**${alltitle}**

${time}

${hasfumian}

本时间段监测到${count3}条负面舆情信息：

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **序号** | **标题** | **地区** | **原文涉及法院** | **预警等级** | **时间** |
| ${tid} | ${ttitle} | ${tarea} | ${tcourt} | ${tyuqinginfo} | ${tstarttime} |

${repeat}

${cid}.${ctitle}

<${clink}>

${cabstract}

${/repeat}

${/hasfumian}

${nonefumian}

本时间段未监测到负面舆情信息，望悉知；

${/nonefumian}

本时间段监测到${count1}条正面舆情和${count2}条中性舆情，具体统计如下：

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **省份** | **正面舆情** | **中性舆情** | **省份** | **正面舆情** | **中性舆情** |
| 最高 | ${c1\_000} | ${c2\_000} | - | - | - |
| 北京 | ${c1\_100} | ${c2\_100} | 湖北 | ${c1\_H00} | ${c2\_H00} |
| 天津 | ${c1\_200} | ${c2\_200} | 湖南 | ${c1\_I00} | ${c2\_I00} |
| 河北 | ${c1\_300} | ${c2\_300} | 广东 | ${c1\_J00} | ${c2\_J00} |
| 山西 | ${c1\_400} | ${c2\_400} | 广西 | ${c1\_K00} | ${c2\_K00} |
| 内蒙 | ${c1\_500} | ${c2\_500} | 海南 | ${c1\_L00} | ${c2\_L00} |
| 辽宁 | ${c1\_600} | ${c2\_600} | 重庆 | ${c1\_M00} | ${c2\_M00} |
| 吉林 | ${c1\_700} | ${c2\_700} | 四川 | ${c1\_N00} | ${c2\_N00} |
| 黑龙江 | ${c1\_800} | ${c2\_800} | 贵州 | ${c1\_O00} | ${c2\_O00} |
| 上海 | ${c1\_900} | ${c2\_900} | 云南 | ${c1\_P00} | ${c2\_P00} |
| 江苏 | ${c1\_A00} | ${c2\_A00} | 西藏 | ${c1\_Q00} | ${c2\_Q00} |
| 浙江 | ${c1\_B00} | ${c2\_B00} | 陕西 | ${c1\_R00} | ${c2\_R00} |
| 安徽 | ${c1\_C00} | ${c2\_C00} | 甘肃 | ${c1\_S00} | ${c2\_S00} |
| 福建 | ${c1\_D00} | ${c2\_D00} | 青海 | ${c1\_T00} | ${c2\_T00} |
| 江西 | ${c1\_E00} | ${c2\_E00} | 宁夏 | ${c1\_U00} | ${c2\_U00} |
| 山东 | ${c1\_F00} | ${c2\_F00} | 新疆 | ${c1\_V00} | ${c2\_V00} |
| 河南 | ${c1\_G00} | ${c2\_G00} | 兵团 | ${c1\_VI0} | ${c2\_VI0} |

如需了解详细正面和中性舆情可以在指挥管理平台“舆情动态”模块查阅

关于本执行舆情监测报告相关说明：

1、以上负面舆情仅为最高法院合作的媒体机构监测，并不代表所有互联网中的负面舆情；

2、负面舆情是通过文章语句中表达的情感立场进行判断，可能反映法院执行工作的负面，也可能反映社会舆论现象的负面，具体还需要各位领导进行甄别；

3、根据舆情的严重性和影响力将负面舆情划分为“红色>橙色>黄色”三个等级，为领导决策提供依据；

4、舆情数量和频次在通报出现的多少，源于系统在具体时点的大概率检索结果，随着系统功能的逐步完善，对网络媒体的覆盖面和精细度会逐步提升。