Mapping of context based user aggregated scores with Cognitive evaluation based experts (1)										
Context	Domainn	Agg. Score (Expert mean)	Fluctuation Level Under [4.00]	Fluctuation Notation	User Satisfied Or Not	Opinion				
C1	Action & Control (AC)	3.455	0.545	(N)	х	In context 1, the aggregatet context score is less than the expert mean ratting. It does not satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Negative.				
C2	Iterative &Engagement (IE)	4.38	0.38	(P)	٧	In context 2, the aggregatet context score is more than the expert mean ratting. It does satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Positive.				
СЗ	Outcome &Goal (OG)	4.55	0.55	(P)	٧	In context 3, the aggregatet context score is more than the expert mean ratting. It does satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Positive.				
C4	AC + IE	3.9175	0.0825	(N)	х	In context 4, the aggregatet context score is less than the expert mean ratting. It does not satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Negative.				
C5	IE + OG	4.465	0.465	(P)	٧	In context 5, the aggregatet context score is more than the expert mean ratting. It does satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Positive.				
C6	AC +OG	4.0025	0.0025	(P)	٧	In context 6, the aggregatet context score is more than the expert mean ratting. It does satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Positive.				
C7	AC +IE +OG	4.1283333333	0.128	(P)	٧	In context 7, the aggregatet context score is more than the expert mean ratting. It does satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Positive.				

Mapping of context based user aggregated scores with Cognitive evaluation based experts (2)										
Context	Domainn	Agg. Score (Expert mean)	Fluctuation Level Under [1.50]	Fluctuation Notation	User Satisfied Or Not	Opinion				
C1	Action & Control (AC)	1.285	0.215	(N)	х	In context 1, the aggregatet context score is less than the expert mean ratting. It does not satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Negative.				
C2	Iterative &Engagement (IE)	1.69	0.19	(P)	٧	In context 2, the aggregatet context score is more than the expert mean ratting. It does satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Positive.				
C3	Outcome &Goal (OG)	1.9	0.4	(P)	٧	In context 7, the aggregatet context score is more than the expert mean ratting. It does satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Positive.				
C4	AC + IE	1.4875	0.0125	(N)	х	In context 1, the aggregatet context score is less than the expert mean ratting. It does not satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Negative.				
C5	IE + OG	1.795	0.295	(P)	٧	In context 7, the aggregatet context score is more than the expert mean ratting. It does satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Positive.				
C6	AC +OG	1.5925	0.0925	(P)	٧	In context 7, the aggregatet context score is more than the expert mean ratting. It does satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Positive.				
C7	AC +IE +OG	1.625	0.125	(P)	٧	In context 7, the aggregatet context score is more than the expert mean ratting. It does satisfied the expert mean ratting so it is considered to be Positive.				