

## Exercise week 4

Gherardo Varando, gherardo.varando@math.ku.dk

11/12/2018

### A trigonometric density

Load the data in the file [angles.txt](#), the data have been generated from a density  $f(x|k) \propto \sin(x)^k$  in the interval  $[0, \pi]$ . In week 3 we found the MLE estimator of the parameter  $k$ .

#### Ex 1

- Ex 1.1 Build a 99% confidence interval for  $k$  based on the data in [angles.txt](#), how you can estimate the standard error?
- Ex 1.2 Test if  $k > 10$  at a confidence level  $\alpha = 0.05$  for the data in the [angles.txt](#) file (you can use Wald test  $H_0 : k \leq 10$ )

### A case study of neuronal data

We continue the case study of the ISI data in the [neuronspikes.txt](#) file. In week 3 we estimated parameters of exponential, gamma and inverse Gaussian distributions with MLE.

#### Ex 2

- Ex 2.1 The exponential distribution is a special case of the gamma distribution when the shape parameter is equal to 1. Check this fact graphically in R.
- Ex 2.2 Since the exponential model is nested in the gamma model we can perform the likelihood ratio test to select between the two models. Perform the likelihood ratio test in R and report the resulting p-value.

**Ex 3** We consider in total four candidate models for the ISI data: exponential, gamma, inverse Gaussian and log-normal.

- Ex 3.1 Find the MLE for each model
- Ex 3.2 Perform model selection using AIC and BIC

## Brain cell dataset

We continue here the study of the brain cell dataset from the Allen Institute. As usual load the data from the file `cell_types.csv`.

Here we continue studying the *ramp spike time* observations.

### Ex 4

Ex 4.1 Estimate the standard error of the MLE estimator of  $\mu$  for the log-normal distribution applied to the *ramp spike time* data.

Ex 4.2 Obtain a 95% confidence interval for the parameter  $\mu$  (try the different methods)

Ex 4.3 Obtain again a 95% confidence interval for the parameter  $\mu$  using only the *human* cells.

### Ex 5

Ex 5.1 Transform the ramp spike time using the logarithm as we did in Week 3 and then perform a two sample t-test between the human and mouse cells. You can also try to use the argument `var.equal = TRUE` or `var.equal = FALSE`, check the documentation of `t.test`.

Ex 5.2 Perform directly a Wald test to check if  $\mu_h = \mu_m$  where  $\mu_h$  and  $\mu_m$  are the mean-log parameters of the log-normal distributions for the human and mouse cells.

## Different tests

Given two sets of observations

$$X_1, \dots, X_m$$

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n$$

We want to test if the two data sets have equal mean. We moreover assume that both data sets are normally distributed and have equal variance.

$$X_i \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma^2) \quad Y_i \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$$

This is the classical scenario where we can apply the two-sample t-test.

### Exercise 6

Ex 6.1 Simulate two groups of i.i.d. data following two normal distributions. For example `x = rnorm(20, 2, 4)` and `y = rnorm(40, 2.5, 4)`

Ex 6.2 Compute the p-value of the two-sample t-test with equal variance

Ex 6.3 Compute the Wald test for  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$  you can use the statistic  $\delta = \bar{X} - \bar{Y}$ .  $\delta$  is obviously Gaussian distributed (try to prove it) and its standard error can be obtained analytically (and thus an estimator  $\hat{se}(\delta)$ ), if you are unable to find the analytical expression of the  $\hat{se}(\delta)$  you can use bootstrap.

Ex 6.4 Perform the likelihood ratio test for  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$

Ex 6.5 Compare the results obtained in the different tests, in particular report the different p-values.