A sender query for completion behaviour

Document #: P3206R0 Date: 2025-01-12

Project: Programming Language C++

Audience: SG1

Reply-to: Maikel Nadolski

<maikel.nadolski@gmail.com>

1 Abstract

A sender query is proposed to improve the lifetime management for child operation states. There is no wording in this paper yet.

2 Introduction

This proposal partially continues on [P2257R0]. It proposes the query execution::get_completion_behaviour that determines whether an async operation completes inline, synchronous or asynchronously with a call to execution::start. This corresponds to the completion guarantees in [P2257R0]. The current proposal does not include a query to investigate whether starting an operation will block an execution agent.

Knowing whether an operation completes synchronously changes the way how the lifetime of the operation state can be managed, and inline completion implies synchronous completion. No guarantees regarding the completion behaviour are being made, if no suitable overload for a sender and environment pair is being found.

Awaitables have a very similar way to convey this information by returning true or false for await_ready(). Without this proposal senders in std::execution are missing a tool to do so.

This query proves to be instrumental in optimizing the behavior for some algorithms, including but not limited to sync_wait(), repeat()-like algorithms, the as_awaitable() helper or a scheduler-affine coroutine task type.

2.1 sync_wait algorithm

A possible implementation of sync_wait synchronizes the completion of the input operation with some signaling mechanism. If the input operation is known to complete synchronously, sync_wait does not need the synchronization primitives in its implementation.

2.2 repeat-like algorithms

Consider a typical repeat-like sender algorithm that repeats the construction and initiation of an input operation.

A usual implementation reconstructs and starts a child operation from within the value completion of an intermediate receiver. If the completion behaviour of the child operation is not known, one needs to mitigate a possible recursive call stack by e. g. rescheduling on a lightweight scheduler.

If the child operation completes synchronously a **repeat**-like algorithm can be implemented with a while loop, similar to the following snippet:

```
bool stopped{false};
optional<connect_result_t<Sender, repeat_receiver>>> child_op(
    std::in_place,
    emplace_from{[&] { return exection::connect(sender, repeat_receiver{&stopped}); }});
while (!stopped) {
    execution::start(*child_op);
    child_op.emplace(
        emplace_from{[&] { return exection::connect(sender, repeat_receiver{&stopped}); }});
}
```

2.3 as_awaitable

The current specification of as_awaitable transforms all senders into an awaitable that can not use symmetric transfer even if it would be feasible, because the necessary information is not available. Consequently, starting the following code will run into stack-exhaustion on a typical machine

```
struct promise;
struct coroutine : std::coroutine_handlepromise>
    using promise_type = ::promise;
};
struct promise : std::execution::with_awaitable_senderspromise>
{
    coroutine get_return_object() { return {coroutine::from_promise(*this)}; }
    std::suspend_never initial_suspend() noexcept { return {}}; }
    std::suspend_never final_suspend() noexcept { return {}; }
    void return_void() {}
    void unhandled_exception() {}
};
coroutine f() {
    // this will most likely produce stack-overflow
    for (int i = 0; i < 1'000'000; ++i) {
        co_await std::execution::just();
}
int main()
    f();
```

Note, that senders are allowed to provide custom implementations for as_awaitable by providing the respective class member method. To the author it is not clear how this customization point scales with the composition of sender algorithms and synchronous senders. This proposal allows to statically detect synchronous or inline completion behaviour of the operation and transforms a sender into an awaitable that symmetrically transfers the control back to its parent coroutine in a scheduler-affine fashion. Transforming a synchronous sender can exemplarily look like this

```
template <synchronous-single-sender<env_type> S>
struct awaiter {
   S sender;
   variant<monostate, single-value-result-of<S>, exception_ptr> result;
```

```
bool await_ready() { return false; }

template <class P>
coroutine_handle<P> await_suspend(coroutine_handle<P> h) {
   auto op = connect(std::move(sender), receiver_t{&result, get_env(h.promise())});
   op.start();
   return h;
}

single-value-result-of<S>
await_resume() {
   // Check the state of result and
   // either return the value or
   // rethrow the exception
}
};
```

2.4 scheduler-affine task type

Consider a scheduler-affine coroutine task type that ensures that each awaited expression completes on the currently assigned scheduler.

Ideally, one wants to avoid to reschedule an await-expression if it did not change the current execution resource. This opens up the question on how to identify such senders and awaitables that complete on the scheduler that started them.

One family of senders are those whose value completion scheduler is known and is equal to the starting scheduler.

Another family of senders are those whose corresponding sender-awaitable will resume on the current thread of execution. This proposal helps to identify the second group of senders.

3 Proposal

Let s denote a sender and env an environment type.

The customization point object execution::get_completion_behaviour(s, env) with an interface that is similar to get_completion_signatures is proposed. Authors of sender algorithms can define

1. a possibly env-dependent member method on the sender type

2. a type alias if the completion behaviour is statically known and does not depend on the environment

```
struct example_sender1 {
   // [...]

using completion_behaviour = constant<execution::completion_behaviour::asynchronous>;
};
```

3.1 execution::get_completion_behaviour

The return type of get_completion_behaviour(s, env) is convertible to execution::completion_behaviour.

completion_behaviour::inline_completion: The connected receiver's completion-signal will occur on the
calling thread before execution::start() returns.

completion_behaviour::synchronous: The connected receiver's completion-signal happens-before execution::start() returns.

completion_behaviour::asynchronous: The connected receiver's completion-signal will not occur on the calling thread before execution::start() returns.

completion_behaviour::unknown: The completion behaviour is unknown.

If get_completion_behaviour(s, env) is an invalid expression no guarantee will be made.

4 Implementation Experience

libunifex uses a blocking(const Sender&) -> blocking_kind query to provide this information for optimizations, which is very similar to get_completion_behaviour. It's valid values are

- maybe: the completion behaviour is not known
- never: the receiver will never be called on the current thread before start() returns.
- always: the receiver is guaranteed to be called on some thread strongly-happens-before start() returns.
- always_inline: the receiver is guaranteed to be called inline on the current thread before start() returns.

The main difference of this proposal to the implementation at libunifex is, that the query in this proposal acts additionally on the environment instead of only a sender. Note, that libunifex does not have receiver environments and the completion behaviour is considered to be a property of the operation.

5 Design Alternatives

It was considered to make the query directly dependent on the operation state instead of a sender and environment pair. While it would technically work to query the operation states directly, it would also require to instantiate those operation state types.

Another alternative is to consider a boolean predicate, such as completes_synchronously(s, env), instead. Note, that inline_completion implies synchronous completion. In practise, standardized senders that are synchronous will complete inline but it is the synchronous completion property that enables the optimizations. The current proposal can distinguish between both completion types, inline and synchronous, and therefore it was chosen over the boolean predicate formulation.

6 Implications on Sender Factories and Adaptors

The following section describes how to compute the values for the query for each sender algorithm in std::execution and its default implementations. Domain specializations are allowed to change those values.

For sake of computations we assume the following total order of values:

6.1 Sender Factories

In general, each sender factory needs to provide the information from its respective implementation.

```
6.1.1 schedule(run loop::scheduler)
  — get_completion_behaviour: asynchronous
6.1.2 just(), just_error(), just_stopped()
 - get completion behaviour: inline completion
6.1.3 read_env()
  — get completion behaviour: inline completion
6.2 Sender Adaptors
6.2.1 finally(sender1, sender2), continues_on(sender, scheduler), starts_on(scheduler,
      sender)
Let s denote the expression finally (sender1, sender2).
 — get_completion_behaviour(s, env) returns
    min(get_completion_behaviour(sender1, env), get_completion_behaviour(sender2, env))
6.2.2 then(sender, fn), upon_error(sender, fn), upon_stopped(sender, fn)
Let s denote the expression then (sender, fn).
  — get_completion_behaviour(s, env) returns get_completion_behaviour(sender, env)
6.2.3 let_value(sender, fn), let_error(sender, fn), let_stopped(sender, fn)
Let s denote the expression let_value(sender, fn). Let rs... denote the set of all possible result-senders
returned from fn.
  — get_completion_behaviour(s, env) returns
    min(get_completion_behaviour(sender, env), get_completion_behaviour(rs, env)...)
6.2.4 into_variant(sender), stopped_as_optional(sender)
Let s denote the expression into variant (sender, fn).
  — get_completion_behaviour(s, env) returns get_completion_behaviour(sender, env)
6.2.5 bulk(sender, shape, fn)
Let s denote the expression bulk (sender, shape, fn).
  — get_completion_behaviour(s, env): get_completion_behaviour(sender, env)
6.2.6 when_all(senders...)
Let s denote the expression when all(senders...).
```

— get_completion_behaviour(s, env) returns min(get_completion_behaviour(senders, env)...)

6.2.7 split(sender)

Let s denote the expression split(sender).

- get_completion_behaviour(s, env):
 - Returns a dynamic value. The operation of split(sender) completes inline if the input operation has completed before starting a new copy of split. Otherwise the query returns get_completion_behaviour(sender, env).

7 References