

1_clean_data

Maiko Hata

csv1: 10 exit categories

(for Kable table)

csv2: byrace

(imported OSEP excel, 2013-22, US/OR, deceased/continuing in Part C removed, mutated complete_or_not_eligible to combine 3 similar categories)

csv3: agg_by_race_and_state

based on “byrace”, dropped the combined 3 categories, so just total + 6 exit categories

csv 4: race_oregon

based on agg_by_race_and_state but Oregon only

csv 5: race_us

csv 6: race_us_chart

US Exit reasons by race (deleted “area”)

```
[1] "race" "withdrawal_by_parent"
[3] "attempts_to_contact_unsuccessful" "moved_out_of_state"
[5] "part_b_eligible_exiting_part_c" "complete_or_not_eligible"
[7] "part_b_eligibility_not_determined" "area"
[9] "exit_total"
```

csv 7: race_oregon_chart

Oregon Exit reasons by race chart

csv 8: area_by_race & other_by_race

— df w. % of each racial group represented in the EI exit total data for OREGON and NATIONAL

csv 9: other_by_race

— same as csv 8, but for NATIONAL data, filtered by US and Outlying Areas

csv 10: oregon_by_race

csv 11a & 11b: us_data_long & us_data_wide

wide

csv 12: agg_by_area

— TOTAL EXITS vs WITHDRAWAL in OREGON and US but NO RACE so not too meaningful, so I didn't save as CSV

csv 13: data_national (exit vs withdrawn)

— national data comparing TOTAL EXITS vs WITHDRAWAL by RACE

csv 14: us_data_attempts_BLWH

— DQ for BLACK and WHITE for chi-square and odds ratio

csv 15: oregon_data_long & oregon_data_long

wide

csv 16: oregon_data_wide_DQ

csv 17: us_data_DQ

— Very similar to us_data_attempts_BLWH which has more data, us_data_DQ only has BLACK/WHITE DQs, saved for chi-square —

csv 18: us_data_DQ_proportion

— df for making the plot 2 —

CLEAND UP TO HERE

The chi-square indicated that there was a statistically significant association between children being Black/African American or White and them leaving EI due to being disqualified nationally. The chi-square test indicated, X-squared (222556.00, N = 2,088,058), $p < 2.2\text{e-}16$ or 0.0000000000000002 ($p < .001$).

Cohen's h was calculated to evaluate the effect size of the analysis. The result indicated a small to medium effect size, $h = 0.259$. However, even though effect size shows the magnitude of the difference, it is not necessarily considered to be a direct indication of the importance of the findings (Morgan et al., 2020).

We used R version 4.4.1 [[@base](#)] and the following R packages: `corrplot` v. 0.95 [[@corrplot2024](#)], `distill` v. 1.6 [[@distill](#)], `DT` v. 0.33 [[@DT](#)], `epitools` v. 0.5.10.1 [[@epitools](#)], `gt` v. 0.11.1 [[@gt](#)], `gtsummary` v. 2.0.3 [[@gtsummary](#)], `here` v. 1.0.1 [[@here](#)], `janitor` v. 2.2.0 [[@janitor](#)], `kableExtra` v. 1.4.0 [[@kableExtra](#)], `knitr` v. 1.48 [[@knitr2014](#); [@knitr2015](#); [@knitr2024](#)], `lme4` v. 1.1.35.5 [[@lme4](#)], `patchwork` v. 1.3.0 [[@patchwork](#)], `pwr` v. 1.3.0 [[@pwr](#)], `quarto` v. 1.4.4 [[@quarto](#)], `rcartocolor` v. 2.1.1 [[@rcartocolor](#)], `rcompanion` v. 2.4.36 [[@rcompanion](#)], `reactable` v. 0.4.4 [[@reactable](#)], `rio` v. 1.2.3 [[@rio](#)], `rmarkdown` v. 2.28 [[@rmarkdown2018](#); [@rmarkdown2020](#); [@rmarkdown2024](#)], `scales` v. 1.3.0 [[@scales](#)], `sjPlot` v. 2.8.16 [[@sjPlot](#)], `tidylog` v. 1.1.0 [[@tidylog](#)], `tidyverse` v. 2.0.0 [[@tidyverse](#)], `tinytex` v. 0.53 [[@tinytex2019](#); [@tinytex2024](#)].