

# **Term Project**

Tuyet Mai Pham - 991545166

Sheridan College

PROG20799 – Data Structures & Algorithm Development – C

Dr. Ben Kam

April 12, 2023

## Table of Contents

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Introduction.....                      | 3  |
| Radix Sort Algorithm.....              | 3  |
| Bubble sort Algorithm.....             | 4  |
| Radix Sort Big O notation.....         | 4  |
| Bubble Sort Big O notation.....        | 6  |
| Graph.....                             | 6  |
| Setting up controlled experiments..... | 7  |
| Experimental results.....              | 8  |
| Data analysis.....                     | 9  |
| Conclusion.....                        | 10 |

## **I. Introduction**

Sorting algorithms play a vital role in computer science and programming. They allow putting a group of items in a particular order using a comparison operator. These algorithms work well for various data types such as numbers, strings, and more. In computer programs, sorting algorithms are an essential part as they make data operations such as searching and analysis more manageable by rearranging the data.

When it comes to sorting challenges, selecting the appropriate algorithm is of utmost importance and is contingent on the specific requirements and constraints of the task at hand. A plethora of sorting algorithms exists, each with its unique approach and benefits. The aim of this project is to compare Radix Sort and Bubble Sort algorithms to evaluate their efficiency and performance. This comparison intends to provide insights into the advantages and disadvantages of different algorithms.

## **II. Radix Sort Algorithm**

Radix sort is a sorting algorithm that works by grouping the digit from right to left at the same place of all the elements given. It starts with the most right which is the least significant digit till the most significant digit. The total number of runs is determined by the number of digits in the biggest number in the given list.

- Firstly, find the biggest element in the list then figure out the number of runs. The number of runs is the number of digits of the biggest element.
- Then go through each element in the list, and group them based on each digit on each run from the least significant to the most significant digit.
- After grouping at the most significant digit, the list is now in order.

### III. Bubble Sort Algorithm

Bubble sort is a sorting algorithm that works by checking if two adjacent elements do not match a given sort order and swapping them. Multiple iterations of this procedure are performed until the full list is sorted.

- In the first Run:
  - The process of Bubble Sort begins at the first index of a list and compares the first and second elements.
  - If the first element is greater than the second, they are swapped.
  - The algorithm then compares the second and third elements and swapped if they are not in order.
  - This process continues until the last element of the list is reached.
  - At the end of the run, the largest element is placed last on the list.
- The process above is repeated until the list is in proper order. After each run, the largest among unsorted elements is placed at the end.

### IV. Radix Sort Big O Notation

| Code                                     | Complexity |
|--|------------|
| void radixSort(int* array, int size) {   |            |
| int runs = getNumberOfRuns(array, size); |            |
| Queue queues[10]; //10 digits from 0-9   |            |
| for (int l = 0; l < 10; l++) {           |            |
| Queue newQueue;                          |            |

|                                      |    |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| initQueue(&newQueue);                |    |
| queues[i] = newQueue;                |    |
| }                                    |    |
| int modulus = 10;                    |    |
| int divisor = 1;                     |    |
| for (int l = 0; l < runs; i++) {     | k  |
| for (int j = 0; j < size; j++) {     | n  |
| int digit = (array[j]/divisor) % 10; |    |
| enqueue(&queues[digit], array[j]);   |    |
| }                                    |    |
| int index = 0;                       |    |
| for (int j = 0; j < 10; j++) {       | 10 |
| while(queues[j].nodeCount > 0) {     |    |
| array[index] = dequeue(&queues[j]);  |    |
| index++;                             |    |
| }                                    |    |
| }                                    |    |
| modulus *= 10;                       |    |
| divisor *= 10;                       |    |
| }                                    |    |
| }                                    |    |


**$O(k(n+10))$ .** Since the program is testing with arrays of integer so it is base 10 number.


## V. Bubble Sort Big O Notation

| Code                                     | Complexity |
|--|------------|
| void bubbleSort(int array[], int size) { |            |
| Queue queue;                             |            |
| initQueue(&queue);                       |            |
| for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {         | n          |
| for (int j = 0; j < size - 1 - i; j++) { | n          |
| if (array[j] > array[j + 1]) {           |            |
| enqueue(&queue, array[j]);               |            |
| array[j] = array[j + 1];                 |            |
| array[j + 1] = dequeue(&queue);          |            |
| }  |            |
| }  |            |
| }  |            |
| }  |            |

$O(n^2)$

## VI. Graph





As the graph,  $k$  is set to the worst case, which is 10(runs), of an array integer with the max int with 10 digits. It is evident that with a smaller array size (x-axis), the Bubble Sort algorithm has a faster complexity time (y-axis). However, after the point of intersection, the Radix Sort algorithm is significantly faster.

## VII. Setting Up Controlled Experiments

In order to accurately measure the time it takes for the two sorting algorithms to complete, the program utilizes the `clock()` function provided by the C standard library. To

ensure reliable results, the program is run three times and records the varying results. Additionally, the program tests the algorithms' performance with small, medium, and large datasets.

## VIII. Experiment results

First Run:

```
● → RadixSort git:(main) x gcc project.c && ./a.out
Number of element: 100000
Radix sort time: 0.160242
Bubble sort time: 9.803454
-----
Number of element: 5000
Radix sort time: 0.007149
Bubble sort time: 0.031056
-----
Number of element: 1000
Radix sort time: 0.002293
Bubble sort time: 0.001225
```

Second Run:

```
● → RadixSort git:(main) x gcc project.c && ./a.out
Number of element: 100000
Radix sort time: 0.162557
Bubble sort time: 10.182418
-----
Number of element: 5000
Radix sort time: 0.007115
Bubble sort time: 0.025797
-----
Number of element: 1000
Radix sort time: 0.001665
Bubble sort time: 0.001204
```



Third Run:

```
● → RadixSort git:(main) x gcc project.c && ./a.out
Number of element: 100000
Radix sort time: 0.158509
Bubble sort time: 10.483094
-----
Number of element: 5000
Radix sort time: 0.007435
Bubble sort time: 0.027743
-----
Number of element: 1000
Radix sort time: 0.001688
Bubble sort time: 0.001069
```

## IX. Data Analysis

Based on the results obtained from three separate program executions, it is evident that the difference in implementation times between the two sorting algorithms is significantly noticeable with a larger data set of 100,000 elements. In this scenario, the Radix sort algorithm executes much faster, taking only about 0.15 seconds, while the Bubble Sort algorithm requires more time (9 – 10 seconds). The latter takes a considerable amount of time to execute the program.

In the case of the medium data set of 5,000 elements, the difference in implementation time between the two algorithms still exists, but it is not as significant as with the larger data set. Bubble Sort takes longer to execute than Radix Sort.

For a smaller data set of 1,000 elements, the results show that the implementation of the Bubble Sort algorithm is now faster than that of Radix Sort. Bubble Sort executes in about 2/3 or 1/2 of the time taken by Radix Sort.

## **X. Conclusion**

Based on the Big-O notation, we can see that the performance of Bubble Sort and Radix Sort algorithms is closely tied to the size of the input array. When the input array is small, Bubble Sort is faster than Radix Sort. However, as the size of the array increases, Radix Sort becomes significantly faster than Bubble Sort.

Based on the results of the program, it can be concluded that Radix Sort outperforms Bubble Sort in terms of efficiency for sorting large datasets. The execution time of Radix Sort is significantly faster than Bubble Sort, especially for bigger data sets. However, for smaller data sets, Bubble Sort can be a more practical option as it takes less time to execute than Radix Sort.

Therefore, the choice of which algorithm to use depends on the size of the data set and the specific needs of the program. However, if it is compelled to choose one out of these two algorithms, Radix sort is a better option for some reasons:

- The program and the data sets might be expanded in the future, so it offers better scalability.
- Even with a higher time complexity compared to Bubble Sort for smaller data sets, it still performs quite acceptably, making it a suitable choice for various applications.