Introduction to probability

Amit Tripathi

Indian Institute of Technology, Hyderabad

August 29, 2019

Independent random variables

Definition

We say that a finite sequence of random variables $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ is **independent** if for every $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$

$$P(X_1 \leq a_1, X_2 \leq a_2, \cdots, X_n \leq a_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n P(X_k \leq a_k)$$

Pairwise independence doesn't imply independence

Example

Let X, Y be outcome of two fair coins. Let $Z = X \oplus Y$ (where \oplus denotes exclusive OR). Clearly the joint distribution of X, Y, Z is given as

$$P(X = 0, Y = 0, Z = 0) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(X = 0, Y = 1, Z = 1) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(X = 1, Y = 0, Z = 1) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$P(X = 1, Y = 1, Z = 0) = \frac{1}{4}$$

Pairwise independence doesn't imply independence

Example

One can easily check that pairwise $\{X,Y\}$, $\{X,Z\}$ and $\{Y,Z\}$ are independent. For instance

$$P(X = 0, Z = 1) = P(X = 0, Y = 1, Z = 1)$$

= $\frac{1}{4} = P(X = 0)P(Z = 1)$

But it is clear that X, Y, Z are not independent as Z is determined by X, Y. For example

$$P(X = 0, Y = 0, Z = 0) = \frac{1}{4} \neq \frac{1}{8} = P(X = 0)P(Y = 0)P(Z = 0)$$

Independent random variables

We say that a sequence of random variables $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, \}$ is independent if **every finite subsequence is independent.**

Identically distributed random variables

We say that a sequence of random variables $\{X_1, X_2, \cdots, \}$ is **identically distributed** if they all have same probability distribution i.e. for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$F_{X_i}(a) = F_{X_j}(a), \quad \forall i, j$$

If a sequence of random variables $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, \}$ is independent and identically distributed, we say it is a sequence of **i.i.d** random variables.

Revision slide

Recall the following facts about variance:

2 If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent then

$$Var(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n) = Var(X_1) + Var(X_2) + \cdots + Var(X_n)$$

Let X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d random variables each having mean μ and variance σ^2 (both assumed to be finite). Define sample mean

$$S_n=\frac{X_1+X_2+\cdots+X_n}{n}.$$

Question: What is $E[S_n]$? Answer: μ .

Question: What is $Var(S_n)$?



Lemma

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent and identically distributed random variables having expected value μ and variance σ^2 then variance

$$Var(S_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Proof.

$$Var(S_n) = Var\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} Var(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i) = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$



Question: What is the expected value of $\frac{S_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$?

Question: What is the variance of $\frac{S_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$?

Theorem (CLT)

If $\{X_1, \cdots\}$ be an i.i.d sequence then the distribution function of the normalized variable $\frac{S_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$ approaches the standard normal distribution, i.e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\Big\{\frac{S_n-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \le a\Big\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^a \exp(-x^2/2) \, dx$$



Alternatively, it can be written in terms of the sum

$$X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n = n \cdot S_n$$

Theorem (Central Limit Theorem)

With notation as above.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\Big\{\frac{X_1+X_2+\cdots+X_n-n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}\leq a\Big\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^a \exp(-x^2/2)\,dx$$

Theorem (Central Limit Theorem)

With notation as above,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\Big\{\frac{S_n-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \le a\Big\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^a \exp(-x^2/2) \, dx$$



There are various versions of central limit theorem. Most of them replace the conditions "identically distributed" and "independent distribution" by some weaker or different condition.

CLT is applicable to the case of discrete random variables as well.

Covariance of two random variable, provides us with a numerical measure of how they vary jointly.

Definition

We define **covariance of** X **and** Y as

$$Cov(X, Y) = E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)]$$

Question: What is Cov(X, X)?

By definition

$$Cov(X, Y) = E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)]$$

This simplifies to

$$E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)] = E[XY - \mu_X \cdot Y - \mu_Y \cdot X + \mu_X \cdot \mu_Y]$$
$$= E[XY] - \mu_X \mu_Y$$

Question: Suppose X and Y are independent, what is the Cov(X, Y) ?

Conversely, suppose Cov(X, Y) = 0, can we expect X and Y to be independent?

Example

Consider a discrete random variable X with

$$P(X = -1) = P(X = 0) = P(X = 1) = \frac{1}{3}$$

Let $I_{X=0}$ be an indicator random variable defined as

$$I_{X=0} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } X = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } X \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Question: What is $X \cdot I_{X=0}$? Thus $E[X \cdot I_{X=0}] = 0$.

Question: What is $Cov(X, I_{X=0})$? On the other hand,

$$P(X = 1, I_{X=0} = 0) = P(X = 1) = \frac{1}{3} \neq P(X = 1)P(I_{X=0} = 0)$$



To sum up:

$$X, Y$$
 are independent $\implies Cov(X, Y) = 0$

But

$$Cov(X, Y) = 0 \implies X, Y$$
 are independent

Properties of covariance

$$Cov(X, Y) = E[XY] - \mu_X \mu_Y.$$

- $\text{Cov}(X_1 + X_2, Y) = \text{Cov}(X_1, Y) + \text{Cov}(X_2, Y).$
- ov(aX, Y) = aCov(X, Y).

Properties of covariance

$$Cov(X, Y) = E[XY] - \mu_X \mu_Y.$$

- ① $Cov(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2) = Cov(X_1, Y_1) + Cov(X_1, Y_2) + Cov(X_2, Y_1) + Cov(X_2, Y_2).$
- ② More generally, $Cov\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i},\sum_{j=1}^{m}Y_{j}\right)=\sum_{i}\sum_{j}Cov(X_{i},Y_{j}).$

$$Cov(X, Y) = E[XY] - \mu_X \mu_Y.$$

• Cov
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, \sum_{j=1}^{m} Y_j\right) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} Cov(X_i, Y_j).$$

Corollary

$$Var(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var(X_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i} Cov(X_i, X_j)$$

Proof.

Use the fact that $Var(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i) = Cov(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)$.





Question

Suppose $\{X_i\}$ are independent random variables. Show that $Var(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var(X_i)$.

Proof.

Previous result shows

$$Var(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var(X_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{i \neq j} Cov(X_i, X_j)$$

and when X_i are independent then $Cov(X_i, X_i) = 0$.

Question

Let X, Y have equal variance. Find Cov(X + Y, X - Y)?

Proof.

$$Cov(X + Y, X - Y) = Cov(X, X) + Cov(Y, X)$$
$$+ Cov(X, -Y) + Cov(Y, -Y)$$

$$Cov(Y, X) + Cov(X, -Y) = Cov(X, Y) - Cov(X, Y) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$Cov(X+Y,X-Y)=Cov(X,X)-Cov(Y,Y)=0$$



Question: If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ and $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$ be two independent normal random variables then what is the variance of X + Y? It is given as

$$\sigma_{X+Y}^2 = \sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2$$

Definition

The correlation coefficient of X and Y is defined to be

$$\rho(X,Y) = \frac{Cov(X,Y)}{\sqrt{Var(X)Var(Y)}}$$

provided that Var(X) and Var(Y) are both positive.

Lemma

$$-1 \le \rho(X, Y) \le 1.$$

Proof.

Let
$$Var(X) = \sigma_X^2 > 0$$
 and $Var(Y) = \sigma_Y^2 > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \leq Var\left(\frac{X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}\right) \\ &= Var\left(\frac{X}{\sigma_X}\right) + Var\left(\frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}\right) - 2 \cdot \frac{Cov(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \end{aligned}$$

Proof contd.

$$0 \le Var\left(\frac{X}{\sigma_X}\right) + Var\left(\frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}\right) - 2 \cdot \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$
$$= 2(1 - \rho(X, Y))$$

Therefore $\rho(X, Y) \leq 1$. A similar argument shows the lower bound.



It is clear from the proof that $\rho(X,Y)=1$ if and only if $Var\left(\frac{X}{\sigma_X}-\frac{Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)=0.$

Fact: If Var(Z) = 0 then P(Z = E[Z]) = 1.

Thus if $\rho(X, Y) = 1$ then with probability 1,

$$\frac{X}{\sigma_X} - \frac{Y}{\sigma_Y} = K$$

for some constant K. Rewriting

$$Y = aX + b$$

for some constants a, b where a > 0.



To sum up

Theorem

Let X, Y be two random variables.

• If $\rho(X, Y) = 1$ then with probability 1,

$$Y = aX + b$$

for some constants a, b where a > 0.

2 If $\rho(X, Y) = -1$ then with probability 1,

$$Y = aX + b$$

for some constants a, b where a < 0.



In general, a high correlation **only suggests but DOES NOT IMPLY** some relationship between the random variables.

Example

If A, B, C, D are pairwise uncorrelated random variables, each with mean 0 and variance 1. Find

- $\rho(A+B,C+D)$.
- **2** $\rho(A+B,B+C)$.

Suppose we want to know the **conditional probability** of X = x **given that** Y = y. These are two events and hence the corresponding probability is given as

$$P(X = x | Y = y) = \frac{P(X = x, Y = y)}{P(Y = y)}$$

where we are (as in the case of conditional probability) forced to assume that P(Y = y) > 0.

Thus we define

Definition

The conditional probability mass function of X, given that Y = y, is defined, for all y such that P(Y = y) > 0, by

$$p_{X|Y}(x|y) = P(X = x|Y = y) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)}$$

Question

Is this really a probability mass function?

$$p_{X|Y}(x|y) = P(X = x|Y = y) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)}$$

Once we have above definition, the following doesn't appear too surprising

Definition

The conditional expectation of X given that Y = y, for all values of y such that $p_Y(y) > 0$ is defined as

$$E[X|Y = y] = \sum_{x} x P(X = x|Y = y) = \sum_{x} x p_{X|Y}(x|y)$$

Example

If $Y \sim U(0,1)$ and

$$P(X = x | Y = y) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{x} y^x (1 - y)^{n - x} & \text{for } x \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Find
$$E[X | Y = y]$$
.

Conditional density function

Similarly, for continuous case, we define

Definition

If f(x, y) be the joint density function of X and Y then we define the **conditional density function** of X given that Y = y and $f_Y(y) > 0$, as

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{Y}(y)}$$

Lemma

Conditional density function is a density function i.e for any y

$$\int f_{X|Y}(x|y)dx=1$$

Proof.

$$\int f_{X|Y}(x|y)dx = \int \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{Y}(y)}dx = \frac{\int f_{X,Y}(x,y)dx}{f_{Y}(y)} = \frac{f_{Y}(y)}{f_{Y}(y)} = 1$$



Definition

We define **conditional expectation** of X, given Y = y, as

$$E[X|Y = y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx$$

provided $f_Y(y) > 0$.

Example

Suppose the joint density function of X and Y is given by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-x/y}e^{-y}}{y}, & 0 < x, y < \infty \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Find
$$E[X|Y=y]$$
 ?

Example

We first calculate the conditional probability density of X as

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} = \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-x/y}e^{-y}}{y}\right)}{\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x/y}e^{-y}}{y}dx\right)}$$
$$= \frac{\left(\frac{e^{-x/y}e^{-y}}{y}\right)}{e^{-y}} = \frac{e^{-x/y}}{y}$$

Thus

$$E[X|Y=y] = \int_0^\infty x \frac{e^{-x/y}}{y} dx = y$$



Question: - We know that E[X|Y=y] is a number. How to interpret E[X|Y] ?

We think of it as a function g(Y) such that the value at Y = y is

$$g(y) = E[X|Y = y]$$

Question: - What about E[E[X|Y]] ? Is this a number or a random variable?

It turns out it is an interesting number but first an example.

Example

Let X be a discrete random variable and let I_A be indicator random variable, corresponding to some event A.

Question: Find $E[E[X|I_A]]$?

By definition $E[g(Y)] = \sum_{y} g(y) P(Y = y)$ and $I_A \in \{0, 1\}$.

Therefore

$$E[E[X|I_A]] = E[X|I_A = 0]P(I_A = 0) + E[X|I_A = 1]P(I_A = 1)$$

Example

$$E[E[X|I_A]] = E[X|I_A = 0]P(I_A = 0) + E[X|I_A = 1]P(I_A = 1)$$

$$E[X|I_A = 0]P(I_A = 0) = \sum_{x} \frac{xP(X = x, I_A = 0)}{P(I_A = 0)} P(I_A = 0)$$

$$\sum_{x} xP(X = x, I_A = 1)$$

$$E[X|I_A = 1]P(I_A = 1) = \sum_{x} \frac{xP(X = x, I_A = 1)}{P(I_A = 1)}P(I_A = 1)$$

Therefore

$$E[E[X|I_A]] = \sum_{x} x (P(X = x, I_A = 0) + P(X = x, I_A = 1))$$
$$= \sum_{x} x P(X = x) = E[X]$$



Proposition

$$E[X] = E[E[X|Y]].$$

Proof.

We take the continuous case:

$$E[E[X|Y]] = \int E[X|Y = y] f_Y(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx \right) f_Y(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \left(\frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} f_Y(y) \right) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy$$

$$= E[X]$$

Proposition

Let X be a random variable that takes only **non-negative** values. Then for any $\alpha>0$ we have

$$P(X \ge \alpha) \le E[X]/\alpha$$

[Observe that only two assumptions are made - first is $X \geq 0$ and second is a consequence of the first as otherwise $P(X \geq \alpha) = 1$ and this inequality is trivially false!]

Proof.

Define an indicator random variable

$$I_X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X \ge \alpha \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since $X \ge 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, we always have

$$I_X \leq \frac{X}{\alpha}$$

In particular

$$E[I_X] \leq \frac{E[X]}{\alpha}$$

But

$$E[I_X] = P(X \ge \alpha) \cdot 1 + P(X < \alpha) \cdot 0 = P(X \ge \alpha)$$

Note that when $\alpha < E[X]$ then Markov's inequality is trivially true. Also for known distributions, one can directly compute and obtain a better bound. Importance of this result is **usually** evident when

- **1** α is large compared to E[X] and
- We don't know anything about the distribution of a RV except the expected value.

Example

Let $X \sim Bin(n, p)$. Then we know that E[X] = np. Then for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P(X \ge a) = 1 - P(X < a) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} {n \choose k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

whereas by Markov's inequality, we are getting

$$P(X \ge a) \le \frac{E[X]}{a} = \frac{np}{a}$$

One can check that bound obtained directly is better than Markov's inequality.



Example

Average annual rainfall in India is 300 mm. What can be said about the probability that in 2019 it will exceed 500 mm?

By Markov's inequality we have

$$P(X \ge 500) \le E[X]/500 = 3/5$$

Note that as α becomes larger compared to E[X] the bound becomes smaller - thus e.g.

$$P(X \ge 1500) \le 1/5$$



Proposition

Let X be a random variable with finite mean μ and variance σ^2 . Then for any $\alpha>0$

$$P(|X - \mu| \ge \alpha) \le \sigma^2/\alpha^2$$

Proof.

Applying Markov's inequality for the random variable $(X - \mu)^2$ and α^2 , (and using the fact that by definition $E[(X - \mu)^2] = \sigma^2$) we obtain

$$P((X - \mu)^2 \ge \alpha^2) \le \sigma^2/\alpha^2$$

This is equivalent to our claim.



Corollary (Alternate formulation of Chebyshev's inequality)

Putting $\mathbf{k} = \alpha/\sigma$ we get

$$P(|X - \mu| \ge k\sigma) \le 1/k^2$$

- As before, for known distributions, one can always obtain better bounds than this. But when nothing is known except expected value and variance then this bound can be very useful.
- ② It is easy construct example of a random variable for which the bound is strict Take X to be a discrete RV with P(X=a)=1/2 and P(X=-a)=1/2. Then E[X]=0 and $\sigma^2=Var(X)=E[X^2]=a^2$. Thus

$$P(|X - \mu| \ge a) = P(|X| \ge a) = 1 = \sigma^2/a^2$$



Example

There was a numerical error in the version done in the class. Edited version has a more realistic value of variance. Average annual rainfall in India is 300 mm. Suppose in addition the variance is known to be 400mm square. What can be said about the probability that in 2019 it will exceed 500 mm? By Markov's inequality - $P(X \ge 500) \le E[X]/500 = 3/5$

Question: How to obtain Chebychev's inequality in this question?

$$\leq \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2} - P(X \leq 100)$$

$$= \frac{400}{200 * 200} - P(X \leq 100)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{100}, \text{ This improves Markov's bound sharply!}$$

 $P(X \ge 500) = P(|X - 300| \ge 200) - P(X \le 100)$

Assuming that $\{X_i\}$ have independent and identical distribution with mean μ and variance $= \sigma^2$.

As before, define sample mean as

$$S_n = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n}$$

Then

$$Var(S_n) = \sigma^2/n$$

Weak law of large numbers is a trivial corollary to Chebyshev's inequality:

Theorem (The weak law)

Let X_1, X_2, \cdots , be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with finite mean $E[X_i] = \mu$. Then for any $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\{|S_n-\mu|\geq \delta\}=0$$

Proof.

Recall that $Var(S_n) = \sigma^2/n$.

Applying Chebyshev's inequality it follows that

$$P\{|S_n - \mu| \ge \delta\} \le \frac{\sigma^2}{n\delta^2}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\left\{ |S_n - \mu| \ge \delta \right\} = 0$$

Theorem (The weak law - alternative description)

With notation as above, for any $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\left\{ |S_n - \mu| \le \delta \right\} = 1$$

Discrete random variables

Example

We toss a coin with P(H) = p. Find the probability mass function of expected waiting time for getting total of k heads.

Suppose W_k denotes the number of toss needed to get k heads. This means that the last toss was a head and out of previous n-1 tosses, there were k-1 heads thus

$$P(W_k = n) = \binom{n-1}{k-1} p^{k-1} \cdot q^{n-k} \cdot p = \binom{n-1}{k-1} p^k q^{n-k}$$

Example

Fix some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le p \le 1$. We consider *n*-noded **simple** graphs. What is simple graph?

Index the nodes as $1, 2, \dots, n$ and construct a random graph by connecting the nodes i and i with probability p. The degree of vertex i, designated as D_i , is the number of edges that have vertex i as one of their vertices.

- **①** Find the probability mass function of D_i .
- ② Find the correlation coefficient $\rho(D_i, D_j)$.

Example

- $P(D_i = k) = \binom{n-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-1-k}$ Thus it is a Binomial distribution with parameters (n-1,p).
- ② First we want $Var(D_i)$. What is it? Since $D_i \sim Bin(n-1,p)$ therefore $Var(D_i) = (n-1)p(1-p)$.

If i = j then clearly $\rho(D_i, D_j)$ is equal to 1.

When $i \neq j$, are D_i and D_j independent?

Example

We recall that if E = number of all the edges in the graph then

$$2E = \sum_{i} D_{i}$$

What is the probability mass function of E?

$$P(E=k) = {N \choose k} p^k (1-p)^{N-k}$$
, where $N = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

Therefore
$$Var(E) = Np(1-p) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}p(1-p)$$
.



Example

$$Var(E) = Np(1-p) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}p(1-p).$$

We assume that $Cov(D_i, D_j) = \lambda$ whenever $i \neq j$ then

$$Var(2E) = Cov(\sum_{i} D_{i}, \sum_{j} D_{j}) = \sum_{i} Var(D_{i}) + n(n-1)\lambda$$

Therefore

$$Cov(D_i, D_j) = p(1-p)$$

This means

$$\rho(D_i, D_j) = \frac{Cov(D_i, D_j)}{\sqrt{Var(D_i)Var(D_j)}} = \frac{p(1-p)}{(n-1)p(1-p)} = \frac{1}{n-1}$$



Continuous random variable

Question

A certain type of disease affects $\alpha\%$ of the population annually. Find the probability that out of N people randomly selected, not more than m will have the disease next year (assume $m\ll N$ and $\alpha\ll 100\%$).

Underlying assumption - any person having this disease is an independent event irrespective of who else has this disease.

Continuous random variable

Question

Any given person has this disease with probability $p = \alpha/100$. Clearly this is a Binomial distribution with parameters (N, p). Therefore the probability is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{N}{k} p^k (1-p)^{N-k}$$

Theorem

(De-Moivre) For $n \gg k$ and $\lambda = np$ of moderate size,

$$\binom{n}{k}p^k\left(1-p\right)^{n-k}\to\frac{e^{-\lambda}\cdot\lambda^k}{k!}$$



Continuous random variable

Question

With our assumptions, we can approximate this using Poisson distribution with parameter

$$\lambda = Np$$

Therefore the probability is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{N}{k} p^k q^{N-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}$$

Maximum random variable

Definition

Given random variables X_1, \dots, X_n . Define

$$X = \max(X_1, \cdots, X_n).$$

Question: Is $X = X_i$ for some i?

Question: Let $\{X_i\}$ be independent random variables and denote the distribution function of X_i by $F_{X_i}(x)$. Find the distribution function of X.

Maximum random variable

Solution

We have

$$F(a) = P(Z \le a)$$

$$= P(\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \le a)$$

$$= P(X_1 \le a, X_2 \le a, \dots, X_n \le a)$$

Applying independence

$$F(a) = P(X_1 \leq a)P(X_2 \leq a) \cdots P(X_n \leq a) = F_1(a)F_2(a) \cdots F_n(a)$$

Joint density function

Suppose the joint density function of random variables X and Y is given as

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \lambda x e^{-x} & 0 < x < \infty, 0 < y < 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Find the value of λ .

Joint density function

Integral of joint distribution function over \mathbb{R}^2 plane must be 1. Therefore

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^2 \lambda x e^{-x} dx dy = \int_0^\infty 2 \cdot \lambda x e^{-x} dx = 1$$

which gives $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.

Joint density function

The joint density function of X and Y is

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} ax + by, & 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Are X and Y independent?

Joint density function

Question

Two random variables are independent if and only if their joint probability density (mass) function can be expressed as

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = h(x)g(y)$$
 $-\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < y < \infty$

One side is trivial. Which one?

Conversely, suppose

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = h(x)g(y)$$
 $-\infty < x < \infty, -\infty < y < \infty$



Joint density function

Question

Therefore we get

$$f_X(x) = \int f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy = \int h(x)g(y) dy = \lambda h(x)$$

for some constant λ . Similarly

$$f_Y(y) = \int f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, dx = \int h(x)g(y) \, dy = \mu g(y)$$

for some constant μ .

What is the value of $\lambda \cdot \mu$?



Joint density function

Question

We know that

$$\int f_X(x) dx = \lambda \int h(x) dx = \lambda \cdot \mu$$

Therefore $\lambda \cdot \mu = 1$. This proves that

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \lambda \cdot \mu \, h(x)g(y) = f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y)$$

Therefore X and Y are independent.

Sum of random variables

Question

Suppose X_i , $i=1,2,\cdots,50$ are independent random variables with $X_i \sim Poi(\lambda_i)$ for positive constants λ_i , $i=1,2,\cdots,50$. Let

$$X=X_1+X_2+\cdots X_{50}$$

Find the distribution of X?

We look at the case of only 2 Poisson random variable $X = Poi(\lambda_1)$ and $Y = Poi(\lambda_2)$.



Sum of random variables

Question

The probability mass function of X + Y is

$$P(X + Y = n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} P(X = k, Y = n - k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} P(X = k) P(Y = n - k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} e(-\lambda_1) \frac{\lambda_1^k}{k!} e(-\lambda_2) \frac{\lambda_2^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}$$

$$= \frac{e(-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} \lambda_1^k \lambda_2^{n-k}}{n!}$$

$$= e(-\lambda) \frac{\lambda_1^n}{n!}$$

Sum of random variables

Question

Thus X+Y is again a poisson random variable with parameter $\lambda=\lambda_1+\lambda_2$. Now use induction to get the general case!

Exponential random variables

Question

Let X, Y be independent exponential random variables with parameters λ_1, λ_2 respectively.

- Find distribution of Z = X/Y?
- **2** Compute P(X < Y)?

Recall that exponential density function is given as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, & x \ge 0 \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Exponential random variables

Question

Let Z = X/Y. Then

$$P(Z \le a) = P(X \le aY)$$

Definition

We will say that X and Y are jointly continuous if there exists a function f(x,y) such that for every set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we have

$$P(\{X,Y\} \in C) = \iint_{(x,y)\in C} f(x,y)dxdy$$

Question: What is the joint density function of X, Y?



Exponential random variables

Question

$$P(Z \le a) = P(X \le aY)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{ay} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_1 x - \lambda_2 y} \, dx \, dy$$

$$= \lambda_2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_2 y} \left(1 - e^{-a\lambda_1 y} \right) \, dy$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{a\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$

$$= \frac{a\lambda_1}{\lambda_2 + a\lambda_1}$$

- Find distribution of Z = X/Y? $P(Z \le a) = \frac{a\lambda_1}{\lambda_2 + a\lambda_1}$.
- ② Compute P(X < Y) ? Putting a = 1 we get answer to the second question as $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$.



Central limit theorem

Question

Suppose X_i , $i=1,2,\cdots,50$ are independent random variables with $X_i \sim Poi(\lambda)$ where $\lambda=0.03$. Let $X=X_1+X_2+\cdots X_{50}$.

• Use CLT to evaluate $P(X \ge 3)$.

Can we apply CLT in this case?

Central limit theorem

Question

Suppose X_i , $i=1,2,\cdots,50$ are independent random variables with $X_i \sim Poi(\lambda)$ where $\lambda=0.03$. Let $X=X_1+X_2+\cdots X_{50}$.

- **1** What is E[X]? E[X] = 1.5.
- ② What is Var[X]? $Var[X] = 50 \cdot Var(X_1) = 50 \cdot 0.03 = 1.5$.

Therefore

$$P(X \ge 3) = P\left(\frac{X - E[X]}{\sigma_X} \ge \frac{3 - E[X]}{\sigma_X}\right)$$
$$= P\left(\frac{X - E[X]}{\sigma_X} \ge \frac{3 - 1.5}{\sqrt{1.5}}\right)$$
$$= 1 - \Phi(\sqrt{1.5})$$

where $\Phi(x) = P(Y \le x)$ for $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.



Notation

In the exam, you may use the symbol

$$\Phi(x) = P(Y \le x) := \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

i.e. $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

But make sure to mention that $\Phi(x)$ denotes the CDF of standard normal distribution!



Airline ticket

Example

We toss a fair coin 1000 times. Find the smallest k such that we can say with probability 95% that number of heads is less than or equal to k.

We apply CLT - here $X_i \sim Ber\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{4}$. We are interested in smallest k such that

$$P\left(\sum_{i} X_{i} \le k\right) = 0.95$$

Applying CLT

$$P\left(\frac{\sum_{i} X_{i} - 1000 \cdot \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{1000}} \leq \frac{k - 1000 \cdot \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{1000}}\right) \simeq \Phi\left(\frac{k - 1000 \cdot \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{1000}}\right)$$



Airline ticket

Example

From tables of standard normal distribution, we get $\Phi(1.645) \simeq 0.95.$ Therefore

$$\left(\frac{k - 1000 \cdot \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{1000}}\right) = 1.645$$

Or

$$k = 1000 \cdot \mu + 1.645 \times \sigma \times \sqrt{1000} \simeq 500 + 26 = 526$$

Example

Suppose $X \in Bin(n, p)$ & $Y \in Bin(m, p)$. Find $E[X \mid X + Y = k]$?

Definition

The conditional expectation of X given that Z = z, for all values of z such that $p_Z(z) > 0$ is defined as

$$E[X|Z=z] = \sum_{x} x P(X=x|Z=z) = \sum_{x} x p_{X|Z}(x|z)$$

Example

Suppose $X \in Bin(n, p)$ & $Y \in Bin(m, p)$ be independent random variables. Find $E[X \mid X + Y = k]$?

Question: What is the distribution of X + Y? Answer: $X + Y \sim Bin(m + n, p)$.

We calculate the conditional probability mass function of X as

$$P(X = j | X + Y = k) = \frac{P(X = j, Y = k - j)}{P(X + Y = k)}$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{j} p^{j} q^{n-j} \binom{m}{k-j} p^{k-j} q^{m-k+j}}{\binom{m+n}{k} p^{k} q^{m+n-k}}$$

$$= \frac{\binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{k-j}}{\binom{m+n}{k}}$$

Example

Thus we get

$$E(X|X+Y=k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{k-j} \cdot j}{\binom{m+n}{k}}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{k-j} \cdot j}{\binom{m+n}{k}}$$

$$= n \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\binom{n-1}{j-1} \binom{m}{k-j}}{\binom{m+n}{k}}$$

$$= \frac{n \cdot \binom{m+n-1}{k-1}}{\binom{m+n}{k}}$$

$$= \frac{n \cdot k}{m+n}$$

Example

A miner is trapped in a mine containing 3 doors.

- The first door will lead him to safety in 3 hrs.
- The second door will take him to a tunnel that will return him back to the mine in 5 hrs.
- The third door will take him to a tunnel that will return him back to the mine in 7 hrs.

If the miner is equally likely to choose any one of the doors, what is the expected length of time until he reaches safety.

Example

Let X denotes the amount of time for the miner to reach some safe point. Let Y be the door that he initial chooses. Then

$$E[X] = E[E[X|Y]]$$

$$= E[X|Y = 1]P(Y = 1) + E[X|Y = 2]P(Y = 2)$$

$$+ E[X|Y = 3]P(Y = 3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} (E[X|Y = 1] + E[X|Y = 2] + E[X|Y = 3])$$

Question: What are the values of E[X|Y=1], E[X|Y=2] and E[X|Y=2] ?

Example

Then

$$E[X] = \frac{1}{3}(3+5+E[X]+7+E[X])$$

Solving this gives E[X] = 15.

Table of well known distributions

Distributions	Binomial	Geometric	Poisson	Uniform[a, b]	Exponential	Normal
Mass function	$\binom{n}{r} p^r q^{n-r}$	$q^{n-1}p$	$e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^i}{i!}, i \ge 0$			
Density function		1		$\frac{1}{b-a}$ $a+b$	$\lambda e^{-\lambda x}, x \ge 0$	$\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$
E[X]	np	- p	λ	2	$\frac{1}{\lambda}$	μ
Var(X)	npq	$\frac{q}{p^2}$	λ	$\frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$	σ^2