Self-Evaluation Exercise on Pipelining (with solution)

Q Reorder the following code to avoid hazards and also reduce execution time, assuming

- A. We use software techniques, and have 2 delay slots.
- B. We use interlocks, and predict not taken.
- C. We use forwarding, and predict not taken.

add r1, r2, r3

sub r4, r1, r1

mul r8, r9, r10

cmp r8, r9

beq.foo

Hint: for software technique, you can add NOP instruction and for interlock/forwarding techniques, you can insert a bubble if required (a bubble is also represented as a NOP instruction, but it need not go through all the pipeline stages. See this: https://stackoverflow.com/questions/33071948/what-is-the-difference-using-nop-and-stalls-in-mips). Further, in all the three: A, B, and C, you can reorder the code.

A.

mul r8, r9, r10

add r1, r2, r3

nop

nop

cmp r8, r9

beq .foo

<mark>nop</mark>

sub r4, r1, r1

add r1, r2, r3

mul r8, r9, r10

<mark>cmp r8, r9</mark>

<mark>beq .foo</mark>

sub r4, r1, r1

C.

mul r8, r9, r10

<mark>cmp r8, r9</mark>

<mark>beq .foo</mark>

add r1, r2, r3

sub r4, r1, r1