Mahdi Tabatabaei - 400101515



$$\overrightarrow{Z} = \overrightarrow{\omega} \overrightarrow{q} + b$$
 , $\omega (\overrightarrow{z}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^2} = \frac{1}{1 + e^2}$

To show that Ewib has minimum, we have to show it is convex.

As we know sum of convex functions is convex - we have to show convexity of - (7, log, +(1-7,) ln (1-3))

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \hat{J}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{J}}{\partial z} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \hat{J}} = -\frac{\hat{J}}{\hat{J}} + \frac{1-\hat{J}}{1-\hat{J}} \\ \frac{\partial \hat{J}}{\partial z} = \omega(z) \left(1-\omega(z)\right) = \hat{J}(1-\hat{J}) \end{cases} \longrightarrow \frac{\partial E}{\partial z} = \left(-\frac{\hat{J}}{\hat{J}} + \frac{1-\hat{J}}{1-\hat{J}}\right) \hat{J}(1-\hat{J}) = \hat{J}-\hat{J}$$

$$\frac{\delta^2 E}{\delta z} = \frac{d}{dz} (\hat{g} - y) = \hat{g} (1 - \hat{g}) > 0 \implies E \text{ is convex} \implies E_{w,b} \text{ is convex} \implies E_{w,b} \text{ has minimum.}$$

We use gradient descent as a recursive rule for update.

$$\frac{\partial E^{m,p}}{\partial m} = \frac{\partial E^{m,p}}{\partial S^{m}} \cdot \frac{\partial S^{m}}{\partial S} \cdot \frac{\partial S}{\partial S} = \frac{\partial S^{m}}{\partial S^{m}} = \frac{\partial S^{m}}$$

$$\frac{g\rho}{ge^{n/p}} = \frac{gg''}{ge^{n/p}} \cdot \frac{gs}{gs} \cdot \frac{g\rho}{gr} = \frac{gn}{gg^{n/p}} = \frac{gn}{gg^{n/p}} = \frac{g\rho}{gg^{n/p}} = \frac{$$

* n is learning rode.

$$\begin{cases} \omega = \omega - \eta \frac{\partial E_{\omega,b}}{\partial \omega} \rightarrow \omega := \omega - \eta \sum_{n}^{\infty} (\hat{y}_{n}^{n} - y_{n}^{n}) \mathcal{H}_{N} \\ b = b - \eta \frac{\partial E_{\omega,b}}{\partial b} \rightarrow b := b - \eta \sum_{n}^{\infty} (\hat{y}_{n}^{n} - y_{n}^{n}) \mathcal{H}_{N} \end{cases}$$

Q2 A) Covariate shift happens when the imput distribution changes as data flows through different layers in neural network. This makes it hurder for the network to learn because each layer has to adapt to the changing distribution

Batch romalization - normalize the input of each layer to have a consistent distribution. by keeping the distribution stuble, it makes learning easier and Pasten.

 $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial E_{\omega_1 b}}{\partial \omega} = \sum_{n} (\hat{J}_n - J_n) \alpha e_n , \frac{\partial E_{\omega_1 b}}{\partial b} = \sum_{n} (\hat{J}_n - J_n)$

B) Br con act as a regularization term, indirectly (mean and vor of each mini-botch is different from the whole dotaset - course a small amount of noise) - prevent overfitting.

It can coule smoother learning and faster convergence - good for generalization.

(c)
$$\hat{x}_i = x_i - \mu$$
 $\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j$

$$a^i = \lambda y^i + b = \beta \left(w^i - \frac{\nu}{I} \sum_i w^i \right) + b \longrightarrow \frac{gw^i}{gA^i} = \frac{gw^i}{gA^i} = \frac{gw^i}{gA^i} = \frac{gw^i}{gA^i} = \frac{gw^i}{gA^i}$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \alpha_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\delta L}{\delta y_j} \cdot \frac{\delta y_j}{\delta \alpha_i} = \frac{\delta L}{\delta y_i} \left(\delta \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\delta L}{\delta y_j} \left(-\frac{\delta N_i}{N_i}\right) = \delta \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta y_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{N_i}{N_i} - \frac{\delta L}{\delta y_i}\right)$$

D) n=1: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = -8 \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_1}$ — makes the gradient of Lors simpler, and less stable due to lack of averaging.

 $N \rightarrow \infty$: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial N_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_i}$ The influence of each individual input on the botch mean become smaller effect of centering the botch is less significant for each N_i .

$$\frac{Q}{3} \quad \text{Proof.} \quad \text{Super} \rightarrow \sum_{\substack{0 \le 0 \\ 0 \le 0}}^{200} \sum_{\text{Leady Relin}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{4} \cos 3 \right)$$

$$\cos \left(\frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} \cos 3 \right)$$

$$\cos \left(\frac{1}{10} \cos$$

$$J_1 = 0.5(y_d - \sum_{k=1}^{N} S_k w_k x_k)^2 =$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{K} \sim \text{No.turn}(1, \sigma_{3})$$
 $\mathbb{E}(\Delta \Omega^{1}) = \hat{s}$ $\sigma_{3} = \mathbb{E}\{\mathcal{E}_{3}^{F}\} - \mathbb{E}\{\mathcal{O}_{3}^{F}\} = \sigma_{3} + 1$

$$\frac{\delta J_1}{\delta \omega_i} = \frac{1}{2} x^2 x S_i \alpha_i \left(y_d - \sum_{k=1}^{N} S_k w_k \alpha_k \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{\delta J_1}{\delta \omega_i} \right\} = -x_i y_d \mathbb{E} \left\{ S_i \right\} - \mathbb{E} \left\{ -S_i \alpha_i \sum_{k=1}^{N} S_k w_k \alpha_k \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}^{\frac{n}{2} - S_1 \cdot \alpha_1} \sum_{k} \sum_{k} \sum_{k} w_k w_k w_k w_k w_k} = (\omega^2 + 1) \alpha^2_1 \omega_1 + \sum_{k \neq 1 \atop k \neq 1} \omega_k w_1 w_k$$

$$\longrightarrow \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{gm!}{g2!} \right\} = -\pi : J^2 + \omega_2 K_1^2 \omega : + \sum_{ij} M^2 \pi : \pi^{ij}$$

$$\frac{g_1}{g_2} = -x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{g_2}{g_2} m^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sigma_2 x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{g_1}{g_2} + \sigma_2 x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{g_2}{g_2} = -\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{g_2}{g_2} + \frac{g_2}{g_2} + \frac{g_2}{g_2} = -\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{g_2}{g_2} + \frac{g_$$

$$\frac{Q6}{}$$
 f(w) = 9'(m)

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{9(m)}{9(m)} = x_k - \frac{9(m)}{9(m)}$$

$$f(x_n) = f(x^n - 6^n) = f(x^n) - 6^n f(x^n) + \frac{6^n}{6^n} f(x^n)$$
 which $x^n < 6^n < 4^n$

$$L(u_{n}) = 0 \longrightarrow L(u^{n}) - C^{n} L(u^{n}) + \frac{5}{6r_{3}} L(s^{n}) = 0 \xrightarrow{[k]{k}} \frac{L(u^{n})}{L(u^{n})} - G^{n} + \frac{5}{6r_{3}} L(g^{n}) = 0 \longrightarrow u^{n} - u^{n} + u_{n}^{n} + \frac{5}{6r_{3}} \frac{L(u^{n})}{C(g^{n})} = 0$$

$$\xrightarrow{} X^{p-1} - u_n = \frac{1}{T} \left(x^p - x_n \right)_S \frac{\xi(u^p)}{\xi(\varepsilon^p)} \rightarrow |x^{p-1} - u_n| \leqslant \frac{\delta(\xi(u^p))}{|\xi(\varepsilon^p)|} |u^p - x_n|_S$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{F} \to \mathcal{N}_{H} \implies \mathcal{L}_{L}(\mathcal{E}^{F}) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{L}_{L}(\mathcal{U}_{H}) \implies |\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathcal{U}| + |\mathcal{U}| +$$

$$\mathcal{L}(z_{j}y) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} y_{k} \log(\frac{e^{z_{k}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} e^{z_{i}}}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} y_{k} (2_{k} - l_{m}) \sum_{i=1}^{K} e^{z_{i}}$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial z_{i}} = -j_{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} j_{k} \frac{e^{z_{i}}}{Ze^{z_{i}}} = -j_{i} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} j_{k}}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} e^{z_{j}}} = -j_{i} + j_{i} \longrightarrow \nabla_{z} L = j_{z} - j_{z}$$

$$\Delta^2 \Gamma = \Delta^2 (\mathring{J} - \tilde{J}) = \frac{\rho_S}{\rho_J^2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\rho_S}{\rho_J^2} & \frac{\rho_S}{\rho_J^2} & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}_{i}}{\partial z_{i}} = \frac{e^{z_{i}} (\sum e^{z_{i}}) - e^{z_{i}} e^{z_{i}}}{(\sum e^{z_{i}})^{2}} = \hat{y}_{i}^{2} - \hat{y}_{i}^{2} = \hat{y}_{i}^{2} (1 - \hat{y}_{i}^{2}) - \hat{y}_{i}^{2} + \hat{y}_{i}^{$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{y}_{i}}{\partial z_{j}} = \frac{e^{z_{i}} e^{z_{i}}}{(\Sigma e^{z_{i}})^{2}} = \hat{y}_{i} y_{i}$$

$$\rightarrow (\sqrt[4]{\hat{g}})^2 \leq (\sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{g}_i^2)^2 (\sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{g}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{g}_i q_i^2$$

$$\longrightarrow (\sqrt{3})^2 \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{g}_i v_i^2 \longrightarrow \sqrt{1} H v_i > 0 \longrightarrow H \quad \text{is } PSD.$$