## 1 A vector identity

In class we learned how to evaluate  $\nabla r^n$  using the index notation and the Einstein summation convention. However, I felt I was a bit too quick, here I am repeating the steps with the appropriate elaboration. In index notation with summation convention,

$$\nabla = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \; \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$$

Thus,

$$\nabla r^{n} = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k} \frac{\partial r^{n}}{\partial x_{k}}$$

$$= \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k} n r^{n-1} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_{k}}.$$
(1)

Now  $r = |\mathbf{x}| = (x_l x_l)^{1/2}$ . Then,

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (x_l x_l)^{1/2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (x_l x_l)^{\frac{1}{2} - 1} \frac{\partial (x_m x_m)}{\partial x_k}$$

$$= (x_l x_l)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_m \underbrace{\frac{\partial x_m}{\partial x_k}}_{=\delta_{mk}}$$

$$= (x_l x_l)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_m \delta_{mk}$$

$$= (x_l x_l)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_k$$

$$= \frac{x_k}{r}.$$

Using this in the rhs of (1) we get,

$$\nabla r^{n} = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k} \ n \ r^{n-1} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_{k}}$$
$$= \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k} \ n \ r^{n-1} \frac{x_{k}}{r}$$
$$= n \ r^{n-2} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k} \ x_{k})$$
$$= n \ r^{n-2} \mathbf{x}.$$

## 2 Determinant using Levi Civita

In class I defined the determinant of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix, M by the formula,

$$|M| = M_{1l} M_{2m} M_{3n} \epsilon_{lmn}$$
.

Let's check that it coincides with the expression of the determinant you are familiar from high school. In the above expression l, m, n are dummy (repeated) indices and hence each of those three indices represent a sum over all possible values 1, 2, 3. Lets perform the sums one by one, first the sum over l

$$|M| = M_{1l} M_{2m} M_{3n} \epsilon_{lmn}$$
  
=  $M_{11} M_{2m} M_{3n} \epsilon_{1mn} + M_{12} M_{2m} M_{3n} \epsilon_{2mn} + M_{13} M_{2m} M_{3n} \epsilon_{3mn}$  (2)

Next we perform the sum over m and then over n for each of the three terms in the above expression. The first term on the rhs when summed over all values of m gives,

$$\begin{array}{l} M_{11}\; M_{2m}\; M_{3n}\; \epsilon_{1mn} = M_{11}\; M_{21}\; M_{3n}\; \epsilon_{11n} + 0 \\ = M_{11}\; M_{22}\; M_{3n}\; \epsilon_{12n} + M_{11}\; M_{23}\; M_{3n}\; \epsilon_{13n}. \end{array}$$

Finally doing the sum over n, it is clear that for the first term has non-zero contribution for n = 3 and the second term can only be non-zero for n = 2. Thus,

$$\begin{split} M_{11} \; M_{2m} \; M_{3n} \; \epsilon_{1mn} &= M_{11} \; M_{22} \; M_{33} \; \epsilon_{123} + M_{11} \; M_{23} \; M_{32} \; \epsilon_{132} \\ &= M_{11} \; M_{22} \; M_{33} - M_{11} \; M_{23} \; M_{32} \\ &= M_{11} \; \big( M_{22} \; M_{33} - M_{23} \; M_{32} \big) \\ &= M_{11} \; \bigg| \; \begin{array}{c} M_{22} \; \; M_{23} \\ M_{32} \; \; M_{33} \end{array} \bigg| \; . \end{split}$$

Similarly one can show that,

$$M_{12} \ M_{2m} \ M_{3n} \ \epsilon_{2mn} = -M_{12} \left| egin{array}{cc} M_{21} & M_{23} \\ M_{31} & M_{33} \end{array} \right|$$

and,

$$M_{13} M_{2m} M_{3n} \epsilon_{3mn} = M_{13} \begin{vmatrix} M_{21} & M_{22} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} \end{vmatrix}$$

Gathering all three contributions in (2)

$$\begin{split} |M| &= M_{11} \ M_{2m} \ M_{3n} \ \epsilon_{1mn} + M_{12} \ M_{2m} \ M_{3n} \ \epsilon_{2mn} + M_{13} \ M_{2m} \ M_{3n} \ \epsilon_{3mn} \\ &= M_{11} \left| \begin{array}{cc} M_{22} & M_{23} \\ M_{32} & M_{33} \end{array} \right| - M_{12} \left| \begin{array}{cc} M_{21} & M_{23} \\ M_{31} & M_{33} \end{array} \right| + M_{13} \left| \begin{array}{cc} M_{21} & M_{22} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} \end{array} \right|. \end{split}$$