Introduction

Real-world data rarely comes clean. Using Python and its libraries, you will gather data from a variety of sources and in a variety of formats, assess its quality and tidiness, then clean it. This is called data wrangling. You will document your wrangling efforts in a Jupyter Notebook, plus showcase them through analyses and visualizations using Python (and its libraries) and/or SQL.

The dataset that you will be wrangling (and analyzing and visualizing) is the tweet archive of Twitter user @dog_rates, also known as WeRateDogs. WeRateDogs is a Twitter account that rates people's dogs with a humorous comment about the dog. These ratings almost always have a denominator of 10. The numerators, though? Almost always greater than 10. 11/10, 12/10, 13/10, etc. Why? Because "they're good dogs Brent." WeRateDogs has over 4 million followers and has received international media coverage.

WeRateDogs **downloaded their Twitter archive** and sent it to Udacity via email exclusively for you to use in this project. This archive contains basic tweet data (tweet ID, timestamp, text, etc.) for all 5000+ of their tweets as they stood on August 1, 2017. More on this soon.



Image via Boston Magazine

What Software Do I Need?

The entirety of this project can be completed inside the Udacity classroom on the **Project Workspace:**Complete and Submit Project page using the Jupyter Notebook provided there. (Note: This Project Workspace may not be available in all versions of this project, in which case you should follow the directions below.)

If you want to work outside of the Udacity classroom, the following software requirements apply:

- You need to be able to work in a Jupyter Notebook on your computer. Please revisit our Jupyter Notebook and Anaconda tutorials earlier in the Nanodegree program for installation instructions.
- The following packages (libraries) need to be installed. You can install these packages via conda or pip. Please revisit our Anaconda tutorial earlier in the Nanodegree program for package installation instructions.
- pandas
- NumPy
- requests
- tweepy
- JSOT
- You need to be able to create written documents that contain images and you need to be able to export these
 documents as PDF files. This task can be done in a Jupyter Notebook, but you might prefer to use a word
 processor like Google Docs, which is free, or Microsoft Word.
- A text editor, like **Sublime**, which is free, will be useful but is not required.

Project Motivation

Context

Your goal: wrangle WeRateDogs Twitter data to create interesting and trustworthy analyses and visualizations. The Twitter archive is great, but it only contains very basic tweet information. Additional gathering, then assessing and cleaning is required for "*Wow!*"-worthy analyses and visualizations.

The Data


Enhanced Twitter Archive

The WeRateDogs Twitter archive contains basic tweet data for all 5000+ of their tweets, but not everything. One column the archive does contain though: each tweet's text, which I used to extract rating, dog name, and dog "stage" (i.e. doggo, floofer, pupper, and puppo) to make this Twitter archive "enhanced." Of the 5000+ tweets, I have filtered for tweets with ratings only (there are 2356).

text	rating_ numerator	rating_ denominator	name	doggo	floofer	pupper	puppo
This is Phineas. He's a mystical boy. Only ever appears in the hole of a donut. 13/10 https://t.co/MgUWQ76dJU	13	10	Phineas	None	None	None	None
This is Tilly. She's just checking pup on you. Hopes you're doing ok. If not, she's available for pats, snugs, boops, the whole bit. 13/10	13	10	Tilly	None	None	None	None
This is Archie. He is a rare Norwegian Pouncing Corgo. Lives in the tall grass. You never know when one may strike. 12/10 https://t.co	12	10	Archie	None	None	None	None
This is Darla. She commenced a snooze mid meal. 13/10 happens to the best of us https://t.co/tD36da7qLQ	13	10	Darla	None	None	None	None
This is Franklin. He would like you to stop calling him "cute." He is a very fierce shark and should be respected as such. 12/10 #BarkW	12	10	Franklin	None	None	None	None
Here we have a majestic great white breaching off South Africa's coast. Absolutely h*ckin breathtaking. 13/10 (IG: tucker_marlo) #Bar	13	10	None	None	None	None	None
Meet Jax. He enjoys ice cream so much he gets nervous around it. 13/10 help Jax enjoy more things by clicking below https://t.co/Zr4hWfAs1H https://t.co/ZVJBRMnhxl	13	10	Jax	None	None	None	None
When you watch your owner call another dog a good boy but then they turn back to you and say you're a great boy. 13/10 https://t.co/v	13	10	None	None	None	None	None
This is Zoey. She doesn't want to be one of the scary sharks. Just wants to be a snuggly pettable boatpet. 13/10 #BarkWeek https://t.c	13	10	Zoey	None	None	None	None
This is Cassie. She is a college pup. Studying international doggo communication and stick theory. 14/10 so elegant much sophisticate	14	10	Cassie	doggo	None	None	None
This is Koda. He is a South Australian deckshark. Deceptively deadly. Frighteningly majestic. 13/10 would risk a petting #BarkWeek hl	13	10	Koda	None	None	None	None
This is Bruno. He is a service shark. Only gets out of the water to assist you. 13/10 terrifyingly good boy https://t.co/u1XPQMl29g	13	10	Bruno	None	None	None	None
Here's a puppo that seems to be on the fence about something haha no but seriously someone help her. 13/10 https://t.co/BxvuXk0U0	13	10	None	None	None	None	puppo
This is Ted. He does his best. Sometimes that's not enough. But it's ok. 12/10 would assist https://t.co/f8dEDcrKSR	12	10	Ted	None	None	None	None
This is Stuart. He's sporting his favorite fanny pack. Secretly filled with bones only. 13/10 puppared puppo #BarkWeek https://t.co/y70d	13	10	Stuart	None	None	None	puppo

The extracted data from each tweet's text

I extracted this data programmatically, but I didn't do a very good job. The ratings probably aren't all correct. Same goes for the dog names and probably dog stages (see below for more information on these) too. You'll need to assess and clean these columns if you want to use them for analysis and visualization.



The Dogtionary explains the various stages of dog: doggo, pupper, puppo, and floof(er) (via the <u>#WeRateDogs book</u> on Amazon)

Additional Data via the Twitter API

Back to the basic-ness of Twitter archives: retweet count and favorite count are two of the notable column omissions. Fortunately, this additional data can be gathered by anyone from Twitter's API. Well, "anyone" who has access to data for the 3000 most recent tweets, at least. But you, because you have the WeRateDogs Twitter archive and specifically the tweet IDs within it, can gather this data for all 5000+. And guess what? You're going to query Twitter's API to gather this valuable data.

Image Predictions File

One more cool thing: I ran every image in the WeRateDogs Twitter archive through a <u>neural network</u> that can classify breeds of dogs*. The results: a table full of image predictions (the top three only) alongside each tweet ID, image URL, and the image number that corresponded to the most confident prediction (numbered 1 to 4 since tweets can have up to four images).

tweet_id	jpg_url	img_num	p1	p1_conf	p1_dog	p2	p2_conf	p2_dog	р3	p3_conf	p3_dog
892177421306343426	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	Chihuahua	0.323581	TRUE	Pekinese	0.0906465	TRUE	papillon	0.0689569	TRUE
891815181378084864	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	Chihuahua	0.716012	TRUE	malamute	0.078253	TRUE	kelpie	0.0313789	TRUE
891689557279858688	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	paper_towel	0.170278	FALSE	Labrador_retriever	0.168086	TRUE	spatula	0.0408359	FALSE
891327558926688256	https://pbs.twimg.com	2	basset	0.555712	TRUE	English_springer	0.22577	TRUE	German_short-haired_pointer	0.175219	TRUE
891087950875897856	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	Chesapeake_Bay_retriever	0.425595	TRUE	Irish_terrier	0.116317	TRUE	Indian_elephant	0.0769022	FALSE
890971913173991426	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	Appenzeller	0.341703	TRUE	Border_collie	0.199287	TRUE	ice_lolly	0.193548	FALSE
890729181411237888	https://pbs.twimg.com	2	Pomeranian	0.566142	TRUE	Eskimo_dog	0.178406	TRUE	Pembroke	0.0765069	TRUE
890609185150312448	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	Irish_terrier	0.487574	TRUE	Irish_setter	0.193054	TRUE	Chesapeake_Bay_retriever	0.118184	TRUE
890240255349198849	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	Pembroke	0.511319	TRUE	Cardigan	0.451038	TRUE	Chihuahua	0.0292482	TRUE
890006608113172480	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	Samoyed	0.957979	TRUE	Pomeranian	0.0138835	TRUE	chow	0.00816748	TRUE
889880896479866881	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	French_bulldog	0.377417	TRUE	Labrador_retriever	0.151317	TRUE	muzzle	0.0829811	FALSE
889665388333682689	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	Pembroke	0.966327	TRUE	Cardigan	0.0273557	TRUE	basenji	0.00463323	TRUE
889638837579907072	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	French_bulldog	0.99165	TRUE	boxer	0.00212864	TRUE	Staffordshire_bullterrier	0.00149818	TRUE
889531135344209921	https://pbs.twimg.com	1	golden_retriever	0.953442	TRUE	Labrador_retriever	0.0138341	TRUE	redbone	0.00795775	TRUE

Tweet image prediction data

So for the last row in that table:

- tweet_id is the last part of the tweet URL after "status/"
 - \rightarrow https://twitter.com/dog_rates/status/889531135344209921
- p1 is the algorithm's #1 prediction for the image in the tweet \rightarrow golden retriever
- p1 conf is how confident the algorithm is in its #1 prediction \rightarrow 95%
- p1 dog is whether or not the #1 prediction is a breed of dog \rightarrow **TRUE**
- p2 is the algorithm's second most likely prediction → Labrador retriever
- p2 conf is how confident the algorithm is in its #2 prediction \rightarrow 1%
- p2_dog is whether or not the #2 prediction is a breed of dog \rightarrow **TRUE**
- etc.

And the #1 prediction for the image in that tweet was spot on:



This is Stuart. He's sporting his favorite fanny pack. Secretly filled with bones only. 13/10 puppared puppo #BarkWeek



1:02 PM - 24 Jul 2017

A golden retriever named Stuart

So that's all fun and good. But all of this additional data will need to be gathered, assessed, and cleaned. This is where you come in.

Key Points

Key points to keep in mind when data wrangling for this project:

- You only want original ratings (no retweets) that have images. Though there are 5000+ tweets in the dataset, not all are dog ratings and some are retweets.
- Assessing and cleaning the entire dataset completely would require a lot of time, and is not necessary to practice and demonstrate your skills in data wrangling. Therefore, the requirements of this project are only to assess and clean at least 8 quality issues and at least 2 tidiness issues in this dataset.
- Cleaning includes merging individual pieces of data according to the rules of tidy data.
- The fact that the rating numerators are greater than the denominators does not need to be cleaned. This <u>unique</u> rating system is a big part of the popularity of WeRateDogs.
- You do *not* need to gather the tweets beyond August 1st, 2017. You can, but note that you won't be able to gather the image predictions for these tweets since you don't have access to the algorithm used.
 - *Fun fact: creating this neural network is one of the projects in Udacity's <u>Data Scientist Nanodegree</u>, <u>Machine Learning Engineer Nanodegree</u> and <u>Artificial Intelligence Nanodegree programs</u>.

Project Details

Your tasks in this project are as follows:

- Data wrangling, which consists of:
- Gathering data (downloadable file in the Resources tab in the left most panel of your classroom and linked in step 1 below).
- Assessing data
- Cleaning data
- Storing, analyzing, and visualizing your wrangled data
- Reporting on 1) your data wrangling efforts and 2) your data analyses and visualizations

Gathering Data for this Project

Gather each of the three pieces of data as described below in a Jupyter Notebook titled wrangle_act.ipynb:

- 1. The WeRateDogs Twitter archive. I am giving this file to you, so imagine it as a file on hand. Download this file manually by clicking the following link: twitter_archive_enhanced.csv
- 2. The tweet image predictions, i.e., what breed of dog (or other object, animal, etc.) is present in each tweet according to a neural network. This file (<code>image_predictions.tsv</code>) is hosted on Udacity's servers and should be downloaded programmatically using the Requests library and the following URL: https://d17h27t6h515a5.cloudfront.net/topher/2017/August/599fd2ad_image-predictions/image-predictions.tsv
- 3. Each tweet's retweet count and favorite ("like") count at minimum, and any additional data you find interesting. Using the tweet IDs in the WeRateDogs Twitter archive, query the Twitter API for each tweet's JSON data using Python's Tweepy library and store each tweet's entire set of JSON data in a file called tweet_json.txt file. Each tweet's JSON data should be written to its own line. Then read this .txt file line by line into a pandas DataFrame with (at minimum) tweet ID, retweet count, and favorite count. Note: do not include your Twitter API keys, secrets, and tokens in your project submission.

If you decide to complete your project in the Project Workspace, note that you can upload files to the Jupyter Notebook Workspace by clicking the "Upload" button in the top righthand corner of the dashboard.



Jupyter Notebook dashboard with arrow pointing to the "Upload" button

Assessing Data for this Project

After gathering each of the above pieces of data, assess them visually and programmatically for quality and tidiness issues. Detect and document at least **eight (8) quality issues** and **two (2) tidiness issues** in your wrangle_act.ipynb Jupyter Notebook. To meet specifications, the issues that satisfy the Project Motivation (see the *Key Points* header on the previous page) must be assessed.

Cleaning Data for this Project

Clean each of the issues you documented while assessing. Perform this cleaning in wrangle_act.ipynb as well. The result should be a high quality and tidy master pandas DataFrame (or DataFrames, if appropriate). Again, the issues that satisfy the Project Motivation must be cleaned.

Storing, Analyzing, and Visualizing Data for this Project


Store the clean DataFrame(s) in a CSV file with the main one named twitter_archive_master.csv. If additional files exist because multiple tables are required for tidiness, name these files appropriately. Additionally, you may store the cleaned data in a SQLite database (which is to be submitted as well if you do). Analyze and visualize your wrangled data in your wrangle_act.ipynb Jupyter Notebook. At least three (3) insights and one (1) visualization must be produced.

Reporting for this Project

Create a **300-600 word written report** called wrangle_report.pdf or wrangle_report.html that briefly describes your wrangling efforts. This is to be framed as an internal document.

Create a **250-word-minimum written report** called <code>act_report.pdf</code> or <code>act_report.html</code> that communicates the insights and displays the visualization(s) produced from your wrangled data. This is to be framed as an external document, like a blog post or magazine article, for example.

Both of these documents can be created in separate Jupyter Notebooks using the <u>Markdown functionality</u> of Jupyter Notebooks, then downloading those notebooks as PDF files or HTML files (see image below). You might prefer to use a word processor like Google Docs or Microsoft Word, however.



Download Jupyter Notebook in PDF form