

# Project Module

## Global Solution Methods

Markus Riegler

University of Bonn

WS 2016/17

# Aiyagari Model

## Agents

- Agents face idiosyncratic income risk:
  - either employed ( $e_{i,t} = 1$ ) or unemployed ( $e_{i,t} = 0$ )
  - after-tax wage  $w_t(1 - \tau_t)$
  - unemployment benefits fraction of wage  $\mu w_t$
  - government runs balanced budget  $\tau_t = \mu \frac{1-L_t}{L_t}$
  - (exogenous) transition probabilities between two states

$$\Pi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \pi_{UE} & \pi_{UE} \\ \pi_{EU} & 1 - \pi_{EU} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Agents can accumulate capital  $k_{i,t+1}$ 
  - rental rate  $r_t$ , depreciation rate  $\delta$
  - borrowing constraint  $k_{i,t+1} \geq k_{min}K_t$

# Aiyagari Model

## Agents

- Agents maximize utility

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{c_{i,t}, k_{i,t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \mathbb{E} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_{i,t}) \\ c_{i,t} + k_{i,t+1} = (1 + r_t - \delta) k_{i,t} + w_t (1 - \tau_t) e_{i,t} + \mu w_t (1 - e_{i,t}) \\ k_{i,t+1} \geq \bar{k} \end{aligned}$$

- Euler equation

$$u'(c_{i,t}) \geq \beta \mathbb{E} [(1 + r_{t+1} - \delta) u'(c_{i,t+1})]$$

# Aiyagari Model

## Firms

- Representative firm maximizes profits

$$\max_{K_t, L_t} F_t(K_t, L_t) - r_t K_t - w_t L_t$$

- Factor prices

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dF_t(K_t, L_t)}{dK} &= r_t \\ \frac{dF_t(K_t, L_t)}{dL} &= w_t\end{aligned}$$

# Aiyagari Model

## General Equilibrium

- Households take (current and future) factor prices as given

$$\frac{dF_t(K_t, L_t)}{dK} = r_t, \quad \frac{dF_t(K_t, L_t)}{dL} = w_t$$

- Policy functions (depending on employment status, wealth, and **aggregate state**) satisfy first-order conditions

$$c_t(e_t, k_t, s_t), \quad k_{t+1}(e_t, k_t, s_t)$$

- $s_t$  summarizes aggregate productivity state and cross-sectional distribution
- Aggregated* capital and labour must be consistent with *aggregate* capital and labour used to get factor prices

$$\sum_i k_{t+1}(e_{i,t}, k_{i,t}, s_t) = K_{t+1}(s_t), \quad \sum_i e_{i,t} = L_t$$

# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Overview

- Aggregate labour can be calculated from transition matrix

$$L = \frac{\pi_{UE}}{\pi_{UE} + \pi_{EU}}$$

- Distribution of capital ( $\rightarrow$  aggregate capital) must be simulated

- 1 Initial guess for  $K$
- 2 Calculate policy functions

$$c(e, k, K), \quad k'(e, k, K)$$

- 3 Simulate the economy to find cross-sectional distribution of households
- 4 Check if

$$\sum_i k'(e_i, k_i, K) = \sum_i k_i \approx K$$

★ If not, update guess for  $K$  and repeat from (2)

# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Calculate Policy Functions

- In general, no analytical solution  $\rightarrow$  approximate  $k'(e, k, K)$ 
  - ▶  $c(e, k, K)$  can then be obtained from budget constraint
- Approaches to function approximation:
  - 1 Perturbation (local method)
    - ★ Taylor approximation around  $k = K$
  - 2 Projection (global method)
    - ★ Suppose that function is known / can be calculated (approximatively) at points  $k_j$  (function values  $k'(k_j)$ )
    - ★ Fit approximating function (finite number of parameters)
    - ★ Here: piecewise linear function between grid points  $\{k_j\}$

$$k'(k) \approx \left(1 - \frac{k - k_j}{k_{j+1} - k_j}\right) k'(k_j) + \frac{k - k_j}{k_{j+1} - k_j} k'(k_{j+1}) \quad \text{for } k \in [k_j, k_{j+1}]$$

# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Calculate Policy Functions

- Find  $k' (e, k_j)$  such that Euler equation, budget constraint, and borrowing constraint are satisfied
- Use iteration procedure
  - 1 guess for  $k' (e, k_j)$
  - 2 calculate updated guess from FOCs
  - 3 repeat until convergence



# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Calculate Policy Functions

- Fixed-point iteration

- ▶ Use old guess to calculate RHS of Euler equation for each  $(e, k_j)$ 
  - 1 next period's capital stock  $k'(e, k_j)$
  - 2 next period's choice of capital (depends on next period's employment status)  
 $k'(e', k'(e, k_j))$
  - 3 calculate next period's consumption from next period's budget constraint
  - 4 form expectation of marginal utility of consumption using transition matrix
- ▶ New guess for today's consumption from Euler equation
- ▶ New guess for capital from today's budget constraint:  $k'^{new}(e, k_j)$
- ▶ Check whether borrowing constraint is satisfied
  - ★ if not:  $k'^{new}(e, k_j) = k_{min}$
- ▶ Updated policy function

$$k'(e, k_j) = k'(e, k_j) + \chi(k'^{new}(e, k_j) - k'(e, k_j))$$

- ★ dampening parameter  $\chi \in (0, 1)$  to ensure convergence (avoid oscillation)

# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Calculate Policy Functions

### Possible refinement

- "Endogenous" gridpoints method by Christopher Carroll (2006)
  - ▶ use grid for  $k'$  instead of  $k$
  - ▶ calculate implied ("endogenous") value  $k(k')$  such that Euler equation is satisfied
  - ▶ invert this function to get  $k'(k)$
  - ▶ don't have to interpolate next period's policy function (inverting  $k(k')$  typically faster)
  - ▶ but not always possible or more complicated (e.g. 2 continuous state variables)

### Alternative

- Time iteration
  - ▶ only use guess for policy functions on RHS of Euler equation
  - ▶ in particular, don't use it to calculate  $k'(e, k_j)$
  - ▶ disadvantage: non-linear problem has to be solved ( $\rightarrow$  slower)
  - ▶ advantage: convergence (without dampening) more generally guaranteed

# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Simulate the Economy

### Ways to calculate ergodic distribution:

- Literally simulate many agents
  - ▶ agents have initial capital stock and employment status
  - ▶ for each agent calculate  $k_{i,t+1} = k'(e_{i,t}, k_{i,t})$
  - ▶ draw random (according to transition probabilities given  $e_{i,t}$ )  $e_{i,t+1}$
  - ▶ simulate many periods until distribution has converged (but for some noise)
    - ★ note that one can also average over time, if sufficiently many initial periods are dropped

# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Simulate the Economy

- Better alternative that removes sampling error: histogram method (Eric Young (2010))
  - ▶ describe distribution by histogram over capital holdings, i.e. mass of agents  $m_{j,t}^e$  at each grid point  $k_j$
  - ▶ transition between periods:

- ★ capital holdings: divide mass according to linear interpolation between closest grid points: if  $k'(e, k_j) \in [k_n, k_{n+1}]$

$$\text{add mass to point } n: \tilde{m}_{n,t+1}^e = \tilde{m}_{n,t+1}^e + \left(1 - \frac{k'(e, k_j) - k_n}{k_{n+1} - k_n}\right) m_{j,t}^e$$

$$\text{add mass to point } n+1: \tilde{m}_{n+1,t+1}^e = \tilde{m}_{n+1,t+1}^e + \frac{k'(e, k_j) - k_n}{k_{n+1} - k_n} m_{j,t}^e$$

- ★ employment status: divide mass according to transition probabilities

$$m_{j,t+1}^U = \pi_{EU} \tilde{m}_{j,t+1}^E + (1 - \pi_{UE}) \tilde{m}_{j,t+1}^U$$

$$m_{j,t+1}^E = (1 - \pi_{EU}) \tilde{m}_{j,t+1}^E + \pi_{UE} \tilde{m}_{j,t+1}^U$$

- ★ either repeat until convergence ( $m_{j,t+1}^e \approx m_{j,t}^e$ )
- ★ or calculate transition matrix (jointly for  $k$  and  $e$ ) and find Eigenvector corresponding to Eigenvalue=1 → this distribution of masses stays invariant under transition matrix, i.e. is the one after convergence

# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Simulate the Economy

Building the transition matrix  $T$  (size  $2N \times 2N$ ):

- grid for capital  $k_j$
- stack unemployed and employed into one vector

$$\mathbf{m} = [m_1^U; \dots; m_N^U; m_1^E; \dots; m_N^E]$$

- entry  $(i, j)$  gives share of mass that moves from  $i$  in period  $t$  to  $j$  in period  $t + 1$  (sum over each row always equals 1)
- first calculate (deterministic) transition of capital holdings of currently employed and unemployed:  $T^E, T^U$  (size  $N \times N$ )
  - ▶ sparse matrices with only 2 (neighbouring) non-zero entries in each row
- then use transition probabilities to build  $T$  from 4  $N \times N$  blocks:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \pi_{UE}) T^U & \pi_{UE} T^U \\ \pi_{EU} T^E & (1 - \pi_{EU}) T^E \end{bmatrix}$$

# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Simulate the Economy

### Use of transition matrix

- Transition from one period to the next:

$$\mathbf{m}_{t+1} = T' \mathbf{m}_t$$

- ▶ Note that  $T$  is transposed! (or  $\mathbf{m}'$  has to be multiplied from left)

- Transition over  $k$  periods

$$\mathbf{m}_{t+k} = (T')^k \mathbf{m}_t$$

- Ways to find the ergodic distribution

- ① Limiting vector starting from *any* initial distribution  $\mathbf{m}_0$

$$\mathbf{m} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (T')^k \mathbf{m}_0$$

- ② Eigenvector corresponding to Eigenvalue 1 (faster for small  $n$ )

$$\mathbf{m} = T' \mathbf{m}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\mathbb{I}_{2N} - T') \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}_{2N}$$

# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Aggregation

- Distribution  $\mathbf{m}$  (normalized to sum to 1)
- Aggregate employment

$$\tilde{L} = \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N} m_j \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi_{UE}}{\pi_{UE} + \pi_{EU}}$$

- Aggregate capital

$$\tilde{K} = [k_1, \dots, k_N, k_1, \dots, k_N] * \mathbf{m}$$

# Solution of Steady State without Aggregate Risk

## Update Guess for Aggregate Capital Stock

Compare  $\tilde{K}$  with guess  $K$  (that was used to calculate  $r$  and  $w$ )

- if deviation is too big, update guess and repeat
- either use (dampened) new guess

$$K = K + \chi (\tilde{K} - K)$$

- or use bisection method (for 1-dimensional problem):
  - ▶ if we "know" that  $\tilde{K}(K) - K$  is downward sloping and 0 between  $[K_{min}, K_{max}]$ 
    1. try  $K = \frac{K_{min} + K_{max}}{2}$
    - 2a. if  $\tilde{K}(K) > K$ : set  $K_{min} = K$
    - 2b. if  $\tilde{K}(K) < K$ : set  $K_{max} = K$
    3. repeat from 1.
  - ▶ halves interval in each step
  - ▶ important to have accurate  $\tilde{K}(K)$ !



# Solution of Perfect Foresight Transition to Steady State

- We are interested in solving:
  - ▶ some initial distribution of agents in period 1
  - ▶ then some periods with deterministic (expected) changes of parameters (productivity, unemployment insurance, transition rates, borrowing constraint,...)
  - ▶ afterwards no future shocks expected (some constant set of parameters)  
→ (almost) converge to a steady state by period T
- Solution method:
  - ① solve for steady state (and corresponding policy functions) at T
  - ② initial guess for vector of capital stock in each period:  $K_t$
  - ③ solve backward in time for policy functions
    - ① period T: use policy function  $k'_T(e, k)$  from steady state
    - ② period t: use policy function  $k'_{t+1}(e, k)$  on right hand side of Euler equation and solve for  $k'_t(e, k)$  by using policy function iteration
  - ④ simulate forward in time to get actual capital stock in each period
    - ★ in each period use policy function  $k'_t(e_{i,t}, k_{i,t})$  to calculate  $k_{i,t+1}$
  - ⑤ update guess for  $K_t$  and repeat until convergence

# Welfare Analysis

- Calculating life-time utility by iteration

- 1 initial guess for  $U(e, k)$
- 2 use guess for continuation value to get updated guess

$$U^{\text{new}}(e, k) = u(c(e, k)) + \beta \mathbb{E} U(e', k')$$

- 3 repeat until convergence

## Welfare Analysis

Things to consider when comparing welfare in two equilibria

$U^1(e, k)$ ,  $U^2(e, k)$

- 1 Calculate consumption equivalent or cash equivalent of policy change
  - ▶ permanent change of consumption by factor  $\Delta$  that increases life-time utility by same amount as policy change:

$$\begin{aligned}U^2(e, k) &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{(c_{i,t}^1 \Delta)^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma} \\&= \Delta^{1-\sigma} \mathbb{E} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{(c_{i,t}^1)^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma} + \frac{\Delta^{1-\sigma} - 1}{(1-\sigma)(1-\beta)} \\&= \Delta^{1-\sigma} U^1(e, k) + \frac{\Delta^{1-\sigma} - 1}{(1-\sigma)(1-\beta)} \\ \Delta &= \left( \frac{U^2(e, k)(1-\sigma)(1-\beta) + 1}{U^1(e, k)(1-\sigma)(1-\beta) + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ transfer of  $\Delta$  units of wealth in first period

$$U^2(e, k) = U^1(e, k + \Delta)$$

# Welfare Analysis

Things to consider when comparing welfare in two equilibria

$U^1(e, k)$ ,  $U^2(e, k)$

## ② Welfare gains differ across agents

- ▶ calculate average, median, ... of consumption equivalent
- ▶ aggregate cash equivalent  $\rightarrow$  redistribution that makes everybody better off possible?

## ③ Idiosyncratic and aggregate transition

- ▶ can't compare 2 steady states directly
- ▶ approximation that takes care of idiosyncratic (but not aggregate) transition
  - ★ Krusell, Mukoyama, and Sahin (2010)
  - ★ For each agent in first economy, consider that they are placed with current assets in second economy (i.e. given new parameters, prices,...) and compare  $U^1(e, k)$  and  $U^2(e, k)$
- ▶ exact way: calculate (deterministic) transition path and then calculate value function in first period

# Solution with Aggregate Risk

- Aggregate risk: e.g. aggregate productivity shocks  $z_t$
- Challenge: need to know  $r_t$  and  $w_t$  in any current and future state
  - ▶ need to forecast  $L_t$  and  $K_t$
  - ▶ demand for capital depends on whole cross-sectional distribution
    - ★ because marginal propensity to consume differs
    - ★ distribution is infinite-dimensional  $\Rightarrow$  have to approximate it
- Krusell and Smith (1998) algorithm:
  - ▶ forecast  $K_{t+1}$  using  $K_t$  and  $z_t$ . Aggregate law of motion

$$\log K_{t+1} \approx [1, \log z_t, \log K_t] \mathbf{b}^{\text{guess}}$$

- ▶ in addition to idiosyncratic state variables, need grids for  $z$  and  $K$
- ▶ solve household problem: policy function  $k'(e, k, z, K)$
- ▶ simulate economy  $\rightarrow$  simulated series  $\{z_t, K_t\}$
- ▶ estimate coefficient  $\mathbf{b}^{\text{new}}$  in aggregate law of motion
- ▶ repeat until  $\mathbf{b}^{\text{new}} \approx \mathbf{b}^{\text{guess}}$  and check that forecast is accurate

## References

Christopher D. Carroll, The method of endogenous gridpoints for solving dynamic stochastic optimization problems, *Economics Letters*, Volume 91, Issue 3, June 2006, Pages 312-320, ISSN 0165-1765, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2005.09.013>.

Per Krusell, Toshihiko Mukoyama, and Ayşegül Şahin, Labour-Market Matching with Precautionary Savings and Aggregate Fluctuations, *Review of Economic Studies*, Volume 77, Issue 4, October 2010, Pages 1477-1507, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-937X.2010.00700.x>.

Per Krusell and Anthony A. Smith, Jr., Income and Wealth Heterogeneity in the Macroeconomy, *Journal of Political Economy*, Volume 106, Issue 5, October 1998, Pages 867–896, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/250034>.

Eric R. Young, Solving the incomplete markets model with aggregate uncertainty using the Krusell–Smith algorithm and non-stochastic simulations, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Volume 34, Issue 1, January 2010, Pages 36-41, ISSN 0165-1889, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jedc.2008.11.010>.