

Problem 1

Since Tree width of a graph is the maximum in number of nodes present in all of bags in the minimum tree decomposition, at least one of the bags has K nodes \rightarrow number of bags are less than number of nodes minus $k \rightarrow |V(T)| \leq |V(G) - k|$

For the latter theorem, we make use of proof by induction:// Base ; $|V(G)| = 1$ since tree width is 0 then $|E(G)| = 0$ which is trivial.

for case $|V(G)| = d$ which is the inductive hypothesis, we add another node v , since the treewidth is still k , we only may add this vertice to bags with less than k vertices, Thus we may only add k edges connecting v to some bags.

Problem 2

MAX INDEPENDENT SET : f explanation : this function works as we hang our tree decomposition of of an spot and mark the highest node as root. f tries to explore the tree beneath one node as i starting from a seed like S . so it expands to other nodes from S through i 's children. it chooses maximum independent set from the subtree i with its intersection with i is S .

leaf function explanation : when the recursive function reaches some leaf, it checks if S (the expanding seed) is independent (k^2) then return its size if it and a very small O.W.

on nodes other than leaves, is S is an independent set, and i has $c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_l$ as its children. Now that we know S is independent, while using X_i children for expanding the tree, we must preserve what is in S and not in the children. Then for every child i_j we choose a subset of X_{c_j} where its intersection with its father is equal to S intersection with that child. this tries to keep the independence property while making a way to children. Note that, The algorithm checks if $W \cup S$ is independent to go with recursion step.

DOMINATING SET PROBLEM: function f explanation : we set the output to be the minimum size of sets at level i with value of g in which value of g for nodes in both X_i and D is equal to 1 and every node with value other than 2 is either in D or is adjacent in D .

forget node explanation : since there is one node in X_j that is not in X_i namely u , first we add $(u,1)$ to g calling it g_1 and call $g(j,g_1)$ and then we add $(u,0)$ to g calling it g_1 and call $g(j,g_1)$ and we assign to $f(i,g)$ minimum of those calls. the first chooses the node and further expands it while the latter leaves that to the rest of the graph.

join node explanation : for g and a join node X_i for X_{j1} and X_{j2} , which $X_i = X_{j1} = X_{j2}$ every vertex that its value g is 0, can be ignored by one of the children; Thus, we add up the value of function f for one time ignoring some node u in $j1$ and another time in $j2$. note that the subtraction works as it will eliminate double counted vertices which had already been chosen (denoted by g value 1).

i believe that this max has to be modified to min.

introduce node explanation : Since X_j does not care for g-value of node, it simply calls X_j for j and other g values if we have chosen to ignore u in this section or its neighbors have already been chosen. Also, if we have decided to choose u, we make sure all of its neighbors now are relaxed to value 2 from 0. and if we have to choose u and have not chosen any adjacent yet, we return a very high value since we are introducing u and it is not present in X_j , all of its neighbors are in X_j .

Problem 3

a

if $d \leq 2$ then solve (G, k) in polynomial time. $|E(G)| = dn/2$; if (G, k) is a yes instance and the solution is X . X is at most k , then at most dk edges are incident with it. On the other hand, G has at most $n-k-1$ edges (because it is a forest now).

$dn/2 \leq dk + n - k \longrightarrow (d/2 - 1)n \leq (d - 1)k$
then n is bounded by $o(k)$.

b

Now that we know r is constant, we make a few preprocessing step before we reach exhaustive search part.

Firstly, if $d \leq k$ there is no chance at getting k -cliques so it is an obvious No instance.

For every v in $V(G)$:

—For every subset of size k in $N(v)$:
——check if it is a clique

the overall running time would be $n \cdot k^{o(1)} \cdot C_{k-1}^d$ since every node at most have d neighbors.

for parameter $d+k$, for every value of r' in $(r+k)/2$ to $r+k$: — k is $(k+r) - K_{m,n}$ overall running time is: $n \cdot (\sum_{i=0}^{(r+k)/2} ((r+k)/2)^{o(1)} \cdot C_{(r+k)/2-i}^{(r+k)/2+i})$ which is FPT.

Problem 4

For a given instance (G, K) , we try to prove the following lemma,

Lemma : A graph G is Cluster iff it does not contain any path with 3 vertices as induced subgraph (P_3).

(\implies) Since all connected components of G are cliques, if they are 1 or 2 cliques, they do not contain any 3 vertices, then the case is trivial; If the connected component has more than 3 vertices, then every 3 vertices that have 2 pair of adjacent nodes, it must have the the third edge as every node must connect to very other node.

(\implies) Since all connected components of G are cliques, if they are 1 or 2 cliques, they do not contain any 3 vertices, then the case is trivial; If the connected component has more than 3 vertices, then every 3 vertices that have 2 pair of adjacent nodes, it must have the the third edge as every node must connect to very other node.

(\impliedby) in any graph, if every three node form a triangle, every node is connected to every other node, Thus we are dealing with a clique. in other words if in any graph, every three node form a triangle or is not a 3-vertex path induced subgraph, the graph is clique.

Now for the instance (G, k) , one may found a family of sets S that contains any P_3 in G . The solution X has to be incident with every set in S .

Algorithm on input (G, k)

- 1) if G is cluster graph, return YES
- 2) if $k = 0$ return NO
- 3) find a P_3 in G
- 4) for v_1, v_2 and v_3 run the algorithm $(G \setminus v_i, k-1)$

Checking graph for extracting P_3 and checking whether it is Cluster takes polynomial time and branchin algorithm goes deep as k level 3-childern tree.

Problem 6

Using 5 reduction rules introduced at class for FVS, we make a instance (G', k') from (G, k) which has following properties:

- each node has least degree of 3.
- Atleast half of the edges in $E(G')$ has one endpoint in X'

Now we choose one edge completely random from $E(G')$; By second property, with probability larger than $1/2$ it has one endpoint in X' and with probability larger than $1/4$ that endpoint is v which is chosen randomly from 2 endpoint of chosen edge.

After finding v , we run the algorithm again for $(G' \setminus \{v\}, k' - 1)$.

using proof by induction, if Reduction Rule 5 is not triggered for returning NO for $k \leq 0$, basic step is described.

for inductive hypothesis, assume for instance $(G' \setminus \{v\}, k' - 1)$ recursive call, it has probability of $4^{-(k'-1)}$ to give out X'' as FVS, and probaility of finding next vertex is $1/4 \implies$ overall probability for instance (G', k') to find X' is $1/4 \cdot 4^{-(k'-1)} = 4^{-(k')} \geq 4^{-(k)}$.

Now all we have to do is to prove third property:

If F is the produced forest by removing X from, it is enough to show $E(G) \setminus E(F) \geq |E(F)|$ and since F is forest it is enough to show $E(G) \setminus E(F) \geq |V(F)|$ and $V(F)$ is composed of vertices with degree less than 1 :

have atleast two incident with X by their connected edges, since every node in G has atleast degree 3. and by tree properties, number of vertices with degree less than one is more than number of vertices with degree more than tree

$$E(G) \setminus E(F) \geq \#v_{\leq 1} \geq \#v_{\geq 3} \geq V(F).$$

Problelem 7

a

Consider a nice tree decomposition of G , for any introduce node and tree-width at most k , it is sufficient to show G contains a vertex at most k to show every subgraphs of G noted by bags of tree decomposition has atleast one vertex of degree k and Thus is k -degenerate.

For a path from a bag with empty set to furthest forget node, if tree width is k then by adding each node by introduce nodes, even if we add every new edge somehow that new vertex is adjacent to one that already exists, at bag with size $k+1$, we at most have a vertex with degree k and by forgetting any of existing vertices, we may lose one degree of that vertex and new bag.

Therefore, every subgraph of G contains vertices at most k .

b

1) Treewidth of $K_{m,n}$ is at least $\min(m,n)$:

Since $K_{m,n}$ is $\min(m,n)$, it has treewidth atleast $\min(m,n)$.

2) Treewidth of $K_{m,n}$ is at most $\min(m,n)$, by choosing a subset S of size $\min(m,n)$ from $V(K_{m,n})$ and choosing ever other $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m+n-\min(m,n)}$ to form a child of S with vertices $S \cup \{v_i\}$.