

## 1. Principal Component Analysis

### 1.1 Derivation of Second Principal Component

(a) Given the cost function,

$$J = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - p_{i1}e_1 - p_{i2}e_2)^T (x_i - p_{i1}e_1 - p_{i2}e_2)$$

where  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are orthonormal vector basis for dimensionality reduction, i.e.  $\|e_1\| = 1, \|e_2\| = 1$  and  $e_1^T e_2 = 0$  and some coefficients  $p_{i1}$  and  $p_{i2}$

Let's define  $m_i = x_i - p_{i1}e_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (m_i - p_{i2}e_2)^T (m_i - p_{i2}e_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (m_i^T m_i - p_{i2}m_i^T e_2 - p_{i2}e_2^T m_i + p_{i2}^2 e_2^T e_2) \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial p_{i2}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (0 - m_i^T e_2 - e_2^T m_i + 2p_{i2}e_2^T e_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (-2e_2^T m_i + 2p_{i2}) \end{aligned}$$

Putting,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J}{\partial p_{i2}} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (-2e_2^T m_i + 2p_{i2}) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow p_{i2} &= e_2^T m_i \forall i \\ \Rightarrow p_{i2} &= e_2^T (x_i - p_{i1}e_1) \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $e_2^T e_1 = 0$ , we get,

$$p_{i2} = e_2^T x_i$$

(b) Given the cost function,

$$\tilde{J} = -e_2^T S e_2 + \lambda_2 (e_2^T e_2 - 1) + \lambda_{12} (e_2^T e_1 - 0)$$

$\lambda_2$  is the Lagrange Multiplier for equality constraint  $e_2^T e_2 = 1$  and  $\lambda_{12}$  is the Lagrange Multiplier for equality constraint  $e_2^T e_1 = 0$ .

Using

$$\frac{\partial y^T A y}{\partial y} = (A + A^T)y$$

and substituting the Lagrange's multipliers for  $e_2^T e_2$  and  $e_2^T e_1$  we get,

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial e_2} = -(S + S^T)e_2 + 2\lambda_2 e_2 + \lambda_{12} e_1$$

Since  $S = S^T$ ,

$$= -2S e_2 + 2\lambda_2 e_2 + \lambda_{12} e_1$$

For minimizing w.r.t.  $e_2$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial e_2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -2S e_2 + 2\lambda_2 e_2 + \lambda_{12} e_1 = 0$$

Multiplying the equation by  $e_1^T$

$$\Rightarrow -2e_1^T S e_2 + 2\lambda_2 e_1^T e_2 + \lambda_{12} e_1^T e_1 = 0$$

Since  $S = S^T$ ,

$$\Rightarrow -2(S e_1)^T e_2 + 2\lambda_2 \times 0 + \lambda_{12} \times 1 = 0$$

Since  $(S e_1)^T e_2 = 0$

$$\lambda_{12} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow S e_2 = \lambda_2 e_2$$

Thus, the value of  $e_2$  minimizing  $\tilde{J}$  is given by second largest eigenvector of  $S$ .

$$S e_2 = \lambda_2 e_2$$

## 1.2 A Real Example

Given,

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 91.43 & 171.92 & 297.99 \\ 171.92 & 373.92 & 545.21 \\ 297.99 & 545.21 & 1297.26 \end{bmatrix}$$

Dividing all the elements by n-1 i.e. 99, we get,

$$S = \frac{1}{99} \begin{bmatrix} 91.43 & 171.92 & 297.99 \\ 171.92 & 373.92 & 545.21 \\ 297.99 & 545.21 & 1297.26 \end{bmatrix}$$

(a) Finding eigenvalues,

$$|S - \lambda I| = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} S - \lambda I &= \frac{1}{99} \begin{bmatrix} 91.43 & 171.92 & 297.99 \\ 171.92 & 373.92 & 545.21 \\ 297.99 & 545.21 & 1297.26 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{99} \begin{bmatrix} 91.43 - \lambda_1 & 171.92 & 297.99 \\ 171.92 & 373.92 - \lambda_2 & 545.21 \\ 297.99 & 545.21 & 1297.26 - \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{99} \begin{vmatrix} 91.43 - \lambda_1 & 171.92 & 297.99 \\ 171.92 & 373.92 - \lambda_2 & 545.21 \\ 297.99 & 545.21 & 1297.26 - \lambda_3 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{99} &\left[ (91.43 - \lambda_1)((373.92 - \lambda_2)(1297.26 - \lambda_3) - 545.21^2) \right. \\ &+ 171.92 \left( (171.92 * (1297.26 - \lambda_3)) - ((373.92 - \lambda_2) * 297.99) \right) \\ &\left. + 297.99((171.92 * 545.21) - ((373.92 - \lambda_2) * 297.99)) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Solving the above equation, we get,

$$\lambda_1 = 1626.5$$

$$\lambda_2 = 129$$

$$\lambda_3 = 7.1$$

Using the eigenvalues, we calculate eigenvectors using,

$$SX = \lambda X$$

$$(S - \lambda)X = 0$$

We get three equations for the three values of  $\lambda$ , can be written as,

$$(S - 1626.5 I)X_1 = 0$$

$$(S - 129 I)X_2 = 0$$

$$(S - 7.1 I)X_3 = 0$$

Solving the above equations, we get,

$$X_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.22 \\ 0.41 \\ 0.88 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 \\ 0.85 \\ -0.46 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.94 \\ -0.32 \\ -0.08 \end{bmatrix}$$

**(b)**

We see that the value of  $\lambda_1$  is far greater than that of  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$ . Here,

The principal component from  $X_1$  constitutes for below % variation in data,

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} = \frac{1626.5}{1626.5 + 129 + 7.1} \approx 92.28 \%$$

The principal component from  $X_2$  constitutes for below % variation in data,

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} = \frac{129}{1626.5 + 129 + 7.1} \approx 7.32\%$$

The principal component from  $X_3$  constitutes for below % variation in data,

$$\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} = \frac{7.1}{1626.5 + 129 + 7.1} \approx 0.40\%$$

From this we can see that  $\lambda_3$  accounts to negligible variance of the data, hence we can omit the eigenvector  $X_3$ .

(c)

Since we've decided that the vector  $X_3$ , we'll interpret  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .

The values in  $X_1$  (first principal component) all have a positive value, which indicate that the birds with larger size tend to have larger length, wingspan and weight. In this vector the third value corresponding to the weight is the highest value, it's change influences the bird size the most followed by the change in the second value corresponding to the wingspan and finally the length which affects the size by the least factor.

The values in  $X_2$  (second principal component) have the highest values in the second and third entries when we consider the absolute values and they affect the size of the birds of the most. Here, we see that the values of second and third entries are of opposite sign indicating that the birds with larger wingspans have smaller weights and vice-versa. The length of the bird here has the least effect on the size of the bird.

## 2. Hidden Markov Model

(a)

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Given,  $O = \text{ACCGTA}$ ,

$$P(O; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_6(j)$$

where  $\alpha_t(j) = P(O_t | S_t = j) \sum_{i=1}^2 a_{ij} \alpha_{t-1}(j)$

$$\alpha_1(j) = P(O_1 | S_1 = j) P(S_1 = j)$$

Also, for  $i > 1$ ,  $\alpha_t(j) = P(O_t | S_t = j) \times \sum_i a_{ij} \alpha_{t-1}(j)$

Therefore,

$$b_{1A} = 0.4, b_{1C} = 0.2, b_{1G} = 0.3, b_{1T} = 0.1, b_{2A} = 0.2, b_{2C} = 0.4, b_{2G} = 0.1, b_{2T} = 0.3$$

Substituting these values in the below equations, we get,

$$\alpha_1(1) = P(O_1 | S_1 = 1) P(S_1 = 1) = b_{1A} \times \pi_1 = 0.4 \times 0.6 = 0.24$$

$$\text{and } \alpha_1(2) = b_{2A} \times \pi_2 = 0.2 \times 0.4 = 0.08$$

$$\alpha_2(1) = P(O_2 | S_2 = 1) \times \sum_i a_{i1} \alpha_1(j) = b_{1C} \times (a_{11} \alpha_1(1) + a_{21} \alpha_1(2)) = 0.04$$

$$\alpha_2(2) = b_{2C} \times (a_{12} \alpha_1(1) + a_{22} \alpha_1(2)) = 0.048$$

$$\alpha_3(1) = b_{1C} \times (a_{11} \alpha_2(1) + a_{21} \alpha_2(2)) = 9.44e^{-3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_3(2) &= b_{2c} \times (a_{12}\alpha_2(1) + a_{22}\alpha_2(2)) = 1.632e^{-2} \\
\alpha_4(1) &= b_{1g} \times (a_{11}\alpha_3(1) + a_{21}\alpha_3(2)) = 3.94e^{-3} \\
\alpha_4(2) &= b_{2g} \times (a_{12}\alpha_3(1) + a_{22}\alpha_3(2)) = 0.001262 \\
\alpha_5(1) &= b_{1t} \times (a_{11}\alpha_4(1) + a_{21}\alpha_4(2)) = 0.00032 \\
\alpha_5(2) &= b_{2t} \times (a_{12}\alpha_4(1) + a_{22}\alpha_4(2)) = 5.81e^{-4} \\
\alpha_6(1) &= b_{1a} \times (a_{11}\alpha_5(1) + a_{21}\alpha_5(2)) = 1.84e^{-4} \\
\alpha_6(2) &= b_{2a} \times (a_{12}\alpha_5(1) + a_{22}\alpha_5(2)) = 8.94e^{-5}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the total probability of the sequence,

$$P(O; \theta) = \alpha_6(1) + \alpha_6(2) = 1.844e^{-4} + 8.94e^{-5} = 2.738 e^{-4}$$

(b)

Let the hidden states  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be represented as 1 and 2 respectively.

$$\beta_{t-1}(i) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \beta_t a_{ij} P(O_t | X_t = S_j)$$

Base conditions,  $\beta_6(1) = 1$  and  $\beta_6(2) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_5(1) &= \beta_6(1)b_{1A}a_{11} + \beta_6(2)b_{2A}a_{12} = 0.34 \\
\beta_4(1) &= \beta_5(1)b_{1T}a_{11} + \beta_5(2)b_{2T}a_{12} = 4.9e^{-2} \\
\beta_5(2) &= \beta_6(1)b_{1A}a_{21} + \beta_6(2)b_{2A}a_{22} = 0.28 \\
\beta_4(2) &= \beta_5(1)b_{1T}a_{21} + \beta_5(2)b_{2T}a_{22} = 6.4e^{-2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X_6 = S_1 | O; \theta) &= \frac{\alpha_6(1)\beta_6(1)}{\alpha_6(1)\beta_6(1) + \alpha_6(2)\beta_6(2)} = 0.67355 \\
P(X_6 = S_2 | O; \theta) &= 1 - P(X_6 = S_1 | O; \theta) = 0.32645
\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X_4 = S_1 | O; \theta) &= \frac{\alpha_4(1)\beta_4(1)}{\alpha_4(1)\beta_4(1) + \alpha_4(2)\beta_4(2)} = \frac{0.00019306}{0.00027382} = 0.7050 \\
P(X_4 = S_2 | O; \theta) &= 1 - P(X_4 = S_1 | O; \theta) = 0.2950
\end{aligned}$$

(d)

From Viterbi algorithm we get the state chosen by

$$\delta_t(j) = \max_i (\delta_{t-1}(i) a_{ij} P(O_t | X_t = S_j))$$

Now we have,  $\delta_1(1) = P(O_1|X_1 = S_1)\pi_1 = 0.24$

and  $\delta_1(2) = P(O_1|X_1 = S_2)\pi_2 = 0.08$

Similarly, computing it for the other states, we get,

$$\delta_2(1) = \max[\delta_1(1)b_{1C}a_{11}, b_{1C}\delta_1(2)b_{1C}a_{21}] = 0.0336$$

$$\delta_3(1) = \max[\delta_2(1)b_{1C}a_{11}, \delta_2(2)b_{1C}a_{21}] = 4.704e^{-3}$$

$$\delta_4(1) = \max[\delta_3(1)b_{1G}a_{11}, \delta_3(2)b_{1G}a_{21}] = 9.878e^{-4}$$

$$\delta_5(1) = \max[\delta_4(1)b_{1T}a_{11}, \delta_4(2)b_{1T}a_{21}] = 6.914e^{-5}$$

$$\delta_6(1) = \max[\delta_5(1)b_{1A}a_{11}, \delta_5(2)b_{1A}a_{21}] = 1.93e^{-5}$$

$$\delta_2(2) = \max[\delta_1(1)b_{2C}a_{12}, b_{2C}\delta_1(2)a_{22}] = 0.0288$$

$$\delta_3(2) = \max[\delta_2(1)b_{2C}a_{12}, \delta_2(2)b_{2C}a_{22}] = 6.912e^{-3}$$

$$\delta_4(2) = \max[\delta_3(1)b_{2G}a_{12}, \delta_3(2)b_{2G}a_{22}] = 4.147e^{-4}$$

$$\delta_5(2) = \max[\delta_4(1)b_{2T}a_{12}, \delta_4(2)b_{2T}a_{22}] = 8.890e^{-5}$$

$$\delta_6(2) = \max[\delta_5(1)b_{2A}a_{12}, \delta_5(2)b_{2A}a_{22}] = 1.066e^{-5}$$

(e)

From the previously calculated values,

$$P(X_6 = S_1|O; \theta) = \mathbf{0.67355}$$

$$P(X_6 = S_2|O; \theta) = \mathbf{0.32645}$$

For  $O_7=A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} P(A|O; \theta) &= P(X_7 = S_1|O; \theta) + P(X_7 = S_2|O; \theta) \\ &= \{P(X_6 = S_1|O; \theta)b_{1A}a_{11} + P(X_6 = S_2|O; \theta)b_{1A}a_{21}\} \\ &\quad + \{P(X_6 = S_1|O; \theta)b_{2A}a_{12} + P(X_6 = S_2|O; \theta)b_{2A}a_{22}\} = \mathbf{0.3204} \end{aligned}$$

For  $O_7=C$ :

$$\begin{aligned} P(C|O; \theta) &= P(X_7 = S_1|O; \theta) + P(X_7 = S_2|O; \theta) \\ &= \{P(X_6 = S_1|O; \theta)b_{1C}a_{11} + P(X_6 = S_2|O; \theta)b_{1C}a_{21}\} \\ &\quad + \{P(X_6 = S_1|O; \theta)b_{2C}a_{12} + P(X_6 = S_2|O; \theta)b_{2C}a_{22}\} = \mathbf{0.2795} \end{aligned}$$

For  $O_7=G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} P(G|O; \theta) &= P(X_7 = S_1|O; \theta) + P(X_7 = S_2|O; \theta) \\ &= \{P(X_6 = S_1|O; \theta)b_{1G}a_{11} + P(X_6 = S_2|O; \theta)b_{1G}a_{21}\} + \{P(X_6 = S_1|O; \theta)b_{2G}a_{12} + P(X_6 \\ &\quad = S_2|O; \theta)b_{2G}a_{22}\} = \mathbf{0.2204} \end{aligned}$$

For  $O_7=T$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(T|O; \theta) &= P(X_7 = S_1 | O; \theta) + P(X_7 = S_2 | O; \theta) \\
 &= \{P(X_6 = S_1 | O; \theta)b_{1T}a_{11} + P(X_6 = S_2 | O; \theta)b_{1T}a_{21}\} \\
 &\quad + \{P(X_6 = S_1 | O; \theta)b_{2T}a_{12} + P(X_6 = S_2 | O; \theta)b_{2T}a_{22}\} = \mathbf{0.1795}
 \end{aligned}$$

Most likely observation predicted as 7<sup>th</sup> observed sequence (after  $O_{1:6}$ ) is A.

### **COLLABORATION**

Brain stormed and Collaborated with Adarsha Desai and Ravishankar Sivaraman for this assignment.