

# Stable Coin

No Author Given

Concordia University

## 1 Introduction

Very quick introduction to blockchain/crypto What is stability vs volatility. About coin supply and volatility [11]. Short-term stability is important for transactions and long-term stability is important for holding' [7].

currencies are judged by their ability to serve three functions???as a medium of exchange, a unit of account, and a store of value. To successfully serve these functions, a currency must be easily and broadly usable (necessary for being a medium of exchange) and demonstrate at least some level of value stability (necessary for acting as a unit of account and store of value).

More on these functions in <https://hackernoon.com/overview-of-stablecoins-2e4ffef82a73>

*Discussion on how a stablecoin can be adopted by the majority and the comparison between other cryptocurrencies:* Rightly or wrongly, volatile cryptocurrencies like bitcoin are not viewed by many central bankers as a serious competitive threat to their own national currencies. However, a stablecoin of sufficient size and use may be deemed to pose greater direct competition to fiat currencies than bitcoin and may therefore spark a competitive response or regulatory backlash from central banks, which in many jurisdictions have largely remained on the sidelines of cryptocurrency regulation to date. What is less clear here for cryptocurrencies is "how big is too big?" Central bankers have been reluctant to provide specific quantitative levels that would trigger concerns (e.g., what percentage of payments made with a cryptocurrency would be deemed to pose a threat to a central bank's ability to conduct monetary policy?) [2].

## 2 The current state of the stable coins

Stablecoins has a market value of \$3 billion and this corresponds to the 1.5% of the total market value of the cryptoassets [2]. Each proposing different properties, stablecoins can be categorized into three groups based on the way they achieve stability: fiat-collateralized, crypto-collateralized, and non-collateralized.

**1) Fiat-collateralized stablecoins:** These type of stable coins are backed by fiat currency and backing by USD is one of the most common types. Generally, there is a 1:1 peg between the fiat currency and the stablecoin that indicates a convergence between their values [3]. USD being one of the most common choices for the fiat currency to back the stablecoin, IBM states that they are

also interested in projects that use other national fiat currencies, as they will be helpful for IBM's blockchain integration [5].

Tether and TrueUSD are USD are prominent examples of USD pegged tokens. Some projects like Digix Gold Token prefer to use gold to back their stablecoin, as gold has a relatively slow increase in its value compared to fiat currencies.

*Discussion about centralization:* Backing up with fiat currency means that there is a need for third party. The amount of money to back the stablecoin up should be held in an account [10]. The involvement of a third party causes controversy in the community, as the third party can just deny giving money to the users. Tether explains this point as follows [8]:

“Redemptions will not be unreasonably denied, but we reserve the right to selectively deny redemption and creation of Tethers on a case-by-case basis.”

**2) Crypto-collateralized stablecoins:** These type of stablecoins uses other cryptocurrencies as a back up value rather than a fiat currency. Over-collateralization is needed this case as the underlying cryptocurrency is also volatile [3]. MakerDAO and Reserve use this approach. Reserve utilizes a smart contract to back the stablecoin with another cryptocurrency [5].

If there is a black swan event <sup>1</sup> where the underlying currency loses its value and does not worth anything, the stablecoin also loses its value [12]. Due to the over over-collateralization in crypto-collateralized stablecoins the loss of value will be drastic.

In this case the loss-exposure would even be amplified for the stablecoin owners because of the over-collateralization. This is also why some experts are strongly discouraging this approach.

**3) Non-collateralized stablecoins:** Unlike the previous types of stable coins, these aren't back by fiat currencies of another cryptocurrency. The stability is achieved algorithmically [3]. This provides better scalability [2]. Basis is one of the first projects that use this approach.

Basis and Carbon use the dual-token model [8]. There is dynamic adjustment of the existing supply of the stablecoin. While one token is stable, the other is used to achieve the stability of the value. If the value of Basis increases (an increase over \$1), more Basis tokens are produced to increase the supply which will lead to a decrease in the price and if there is a decrease in the price, a bond that is worth a Basis token is issued and some Basis tokens are bought to decrease the supply. [6]

Another approach is the seigniorage shares method [4]. Here, the smart contract automatically adjusts the supply based on the algorithm to achieve stability in the value.

---

<sup>1</sup> A black swan event is characterized as being unexpected, random and having significant effects to the current situation, hence it is hard to predict. (<https://www.investopedia.com/terms/b/blackswan.asp>)

### 3 Problems that stable coin addresses

As mentioned in the ..., currencies can serve as a store of value, a unit of account, and a medium of exchange [13]. In order to serve as a unit of account and store of value, currencies have to denote a minimum level of value stability. In this regard, stable coins are proposed to provide store of value and unit of account functionalities, due to their non-fluctuating value in terms of fiat currencies or any other alternative. In addition, they purport to solve a group of critical issues that were introduced when using cryptocurrencies introduced. In this section, we discuss these issues.

#### 3.1 Cryptocurrencies as Medium of Exchange

Despite the fast growing of the cryptocurrencies and decentral applications, there is still little deployment of these digital assets in the daily payment procedures of the companies and businesses. The main reason is that these assets are volatile in the price and hence highly risky to be deployed by merchants and retailers, *i.e.*, it is impossible for a company employers to provide the employees incomes in a volatile cryptocurrency *e.g.*, BTC with which there is a high level of future value and price uncertainty. On the other hand, having a stable price over the time, stable coins can serve greatly as a medium of exchange while they preserve all the advantages of using cryptocurrencies as opposed to fiat currencies. [Taken from the blockchain report but in my own words.](#)

#### 3.2 Cryptocurrencies as Unit of Account

One of the most necessary functions of money is to serve as a unit of account—the common measure that sets price to goods and services. Fiat currencies, *e.g.*, US dollar, Pound *etc.* serve this functionality correctly so they are used as units of accounts in the US and Europe respectively. Unfortunately, cryptocurrencies such as BTC, which do not have a stable price and value, do not seem to be used as a unit of account hence will not be able to serve as *money* and replace the fiat currencies. However, given the price stability that stable coins offer, they have higher chance to be used as a digital representation of a unit of account and hence replace fiat.

#### 3.3 Cryptocurrencies as Store of Value

from the blockchain paper: A store of value is a commodity, asset, or money that retains its purchasing power or value into the future. Some view cryptoassets including bitcoin as too volatile to be commonly accepted as a store of value. Some companies need to hedge themselves over the long-term. For example, miners are currently highly exposed to the price of the cryptoasset they receive in return for computing resources. A stable reserve of liquid assets is needed to cover one-off additional fixed costs (such as purchasing hardware) and on-going

variable costs (such as electricity). In the current crypto ecosystem, volatility risk is currently being highlighted in fundraising via Initial Coin Offerings (?ICOs?). Projects generally raise a given amount of ether to allocate resources in order to deliver on their promises. Due to the high level of friction associated with converting cryptoassets into fiat, founding teams tend to hold most of their funds in ether. In a bear market associated with falling prices like the present one, management would have to meet investor expectations while suddenly having less capital at their disposal. Stablecoins could thus help founding teams of ICO projects manage their funding more safely over the long-term.

### 3.4 dApps

from the blockchain paper: In the web 3.0 stack, decentralized applications (?dApps?) are being built on top of infrastructure protocol layers. Many of those applications will likely rely on price stable cryptocurrencies to distribute value. Stablecoins should accelerate the shift from token speculation to usage in dApps as users won't be incentivised to hold (or sell) the token in anticipation of future price appreciation (or depreciation). This should in turn increase the token velocity and fulfil the potential of decentralised networks. dApps are the channel through which stablecoins are likely to be brought to the masses in the foreseeable future. For example, MakerDAO and Dether recently partnered to bring Dai to mobile ATMs. Finally, ERC20 stablecoins can be held and transferred by anyone who already has an Ethereum wallet, and nearly half of all stablecoin projects (48%) are running on Ethereum. Provided that Ethereum is a successful underlying infrastructure protocol for dApps, ERC20 stablecoins should be adopted faster and benefit from the Ethereum vibrant ecosystem.

### 3.5 Lending with Cryptocurrencies

Despite quite a few blockchain applications in financial technologies, there has been little deployment of lending. One of the main challenges with the lending that makes it difficult to be deployed on the blockchains is the monetary instability observed in the the existing cryptocurrencies [9]. This volatility has led the existing cryptocurrencies to be used more as speculative investments instead of serving as store of value and unit of account. In a lending situation with volatile currencies, where their value is being depreciated or appreciated over time, the cash taker will eventually owe more than what he has borrowed or the vice versa. Therefore, the volatility in the value of cryptocurrencies cause serious concerns and difficulties both for cash takers and cash providers [9]. In the contrast, lending perfectly works if a loan is done with a stable cryptocurrency, whose value remains stable over the time.

### 3.6 Remittance

from the blockchain paper: Stablecoins eliminate price volatility risk as crypto payments are being processed. To stay relevant in this context, transactions

would have to be confirmed rapidly (ideally in a matter of seconds) to provide a good user experience and a noteworthy improvement compared to transfers relying on the current underlying banking infrastructure (international banking transfers currently take up to three days).

*Other possible solutions to lending:* While stable coin is one of them what can be the other possible solutions?

Taken from [9], paraphrasing needed! Addressing monetary instability:

- The rate of release of new currency into the system could be modified to enable new currency to be introduced at (i) a more insightful rate or (ii) based on some internal metrics of the system like number of transactions. [Remark: an insightful rate has been elusive despite many alt-coins customizing the schedule and it is difficult to see how metrics could not be gamed].
- A cryptocurrency can also use explicit pegging but it is no better suited to this system than standard currencies.
- A central bank could manage currency circulation while allowing other aspects to be decentralized. [ Remark:Central banks have been historically unsuccessful at using money circulation as a target].
- The loan could be use the cryptocurrency as the medium of exchange but use a stable (e.g., government) currency as the unit of account.

*Other possible solutions to lending:* While stable coin is one of them what can be the other possible solutions?

## 4 Comparison Framework

Define the properties that are considered during the design of stable coins. Collateralization info from [1], decide which projects to choose that exemplify each category best.

	Collateralization (+ the value of the collateral)	Price Oracle	Centralization	Feedback Mechanism
BitShares (BitUSD)	Crypto-collateralized			
BitBay	Non-collateralized			
DAI	Crypto-collateralized (ETH)	Yes	No	Target Price, Target rate, Sensitivity Parameter, Global Settlement
Basis	Non-collateralized			
Tether	Fiat-collateralized (USD)		Yes	

Decentral price oracle and Schelling point [8] DAI and Bitshares [8] Feedback column from [2] Bitbay: One of the most unstable stablecoins [2].

Today, it would appear that prioritization of automation/transparency generally carries with it the trade-off of greater stability complexity (i.e., risk that the

peg will be broken). In other words, the more decentralized the stablecoin design, the less likely it is to remain price stable against a peg like the US dollar. The success of Tether offers at least some evidence that so far the market has prioritized stability over decentralization (i.e., transparency and automation). Anyone who prioritizes decentralization already has the option to own arguably the most decentralized cryptoasset, bitcoin. [2] *A question to think about:* But does the broader world beyond the digital assets ecosystem really need (or want) stablecoins? [2]

## 5 Critical Issues with Stable Coins

–Most stable coins fail (this is historically true, it doesn't speak to the current stable coins). When they fail, they may or may not be redeemable for the promised amount. That is the harsh truth. None of the old stable coins are with us today aside from Nubits?. and Nubits has recently been trading for under .50 cents here in late March 2018. TUSD, Tether, and Dia all seem solid in there here and now, and they likely have learned from the mistakes of their formers, but if we are being honest about the history of stable coins, it is as volatile as the history of crypto itself.

–Some say that stable coins bring stability to the crypto space, but that idea doesn't seem to be empirically proven. Instead, I'd argue that the perks of stable coins are in the ability for traders to go to a dollar quickly and the ability of exchanges to increase liquidity. Further, I'd argue that stable coins actually add volatility to the crypto space, because they allow for more speculation (which in theory creates stability, but which in practice hasn't really seemed to do anything of the sort). The bottom line on this point is that the crypto space isn't a stable place, and no type of cryptocurrency, regulation, or derivative I've seen has yet to change that (so here the point is, let us not give credit where it is not due). The term used is ?stable coin? or ?price-stable cryptocurrency,? not because this coin brings stability, but because the token ideally holds a stable value.

–Stable coins generally require us to trust a central third party. Each stable coin has its own way around being overly centralized, but there generally needs to be some way to manage these assets to ensure their stability (even though they tend to be blockchain based). Anything centralized requires the trust of a third party to some extent. Requiring the trust of a third party in crypto goes against the concept of crypto somewhat. This isn't a problem per-se, but it is worth noting. With that said, most exchanges aren't decentralized and thus using a stable coin as a currency on an already centralized exchange is hardly the reason not to use stable coins (or exchanges).

–Discussion about collateralization: The second type of stable coin is partly collateralized. In this case, the platform holds dollars equal to, say 50%, of the value of the coins in circulation. The problem with this variant will be familiar to any monetary policy maker whose central bank has sought to peg an exchange rate while holding reserves that are only a fraction of its liabilities. If some

coin owners harbor doubts about the durability of the peg, they will sell their holdings. The platform will have to purchase them using its dollar reserves to keep their price from falling. But, because the stock of dollar reserves is limited, other investors will scramble to get out before the cupboard is bare. The result will be the equivalent of a bank run, leading to the collapse of the peg.

–A discussion about the price comparisons between the stablecoins <https://www.coindesk.com/which-stablecoin-is-the-riskiest-the-crypto-market-is-pricing-that-in/>

–speculative attacks on pegged exchange rates <https://www.marketwatch.com/story/your-crypto-stable-coin-isnt-tethered-to-anything-2018-09-12>

–Ametrano’s paper on currency design (the one that inspired Fragments) argues that we shouldn’t peg a stablecoin to the U.S. dollar, as its days of stability may be numbered. Ametrano’s preferred benchmark is a basket of commodities. [8]

## 6 Discussion

### References

1. Bitmex. A brief history of stablecoins (part 1). <https://blog.bitmex.com/a-brief-history-of-stablecoins-part-1/>, 2018. Accessed: 2018-09-02.
2. Blockchain. The state of stablecoins. 2018.
3. S. Dhillon. Stablecoins vs. govtcoins: The race to solve cryptocurrencies’ price volatility problems. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/stablecoins-vs-govtcoins-race-solve-cryptocurrencies-price-dhillon>, 2018. Accessed: 2018-10-29.
4. C. Durr. Overview of stablecoins. <https://hackernoon.com/overview-of-stablecoins-2e4ffef82a73>, 2018. Accessed: 2018-01-15.
5. M. Huillet. Ibm backs new us dollar-pegged stablecoin that runs on stellar network. <https://cointelegraph.com/news/ibm-backs-new-us-dollar-pegged-stablecoin-that-runs-on-stellar-network>, 2018. Accessed: 2018-07-17.
6. P. Lee. Forget bitcoin: stablecoins will change how money works. <https://www.euromoney.com/article/b1bbk5rb8gp227/forget-bitcoin-stablecoins-will-change-how-money-works?copyrightInfo=true>, 2018. Accessed: 2018-10-29.
7. S. Lee. Explaining stable coins, the holy grail of cryptocurrency. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/shermanlee/2018/03/12/explaining-stable-coins-the-holy-grail-of-cryptocurrency/#6050d80e4fc6>, 2018. Accessed: 2018-07-06.
8. C. O’Higgins. Stablecoins - everything you need to know. <https://cryptoinsider.21mil.com/stablecoins-everything-need-know/>, 2018. Accessed: 2018-07-09.
9. M. C. Okoye and J. Clark. Toward cryptocurrency lending.
10. M. Orcutt. “stablecoins” are trending, but they may ignore basic economics. <https://www.technologyreview.com/s/611370/stablecoins-are-trending-but-they-may-ignore-basic-economics/>, 2018. Accessed: 2018-07-06.
11. R. Sams. A note on cryptocurrency stabilisation: Seigniorage shares. *Brave New Coin*, pages 1–8, 2015.

12. L. Schor. Stablecoins explained. <https://medium.com/@argongroup/stablecoins-explained-206466da5e61>, 2018. Accessed: 2018-10-29.
13. J. Smithin. What is money? introduction. In *What is Money?*, pages 11–25. Routledge, 2002.