Comparison of My Design vs. FoodPanda Design:

1. Navigation:

- **My Design:**
  - Uses clear sections like Menu , About Us , Home ,
  - The design is straightforward with prominent buttons and clear icons.
- **FoodPanda:**
  - It does not provide navigation bar in its UI .

2. Usability Issue :

- **My Design:**
  - Search option added in navigation bar for providing user ease.
- **FoodPanda:**
  - **Lack of Search Filters**: Insufficient search filters and sorting options can make it difficult for users to find specific cuisines, dishes, or restaurants quickly.

3. Accessibility:

- **My Design:**
  - Features large, easy-to-click icons and buttons.
  - Text are shown based on user needs in FAQs.
  - Add button move to top in footer for providing user accessibility.
  - Clear categorization of content helps users find cusines what they are looking for quickly.
- **FoodPanda:**
  - The interface can sometimes be problematic for new users due to extra text descriptions.

4. Content Organization:

- **My Design:**
  - Sections are well-defined and organized, making it easy for users to locate specific content.
  - The footer includes comprehensive contact information , links and move to top button.
- **FoodPanda:**
  - Sections like restaurants , order deliver on time cannot be clear to user .

Efficiency and Reliability in UX:

Reliability:

- **My Design:**
    - The clear structure and prominent buttons ensure users can reliably find and use features.
    - Consistent layout across different sections enhances reliability.
    - Use less text on screen and show when a user wants in FAQs.
- **FoodPanda:**
    - Highly reliable for seasoned users with its consistent performance and advanced features.
    - The dynamic nature of content presentation especially in FAQs might be overwhelming for some users, affecting perceived reliability.

Efficiency:

- **My Design:**
    - Users can quickly access the main features without navigating through multiple screens.
    - Large icons and clear sections reduce the cognitive load.
- **FoodPanda:**
    - Efficient for users familiar with the interface, as it provides quick access to frequently used features like seeing menus on homepage.

.

Conclusion:

My Design:

- **Strengths**:
    - More accessible and user-friendly for new users.
    - Design is clean and clear .
    - User find the website intuitive and easy to navigate .
    - Clear sections and prominent buttons enhance ease of use.
- **Limitations**:
    - May lack advanced features and dynamic content.

FoodPanda Interface:

- **Strengths**:
  - Sleek, modern look with personalized content.
  - High reliability for seasoned users.
- **Limitations**:
  - More problematic due to extra text descriptions.

Verdict:

- **Best for New Users and Simplicity**: My Design.
- **Best for Advanced Features and Personalization**: Foodpanda Interface.

Comparison to Original Foodpanda Design

The redesigned Foodpanda website addresses several key flaws identified in the original design, such as the absence of a clear "Order Now" button and a comprehensive menu section. By focusing on usability principles, the new design ensures that users can navigate the site more easily, find relevant information quickly, and have a more enjoyable overall experience.

Final Thoughts

The redesigned Foodpanda website UI successfully improves upon the original by enhancing usability, navigation, and visual appeal. By implementing the recommended enhancements, the user experience can be further optimized, ensuring that the website meets the needs and expectations of its users. This redesigned approach positions Foodpanda to provide a seamless and satisfying online ordering experience, ultimately driving higher user engagement and satisfaction.