2/7/25, 9:59 AM about:blank



http://localhost:3000/









Performance

Accessibility

**Best Practices** 

**SEO** 



### Performance

Values are estimated and may vary. The performance score is calculated directly from these metrics. See calculator.



**METRICS** 

0-49

50-89

90-100



Expand view

First Contentful Paint

 $0.8 \, s$ 

Total Blocking Time

1,060 ms

Speed Index

0.9 s

Largest Contentful Paint

0.9 s

**Cumulative Layout Shift** 

0.001





about:blank 1/5

Show audits relevant to: All  $\underline{\mathsf{FCP}}$   $\underline{\mathsf{LCP}}$   $\underline{\mathsf{TBT}}$   $\underline{\mathsf{CLS}}$ 

#### DIAGNOSTICS

▲ Reduce JavaScript execution time	— 2.1 s	~
▲ Minimize main-thread work — 3.5	5 s	~
▲ Eliminate render-blocking resource	es — Potential savings of 420 ms	~
▲ Page prevented back/forward cach	ne restoration — 5 failure reasons	~
Image elements do not have explic	tit width and height	~
Minify JavaScript — Potential sav	rings of 5 KiB	~
Serve images in next-gen formats	— Potential savings of 181 KiB	~
Properly size images — Potential	savings of 412 KiB	~
Defer offscreen images — Potent	ial savings of 1,107 KiB	~
Avoid serving legacy JavaScript to	modern browsers — Potential savings of 0 KiB	~
Reduce unused JavaScript — Po	tential savings of 312 KiB	~
Avoid enormous network payloads	— Total size was 6,667 KiB	~
O Avoid long main-thread tasks — 7	7 long tasks found	~
<ul> <li>Initial server response time was sh</li> </ul>	nort — Root document took 340 ms	~
Avoid large layout shifts — 2 layout shifts	out shifts found	~
Avoids an excessive DOM size —	- 524 elements	~
<ul> <li>Avoid chaining critical requests —</li> </ul>	- 4 chains found	~
<ul><li>Minimize third-party usage — Thi</li></ul>	rd-party code blocked the main thread for 0 ms	~
<ul> <li>Largest Contentful Paint element</li> </ul>	— 910 ms	~

More information about the performance of your application. These numbers don't <u>directly affect</u> the Performance score.

2/7/25, 9:59 AM about:blank

PASSED AUDITS (19) Show



# Accessibility

These checks highlight opportunities to improve the accessibility of your web app. Automatic detection can only detect a subset of issues and does not guarantee the accessibility of your web app, so manual testing is also encouraged.

#### NAMES AND LABELS

▲ Buttons do not have an accessible name	~
▲ Links do not have a discernible name	~
These are opportunities to improve the semantics of the controls in your application. This may enhance the experience for assistive technology, like a screen reader.	users of
CONTRAST	
▲ Background and foreground colors do not have a sufficient contrast ratio.	~
These are opportunities to improve the legibility of your content.	
ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (10)	Show
These items address areas which an automated testing tool cannot cover. Learn more in our guide on conducting an accereview.	<u>ssibility</u>
PASSED AUDITS (24)	Show
NOT APPLICABLE (30)	Show

about:blank 3/5

2/7/25, 9:59 AM about:blank



## **Best Practices**

#### **GENERAL**

▲ Uses third-party cookies — 3 cookies found	~
▲ Browser errors were logged to the console	~
▲ Issues were logged in the Issues panel in Chrome Devtools	~
▲ Missing source maps for large first-party JavaScript	~
TRUST AND SAFETY	
Ensure CSP is effective against XSS attacks	~
<ul> <li>Use a strong HSTS policy</li> </ul>	~
Ensure proper origin isolation with COOP	~
PASSED AUDITS (10)	Show
NOT APPLICABLE (3)	Show




These checks ensure that your page is following basic search engine optimization advice. There are many additional factors Lighthouse does not score here that may affect your search ranking, including performance on <a href="Core Web Vitals">Core Web Vitals</a>. Learn more about Google Search Essentials.

about:blank

4/5

2/7/25, 9:59 AM about:blank

#### CONTENT BEST PRACTICES



Generated by Lighthouse 12.3.0 | File an issue

about:blank 5/5