# Heuristics Evaluation Review

#### Game Isolation

### **Heuristic Functions**

moves\_ratio: positively weighted scores if the player has more moves that of opponent and Negatively weighted scores if the opponent has more moves than that of the player.

weighted\_available\_moves: The legal moves available for the current player are weighted based on their position in the board. The moves are weighted proportionally with scores for each move decreasing the farther they are from the center of the board.

i.e. Suppose a move is made at the center of the board it is given a score of 4, a move made at a unit distance away from center of the board it is given a score of 3 and vice-versa.

weighted\_center\_board\_moves: This is a slight variation of weighted\_available\_moves. Here, the moves at the center of the board are given a lot more weightage than the moves that are far away from the center of the board.

If the move is at the center of the board it is given a score of 8. And other consecutive moves that are away from the center are given a scores of 5 and downwards.

improved\_score\_([0-9]+)\_heuristic: This is a variation of improved\_score function. Here the no.of available opponent moves are given more weightage (say alpha) than the player's no.of available legal moves.

improved\_score\_2\_heuristic: Here I used the same heuristics logic as mentioned above. With the value of alpha = 1.5

improved\_score\_3\_heuristic : Here I used the same heuristics logic as mentioned above. With
the value of alpha = 2.0

improved\_score\_4\_heuristic: Here I used the same heuristics logic as mentioned above. With the value of alpha = 2.5.

## **TOURNAMENT - I**

- Custom => improved\_score\_heuristic with alpha = 1.5
- Custom 2 => improved\_score\_heuristic with alpha = 2.0

• Custom 3 => improved\_score\_heuristic with alpha = 2.5

This script evaluates the performance of the custom_score evaluation function against a baseline agent using alpha-beta search and iterative deepening (ID) called `AB_Improved`. The three `AB_Custom` agents use ID and alpha-beta search with the custom_score functions defined in game_agent.py.										
Documents  ************  Playing Matches										
Downloads ************************************										
Match # Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Cus	stom_2	AB_Cu	AB_Custom_3		
C Distance	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost		
1 Random	8	2	10	0	9	1	10	0		
2 MM_Open	8	2	5	5	8	2	7	3		
3 MM_Center	7	3	9	1	8	2	9	1		
<pre>4 4 ash MM_Improved</pre>	7	3	7	3	9	1	6	4		
5 AB_Open	6	4	4	6	7	3	4	6		
6 etwork AB_Center	4	6	6	4	7	3	7	3		
7 AB_Improved	4	6	5	5	7	3	5	5		
Win Rate:	62.9%		65.7%		78	.6%	68	68.6%		

From the above tournament I found that <code>improved\_score\_heuristic</code> with <code>alpha = 2.0</code> has more win % than 1.5 and 2.5 alpha values.

Hence, I chose to use improved\_score\_3\_heuristic as a heuristic function with alpha = 2.0

# **TOURNAMENT - II**

- Custom => moves\_ratio
- Custom 2 => weighted\_available\_moves
- Custom 3 => improved\_score\_3\_heuristic

This script evaluates the performance of the custom\_score evaluation function against a baseline agent using alpha-beta search and iterative deepening (ID) called `AB\_Improved`. The three `AB\_Custom` agents use ID and alpha-beta search with the custom\_score functions defined in game\_agent.py. Playing Matches Match # Opponent AB Improved AB Custom AB Custom 2 AB Custom 3 Won | Lost Won | Lost Won Lost Won Lost Random MM\_Open MM\_Center MM Improved AB Open AB Center AB Improved Win Rate: 67.1% 70.0% 64.3%

# **TOURNAMENT - III**

- Custom => moves\_ratio
- Custom 2 => weighted\_available\_moves
- Custom 3 => weighted\_center\_board\_moves

This script evaluates the performance of the custom\_score evaluation function against a baseline agent using alpha-beta search and iterative deepening (ID) called `AB\_Improved`. The three `AB\_Custom` agents use ID and alpha-beta search with the custom\_score functions defined in game\_agent.py.

		****	*****	*****	*****	*					
		****	Playing Matches ******************								
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3			
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost		
1	Random	9	1	9	1	10	0	10	0		
2	MM_Open	6	4	7	3	7	3	6	4		
3	MM_Center	10	0	10	0	7	3	10	0		
4	MM Improved	5	5	8	2	6	4	7	3		
5	AB Open	5	5	5	5	4	6	5	5		
6	AB Center	7	3	5	5	4	6	5	5		
7	AB_Improved	6	4	5	5	4	6	4	6		
	Win Rate:	68.6%		70.0%		60.0%		67.1%			

# **TOURNAMENT - IV**

- Custom => moves ratio
- Custom 2 => improved\_score\_3\_heuristic
- Custom 3 => weighted\_center\_board\_moves

This script evaluates the performance of the custom\_score evaluation function against a baseline agent using alpha-beta search and iterative deepening (ID) called `AB\_Improved`. The three `AB\_Custom` agents use ID and alpha-beta search with the custom\_score functions defined in game\_agent.py.

game_agent.py.								
D Documents	**********							
LI Documents	Playing Matches							
↓ Downloads	************							
Match # Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1 Random	10	0	10	0	8	2	8	2
2 MM_Open	7	3	8	2	8	2	7	3
3 MM_Center	10	0	10	0	9	1	7	3
## 4rash MM_Improved	5	5	7	3	7	3	8	2
5 AB_Open	7	3	6	4	5	5	3	7
6 etwork AB_Center	5	5	6	4	7	3	5	5
7 AB_Improved	4	6	7	3	5	5	4	6
Win Rate:	68.6%		77.1%		70.0%		60.0%	

From the above 3 tournaments we can observe that <code>moves\_ratio</code> has been outperforming all the other 2 heuristic functions consistently in the last 2 tournaments.

Hence, I chose my best heuristic function as <code>moves\_ratio</code> in my final project submission