16MSPAH101 — ADVANCED CLASSICAL MECHANICS INTRODUCTION TO HAMILTONIAN MECHANICS

V.H. BELVADI*

St Philomena's College Autumn 2017

1 THE LEGENDRE TRANSFORMATION

WE BEGIN OUR discussion of Hamiltonian mechanics with the a quick look at a mathematical technique known as the Legendre transformation. The Legendre transformation for some function $f(u_1, u_2, ..., u_n)$ generates another function $f'(u'_1, u'_2, ..., u'_n)$ where u' and u are related as

$$u_i' = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}$$

and may be done using

$$f' = u_i u_i' - f \tag{1}$$

which is quite straightforward. Firstly this tells us that f' is only a function of u_i and not, interestingly, of u_i' . To see this consider the differential of the transformed function:

These transformations are the work of the xVIII century french mathematician Adrien-Marie Legendre.

$$df' = u_i du'_i + u'_i du_i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i} du_i$$
$$= u_i du'_i + \left(u'_i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}\right) du_i$$

However, since $u_i' = \partial f/\partial u_i$ as stated above we have simply

$$df' = u_i du'_i$$

which means f' is not a function of u', just of u.

For more visit vhbelvadi.com/teaching.

^{*}vh@belvadi.com

Secondly, we ask what would happen if f' was in fact a function of u'? Its differential would then be given by

$$\mathrm{d}f' = \frac{\partial f'}{\partial u_i'} \, \mathrm{d}u_i'$$

Compare the two equations we have for df' now and it is easy to see that

$$u_i = \frac{\partial f'}{\partial u_i'}$$

In other words, this brings us back to the first equation we wrote down above and, consequently, a symmetric result follows eq. (1) giving us

$$f = u_i u_i' - f' \tag{2}$$

a sort of 'reverse' Legendre transformation.

Lastly, we need to account for the possibility that $f \equiv f(u, v)$ where the us transform but the vs do not. In this case, as we did before, consider the differential of the transformed function:

$$df' = (u_i du'_i + u'_i du_i) - df(u, v)$$

$$= (u_i du'_i + u'_i du_i) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i} du_i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_i} dv_i$$

$$\therefore df' = u_i du'_i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_i} dv_i$$

where the two terms get cancelled in the last step for the same reasons as they did earlier, telling us that f' is a function of u and v both. Once again like we did before we now consider what would happen in f' was in fact a function of u' too. Its differential would then become

$$\mathrm{d}f' = \frac{\partial f'}{\partial u_i} \mathrm{d}u_i + \frac{\partial f'}{\partial v_i} \mathrm{d}v_i$$

Compare the two equations we now have for df' and you will see that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial v_i} = -\frac{\partial f'}{\partial v_i'} \tag{3}$$

This is the relation between any non-transforming variable, here v, and its transformed functions, $f \leftrightarrow f'$ undergoing a Legendre transformation.

2 THE HAMILTONIAN

So far the Legendre transformation has largely been mathematical; it is now time for us to give it a more physical form. To start with, consider the La-

Keep in mind that everywhere you see repeating indices, i.e. to instances of the same subscript, summation is implied. So, for example, eq. (1) is actually written as

$$f' = \sum_{i}^{n} u_i u_i' - f$$

but we omit the \sum as agreed upon in Einstein's summation convention.

grangian of some system: $\mathcal{L}(q_k, \dot{q}_k, t)$. Following our discussion on the Legendre transformation we can transform \mathcal{L} into some \mathcal{H} using a transformation that involves the variable \dot{q}_k alone. So our non-participants are q_k and t and our transformed function then is

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}(q_k, \partial \mathcal{L}/\partial \dot{q}_k, t)$$

However we know that $\partial \mathcal{L}/\partial \dot{q}_k$ is nothing but the generalised momentum p_k which means the function is actually given by

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}(q_k, p_k, t)$$

So if $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{H}$ we have, from eq. (1), the transformation

$$\mathcal{H}(q_k, p_k, t) = p_k \dot{q}_k - \mathcal{L}(q_k, \dot{q}_k, t) \tag{4}$$

where the function \mathcal{H} is known as the **Hamiltonian**.

How did we choose u_i and u_i' in eq. (4)? Recall that u_i and u_i' are related by $u_i' = \partial f/\partial u_i$ which, here, refers to $p_k = \partial \mathcal{L}/\partial \dot{q}_k$ making $u_i \equiv \dot{q}_k$ and $u_i' \equiv p_k$. As before, summation is implicit.

3 THE CANONICAL EQUATIONS

The reversible nature of the Legendre transformation described earlier allows us to use the relation

$$p_k = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \tag{5}$$

to similarly transform from \dot{q}_k to p_k , but this time via \mathcal{H} , as

$$\dot{q}_k = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_k} \tag{5}$$

At this point let us not forget that the Lagrangian is made up of two other non-participating variables q_k and t. Their relations to the transformed function is given by eq. (3) as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_k} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_k}$$
 and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t}$ (6)

Now recall the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_k}$$

We can combine eq. (5) and (6) with the Euler-Lagrange equation to arrive at two key equations. The first of these arises from the Euler-Lagrange equation given above where the parenthetical term is replaced by the generalised momentum and written using eq. (3) as follows:

$$\dot{p}_k = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{p}_k = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_k}$$

As an exercise try deriving these canonical equations from Hamilton's principle. and the second equation, for \dot{q}_k , is as given by eq. (5) above. Together these simple but powerful transformation equations

$$\dot{q}_k = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_k}$$
 and $\dot{p}_k = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q_k}$ (7)

are known as Hamilton's canonical equations.

All of this ties up nicely if we make one final observation: use the fact that so long as the Lagrangian is time-independent i.e. $\partial \mathcal{L}/\partial t = 0$ in a conservative system we can write

$$2T = \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k}\right) \dot{q}_k$$

The intermediate result used here is easy to see if we consider a Cartesian system where $q_k \equiv x$ as follows:

nsider a Cartesian syste here $q_k \equiv x$ as follows: $\mathcal{L}(q_k, \dot{q}_k) \equiv \mathcal{L}(x, \dot{x})$

$$= \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{a}_{k}} = m\dot{x}$$

$$\therefore \dot{q}_k \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) = m \dot{x}^2 = 2T$$

so that eq. (4) reduces to

$$\mathcal{H} = p_k \dot{q}_k - \mathcal{L}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_k}\right) \dot{q}_k - \mathcal{L}$$

$$= 2T - (T - V)$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{H} = T + V = E \tag{8}$$

In other words, for conservative systems the Hamiltonian gives us the total energy of a system. This fact becomes particularly useful in the domain of quantum mechanics where, often, we simply call the total energy of a system the 'Hamiltonian' of that system.

* *