

# Code Assessment of the Sulu Extensions XIX Smart Contracts

August 26, 2024

Produced for



by



CHAINSECURITY

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Assessment Overview</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Limitations and use of report</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Terminology</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Findings</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Notes</b>	<b>10</b>

# 1 Executive Summary

Dear all,

Thank you for trusting us to help Enzyme Foundation with this security audit. Our executive summary provides an overview of subjects covered in our audit of the latest reviewed contracts of Enzyme according to [Scope](#) to support you in forming an opinion on their security risks.

Enzyme Foundation implements a new Enzyme price feed that converts wstETH to ETH.

The most critical subjects covered in our audit are correct implementation of interfaces, external calls, as well as decimals usage. Security regarding all the aforementioned subjects is high.

The audit did not find any issues.

In summary, we find that the codebase provides a high level of security.

It is important to note that security audits are time-boxed and cannot uncover all vulnerabilities. They complement but don't replace other vital measures to secure a project.

The following sections will give an overview of the system, our methodology, the issues uncovered and how they have been addressed. We are happy to receive questions and feedback to improve our service.

Sincerely yours,

ChainSecurity

# 1.1 Overview of the Findings

Below we provide a brief numerical overview of the findings and how they have been addressed.

<b>Critical</b> -Severity Findings	0
<b>High</b> -Severity Findings	0
<b>Medium</b> -Severity Findings	0
<b>Low</b> -Severity Findings	0

## 2 Assessment Overview

In this section, we briefly describe the overall structure and scope of the engagement, including the code commit which is referenced throughout this report.

### 2.1 Scope

The assessment was performed on the source code files inside the Enzyme repository based on the documentation files. The table below indicates the code versions relevant to this report and when they were received.

V	Date	Commit Hash	Note
1	21 August 2024	ae372514ba2c42d4a2ac8ac12f1fc684a6b20095	Initial Version

For the solidity smart contracts, the compiler version 0.8.19 was chosen.

The following files were included in the scope of the assessment:

- `contracts/release/infrastructure/price-feeds/primitives/ChainlinkLikeWstETHPriceFeed.sol`

#### 2.1.1 Excluded from scope

Anything not mentioned above is considered out of scope.

## 2.2 System Overview

This system overview describes the initially received version (**Version 1**) of the contracts as defined in the [Assessment Overview](#).

Furthermore, in the findings section, we have added a version icon to each of the findings to increase the readability of the report.

Enzyme Foundation implements a new Chainlink-like wstETH price feed for the Enzyme Sulu system.

### 2.2.1 Price Feed: Lido wstETH

The provided price feed is a primitive price feed for Lido's wrapped stETH, returning the price of wstETH quoted in ETH (Wei). The price feed is wrapped in a Chainlink-like interface. It implements the `latestRoundData()` and `decimals()` functions from the `IChainlinkAggregator` interface.

The `latestRoundData()` function returns the current price computed for wstETH along with the `startedAt` and `updatedAt` values from the Chainlink aggregator. To compute the price of wstETH in ETH, the feed first gets the amount of stETH per wstETH by using Lido's `getPooledEthByShares` function, and then retrieves the current price of ETH per stETH from the Chainlink stETH/ETH oracle. To avoid misinterpretation with an actual Chainlink price feed, the `roundId` and `answerInRound` return values are set to 0.

The `decimals()` function returns the precision of the price feed's `answer`, indicating the number of decimals for the quoted asset.

Just like Chainlink price feeds, the `updatedAt` must be checked for staleness by the consumer whenever the price feed data is used.

The contract stores decimals and units as constants and uses immutables for the addresses of external contracts.

## ***2.2.2 Roles and Trust Model***

Please refer to the main code assessment report and the extension reports for a general trust model of Sulu.

It is assumed that Chainlink prices update frequently enough to provide accurate data.

Additionally, it is assumed that rebases for Lido stETH are performed correctly and without significant delays. See also [Prices rely on correct Lido stETH rebases](#)

# 3 Limitations and use of report

Security assessments cannot uncover all existing vulnerabilities; even an assessment in which no vulnerabilities are found is not a guarantee of a secure system. However, code assessments enable the discovery of vulnerabilities that were overlooked during development and areas where additional security measures are necessary. In most cases, applications are either fully protected against a certain type of attack, or they are completely unprotected against it. Some of the issues may affect the entire application, while some lack protection only in certain areas. This is why we carry out a source code assessment aimed at determining all locations that need to be fixed. Within the customer-determined time frame, ChainSecurity has performed an assessment in order to discover as many vulnerabilities as possible.

The focus of our assessment was limited to the code parts defined in the engagement letter. We assessed whether the project follows the provided specifications. These assessments are based on the provided threat model and trust assumptions. We draw attention to the fact that due to inherent limitations in any software development process and software product, an inherent risk exists that even major failures or malfunctions can remain undetected. Further uncertainties exist in any software product or application used during the development, which itself cannot be free from any error or failures. These preconditions can have an impact on the system's code and/or functions and/or operation. We did not assess the underlying third-party infrastructure which adds further inherent risks as we rely on the correct execution of the included third-party technology stack itself. Report readers should also take into account that over the life cycle of any software, changes to the product itself or to the environment in which it is operated can have an impact leading to operational behaviors other than those initially determined in the business specification.

## 4 Terminology

For the purpose of this assessment, we adopt the following terminology. To classify the severity of our findings, we determine the likelihood and impact (according to the CVSS risk rating methodology).

- *Likelihood* represents the likelihood of a finding to be triggered or exploited in practice
- *Impact* specifies the technical and business-related consequences of a finding
- *Severity* is derived based on the likelihood and the impact

We categorize the findings into four distinct categories, depending on their severity. These severities are derived from the likelihood and the impact using the following table, following a standard risk assessment procedure.

Likelihood	Impact		
	High	Medium	Low
High	Critical	High	Medium
Medium	High	Medium	Low
Low	Medium	Low	Low

As seen in the table above, findings that have both a high likelihood and a high impact are classified as critical. Intuitively, such findings are likely to be triggered and cause significant disruption. Overall, the severity correlates with the associated risk. However, every finding's risk should always be closely checked, regardless of severity.



## 5 Findings

In this section, we describe our findings. The findings are split into these different categories:

Below we provide a numerical overview of the identified findings, split up by their severity.

<b>Critical</b> -Severity Findings	0
<b>High</b> -Severity Findings	0
<b>Medium</b> -Severity Findings	0
<b>Low</b> -Severity Findings	0

## 6 Notes

We leverage this section to highlight further findings that are not necessarily issues. The mentioned topics serve to clarify or support the report, but do not require an immediate modification inside the project. Instead, they should raise awareness in order to improve the overall understanding.

### 6.1 Prices Rely on Correct Lido stETH Rebases

**Note** **Version 1**

---

Note that the correctness of the wstETH price feed depends on the `getPooledEthByShares` function of stETH. This function relies on `_getTotalPooledEther`, the total amount of ether pooled in the stETH contract. The total pooled ether value is updated by a Lido admin address by calling the `handleOracleReport` function periodically.

The oracle report will account the changes in ether balance that are caused by rewards or slashing. If there has been a change in balance due to one of these factors and the oracle report has not been updated yet, the price feed will be incorrect. A big change due to rewards is unlikely, but slashing could cause a significant immediate change in the total pooled ether value.

A slashing event could lead to a short-term price feed inaccuracy. Note that in this case the stETH/ETH market price would likely also be impacted quickly, which would then cause the wstETH price feed to be correct again.