

# Parser Combinators

Guide to Chapter 9 of Chiusano/Bjarnason

# What do we learn from this chapter?

- How to **use** a parser combinator library?
- Specify a simple language (JSON) **using** a grammar and regexes
- **Design** an internal DSL for expressing grammars in scala
- Separating **design** from implementations

The yellow skills are more general.

BTW, none of the above is Scala-specific. Not even FP specific.

# Key Concepts that appear in this chapter

- Algebraic design, algebra (type, operators, and laws)
- Full abstraction of a type
- Type constructor
- Higher-kind, higher-kinded polymorphism
- Structure-preserving map (the structure preservation law)
- Internal DSL, fluid interface

All of these are well hidden in the chapter (some not named explicitly), so make sure you identify them after class.

# Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

# Input data in JSON format

(this is an example in concrete syntax of JSON; basically a character string)

```
{  
  "Company name" : "Microsoft",  
  "Ticker" : "MSFT",  
  
  "Active" : true,  
  "Price" : 30.66,  
  "Shares outstanding" : 8.38e9,  
  "Related companies" :  
    [ "HPQ", "IBM", "YHOO", "DELL", "GOOG", ],  
}
```

# The Example in JSON's Abstract Syntax

(no longer a string, but a structured Scala object)

```
JObject(Map(  
  "Shares outstanding" -> JNumber(8.38E9),  
  "Price" -> JNumber(30.66),  
  "Company name" -> JString("Microsoft"),  
  "Related companies" -> JArray(  
    Vector(JString("HPQ"), JString("IBM"),  
          JString("YHOO"), JString("DELL"),  
          JString("GOOG"))),  
  "Ticker" -> JString("MSFT"),  
  "Active" -> JBool(true)))
```

# Abstract Syntax for JSON

(the types of what we want to obtain from the input, using a parser)

```
trait JSON
case object JNull extends JSON
case class JNumber (get: Double) extends JSON
case class JString (get: String) extends JSON
case class JBool (get: Boolean) extends JSON
case class JArray (get: IndexedSeq[JSON])
    extends JSON
case class JObject (get: Map[String, JSON])
    extends JSON
```

# Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages



# Algebraic Design

- **Algebraic design**: *design your interface first, along with associated laws. Use the types and laws to refactor and evolve the interface.*
- We are using types heavily, **designing the API with types**, compiling and trying expressions.
- Since **laws are properties**, they are **tests** (property tests). This is a form of test-driven development (TDD), or test-first development.

# Algebraic Design, Full Abstraction, Higher Kinds

(the API/Interface first; separation of design & Implementation)

```
trait Parsers[ParseError, Parser[+_]] {  
  def run[A] (p: Parser[A]) (input: String): Either[ParseError,A]  
  def char (c: Char): Parser[Char]  
  def string (c: String): Parser[String]  
  def or[A] (s1: Parser[A], s2: Parser[A]): Parser[A]  
  ...  
}
```

These types are **abstracted fully**;  
We work without deciding how  
they are implemented.  
We typecheck & compile!

This is a higher kind (a type  
that is polymorphic in type  
constructors not in types!)

This is a type  
(variable)

This is a type constructor (variable).  
This particular variable must be instantiated  
with a covariant type constructor.

# Algebraic Design

(laws, aka tests)

```
forAll { (c: Char) => run (char(c)) (c.toString) == Right (c) }
```

```
forAll { (s: String) => run (string (s)) (s) == Right(s) }
```

```
forAll { (s1: String, s2: String) =>  
    val p = or (string(s1),string(s2))  
    run (p) (s1) shouldBe Right (s1)  
    run (p) (s2) shouldBe Right (s2) }
```

...

You can make such tests compile, before you have the implementation of parsers!

# Map is **structure preserving**

Consider new API:

```
def many[A] (p: Parser[A]): Parser[List[A]]  
def map[A,B] (p: Parser[A]) (f: A=>B): Parser[B]
```

Example:

```
map (many (char ('a'))) ( _.size)  ← What does this parser produce?
```

Law:

```
map (p) (a => a) == p // for any parser p
```

This means that map is **structure preserving**

(it only changes values produced, so with identity there is no change at all).

**Category theory** (mathematics of structures) says: there exist interesting laws about types;

Laws that can be written knowing only structures, not implementations.

# Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

# Parsing Combinators: TERMINALS for JSON

(We build a parser combinator language in which we can specify the translation)

```
val QUOTED: Parser[String] =  
  """\" ([^"]*) \"\"\".r  
  .map { _ dropRight 1 substring 1}  
  
val DOUBLE: Parser[Double] =  
  """\" (\\+|-)? [0-9]+ (\\. [0-9]+ ((e|E) (-|\\+)? [0-9]+) ?) ?\"\"\".r  
  .map { _.toDouble }  
  
val ws: Parser[Unit] =  
  """\" \\s+\"\"\".r map { _ => () }
```

# Parsing Combinators: JSON start symbol

```
lazy val json : Parser[JSON] =  
  ws.? |* { jstring | jobject | jarray |  
    jnull | jnumber | jbool }
```

- | is choice, ? means optional
- \*| is sequencing & ignore the right component when building AST  
( ' x \*| y ' is syntactic sugar for ' (x \*\* y) map { \_.\_1 } ' )
- Laziness allows recursive rules (like in EBNF)

# Turn terminal into AST leaves

```
val jnull: Parser[JSON] =  
  "null" |* ws.? |* succeed (JNull)  
  
val jbool: Parser[JBool] =  
  ("true" |* ws.? |* succeed (JBool(true ))) |  
  ("false" |* ws.? |* succeed (JBool(false )))  
  
val jstring: Parser[JString] =  
  { QUOTED *| ws.? } map { JString(_) }  
  
val jnumber: Parser[JNumber] =  
  { DOUBLE *| ws.? } map { JNumber(_) }
```



# Parse complex values [simplified to fit on a slide]

```
lazy val jarray: Parser[JArray] =  
  { "[" |* ws.? |* (json *| ",", " |* ws.? ) .*  
    *| "]" *| ws.? }  
    .map { l => JArray (l.toVector) }
```

```
lazy val field: Parser[(String, JSON)] =  
  QUOTED *| ws.? *| ":" *| ws.? ** json *| ",", " *| ws.?
```

```
lazy val jobject: Parser[JObject] =  
  { "{" |* ws.? |* field.* *| "}" *| ws.? }  
    .map { l => JObject (l.toMap) }
```

# Parser Combinators

(as an approach to parsing)

- Good for ad hoc jobs, parsing when regexes do not suffice
- Very lightweight as a dependency, no change to build process
- More expressive than generator-based tools (Turing complete)
- In standard libraries of many modern languages
- Error reporting weaker during parsing (but fpinscala does a good job)
- Usually slower than generated parsers (and use more memory), unless implemented at compile time (parboiled!)
- Typically no support for debugging grammars

# Internal Domain Specific Languages

(Parser Combinators are one example)

- Parser Combinators are a language (loosely similar to EBNF)
- Slogan: internal DSL is syntactic sugar of host language
- No external tools, just pure Scala, no magic involved
- Sometimes Internal DSLs are called fluid interfaces (although it seems that that term is a bit more narrow).

# Let's analyze one combinator Expression

```
QUOTED *| ":" ** json *| "," // parser producing a field
```

```
QUOTED : Parser[String] // a parser producing a String
```

```
but implicit def operators[A] (p:Parser[A])=ParserOps[A] (p)
```

```
so operators (QUOTED) :ParserOps[String]
```

```
":" : String
```

```
but implicit def string (s: String): Parser[String]
```

```
so string (":") : Parser[String]
```

```
then (ParserOps[A]) *| : Parser[B] => Parser[A]
```

```
So operators (QUOTED) .*| (string(":")) : Parser[String]
```

# What have we used to implement this DSL

- Polymorphic types (that check syntax of our programs), for instance:

```
(ParserOps[A]) *| : Parser[B] => Parser[A]
```

- Function values: **type** `Parser[+A] = Location=>Result[A]`
- Implicits: **implicit def** `regex (r: Regex):Parser[String]`
- Calls to unary methods without period (infix ops are methods of ParserOps)
- `":" ** json` is really `":".**(json)`  
(which delegates to `Parsers.product(string(":"), json)` )
- Math symbols as names, eg: `?,|,*|,*|,*`, etc  
(btw. Scala allows unicode identifiers, used in scalaz internal DSLs)
- Ability to drop parentheses on calls to nullary methods  
`ws.?` translates to `ws.?( )` (which delegates to `Parsers.opt(ws)` )
- Used Scala's parentheses (and other stuff) as elements of our DSL

# Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

# Running the parser

- We need to implement a `Parsers.run` method

```
def run[A] (p: Parser[A]) (input: String): Either[ParseError, A]
```

- Then we call a parser as follows:

```
run ("abra" | "cadabra") ("abra")  
or ("abra" | "cadabra") run "abra"  
(if we add a ParserOps delegation)
```

```
("abra" | "cada") run "abra" == Right("abra")
```

```
("abra" | "cada") run "Xbra" == Left(ParseError(...))
```

# Implementing **run**

```
type Parser[+A] = Location => Result[A]
```

```
def run[A] (p: Parser[A]) (input: String)  
  : Either[ParseError,A] =  
  p (Location(input,0)) match {  
    case Success(a,n) => Right (a)  
    case Failure(err,_) => Left (err)  
  }
```



# Implementing a concrete parser

(simplified slightly for presentation)

```
implicit def string(s: String): Parser[String] =  
  loc =>  
    if (loc.curr startsWith s)  
      Success (s, s.size)  
    else {  
      val seen = loc.curr.substring (0,  
        Math.min(loc.curr.size, s.size) )  
      Failure (s"expected '$s' but seen '$seen'")  
    }
```

# Implementing an operator/combinator

(slightly simplified for presentation, flatMap strikes back)

```
def flatMap[A,B] (p: Parser[A]) (f: A=>Parser[B])
  : Parser[B] =
  loc => p(loc) match {
    case Success(a,n) => f(a) (loc advanceBy n)
    case e@Failure(_,_) => e
  }
```

# Implementing an operator/combinator

(slightly simplified for presentation)

```
def or[A] (s1: Parser[A], s2: => Parser[A])
  : Parser[A] =
  loc => s1 (loc) match {
    case Failure (e) => s2 (loc)
    case r => r
  }
```

```
def product[A,B] (p1: Parser[A], p2: =>Parser[B])
  :Parser[ (A,B) ] =
  flatMap (p1) (a => map (p2) (b => (a,b)))
```

# Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

# Parsing Libraries in Programming Languages

<b>Java</b>	
Parser Generators	ANTLR, JavaCC, Rats!, APG, ...
Parser Combinators	Parboiled, PetitParser
<b>Scala</b>	
Parser Generators	? (parboiled2)
Parser Combinators	Scala parser combinators (previously Scalalib), parboiled2 (technically also a generator), fastparse
<b>JavaScript</b>	
Parser Generators	ANTLR, Jison
Parser Combinators	Bennu, Parjs And Parsimmon
<b>C#</b>	
Parser Generators	ANTLR, APG
Parser Combinators	Pidgin, superpower, parseq
<b>C++</b>	
Parser Generators	ANTLR, APG, boost meta-parse (?) , boost spirit (?)
Parser Combinators	Cpp-peglib, pcomb, boost meta-parse, boost spirit, Parser-Combinators

# Conclusion

(what you need to get from this week)

- Algebraic design, algebra (type, operators, and laws)
- Full abstraction of a type
- Type constructor
- Higher-kind, higher-kinded polymorphism
- Structure-preserving map (the structure preservation law)
- Internal DSL, fluid interface
- ... and parser combinators 😊

This is the last chapter in the case study series. Hurray!