

b) XUY is always uncountable.

The cardinality of the union of an set must be at least as great as

the cardinality of the larger set. Thus, since Y is uncountable, XUY must

also be uncountable.

Since U is non-empty, the combined elements of one or more uncountable of sets must also be uncountable since only the union of pruttiple countable sets can create another countable set.

d) niex A; is sometimes countable.

Countable Ext. A; = IR over [i-1; i)

4 Thus, none of the Ai sets has any overlapping elements.

4 Thus, none of the Ai sets has any aterlapping elements, but individually, they are still ascountable by diagonalization. Since the empty set is countable, this is valid. I Uncountable Ex. Ai = IR

4 Then niex Ai=IR, and since IR is uncountable this is an unountable intersection

e) Uiex Bi is sometimes countable

- Countable Ex. B: = N

50 the set is countable.

Uncountable Ex. Bi = a distinct real number from [0,1)

4 Since there are as uncountable number of Bis, we can represent
the set IR from [0,1). Thus, the union is all the real numbers from O to 1.

f) nity Bi is always countable.

Since the intersection of many countable sets cannot create a set with more elements than any individual set. Since B: is countable, the intersection must also be countable.

6

2	a) Finite, all possible divisors of a f	inte number are	less that number in magnitude.				
	b) Countably Infinite, all number	c are represented	by u=8x where xEZ.				
	b) Countably Infinite, all numbers are represented by $y = 8x$ where $x \in Z$. Since $y = 8x$ is invertible, it shares a bijectron with Z , which is countable.						
	C) Uncountably Infinite, we can enumerate every Sunton from 1	use diagonalization N to N.	to show that we can never				
	d) Countably Infinite, we can e	numerate over all	strings based on length since				
	t there are only a finite # of c	ombinations at each	length. On we can think of them				
2, 3	as a 26-base H system.						
N, all	De la company de						
	e) Uncountably Infinite, we are creating the power set of a countable set,						
	which was proved in the notes to be uncountable.						
	wines was process						
	C) () TO by (100)	de la des	de and that is cook enumerate.				
	f) Uncountably Infinite, are can use diagonalization to prove that we can't enumerate all possible infinite strings. If we assign the first 10 letters to a digit, this is just like the						
- 95		assign the last to	loves is a argin, this is just the the				
	112 proof.						
2							
5		a) Consider the program & subroutine as Alburs:					
	testHalt(P, x)	DIC \	-1 1 (0))				
	return testfixed(P')	P'(y):	Thus test Fixed (P') returns				
		$i \xi y = = x$	true is and only if Pily)				
7 4	and the second of the second o	run P(x)	returns on input x, and				
		return y	hulse otherwise, which would				
		return some input no	solve the halting problem.				
	b) Consider the program as fallow	s	The state of the s				
	testFixed (P):		ixed(P) is uncompetables we have				
	if testfixed as Null(P) == Null:		testifized a Null is uncomputable by				
		reduction.					
	return False	1 Concordin					
	clse:						
100	return True						

c)	test Fraced or Null(P, x):						
	F(y):		P'(z);	P' creedially			
	if test Fixed & Nobral (P', F(x)) == Null:		return F(P(F-1(x)))	checks to see that			
				P(x) returns x,			
	thus we have prove						
- 5	dse testfixed & Natural B						
	return x (since Fil invertible) uncomputable by reduction.						
4.	a) Co-201-c H. 611.	0 - 1 - 1					
	a) Consider the following progr test Halt (F, x):	F' (i):	returns true if	Flx healts. Edge			
-	return P(F', x, o):	F(x)		Ba reduction from			
Sec.	TCIWA TCF , X, O).	return o	the Halting provens				
	b) test Italt (Q, x):						
	return ¬P(F',G') F'(y): G'(y):						
1 130	loop forever if y == x:						
	Q(x)						
	return 0						
	else:						
			loop forever	AND DAY OF THE PERSON OF THE P			
450	A The Man of the Control of the Cont						
-30	We have defined behavior at all inputs oxcept x. At x, is Q(x) does-t halt,						
1	P should return true, if it does halt, it returns false by definition at P,						
- 16	thus we have a proof by reduction.						
matte	A Larried LA Contract	All the state of t					
5.	a) 3.2'8						
	b) 104!						
	c) i. 7!14!						
March .	ii. 7! /4	The last two					
	d) i. 5!						
	iì. 15·4!						
	e) 25°						
	f) 20! or (2)*(15)* + (2)						