

Lungs Dataset Comparison Chart

Data Shape :

(205, 12601)

Preprocessing :

Standard Scaler Used

Test – Train Split :

Test -size : 20%

((162, 12600), (41, 12600))

Assumptions :

Total Features : 12600 (Excluding Target Class)

Features Extracted by Filter Methods(For A) : 2394 (Around 19%)

For B and C : Cascade Filtering : $N \rightarrow 2N/3 \rightarrow N/3 = 12600 \rightarrow 8400 \rightarrow 4200$

For D : As Wrapper Methods are more time consuming then filter methods so I have extracted 500 features from the actual feature list using F- Classification Filter Method, followed by applying the wrapper methods to extract :

- Sequential Forward Search : 100 Features
- Sequential Backward Search : 400 Features

Given,

F1 – Mutual Information

F2 – F- Classification

F3 - T-Test

SFS - Sequential Forwards Search

SBS - Sequential Backward Search

Comparison Chart of KNN Classifier

Parameters Methods	Execution Time (seconds)	Accuracy	F-Score
F1	2109.199	95.12	0.9512
F2	2158.398	85.36	0.8536
F3	147.65	97.56	0.9756
F1 U F2 U F3	4415.257	85.36	0.8536
F1 → F2 → F3	2027.608	97.56	0.9756
F2 → F3 → F1	3277.77	97.56	0.9756
F3 → F1 → F2	1019.67	95.12	0.9512
SFS	1978.713	82.92	0.8292
SBS	5694.713	85.36	0.8536

NOTE :

- Considering only the best results for the Accuracy and F-Score.
- F-Score measure is derived from the confusion matrix and defines its characteristics.
- The KNN classifier was run for k=1 to 20 and the k value for which maximum accuracy and fscore has been found is mentioned above.

Comparison Chart of SVM Classifier

Parameters Methods	Execution Time (seconds)	Accuracy	F-Score
F1	2109.199	87.80	0.8780
F2	2158.398	85.36	0.8536
F3	147.65	87.80	0.8780
F1 U F2 U F3	4415.257	85.36	0.8536
F1 → F2 → F3	2027.608	90.24	0.9024
F2 → F3 → F1	3277.77	90.24	0.9024
F3 → F1 → F2	1019.67	87.80	0.8780
SFS	1978.713	82.92	0.8292
SBS	5694.713	80.48	0.8048

Inferences:

- We get the best model for the F3- T-Test with KNN which performs pretty good with low execution time.
- Overall KNN Classifier performs better than SVM Classifier
- Cascaded Hybrid model F1 → F2 → F3 performs good with high accuracy, high F-Score and low Execution Time among the other cascaded methods
- Wrapper methods are highly time consuming where SFS and SBS performances are quite close, with SFS taking lesser execution time comparatively.