




5. Continuation on Astronomical project - N -body simulation of an open galactic cluster

Magnus Christopher Bareid
un: magnucb

December 29, 2016



Abstract

This project is a continuation on a previous project^[1] given to the students this semester, in which the students were to gravitationally and numerically simulate the Solar System.

For the current project, the students are to simulate an N -body system, unrelated to the Solar system, and investigate properties of the system as it is simulated to reflect on the model's physical validity.

The system will begin with only negative energy as its bodies are spread out, having no initial velocity, letting the system collapse in on itself.

The physical properties which I will investigate through this project are energy conservation; numerical instabilities and introducing a correction term ε to handle this; viriality of the simulations; and radial distribution of the system's bodies.

Among the findings will be that energy conservation in this type of simulation is hard to reach; that an error correction term of $\varepsilon = 0.1$ proved the best fit, in spite of not fixing all problems; viriality of the systems seemed function excellently in the calculations; and the radial distribution of the cluster's bodies seemed to make sense with the math, even after having let the system evolve for a few time frames.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Underlying math	1
2.1	Newton's laws	1
2.2	Time and gravitational constant	1
2.3	Stellar body ejection and energy conservation	2
2.4	Virial theorem	2
2.5	Numerical round-off correction	3
2.6	Radial density	3
3	Programming	3
3.1	Update of main	3
3.2	Update of Velocity Verlet	4
3.3	Time steps	4
4	Experiments, discussions	4
4.1	Simulations without numerical round-off correction results	4
4.2	Simulations without numerical round-off correction discussion	7
4.3	Finding numerical instability round-off correction constant results	7
4.4	Finding numerical instability round-off correction constant discussion	9
4.5	Radial density results	9
4.6	Radial density discussion	11
5	Conclusion	11
6	Appendix	12
6.1	Code and GitHub	12

N-body simulation of an open galactic cluster

1 Introduction

For this project I will review the mathematical equations related to Newtonian gravitation and other relevant equations, in order to simulate a stellar cluster, an N -body system.

It is worth noting that the differential integration method that I utilize is the Velocity Verlet method, as opposed to the Euler or RungeKutta4 method which were mentioned in the previous astronomical project.

The physical properties which I will investigate through this project are:

- energy conservation in an N -body system and its related equilibrium,
- numerical instabilities from round-off corrections,
- validity of the virial theorem for these simulations,
- radial distribution of stellar bodies in a gravitationally bound system.

In order to make the N -body model, some assumptions must be declared:

- N bodies constitute the cluster, and interaction between bodies happens through Newtonian gravitational force, as seen in equation 1.
- The N -body system is collisionless, see above point.
- The system's bodies are distributed within a sphere of radius $R_0 = 20$.
- The system's bodies have no initial velocity, a so-called *cold collapse*.

2 Underlying math

The most important mathematical expression for an assignment investigating properties of a gravitational system, will be the equations gravitational force exchange.

2.1 Newton's laws

The force equation between two objects, will be as per Newton's law of gravity:

$$\vec{F}_{G_{i,j}} = -G \frac{m_i m_j}{r_{i,j}^3} \vec{r}_{i,j} \quad (1)$$

where i and j denotes which objects' force, in the system of objects' forces, are being measured, the m s represents their masses, r is the displacement between the two objects, and G is the Newton's gravitational constant.

Furthermore, Newton's third law of motion comes into place here, as objects are being iterated over, such that

$$\vec{F}_{G_{j,i}} = -\vec{F}_{G_{i,j}} \quad (2)$$

and the force experienced by the second object of the two equals the same force that the first object experiences, only in the opposite direction.

Newtonian energy expressions will also be used, as per

$$K_i = \frac{1}{2} m_i v_i^2 \quad (3)$$

for kinetic energy of body i , and

$$V_{i,j} = -G \frac{m_i m_j}{r_{i,j}} \quad (4)$$

for gravitational potential energy.

2.2 Time and gravitational constant

Then it is the matter of the system's time scale. It seems arbitrarily that I should measure time in years for a problem that is not related to Earth years. Instead, time is defined through, and normalized by, an analytical expression for an N -body system's duration of collapse τ_{collapse} (or τ_c for short). The mathematical background and elaboration of τ_c is based on a topic of cosmology referred to as the "Spherical Top Hat Model"[3], but its formalism is already given in the project's details. Simply put, it describes the analytical timeframe in which a collisionless homogeneous fluid collapses in on itself to form a singularity.

From the expression of τ_c I then pull the gravitational constant, dependent on the system's density ρ_0 , and by extension the cluster's limiting radius R_0 .

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_c &= \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{32G\rho_0}} \\ \Rightarrow G &= \frac{3\pi}{32\tau_c^2\rho_0}, \\ \rho_0 &= \frac{\sum_i m_i}{\frac{4}{3}\pi R_0^3}, \\ \Rightarrow G &= \frac{1}{8} \frac{\pi^2 R_0^3}{\tau_c^2 \sum_i m_i}\end{aligned}$$

however, as I measure time as an iterated increment of τ_c , its value is normalized to 1, yielding:

$$G = \frac{1}{8} \frac{\pi^2 R_0^3}{\sum_i m_i} \quad (5)$$

2.3 Stellar body ejection and energy conservation

The cluster's bodies initial conditions require that the bodies are at rest in the beginning of the integration process, which means that they are all in a state of potential energy and no kinetic energy.

As an idealized case for a cluster such as this, the cluster's bodies would be bound to the system in a way that the kinetic energy of a body i , would never overcome its potential energy:

$$\begin{aligned}K_i &\leq -V_i \\ \Delta E_i &= K_i + V_i \leq 0\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

However, as time will pass and the system develops, some stellar body i may acquire more kinetic energy than its potential energy holds at a certain time step, and thus it is at that time the body will be ejected from the cluster, as the following equation is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}K_i &> -V_i \\ \Delta E_i &= K_i + V_i > 0\end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This is easy to implement as a check for ejected bodies when analyzing produced raw data.

Another observation one might make from this is one of energy conservation. Due to the system's initial condition, all the initial energy is stored as potential energy, and by the law of energy conservation

$$E_{\text{initial}} = \sum_i K_i + \sum_i V_i \quad (8)$$

the stored absolute energies at any given time must always sum to equal the amount that the system started with - another item with which to test the system.

Another way to illustrate this is to view the gravitationally bound bodies and the ejected bodies separately:

$$\begin{aligned}E_{\text{initial}} &= K_b - V_b + K_e - V_e \\ &\simeq K_b - V_b + K_e\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where K_b , V_b and K_e , V_e are the sums of bound and ejected bodies' kinetic and potential energies, respectively.

The simplification where one may ignore the term V_e is sensible in the sense that the energy stored by gravitational potential decreases quickly with the distance between objects, as per equation 4.

2.4 Virial theorem

The virial theorem looks at an N -body system, and claims that if the system is in gravitationally bound equilibrium, then the time averaged kinetic energy of the system should scale to the time average of the system's potential energy in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}2\langle K \rangle &= -\langle V \rangle \\ \Rightarrow 2\langle K \rangle + \langle V \rangle &= 0\end{aligned}$$

If this equation holds, the system is indeed in equilibrium (or oscillating around an equilibrium), and if the system is large enough, the average of the bodies that are still bound, approximates the time average of the bodies that are still bound

$$2\langle K \rangle + \langle V \rangle = \frac{2K_b - V_b}{\# \text{ bound}} = 0 \quad (10)$$

at every time step, as per the ergodic hypothesis.

2.5 Numerical round-off correction

At a later point in the project, there is introduced a smoothing factor that helps deal with numerical round-off problems, modifying the force equation thusly:

$$\vec{F}_{G_{i,j}} = -G \frac{m_i m_j}{r_{i,j}^2 + \varepsilon^2} \frac{\vec{r}_{i,j}}{r_{i,j}} \quad (11)$$

wherein the new quantity ε represents the aforementioned smoothing factor, with which different magnitudes of values will be experimented.

It is introduced to deal with force exchanges on significantly short distance scales that may occur in the simulations, which would then lead to near-infinite force exchanges between bodies due to erroneous or inaccurate numerical number representations in the integration.

In terms of the trade: an "underflow" representation of distance $r_{i,j}$, leads to an "overflow" representation of force $\vec{F}_{G_{i,j}}$. The most evident effect of this will be when two bodies quite quickly gain unrealistic amounts of kinetic energy in too small of a time frame.

On the other hand, introducing the smoothing factor ε serves to always keep a reasonable, finite numerical value represented in the divisor of equation 11. But because the smoothing factor ε is an artificial constant, then the integration of distances smaller than values of ε will not be accurately integrated.

It is then important to choose a value for the smoothing factor ε to sufficiently smooth out the numerical instabilities, yet simultaneously not affect the macroscopic system's integration too greatly.

2.6 Radial density

There is an analytical expression often used to fit the radial distribution of gravitationally bound bodies in equilibrium with one another, from cold collapse system evolutions.

$$n(r) = \frac{n_0}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^4\right)} \quad (12)$$

Of course, this is a simplification with which one may try to fit data to, and through this process values will be found for n_0 and r_0 .

3 Programming

The previous project utilized some small-scale general relativity, which at the scales of this current project is obsolete. Instead, the force equation is rewritten according to equation 1 and 11.

The layout of the class structures largely remain the same, and the main program basically runs the same way, with a few changes.

While the data production is done by simulating systems with different initial conditions through C++, most of the data analysis is done with a Python script that matches the initial conditions, and produces plots.

3.1 Update of main

Command line functionality is modified to take in the system's number of bodies \mathbf{N} , a time step size \mathbf{dt} , correction factor ε , and duration of the simulation.

Next is the random number generation modules initialization, with a uniform distribution of positions for the cluster's stellar bodies, and a gaussian distribution of mass between the stellar bodies, with a mean of 10 Solar masses and a standard deviation of 1 Solar mass.

The cluster's instance is then initialized with a given value for ε , as the calculation of forces and energies between stellar bodies is a function of this class.

The stellar bodies now need to be created. To ensure that the cluster's bodies are created in a spherically uniform distribution, the coordinates system in which they are first initialized is spherical, and then these are translated into cartesian coordinates. The bodies are then created with a gaussianly randomized mass value as described prior.

Now that the cluster's total mass is measured, the gravitational constant G is initialized as per equation 5, the datafile is opened and the numerical integration begins, producing the clusters' bodies' movement and energies unto the data.

3.2 Update of Velocity Verlet

As stated previously, the numerical integrator of the system utilizes the Velocity Verlet method. It should be noted that the previous project's utilization of this algorithm was extremely crudely implemented. For the purpose of this project, however, the algorithm has been cleaned up:forklare

```

1 void Verlet::integrateOneStep(Cluster &system){
2 system.calculateForcesAndEnergy();
3 // Velocity Verlet
4 for (CelestialBody &body :system.bodies()) {
5 // half-step computation
6 body.velocity += (m_dt/2.)*(body.force/body.mass);  $t = 0\tau_c$ .
7 body.position += body.velocity*m_dt;
8 }
9 system.calculateForcesAndEnergy();
10 for (CelestialBody &body :system.bodies()) {
11 // the new velocity
12 body.velocity += (m_dt/2.)*(body.force/body.mass);
13 }}

```

This improvement of the code yields an efficiency boost at about 20% less time for a standard run of the program with 100 stellar bodies and 4000 time steps to iterate.

3.3 Time steps

Choosing a decent time increment's value, \mathbf{dt} , is essential to ensure that the program proceeds ahead in the best possible way.

To find try out a few resolutions, I constructed some initial test runs of the program. The initial parameters were set to $\mathbf{N} = 100$ stellar bodies, $\mathbf{R}_0 = 20$ light years for the stellar bodies' radial distribution's upper displacement limit, and trying out a few time resolutions of \mathbf{dt} being a varyingly small fraction of τ_c .

Having tried out a few time resolutions, I settled on $\mathbf{dt} = 0.001\tau_c$, as this seemed to yield a smooth accuracy for the integration and still be time efficient.

4 Experiments, discussions

4.1 Simulations without numerical round-off correction results

Simulating an $\mathbf{N} = 100$ body simulation with $\varepsilon = 0$ yielded this development of motion.




Figure 1: $\mathbf{N} = 100$ body cluster simulation at




Figure 2: $\mathbf{N} = 100$ body cluster simulation at $t \simeq 8\tau_c$.




Figure 3: $\mathbf{N} = 100$ body cluster simulation at $t \simeq 16\tau_c$.

Running the simulation for varying values of $\mathbf{N} = 100, 200, 400$ yielded the following plots




Figure 4: $\mathbf{N} = 100$ body cluster's energy development, all bodies' energies summed for every time step, over a duration of $t \simeq 16\tau_c$.




Figure 7: $\mathbf{N} = 100$ *bound* body cluster's energy development, all *bound* bodies' energies summed for every time step.




Figure 5: $\mathbf{N} = 200$ body cluster's energy development, all bodies' energies summed for every time step, over a duration of $t \simeq 16\tau_c$.




Figure 8: $\mathbf{N} = 200$ *bound* body cluster's energy development, all *bound* bodies' energies summed for every time step.




Figure 6: $\mathbf{N} = 400$ body cluster's energy development, all bodies' energies summed for every time step, over a duration of $t \simeq 16\tau_c$.




Figure 9: $\mathbf{N} = 400$ *bound* body cluster's energy development, all *bound* bodies' energies summed for every time step.




Figure 10: $N = 100$ body cluster's ejected bodies' counting over a duration of $t \simeq 16\tau_c$, and energy of ejected bodies.




Figure 13: $N = 100$ body cluster's energy conservation illustration and virial approximation, over a duration of $t \simeq 16\tau_c$.




Figure 11: $N = 200$ body cluster's ejected bodies' counting over a duration of $t \simeq 16\tau_c$, and energy of ejected bodies.




Figure 14: $N = 200$ body cluster's energy conservation illustration and virial approximation, over a duration of $t \simeq 16\tau_c$.




Figure 12: $N = 400$ body cluster's ejected bodies' counting over a duration of $t \simeq 16\tau_c$, and energy of ejected bodies.




Figure 15: $N = 400$ body cluster's energy conservation illustration and virial approximation, over a duration of $t \simeq 16\tau_c$.

4.2 Simulations without numerical round-off correction discussion

Having seen the development of the cluster by plots and animation, it seems that the cluster almost immediately ejects some of its contents, and as the rest of the structure collapses, even more material is flung, the flinging being indicated by the sudden increases in kinetic energy from figure 4, sideways and beyond, at regular intervals when the stellar distribution seems to pulse with time.

It is clear that for no matter how long a duration one might choose run this set of initial conditions, a large fraction of stellar bodies are ejected and flung across space, effectively never to be bound by the cluster's gravitational potential well again.

One might consider the aforementioned apparent motion of pulsation to be the energies of the system reaching an equilibrium point, which is the ideal for a gravitationally bound system. However, as such a large quantity of bodies that initially belonged to the cluster are ejected, then this seems a poor equilibrium for the initial system - even though the remaining core bodies seem to waltz around peacefully enough.

In other words, whereas the system as a whole, with all bodies from the initial setup, is far from reaching an equilibrium state, the core that remains and continues to dance by the music of gravity, seems to reach some kind of near-equilibrium at a time around $2\tau_c$, as indicated by the figures 13, 14, 15, that show how the remaining bound bodies' energies seem to oscillate around viriality, as per the virial theorem and equation 10.

A question then arises, in relation to the ergodic hypothesis, from which we declared that an average over the whole system would replace the time average, to calculate viriality: how large a system is large enough? This speaks to the fact that for $N = 100$, around half of the bodies are ejected, which is quite a large fraction of bodies to lose and take an average over.

However, as stated earlier, quite a lot of energy is lost to the system from the ejection that

is due to numerical instabilities of the cluster's bodies' force exchange, and we see this clearly when looking at the magnitude scale of kinetic energies, which have been raised way beyond any measure of energy conservation, as per aforementioned figures 13, 14, 15, and energy is then clearly not conserved in these simulations.

While the energy taken away from the system is clearly quite large to begin with, it also seems to scale with increasing factor N , in accordance with the sense that an increased number of bodies that might potentially be ejected, an increased number of bodies are indeed ejected, which means that the total amount of energy ejected is bigger. The fractional ejection trend, however, seems to decrease with increasing N bodies.

One might then speculate that by a number of N great enough, the fraction of ejected bodies might be so small, that even their collected energies will also be so small, that the simulational error which I will attempt to fix by introducing the modified gravity per equation 11, might not even be necessary in the great picture.

A counter-indication to this, is the fact that the count of ejected particles seem to increase slowly but steadily, even after an equilibrium has been reached.

4.3 Finding numerical instability round-off correction constant results

To find the best value for ε as a numerical instability correction constant, simulations are for a range of $\varepsilon = 0.01, 0.1, 1$ respectively. Energy conservation, ejected bodies' count, and ejected kinetic energy is investigated.




Figure 16: $N = 100$ body cluster's ejected bodies' counting with $\varepsilon = 0.01$, and energy of ejected bodies.




Figure 19: $N = 100$ body cluster's energy conservation illustration and virial approximation, with $\varepsilon = 0.01$.




Figure 17: $N = 100$ body cluster's ejected bodies' counting with $\varepsilon = 0.1$, and energy of ejected bodies.




Figure 20: $N = 100$ body cluster's energy conservation illustration and virial approximation, with $\varepsilon = 0.1$.




Figure 18: $N = 100$ body cluster's ejected bodies' counting with $\varepsilon = 1$, and energy of ejected bodies.




Figure 21: $N = 100$ body cluster's energy conservation illustration and virial approximation, with $\varepsilon = 1$.

4.4 Finding numerical instability round-off correction constant discussion

The goal here is to reach a model, by using the gravity equation 11, which introduces the correction term ε , so that the final model has

- as few as possible non-sensical kinetic energy spikes divided on a few bodies, to maintain physicality.
- a final model in which the energy seems to be conserved in the system.
- and where the system seems to virialize towards an equilibrium state.

The system should be free to eject bodies, as long as these bodies have reasonable kinetic energies, and total energy amount is conserved.

With ε set to $\varepsilon = 0.01$, there are still seemingly non-physical spikes of energy, figure 16, long after the system of bodies have seemed to gravitationally virialize, figure 19. The fraction of ejecta is also still quite high, figure 16, and energy is definitely not conserved, according to figure 19.

For the other side of the range, $\varepsilon = 1$, very few objects are ejected, figure 18, and the kinetic energy spikes only happen a few times in the beginning, before these energies fade. On the other hand, both the energy conservation and the virialization belonging to this dataset is oscillating below ideal values, at great enough a magnitude that the data looks intuitively wrong. As discussed in section 2.5, this seems to indicate that the magnitude value of $\varepsilon = 1$ is too great to *not* diffuse the macroscopic system's calculation.

Lastly, for the middle value of the investigated range of ε s, there is $\varepsilon = 0.1$. This set value of the round-off correction term yielded a fairly reasonably low magnitude of ejected bodies, and the largest spike in ejection energy does not seem unreasonable, figure 17. At the same time, the system's energy conservation level seems to hover nearby the ideal level - despite a some minor aberrations, figure 20.

In this figure it is also seen how the energy seems the closest to being conserved around the

time mark $\tau_c = 5$, although at times after this mark, the sum of the known energies seems set on a positive slope for no good reason. This is likely because the numerical instability correction term, ε , while used in the force exchange equation 11, is not taken account for in the potential energy equation 4.

So wherein I have discovered that a value for the round-off correction term $\varepsilon = 0.1$ might be a good value, it is not a perfect value, or even a perfect solution, and simulated N -body systems' physicality could be speculated to become more and more unphysical after a time frame of $\tau_c = 5$, or $\tau_c = 6$.

4.5 Radial density results

Choosing equilibrium time to be around $t \simeq 4.5\tau_c$, this table and these plots of radial distribution data are retrieved from the simulations.

The method for identifying the bodies that are still bound and their positions, is done in python with a few for loops and if tests.

N	$\langle R \rangle$	σ_R	r_0	n_0
100	11.34	13.55	1.239	21
200	10.51	15.89	1.550	43
400	10.10	13.99	1.644	83
1000	9.726	12.80	1.634	222

Table 1: Table of average radial radius and standard deviation of these radii, of gravitationally bound bodies at equilibrium time $t \simeq 4.5\tau_c$.




Figure 22: $N = 100$ body cluster simulation at $t \simeq 4.5\tau_c$.




Figure 23: $N = 200$ body cluster simulation at $t \simeq 4.5\tau_c$.




Figure 26: $N = 100$ body cluster simulation at $t \simeq 4.5\tau_c$.




Figure 24: $N = 400$ body cluster simulation at $t \simeq 4.5\tau_c$.




Figure 27: $N = 200$ body cluster simulation at $t \simeq 4.5\tau_c$.




Figure 25: $N = 1000$ body cluster simulation at $t \simeq 4.5\tau_c$.




Figure 28: $N = 400$ body cluster simulation at $t \simeq 4.5\tau_c$.




Figure 29: $N = 1000$ body cluster simulation at $t \simeq 4.5\tau_c$.

4.6 Radial density discussion

It can be seen from table 1 that both average radial radius and its standard deviation decreases with increasing N bodies in a system's simulation for the equilibrium point chosen, however not linearly so, which in turn makes $\langle R \rangle \propto N^{\text{power}}$, where power ≥ 3 seems a likely case.

This decline in an average radius between bodies makes sense, as shown in figures 22 through 25, where the accumulation of bodies in a core group of the cluster's structure leads to an increased density, which in turn leads to a decrease in average distance between the bodies. This would also explain the decrease in the standard deviation, for denser and denser clusters.

The fitting of equation 12 on top of the radial densities, as seen from figures 26 through 29 shows that the analytical model fits generally well with the observed data, at least in terms of the general trend, whilst not accounting for a lot of discrepancy.

One may also note that in figure 26, the analytical approximation doesn't even describe the peak of distributed matter, which makes it plausible that either a system of $N = 100$ bound bodies is too small a system to be statistically valid.

Another note would be to observe how all the forms of $n(r)$ seem to be almost exactly the same shape, which leads me to wonder if my calculations for one of the factors that deter-

mines its shape has a flaw in it, and that their description-ish of the radial density's trend is pure accident.

5 Conclusion

This project has detailed how the cold collapse of an N -body will simulate with respect to time, with a set of initial conditions, and while investigating some physical properties, trying to make the simulation physically real simultaneously.

Choosing a time step $dt = 0.001\tau_c$ from trial and error was the first step in this direction, by trying to have a good resolution and a time-efficient integration cycle.

It was, however, not enough, as numerical instabilities plagued the integration's steps, causing very unreal energy measurements, and ejecting far too many bodies from the clusters' structures. The smoothness factor ε from equation 11 was then introduced, in an attempt to make both virialization and energy conservation work for the model.

Setting $\varepsilon = 0.1$ proved to be the best fit from my experiments; although while the virialization for this value was spot on, ejected bodies decreased significantly and energy conservation yielded the best result for this value of ε , though not as good a result as I would have hoped for. Due to this, it is my consideration that even with this correction term applied, the system's energy is still not conserved.

The interpretation of what ε should represent in these calculations could well tie in to the fact that the bodies which are simulated are, as long as they're not black holes, of finite length in the three dimensions governed by Newtonian gravity. Without this factor, these bodies would interact only through gravity, thus being without the implementation of collisions. As seen from crazy orbits around black holes, much-too-close orbits around a gravitational center lead to crazy energies, which we name "numerical instabilities".

Bringing ε then into the equation essentially removes this type of crazy interaction, giving the force exchange between bodies a finite, lower limit. It then makes sense that, although

collisional interaction still is not implemented, the cluster's bodies have by introducing ε been given spatial extent: a body's representation in space.

The assumption of τ_c being the collapse time of any system, seems to hold fairly well for all values of N with which I have run the simulations. One may see from energy readings that the kinetic energy spikes around $\tau_c = 1$ for all systems, which means that this is where all particles have achieved their maximum velocities, which in turn means that they've reached the bottom of their gravitational well, which in an ideally constructed system would be at the center of the cluster, which means that the cluster has indeed collapsed.

After this, all the systems simulated, including the final model with the numerical instability term applied as $\varepsilon = 0.1$, show signs that the systems' virialization seems to begin stabilizing around $1.5\tau_c$, which in turn is consistent with Spherical Top Hat Model theory^[3].

But the mere fact that the remaining bodies virialize, for all values of N bodies and with the right values for ε , show that the systems manage to reach motional equilibriums, even though energy is not conserved. This is remarkable as one could easily think that a system which would not feature energy conservation well, should not reach any kind of equilibrium, not even virialize.

The radial density of the bodies, as per intuition, a stellar cluster's bodies will be densest in the middle at equilibrium time. It also makes sense that the interbody distances decrease as the cluster starts out denser with increasing N .

It is interesting to note that fraction of ejected bodies compared to initial amount of bodies, seem to decrease with increasing N bodies. It is easy to think that this is because a denser cluster's gravitational exchange will simultaneously experience a tighter grip on itself, and behave more like a self-contained homogeneous fluid with increasing $N \rightarrow \infty$.

However, the fact remains that this is an N -body system, and not a homogeneous fluid, and that is why no singularities are created in these simulations, at least not as far as the Newtonian mechanics which are implemented go.

Maybe if the force equation was Einsteinian, and $\varepsilon = 0$, maybe then one would see singularities form quite easily, but that would be for a different kind of project assignment.

If I'd have to improve anything from this assignment, my first choice would be to troubleshoot the implementation of equation 12 to make sure it is working as intended.

Another thing to investigate would be if the fit of ε depends on N , considering the system always initializes in the same volume and how densities differ. Intuitively, ε is a pure numerical factor and the integration should remain unfringed by changing N , but the variation of ε still *might* affect the system positively or negatively.

6 Appendix

6.1 Code and GitHub

All my code is located at this address:

<https://github.com/magnucb/p5>

References

- [1] Bareid, M 2016,
SolarSystem.pro,
viewed 9th of December 2016,
<https://github.com/magnucb/Project3>
- [2] M. Joyce, B. Marcos, and F. Sylos Labini 2010,
Cold uniform spherical collapse revisited
viewed 9th of December 2016,
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1011.0614v1.pdf>
- [3] M. Dijkstra,
AST4320: Cosmology and Extragalactic Astrophysics,
viewed th of December 2016,
https://dl.dropboxusercontent.com/u/16515147/AST4320/LecturenotesAST4320_Nov30.pdf