Fys4150: Computational Physics

Project 1

Due date **19.th of September, 2016 - 23:59**

Øyvind B. Svendsen, Magnus Christopher Bareid
un: oyvinbsv, magnucb

September 18, 2016

Abstract

The aim of this project is to get familiar with various vector and matrix operations, from dynamic memory allocation to the usage of programs in the library package of the course.

The student was invited to use either brute force-algorithms to calculate linear algebra, or to use a set of recommended linear algebra packages through Armadillo that simplify the syntax of linear algebra. Additionally, dynamic memory handling is expected.

The students will showcase necessary algebra to perform the tasks given to them, and explain the way said algebra is implemented into algorithms. In essence, we're asked to simplify a linear second-order differential equation from the form of the Poisson equation, seen as

$$\nabla^2\Phi = -4\pi\rho(\mathbf{r})$$

into a one-dimensional form bounded by Dirichlet boundary conditions.

$$-u''(x) = f(x)$$

so that discretized linear algebra may be committed unto the equation, yielding a number of numerical methods for aquiring the underivated function $u(x)$.

Contents

1 Introduction

The production of this document will inevitably familiarize its authors with the programming language `C++`, and to this end mathematical groundwork must first be elaborated to translate a Poisson equation from continuous calculus form, into a discretized numerical form.

The Poisson equation is rewritten to a simplified form, for which a real solution is given, with which we will compare our numerical approximation to the real solution.

2 Problem

3 Method

Reviewing the Poisson equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla^2\Phi = -4\pi\rho(\mathbf{r}), \text{ which is simplified one-dimensionally by } \Phi(r) = \phi(r)/r \\
\Rightarrow &\frac{d^2\phi}{dr^2} = -4\pi r\rho(r), \text{ which is further simplified by these substitutions:} \\
&r \to x, \\
&\phi \to u, \\
&4\pi r\rho(r) \to f, \qquad \text{which produces the simplified form}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-u''(x) = f(x), \qquad \text{for which we assume that} \qquad f(x) = 100e^{-10x}, \\
&\Rightarrow u(x) = 1 - (1 - e^{-10})x - e^{-10x}, \text{ with bounds: } x \in [0,1],\ u(0) = u(1) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

From here on and out, the methods for finding $u(x)$ numerically will be deduced.

To more easily comprehend the syntax from a programming viewpoint, one may refer to the each discretized representation of x and u; we know the span of x, and therefore we may divide it up into appropriate chunks. Each of these x_i will yield a corresponding u_i.

We may calculate each discrete x_i by the form $x_i = ih$ in the interval from $x_0 = 0$ to $x_n = 1$ as it is linearly increasing, meaning we use n points in our approximation, yielding the step length $h = 1/n$. Of course, this also yields discretized representation of $u(x_i) = u_i$.

Through Euler's teachings on discretized numerical derivation methods, a second derivative may be constructed through the form of

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{fw} = \frac{u_{i+1} - u_i}{h} \qquad \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{bw} = \frac{u_i - u_{i-1}}{h}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2 [u_i] = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}_{bw}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)_{fw} [u_i] = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)_{bw}\left(\frac{u_{i+1} - u_i}{h}\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial u_{i+1}}{\partial x}\right)_{bw} - \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x}\right)_{bw}}{h}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^2 [u_i] = \frac{u_{+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}}{h^2}$$

$$-u''(x) = -\frac{u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}}{h^2} = \frac{-u_{i+1} + 2u_i - u_{i-1}}{h^2} = f_i, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n \tag{2}$$

The discretized prolem can now be solved as a linear algebraic problem. Looking closer at

the discretized problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
-u''(x_i) = \frac{-u_{i+1} + 2u_i - u_{i-1}}{h^2} &= f_i \\
\Rightarrow -u_{i+1} + 2u_i - u_{i-1} &= h^2 f_i = y_i \\
i = 1: \quad -u_2 + 2u_1 - u_0 &= y_1 \\
i = 2: \quad -u_3 + 2u_2 - u_1 &= y_2 \\
i = 3: \quad -u_4 + 2u_3 - u_2 &= y_3 \\
&\vdots \\
i = n: \quad -u_{n+1} + 2u_n - u_{n-1} &= y_n
\end{aligned}$$

This is very similar to a linear algebra /matrix problem and we will test a system of equations to match.

$$A\vec{u} = \vec{y}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ \\ u_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ \\ y_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix equation will not be valid for the first and last values of $\vec{y}$ because they would require elements of $\vec{u}$ that are not defined; u_{-1} and u_{n+2}. Given this constraint we see that the matrix-equation gives the same set of equations that we require.

$$\begin{aligned}
i = 1: \quad -u_2 + 2u_1 - u_0 &= y_1 \\
i = 2: \quad -u_3 + 2u_2 - u_1 &= y_2 \\
i = 3: \quad -u_4 + 2u_3 - u_2 &= y_3 \\
&\vdots \\
i = n: \quad -u_{n+1} + 2u_n - u_{n-1} &= y_n
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficients from each of these terms and their corresponding value of $u(x)$ may be represented by a tridiagonal matrix multiplication:

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}u(x) = f(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\mathbf{A}}\hat{\mathbf{u}} = h^2\hat{\mathbf{f}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} = h^2 \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The double derivation is now reduced to a discretized linear algebra operation by way of matrix multiplication. In our case, $f(x)$ is known to us, and the only unknowns are the $u(x)$'s from $u_1 \to u_{n-1}$, as per the Dirichlet boundary conditions, which allows the use of the algorithm from equation .

The original problem at hand (the Poisson equation) has now been "degraded" to a simpler, linear algebra problem.
Solving a tridiagonal matrix-problem like this is done by gaussian elimination of the tridiagonal matrix A, and thereby solving $\vec{u}$ for the resulting diagonal-matrix.

Firstly the tridiagonal matrix A is rewritten to a series of three vectors $\vec{a}$, $\vec{b}$, and $\vec{c}$ that will represent a general tridiagonal matrix. This will make it easier to include other problems of a general form later.
The tridiagonal matrix A (with the vector y) now looks like:

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & & y_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & 0 & & & y_2 \\ 0 & a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & b_4 & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & c_{n-1} & y_{n-1} \\ & & & & a_n & b_n & y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

The gaussian elimination can be split into two parts; a forward substitution were the matrix-elements a_i are set to zero, and a backward substituion were the vector-elements u_i are calculated from known values.

starting with row 2, a row-operation is performed to maintain the validity of the system. The goal is to remove element a_2 from the row. This is done by subtracting row 1 (multiplied with some constant 'k' from row 2.

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & & y_1 \\ \tilde{a}_2 & \tilde{b}_2 & \tilde{c}_2 & 0 & & & \tilde{y}_2 \\ 0 & a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & & & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & b_4 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & c_{n-1} & y_{n-1} \\ & & & & a_n & b_n & y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{Row}_2 = Row_2 - k \times Row_1$$

where k is determined by $\tilde{a}_2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow k = \frac{a_2}{b_1}$

$$\tilde{b}_2 = b_2 - \frac{a_2}{b_1} c_1$$

$$\tilde{c}_2 = c_2 - \frac{a_2}{b_1} \times 0 = c_2$$

$$\tilde{y}_2 = y_2 - \frac{a_2}{b_1} y_1$$

Moving on to row 3, and performing a similar operation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & & y_1 \\ 0 & \tilde{b}_2 & c_2 & 0 & & & \tilde{y}_2 \\ 0 & \tilde{a}_3 & \tilde{b}_3 & \tilde{c}_3 & & & \tilde{y}_3 \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & b_4 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & c_{n-1} & y_{n-1} \\ & & & & a_n & b_n & y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{Row}_3 = Row_3 - k \times Row_2$$

where k is determined by $\tilde{a}_3 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow k = \frac{a_3}{\tilde{b}_2}$

$$\tilde{b}_3 = b_3 - \frac{a_3}{\tilde{b}_2} c_2$$

$$\tilde{c}_3 = c_3 - \frac{a_3}{\tilde{b}_2} \times 0 = c_3$$

$$\tilde{y}_3 = y_3 - \frac{a_3}{\tilde{b}_2} \tilde{y}_2$$

By repeating this step a pattern emerges, and an algorithm can be found:

$$\tilde{b}_{i+1} = b_{i+1} - \frac{a_{i+1}}{\tilde{b}_i} c_i$$
$$\tilde{y}_{i+1} = y_{i+1} - \frac{a_{i+1}}{\tilde{b}_i} \tilde{y}_i$$
$$i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$$

After this procedure, the tridiagonal matrix A is transformed into an uppertriangular matrix. This sort of set of equations can be solved for u, since the last equation has one unknown and the other equations has only two unknowns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & & y_1 \\ 0 & \tilde{b}_2 & c_2 & 0 & & & \tilde{y}_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{b}_3 & \tilde{c}_3 & & & \tilde{y}_3 \\ 0 & 0 & a_4 & b_4 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & c_{n-1} & y_{n-1} \\ & & & & a_n & b_n & y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

4 Explanation of programs

4.1 main.cpp

The main-program is a `c++`-program designed to take a cmd-line argument that decides the size of the array u, and a boolean argument (0 or 1 that decides wether or not the armadillo-function "solve" should be used.

An x-array between 0 and 1 is calculated and the appropriate a,b,c,y-arrays are calcualted as well. For this program the tridiagonal elements are constantly -1, 2 and -1 while y(x) follows the function described in the introduction.

If the boolean cmd-line argument is false, then the program will calculate $\vec{u}$ using the general tridiagonal method(explanation below) and store the CPU-time it takes to calculate $\vec{u}$ using this algorithm. Next, $\vec{u}$ will be calculated using the specialized tridiagonal method(explanation below) and store the CPU-time it takes to calculate $\vec{u}$ using this algorithm.
Afterwards, since `c++` is terrible at plotting data, all the data (CPU-time of methods, x-arrays, and u-arrays for both methods) are stored in separate files in the data-folder with the csv-format.

If the boolean cmd-line argument is true, then the program will calculate $\vec{u}$ using LU-decomposition method in the armadillo-library, measure the CPU-time it takes and store this time in the time-datafile.

The function 'write2file' does exactly what the name suggest, write a string to a file. The file-name is a argument to the function and the string is also a argument to the function. It is worth noting that this function will only append to the end of a file so that it does not accidentally destroy lots of data.

The function 'general_tridiag' solves the equation $A\hat{x} = \hat{y}$ for $\hat{x}$ when A is a tri-diagonal matrix. The tridiagonal elements are three arrays of length n that must be given to the function

```
t0 = clock();
//forward substitution
for (int i=1; i<=arg_n-3; i++){
    k = arg_a(i+1)/( (double) arg_b(i) ); //1 flop
    arg_b(i+1) -= k*arg_c(i); //2 flops
    arg_y(i+1) -= k*arg_y(i); //2 flops
}
//backward substitution
for (int i=arg_n-2; i>=1; i--){
    arg_u(i) = (arg_y(i) - arg_u(i+1)*arg_c(i))/( (double) arg_b(i) ); //4 flops
}
t1 = clock();
return (t1 - t0)/((double) CLOCKS_PER_SEC); //measure time of forward and backward substitution
```

Figure 1: The syntax used in the function 'general_tridiag' where all variables beginning with arg are function arguments.

as arguments along with the vector y and the size of the arrays. In figure **??** the syntax for solving x_i is presented, calculating $\tilde{b_i}$ and $\tilde{y_i}$ in the forward substitution, and u_i in backward substitution, according to the algorithm in section 3.
The comments in figure **??** counts the number of floting point operations in each line. This ammounts to

$$5flops \times (n-3)iterations + 4flops \times (n-2)iterations = (9n-23)flops$$

This strange number comes from the fact that the program requires n to be at least 3, since the Dirichlet Boundary Conditions are included in the for-loops. (i.e. excluding calculation of the endpoints, leaving them to be zero according to DBC).

The function 'specific_tridiag' is an attempt at optimizing the code in 'general_tridiag' and lowering the number of floating point operations per for-loop. This is primarly done by inserting -1.0 for every tridiagonal element a_i and c_i, and precalculating the diagonal elements d_i using the formula $d_i = \frac{i+1}{i}$.
In figure **??** the algorithm for an optimized, special-case of the tri-diagonal solver is included. The diagonal elements are already calculated, meaning the forward substitution only applies to $\hat{y}$. The comments counts the number of floating point operations in each iteration.

$$2flops \times (n-3)iterations + 3flops \times (n-2)iterations = (5n-12)flops$$

The same restraint applies to this calculation, meaning since the algorithm includes the DBC, n must be three or higher to actually compute any values for $\hat{u}$.

```
t0 = clock();
//forward substitution
for (int i=2; i<=arg_n-2; i++){
  arg_y(i) += arg_y(i-1)/d(i-1); //2 flops
}
//backward substition
for (int i=arg_n-2; i>=1; i--){
    arg_u(i) = (arg_y(i) + arg_u(i+1))/d(i); //3 flops
}
t1 = clock();
return (t1 - t0)/((double) CLOCKS_PER_SEC); //measure time of forward and backward substitution
```

Figure 2: The syntax used in the function 'specific_tridiag' where all variables beginning with arg are function arguments.

5 Appendix - Program list

This is the code used in this assignment. Anything that was done by hand has been implemented into this pdf, above. `plot_stuff.py`

```
import pylab as pyl
import os
import sys

curdir = os.getcwd()
data_dict = {} #dictionary of files
n_range_LU = pyl.logspace(1,3,num=3)
n_range_tridiag = pyl.logspace(1, 4,num=7)

for n in n_range_tridiag:
    #loop through different n's
    with open(curdir+"/data/dderiv_u_c++_n%d_tridiag.dat"%(int(n)), 'r') as infile:
        full_file = infile.read() #read entire file into text
        lines = full_file.split('\n') #separate by EOL-characters
        lines = lines[:-1] #remove last line (empty line)
        keys = lines.pop(0).split(',  ') #use top line as keys for dictionary
        dict_of_content = {}
        for i,key in zip(range(len(keys)), keys): #loop over keys, create approp. arrays
            dict_of_content[key] = [] #empty list
            for j in range(len(lines)): #loop though the remaining lines of the data-set
                line = lines[j].split(',  ') #split the line into string-lists
                word = line[i] # append the correct value to the correct list with the correct key
                try:
                    word = float(word) #check if value can be float
                except ValueError: #word cannot be turned to number
                    print word
                    sys.exit("There is something wrong with your data-file \n'%s' cannot be turned to numbers"%word)
                dict_of_content[key].append(word)
        data_dict["n=%d"%n] = dict_of_content #add complete dictionary to dictionary of files

def u_exact(x):
    u = 1.0 - (1.0 - pyl.exp(-10.0))*x - pyl.exp(-10.0*x)
    return u

def compare_methods(n):
    """
    For a specific length 'n' compare both methods
    with the exact function.
```

```
    """
    x = pyl.array(data_dict["n=%d"%n]["x"])
    gen = pyl.array(data_dict["n=%d"%n]["u_gen"])
    spec = pyl.array(data_dict["n=%d"%n]["u_spec"])
    exact = u_exact(x)
    pyl.figure("compare methods")
    pyl.grid(True)
    pyl.hold(True)
    pyl.xlabel("x")
    pyl.ylabel("u(x)")
    pyl.title("function u for three different methods (n=%d)"%n)

    pyl.plot(x, exact, 'k-', label="exact")
    pyl.plot(x, gen, 'b--', label="general tridiagonal")
    pyl.plot(x, spec, 'g-', label="specific tridiagonal")
    pyl.legend(loc='best', prop={'size':9})
    pyl.savefig(curdir+"/img/compare_methods_n%d.png"%n)

def compare_approx_n(n_range=[10,100,1000], approx_string="general"):
    """
    For all n's available, plot the general approximation and
    exact solution
    """
    if approx_string == "general":
        approx_key = "u_gen"
    elif approx_string == "specific":
        approx_key = "u_spec"
    else:
        sys.exit("In function 'compare_approx_n', wrong argument 'approx_string'")
    pyl.figure("compare %s"%approx_string)
    pyl.grid(True)
    pyl.hold(True)
    pyl.xlabel("x")
    pyl.ylabel("u(x)")
    pyl.title("approximation by %s tridiagonal method"%approx_string)

    for n in n_range:
        n = int(n)
        x = pyl.array(data_dict["n=%d"%n]["x"])
        u_approx = pyl.array(data_dict["n=%d"%n][approx_key])
        pyl.plot(x, u_approx, '--', label="n=%1.1e"%n)

    x = pyl.linspace(0,1,1001)
    exact = u_exact(x)
    pyl.plot(x, exact, '-', label="exact")
    pyl.legend(loc='best', prop={'size':9})
    pyl.savefig(curdir+"/img/compare_%s_n_n%d.png"%(approx_string,n))

def epsilon_plots(n_range=[10,100,1000]):
    eps_max = pyl.zeros(len(n_range))
    h = pyl.zeros(len(n_range))
    for i, n in enumerate(n_range):
        x = pyl.array(data_dict["n=%d"%n]["x"])
        u = u_exact(x)
        v = pyl.array(data_dict["n=%d"%n]["u_gen"])
        #calculate eps_max by finding max of |v_i - u_i|
        max_diff_uv = 0; jmax = 0;
        for j in range(n):
            diff_uv = abs(v[j]-u[j])
            if diff_uv > max_diff_uv:
```

```
                    max_diff_uv = diff_uv
                    jmax = j
            if jmax == 0 or jmax == n-1:
                sys.exit("There is an error in calculating the max_epsilon")
            eps_max[i] = pyl.log10(max_diff_uv/float(abs(u[jmax])))
            h[i] = pyl.log10(1.0/(n+1))
        pyl.figure("epsilon")
        pyl.grid(True)
        pyl.hold(True)
        pyl.xlabel(r"\log_{10}(h)")
        pyl.ylabel(r"$\epsilon = \log_{10}\left(\frac{u_{approx}-e_{exact}}{u_{exact}}\right)$")
        pyl.title("log-plot of epsilon against step-length h")
        pyl.plot(h, eps_max, 'ko')
        pyl.legend(loc='best')
        pyl.savefig(curdir+"/img/epsilon.png")

#make plots
compare_methods(n=10)
#compare_approx_n(approx_string="general")
#compare_approx_n(approx_string="specific")
#epsilon_plots()
pyl.show()
```