CS2323 Homework 2

CS18BTECH11001

October 16, 2019

This document is generated by LATEX

Q1. Given, for a floating point number:

 $Total\ No.\ of\ Bits=T$

No. of Exponent Bits = E

(a) Normal Number

Smallest value :

Exponent = 0000....001

$$\Rightarrow actual \ exponent = 1 - (2^{E-1} - 1)$$

$$=2-2^{E-1}$$

 $\textit{Fraction} = 000....00 \quad \Rightarrow \textit{Significand} = 1.0$

 $Smallest\ Value = 1.0 \times 2^{2-2^{E-1}}$

\therefore Smallest value in normal mode = $2^{2-2^{E-1}}$

Largest value:

Exponent = 1111....110

$$\Rightarrow actual \ exponent = 2^E - 2 - (2^{E-1} - 1)$$
$$= 2^{E-1} - 1$$

 $Fraction = 111....11 \quad \Rightarrow Significand = 1 + 1 - 2^{E+1-T} = 2 - 2^{E+1-T}$

Largest Value = $(2 - 2^{E+1-T}) \times 2^{2^{E-1}-1}$

$$\therefore Largest \ value \ in \ normal \ mode \ = (2 - 2^{E+1-T}) \times 2^{2^{E-1}-1} \approx 2^{2^{E-1}}$$

$Denormal\ Number$

Smallest value :

Exponent = 0000....000

$$\Rightarrow actual \ exponent = 0 - (2^{E-1} - 2)$$

$$=2-2^{E-1}$$

 $\textit{Fraction} = 000....01 \quad \Rightarrow \textit{Significand} = 2^{-(T-E-1)}$

Smallest Value = $2^{-(T-E-1)} \times 2^{2-2^{E-1}}$

 \therefore Smallest value in Denormal mode = $2^{E+3-T-2^{E-1}}$

Largest value:

Exponent = 0000....000

$$\Rightarrow actual \ exponent = 0 - (2^{E-1} - 2)$$

$$=2-2^{E-1}$$

 $\textit{Fraction} = 111....11 \quad \Rightarrow \textit{Significand} \ 0 + 1 - 2^{E+1-T}$

Largest Value =
$$(1 - 2^{E+1-T}) \times 2^{2-2^{E-1}}$$

(b) **FP16**

Total No. of Bits(T) = 16

No. of Exponent Bits(E) = 5

 $\underline{Smallest\ Normal\ Value} = 2^{2-2^{E-1}} = 2^{-14}$

<u>Largest Normal Value</u> = $(2 - 2^{E+1-T}) \times 2^{2^{E-1}-1} = 2^{16} - 2^5 \approx 2^{16}$

 $\underline{Smallest\ Denormal\ Value} = 2^{E+3-T-2^{E-1}} = 2^{-24}$

<u>Largest Denormal Value</u> = $2^{2-2^{E-1}} - 2^{E+3-T-2^{E-1}} = 2^{-14} - 2^{-24}$

bfloat 16

Total No. of Bits(T) = 16

No. of Exponent Bits(E) = 8

 $\underline{Smallest\ Normal\ Value} = 2^{2-2^{E-1}} = 2^{-126}$

Largest Normal Value = $(2 - 2^{E+1-T}) \times 2^{2^{E-1}-1} = 2^{128} - 2^{120} \approx 2^{128}$

 $\underline{Smallest\ Denormal\ Value}\ =\ 2^{E+3-T-2^{E-1}}\ =\ 2^{-133}$

Largest Denormal Value = $2^{2-2^{E-1}} - 2^{E+3-T-2^{E-1}} = 2^{-126} - 2^{-133}$

(c) Second Smallest Normal Value:

Exponent = 0000....001

$$\Rightarrow actual \ exponent = 1 - (2^{E-1} - 1)$$

$$=2-2^{E-1}$$

Fraction = 000....01 \Rightarrow Significand = $1 + 2^{-(T-E-1)}$

Second Smallest Value = $(1 + 2^{-(T-E-1)}) \times 2^{2-2^{E-1}} = 2^{2-2^{E-1}} + 2^{E+3-T-2^{E-1}}$

 $\therefore Second \ Smallest \ Normal \ Value = 2^{2-2^{E-1}} + 2^{E+3-T-2^{E-1}}$

FP16

 $\underline{Smallest\ Normal\ Value}\,=\,2^{2-2^{E-1}}=2^{-14}$

 $\underline{Second\ Smallest\ Normal\ Value} = 2^{2-2^{E-1}} + 2^{E+3-T-2^{E-1}} = 2^{-14} + 2^{-24}$

So, Difference = $2^{-14} + 2^{-24} - 2^{-14} = 2^{-24}$

 $\therefore Difference = 2^{-24}$

bfloat16

(d) **FP16**

 \underline{Pros} :

• FP16 has high precision than bfloat16 due to more mantissa bits

 \underline{Cons} :

- FP16 has low range compared to bfloat16 due to less exponent bits
- Conversion between FP16 and FP32 is difficult due to different no. of exponent bits as FP32

bfloat 16

<u>Pros:</u>

- bfloat16 has high range compared to FP16 due to more mantissa bits
- Conversion between bfloat16 and FP32 is simple due same no. of exponent bits as FP32

Cons:

- bfloat16 has much low precision near 1 than FP16
- (e) The relative spacing between two consecutive numbers is more in bfloat when compared to FP16 due to less no. of mantissa bits. So, the Range of bfloat16(2¹²⁹) is much larger compared with that of the Range of FP16(2¹⁷).
- Q2. Given,

No. of bits in $Virtual\ Address = 48$

No. of entries = 64

Physical memory =
$$2GB = 2 \times 1024 \times 1024 \times 1024 = 2^{31}B$$

 \Rightarrow No. of bits in physical Address = $\log_2^{2^{31}} = 31$

Page Size =
$$2KB = 2 \times 1024 = 2^{11}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 No. of page offset bits = $\log_2^{2^{11}} = 11$

So, No. of page number bits =
$$48 - 11 = 37$$

No. of frame number bits = 31 - 11 = 20

w.k.t TLB Size = No. of Entries \times (No. of page number bits + No. of frame number bits) = $64 \times (37 + 20)$ = 64×57

=3648Bits

 $|:: TLB \ Size = 3648Bits|$

Q3.
$$TLB\ Coverage(or\ Reach) = \Sigma\ (pagesize) \times (Entries)$$

$$= 4KB \times 128 + 2MB \times 32 + 2GB \times 8$$

$$= 512KB\ 64MB\ 16GB$$

$$= 16,843,264KB$$

$$\therefore TLB\ Coverage = 16,843,264KB$$

Q4. Given,

```
Frame Size = 1KB = 1 \times 1024 = 2^{10}

\Rightarrow No. of Frame offset bits = No. of page offset bits = \log_2^{2^{10}} = 10

No. of bits in the Given Addresses = 8 \times 4 = 32
```

 \Rightarrow No. of page number bits = 32 - 10 = 22

So, for the intra-cycle compaction we have to consider the first 22 bits as Corresponding VPNs

Hexadecimal VA	Binary VA	$Binary\ VPN$	VPN after compaction	
0x4795BA21	0100011110010101101110101000100001	0100011110010101101110	01000111100101011011110	
0x4795BB21	01000111100101011011101100100001	0100011110010101101110		
0x5795BA21	01010111110010101110111101000100001	010101111100101011011110	01010111110010101111110	
0x4785BA21	01000111100001011011101000100001	0100011110000101101110	0100011110000101101110	

 \therefore Total No. of unique accesses sent to TLB = 3

- Q5. (a) Yes, The bits [30, 29, 28] of all the weights in the range $[2^{-13}: 2^{-2}]$ are same and equal to 0.1.1.
 - (b) The value of bits [30, 29, 28] of all the weights in the range $[2^1: 2^{11}]$ are same and equal to $1\ 0\ 0$.
- Q6. Yes, It's possible to reduce the no. of L1 cache misses

 \underline{Code} :

$$for(int \ i = 0; i < N; i++) \{$$

$$for(int \ j = 0; j < N; j++) \{$$

$$a[i][j] = 1/b[i][j] * c[i][j];$$

$$d[i][j] = a[i][j] + c[i][j];$$

$$\}$$

Q7. Invalidating Snooping Protocol:

Given, In the memory location 'L' value of 5 is stored

		Value stored at location L in			
CPU Activity	activity on bus	C1's Cache	C2's Cache	C3's Cache	Memory
C1 reads L	Cache miss for L	5			5
C2 reads L	Cache miss for L	5	5		5
C2 writes 2 to L	Invalidate for L		2		2
C3 reads L	Cache miss for L		2	2	2
C3 writes 14 to L	Invalidate for L			14	14
C2 reads L	Cache miss for L		14	14	14
C1 writes 24 to L	Invalidate for L	24			24

Q8. Yes, It's possible to improve the TLB efficiency

 \underline{Code} :

```
int \ main() \{ \\ for(int \ i = 0; i < 24; i++) \\ cout << a[i] + c[i] + b[i] + d[i]; \\ \}
```

Q9. Variables showing Temporal locality : i,N

Variables showing Spacial locality : array[N]

Q10.	For the first time when the Person1 runs the program it take more time because the data has to be fetched to the cache which includes compulsory misses. For the Second time the as data is already stored in the cache the compulsory misses will be reduced and time is reduced.				