# Poker Game simulator with Expectiminimax Algorithm for strategic Decision making

Yeamin Mahmud, Ashfaq Hassan Shifat, Dewan Fatin hasnat

Abstract—Poker, a game of incomplete information, is known for its complex strategic nature. The aim of this project was to create a poker game simulator capable of intelligent decision-making in uncertain environments. For this purpose, we employed the Expectiminimax algorithm—a variation of the Minimax algorithm that incorporates chance nodes—to simulate intelligent decision-making. Expectiminimax is particularly suited to games like poker, where chance and player decisions both influence outcomes.

#### A. Objectives

The primary goals of this poker simulator were:

- To develop a functional poker game environment where multiple agents can play.
- 2. To implement an Expectiminimax-based AI to make strategic decisions under uncertainty.
- To evaluate the performance of the AI in terms of decision accuracy, effectiveness, and ability to compete with both deterministic and random opponents.

#### B. Game enviroment

The simulator was developed to support **Texas Hold'em Poker**, which is one of the most popular variations of poker. Also, the game has been developed by pygame environment. The environment includes:

- Players: Each player has a certain amount of chips and can make decisions such as fold, check, call, bet, or raise.
- **Dealer and Card Deck**: A standard 52-card deck is used, and cards are dealt to players according to Texas Hold'em rules.
- **Betting Rounds**: The game proceeds in four rounds: pre-flop, flop, turn, and river.

Action and rewards: Each action (fold, call, raise) influences the player's odds and expected payoff. Players aim to maximize their rewards by winning pots or minimizing losses.

- C. Expectiminimax Algorithm: The Expectiminimax algorithm is an extension of the Minimax algorithm, commonly used in adversarial games, with the addition of chance nodes. The algorithm operates as follows:
  - 1. **Minimax Nodes**: Represent choices made by opponents.
  - Max Nodes: Represent choices made by the AI agent.

3. **Chance Nodes**: Represent probabilistic events, such as drawing a card from the deck.

In each decision point:

- Max nodes simulate the AI's attempts to maximize expected rewards.
- **Min nodes** simulate the opponents' efforts to minimize the AI's reward.
- Chance nodes evaluate the possible outcomes and associated probabilities for chance events like card draws.

**Heuristic Evaluation Function:** An essential aspect of the Expectiminimax algorithm is its evaluation function. For poker, the evaluation function considers:

- Hand strength: Likelihood of winning based on the hand
- **Pot odds**: The ratio of the current bet to the pot
- Expected value: Probabilistic outcomes of future game stages based on possible card draws and opponent behavior.

# D. Implementation details:

#### Simulator Framework

The poker game simulator was implemented in Python using object-oriented principles to represent players, hands, and game mechanics. A Monte Carlo simulation was also integrated to estimate probabilities in complex scenarios, particularly during the evaluation of chance nodes.

# Expectiminimax with Depth-Limiting

Given the complexity and branching factor in poker, we used a depth-limited Expectiminimax approach to manage computational feasibility. Depth limits were set according to the number of players, game stage, and remaining deck size.

## **Opponent Modeling**

The simulator includes different opponent types:

- **Aggressive**: Bets frequently and raises high.
- Conservative: Bets and raises sparingly.
- Random: Chooses actions at random to simulate novice players.

## E. Testing & Evaluation

The Expectiminimax-based AI was tested in several scenarios with different opponents. Performance was evaluated on win rate, accuracy of decisions, and expected value maximization.

- Win Rate: The AI consistently outperformed random players and showed competitive results against conservative and aggressive opponents.
- **Accuracy**: The AI's moves closely aligned with optimal poker strategies (e.g., folding weak hands and betting strongly with high-value hands).
- Decision Efficiency: Depth-limiting and Monte Carlo simulations enabled the AI to make decisions within reasonable time frames while maintaining strategic accuracy.

#### F. Conclusion and future work:

The poker game simulator successfully demonstrated the use of the Expectiminimax algorithm for decision-making in

games of chance. The AI exhibited competitive decisionmaking abilities, simulating an intelligent poker player. Future work could focus on:

- Enhanced Opponent Modeling: Adding dynamic opponent modeling for adaptive strategies.
- **Improved Heuristics**: Refining the evaluation function to better approximate hand strength in uncertain conditions.
- Parallel Processing: Utilizing parallelism in Monte Carlo simulations for faster, deeper searches

In conclusion, the Expectiminimax-based poker simulator represents a solid foundation for intelligent decision-making in games with incomplete information. With further optimization, it can potentially be expanded for more complex and realistic poker environments.