M) \end{pmatrix}$$

After the system of linear equations is solved, the expression for the numerical solution is simply,

c)

When we have $V_h = \text{span}\{\sin(\pi x), \sin(2\pi x), \sin(3\pi x)\}$, we get that,

$$A_{i,j} = \int_0^1 \phi_i'(x) \phi_j'(x) dx, \quad F_j = \int_0^1 \phi_j(x) f(x) dx$$

By sloving the intergals we get the linear system of equations,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\pi^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{9}{2}\pi^2 \end{bmatrix} u = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\pi} \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \\ \frac{1}{3\pi} \end{bmatrix}$$

solving we get,

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\pi^3} \\ -\frac{1}{\pi^3} \\ \frac{2}{27\pi^3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Setting this back in to $U(x) = \sum_i u_i \phi_i(x)$ we get,

$$U(x) = \frac{2}{\pi^3} \sin(\pi x) - \frac{1}{\pi^3} \sin(2\pi x) + \frac{2}{27\pi^3} \sin(3\pi x)$$