

16MSPA101 — ADVANCED CLASSICAL MECHANICS

INTRODUCTION TO HAMILTONIAN MECHANICS

V.H. BELVADI*

St Philomena's College

Autumn 2017

1 THE LEGENDRE TRANSFORMATION

WE BEGIN OUR discussion of Hamiltonian mechanics with the a quick look at a mathematical technique known as the Legendre transformation. The Legendre transformation for some function $f(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ generates another function $f'(u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_n)$ where u' and u are related as

$$u'_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}$$

and may be done using

$$f' = u_i u'_i - f \quad (1)$$

which is quite straightforward. Firstly this tells us that f' is only a function of u_i and not, interestingly, of u'_i . To see this consider the differential of the transformed function:

These transformations are the work of the xviii century french mathematician Adrien-Marie Legendre.

$$\begin{aligned} df' &= u_i du'_i + u'_i du_i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i} du_i \\ &= u_i du'_i + \left(u'_i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i} \right) du_i \end{aligned}$$

However, since $u'_i = \partial f / \partial u_i$ as stated above we have simply

$$df' = u_i du'_i$$

which means f' is not a function of u' , just of u .

For more visit vhbelvadi.com/teaching.

*vh@belvadi.com

Secondly, we ask what would happen if f' was in fact a function of u' ? Its differential would then be given by

$$df' = \frac{\partial f'}{\partial u'_i} du'_i$$

Keep in mind that every-where you see repeating indices, i.e. to instances of the same subscript, summation is implied. So, for example, eq. (1) is actually written as

$$f' = \sum_i^n u_i u'_i - f$$

but we omit the \sum as agreed upon in Einstein's summation convention.

Compare the two equations we have for df' now and it is easy to see that

$$u_i = \frac{\partial f'}{\partial u'_i}$$

In other words, this brings us back to the first equation we wrote down above and, consequently, a symmetric result follows eq. (1) giving us

$$f = u_i u'_i - f' \quad (2)$$

a sort of 'reverse' Legendre transformation.

Lastly, we need to account for the possibility that $f \equiv f(u, v)$ where the u s transform but the v s do not. In this case, as we did before, consider the differential of the transformed function:

$$\begin{aligned} df' &= (u_i du'_i + u'_i du_i) - df(u, v) \\ &= (u_i du'_i + u'_i du_i) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i} du_i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_i} dv_i \\ \therefore df' &= u_i du'_i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_i} dv_i \end{aligned}$$

where the two terms get cancelled in the last step for the same reasons as they did earlier, telling us that f' is a function of u and v both. Once again like we did before we now consider what would happen if f' was in fact a function of u' too. Its differential would then become

$$df' = \frac{\partial f'}{\partial u_i} du_i + \frac{\partial f'}{\partial v_i} dv_i$$

Compare the two equations we now have for df' and you will see that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial v_i} = -\frac{\partial f'}{\partial v'_i} \quad (3)$$

This is the relation between any non-transforming variable, here v , and its transformed functions, $f \leftrightarrow f'$ undergoing a Legendre transformation.

2 THE HAMILTONIAN

So far the Legendre transformation has largely been mathematical; it is now time for us to give it a more physical form. To start with, consider the La-

grangian of some system: $\mathcal{L}(q_k, \dot{q}_k, t)$. Following our discussion on the Legendre transformation we can transform \mathcal{L} into some \mathcal{H} using a transformation that involves the variable \dot{q}_k alone. So our non-participants are q_k and t and our transformed function then is

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}(q_k, \partial\mathcal{L}/\partial\dot{q}_k, t)$$

However we know that $\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial\dot{q}_k$ is nothing but the generalised momentum p_k which means the function is actually given by

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}(q_k, p_k, t)$$

So if $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ we have, from eq. (1), the transformation

$$\mathcal{H}(q_k, p_k, t) = p_k \dot{q}_k - \mathcal{L}(q_k, \dot{q}_k, t) \quad (4)$$

where the function \mathcal{H} is known as the **Hamiltonian**.

How did we choose u_i and u'_i in eq. (4)? Recall that u_i and u'_i are related by $u'_i = \partial f / \partial u_i$ which, here, refers to $p_k = \partial\mathcal{L} / \partial\dot{q}_k$ making $u_i \equiv \dot{q}_k$ and $u'_i \equiv p_k$. As before, summation is implicit.

3 THE CANONICAL EQUATIONS

The reversible nature of the Legendre transformation described earlier allows us to use the relation

$$p_k = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{q}_k} \quad (5)$$

to similarly transform from \dot{q}_k to p_k , but this time via \mathcal{H} , as

$$\dot{q}_k = \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial p_k} \quad (5)$$

At this point let us not forget that the Lagrangian is made up of two other non-participating variables q_k and t . Their relations to the transformed function is given by eq. (3) as

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial q_k} = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial q_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial t} \quad (6)$$

Now recall the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{q}_k} \right) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial q_k}$$

We can combine eq. (5) and (6) with the Euler-Lagrange equation to arrive at two key equations. The first of these arises from the Euler-Lagrange equation given above where the parenthetical term is replaced by the generalised

momentum and written using eq. (3) as follows:

$$\dot{p}_k = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{p}_k = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_k}$$

As an exercise try deriving these canonical equations from Hamilton's principle.

and the second equation, for \dot{q}_k , is as given by eq. (5) above. Together these simple but powerful transformation equations

$$\dot{q}_k = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{p}_k = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_k} \quad (7)$$

are known as **Hamilton's canonical equations**.

All of this ties up nicely if we make one final observation: use the fact that so long as the Lagrangian is time-independent i.e. $\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial t = 0$ in a conservative system we can write

$$2T = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) \dot{q}_k$$

The intermediate result used here is easy to see if we consider a Cartesian system where $q_k \equiv x$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}(q_k, \dot{q}_k) \equiv \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x})$$

$$= \frac{m\dot{x}^2}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} = m\dot{x}$$

$$\therefore \dot{q}_k \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) = m\dot{x}^2 = 2T$$

so that eq. (4) reduces to

$$\mathcal{H} = p_k \dot{q}_k - \mathcal{L}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) \dot{q}_k - \mathcal{L}$$

$$= 2T - (T - V)$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{H} = T + V = E \quad (8)$$

In other words, for conservative systems the Hamiltonian gives us the total energy of a system. This fact becomes particularly useful in the domain of quantum mechanics where, often, we simply call the total energy of a system the 'Hamiltonian' of that system.

* * *