#### Predicate Calculus



#### Mairead Meagher

Waterford Institute of Technology,

2017



# Waterford Institute of Technology Institute of Technology

#### Predicate Calculus



- We have spoken earlier about Truth Valued Statements.
- A Truth Valued Statement is a statement whose value is either True or False.
- The idea of these Truth Valued Statements is central to how we write specifications.
- We describe the effect of an operation by a a series of Truth Valued Statements, all of whom are true, when the operation is successfully completed.

### Predicates and Propositions



Using mathematical terminology, we have two forms of Truth Valued Statements:

Predicates

Propositions

#### **Predicates**



Two commonly-used definitions of what a predicate is, exist:

- A predicate is a truth-valued statement which may contain variables;
- ② A predicate is a statement whose truth-value depends on a value or values.

When a predicate is applied to a definite value it becomes a proposition.

### Examples from Mathematics - predicates



```
x < 6

Fraction(k)

FourLegged(A)

oddNumber(x)
```

These are **true** or **false**, depending on the values of x, k, and A, respectively.

### Examples from Mathematics - propositions



When we apply these predicates to actual values, we have propositions:

10 < 6 Fraction(0.7) FourLegged(whale) oddNumber(4) is a false proposition. is a true proposition. is a false proposition. is a false proposition.

A Predicate is a parameterised Proposition

### Some predicates - the Z way



Whereas in mathematics (as seen above ), we write

is a false proposition.

The style we use in Z is as follows:

• We construct a set of entities with a particular property, e.g. "this number is odd"

So, oddNumbers is the set of all possible odd numbers.

We then construct a predicate that is true if our element (e.g. ourNum?) is an element of this set:

$$ourNum? \in oddNumbers$$

### Some predicates - the Z way



We use this style extensively when modelling data.

- In modelling a systems with employees,
- an employee is either a manager or in personnel (etc.).
- The style in programming might be to have a field (enumerated type?) in the employee class which would have one of the possible values to indicate the employee's role.
- Not so in formal specification and specifically, Z.

### Some predicates - the Z way



In Z, we construct (or just declare) a set for each, so

- We have a set containing all the employees who are managers;
- We have a set containing all the employers who are in personnel.
- We may then model some relationships between these sets (e.g. no employee is in both).. more later.
- Note that because this is modelling there is no extra storage to worry about. Just clarity and elegance.

#### The Existential Quantifier



The quantifier  $\exists$ , read as **'there exists'** is used in the construction of

∃ declaration | constraint (or "predicate") • predicate

- The declaration introduces a variable which is then constrained (optional).
- The predicate(constraint) applies to this variable.
- The constraint acts like a filter through which all candidates for the variable are "screened" on their way to taking part in the final predicate.
- The final predicate is "what we need to state about this filtered down selection of entities".

#### The Existential Quantifier



#### For example, the statement:

- "A man wrote beautiful Music." will be written:
- "There exists a man and we can state (about this man) that he wrote beautiful music."
- Rewirite this using our new terminology:

 $\exists m : MAN \bullet m$  "wrote beautiful music"

#### Who wrote beautiful music?



- This statement is true if I can choose such an m.
- I choose Beethoven as my m.
- This means that this statement is true, as I now state (!) that Beethoven wrote beautiful music.
- Of course, when we write using mathematical terms, there will be no personal judgements as to what is beautiful music, etc.

#### Someone up there loves me



#### Another example (with a constraint):

- "Someone up there loves me" will be written:
- "There exists a person.. not any person, a person who is "up there", and we can state (about this person) that that person loves me."
- Rewrite this using our new terminology:

 $\exists y : PERSON \mid y \text{ is "up there"} \bullet y \text{ "loves me"}$ 

#### More (mathematical) example



- There is a positive number less that 10 whose square is between 30 and 40 (inclusive). Firstly write it as:
- There exists a number n, and n is between 1 and 9, and we that state about this number n, that  $n^2$  is between 30 and 40(inclusive).
- Rewrite this using our new terminology:

$$\exists n : \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 < n < 10 \bullet 30 \le n^2 \le 40$$

This could equivalently be written:

$$\exists n : \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \le n \le 9 \bullet 30 \le n^2 \le 40$$

• n = 6 makes it true. We call this number a witness.

### Existential Quantification and Disjunction - the link



If the set in the declaration, suitably constrained, is finite, then  $\exists$  can be replaced by  $\textbf{disjunction} \ \lor \ (\text{logical or})$  as in :

$$\exists n : \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \le n \le 9 \bullet 30 \le n^2 \le 40$$

can be replaced by

$$30 < 1^2 < 40 \ \lor \ 30 < 2^2 < 40 \ \lor \ 30 < 3^2 < 40 \lor \ldots \lor 30 < 9^2 <$$

In both cases, as long as one value of n make it true, then the entire statement is true.

$$n = 6$$
 or  $30 < 6^2 < 40$ 

#### Unique Existential Quantifier





Figure 1: A very special Irish Hare

- The Unique Quantifier symbolises "There exists one and only one".
- If we wish to model the following:

There exists one and only one hare type which is a Native Irish Species.

- we can use:
  - $\exists_1 h$ : Types of hare  $\bullet$  h is a native Irish species

#### Careful - Use with care



• This should only be used in very specific circumstances.



Figure 2: U2 - The only fantastic Irish Band?

Specifically, the statement

"There is a fantastic Irish music band" (!).

is not the same as:

"There is one and only one fantastic Irish music band."

#### Careful - Use with care



 The first statement allows for there to be more than one and states that, essentially, "There is at least one fantastic Irish band".



Figure 3: Another fantastic Irish Band - The Gloaming

#### Universal Quantifier



• It is used in the construction of

∀ declaration | constraint (or "predicate") • predicate

- ∀ is read as "For all" or "for every" and its definition has a similar structure to ∃:
- It is used in the construction of

 $\forall$  declaration | constraint (or "predicate") • predicate

#### Squares Example



- "All numbers over 10 have squares over 100" will be written:
- "For all numbers n, where > 10, the squares of this n,  $n^2 > 100$ ."
- Rewrite this using our new terminology:

$$\forall n : \mathbb{N} \mid n > 10 \bullet n^2 > 100$$

#### Snow White Example







- "All the dwarfs in the little house in the wood love Snow White." will be written:
- "For all dwarfs d, where d "lives in the little house in the wood", it is true to state that "d loves Snow White".
- Rewrite this using our new terminology:

 $\forall d: DWARF \mid d$  "lives in the little house in the wood"

d lovesSnowWhite

### Universal Quantification and Conjunction - the link



 If the set in the declaration, suitably constrained, is finite, then ∀ can be replaced by conjunction ∧ (logical or) as in :

```
\forall d: DWARF \mid d "lives in the little house in the wood" • d \mid lovesSnowWhite
```

can be replaced by

Sneezy lovesSnowWhite 
$$\land$$
 Dopey lovesSnowWhite  $\land$  Doc lo

• In both cases, all dwarfs must love Snow White to make the full statement true.

### Using Universal and Existential Quantification together



In general, anywhere there is a predicate (sometimes we call them constraints), we can use  $\exists$  or  $\forall$  constructs. Sometimes we can use them together in a nested fashion for predicate construction. We look at the "old saying"

Every cloud has a silver lining.

- "Every cloud has a silver lining" will be written:
- "For all clouds c, there is a silver lining s, and we can state that c has s.
- Rewrite this using our new terminology:

$$\forall c : CLOUD \bullet (\exists s : SilverLining \bullet c \text{ has } s)$$

(In this case, there are no constraints, but of course we may need to have constraints in other examples.)





## Any questions?