



# The Police Polygraph Digest

## JANUARY 2017




## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- ◆ AAPP 2017 Annual Seminar Class/Event Schedule ..... Page 1
- ◆ A Discussion of Two Diagnostic Features of the Polygraph Cardiovascular Channel ..... Page 10
- ◆ AAPP Approved Schools and Training Schedule ..... Page 18
- ◆ An EDA Primer for Polygraph Examiners ..... Page 30
- ◆ The Use and Benefits of the Photoelectric Plethysmograph in Polygraph Testing ..... Page 68
- ◆ AAPP Advertising Information, Applications and Forms ..... Page 75





*Deadline for the March 2015 issue is February 1, 2017*





# Polygraph Pro Suite



**L**T Limestone  
TECHNOLOGIES INC.

Contact us today for a competitive quote!

TF: 1.866.765.9770 | T: 613.386.1583 | F: 613.767.9006 | W: [LimestoneTech.com](http://LimestoneTech.com)

Software Tutorials & Product Information | [youtube.com/limestoneTechinc](https://youtube.com/limestoneTechinc)



# AAPP 2017 SEMINAR SCHEDULE



Proudly Co-hosting with TALEPI

## Houston Marriott Westchase 2900 Briar Park, Houston, Texas

SUNDAY, April 23, 2017

TIME	Topic	Room
1:00p – 5:00p	Registration	Grand Ballroom Foyer
5:15p – 5:45p	Worship Service Barry Cushman AAPP Chaplain	Richmond 1
6:00p – 8:00p	President's Reception <b>(Must wear name tags)</b>	Grand Pavilion Foyer

MONDAY, April 24, 2017

TIME	TOPIC	INSTRUCTOR	ROOM
6:15a – 7:30a	Registration	AAPP Board of Directors	Grand Ballroom Foyer
8:00a – 9:00a	Opening Ceremony	Welcome and Special Announcements	Grand Ballroom Salon(s) A-H
9:00a-10:00a	<i>Guest/Spouse Breakfast (Registered guests only)</i>	<i>President – James Wardwell Region Director – Eileen Ryan and Board</i>	<i>Palm Court</i>
9:00a-12:00p	The Undercover Advantage: Gaining the Psychological Edge	Janice Niederhofer DEA – Retired Founder & CEO Undercover Rose	Grand Ballroom Salon(s) A-H
12:00p-1:00p	Lunch- on your own		
12:00p-1:00p	<i>Past President's Lunch</i>	<i>President - James Wardwell</i>	<i>TBA</i>
1:00p-5:00p	Facial Personality Profiling	Paul Williams Whitebadger Group	Salon A
1:00p-3:00p	IA testing of employees alleged of criminal behavior	William K. Teigen Teigen Associates	Salon B
1:00p-5:00p	Case Study Testing Domestic Victims	Charles E. Slupski American International Institute of Polygraph	Salon C
3:00p-5:00p	Ethics surrounding a Homicide Case Study	William K. Teigen Teigen Associates	Salon B
1:00p-5:00p	QC One on One Confidential Chart Review	Elmer Criswell AAPP QC Director	Executive Boardroom
2:00p-4:00p	<i>Audit Committee Meeting</i>	<i>Treasurer Gordon Moore, NOM</i>	<i>Rosegarden</i>
5:00p-6:00p	Meet Your Regional Director	Regional Directors I - V	Region 1 Richmond 1 Region II - Richmond 2 Region III - Richmond 3 Region IV - Briarpark 1 Region V - Briarpark 2



# AAPP 2017 SEMINAR SCHEDULE



**TUESDAY, April 25, 2017**

TIME	TOPIC	INSTRUCTOR	ROOM
8:00a-12:00p	QC One on One Confidential Chart Review Bring your own charts	Elmer Criswell AAPP QC Director	Executive Boardroom
8:00a-12:00p	Current Challenges in Public Safety Hiring	Dr. Adam Park Texas Depart. Of Public Safety	Salon A
8:00a-12:00p	You Want Me to ask What?, Test Question Construction; Avoiding the Pitfalls, Ethics for Polygraph Examiners	Steve Duncan Former Deputy Director of Argenbright International Institute of Polygraph	Salon B
8:00a-12:00p	Countermeasures	Dale E. Austin Customs and Border Protection	Salon C
12:00p-1:00p	<i>Lunch- on your own</i>		
12:00p-1:30p	<i>State &amp; National Leadership Luncheon</i>	<i>VP Ron Hillye and AAPP Board (Only one Person per Association and must pre-register to attend)</i>	<i>Richmond 1</i>
<i>1:30pm-4:00pm</i>	<i>Board Elections Vetting Interviews</i>	<i>Election Committee/Past Presidents</i>	<i>Westchase 2</i>
1:00p-5:00p	QC One on One Confidential Chart Review Bring your own charts	Elmer Criswell AAPP QC Director	Executive Boardroom
1:00p-3:00p	Federal ZCT	Rusty Manly National Center for Credibility Assessment	Salon A
1:00p-5:00p	Pre/Post Test Interview & Interrogation “A Theoretical Application- Combating False Confessions”	Dr. Thomas L. Trice Triken Consulting, Polygraph and Private Investigations	Salon B
1:00p-4:00p	AFMGQT	Warren Ferland Ferland Polygraph Services	Salon C
3:00p-5:00p	LEPET	Rusty Manly NCCA	Salon A
4:00p-5:00p	Homicide Case Study	Warren Ferland Ferland Polygraph Services	Salon C
5:30p- 9:00p	<i>40th Anniversary Texas Crawfish and Shrimp Boil</i>	<i>Registered Seminar Attendees and Registered Guests (Must wear name tags)</i>	<i>Palm Court Grand Pavilion Ballroom</i>

**WEDNESDAY, April 26, 2017**

TIME	TOPIC	INSTRUCTOR	ROOM
8:00a-12:00p	AAPP Annual Business Meeting	General Membership <i>(Must wear name tags)</i>	Grand Ballroom Salon(s) A-H



# AAPP 2017 SEMINAR SCHEDULE



8:00a-12:00p	TALEPI Business Meeting	President Robert Young	Westchase 1
8:00a-10:00a	Using Polygraph Math Channels to Detect Countermeasures and Improving Scorableity of Charts	Bruce White Axciton	Westchase 3
10:00a-12:00p	Improved Intelligence Agency Understanding of Polygraph Accuracy Versus Extreme Base Rates and New Types of Polygraph Methods For Covert Intelligence Gathering	Bruce White Axciton	Westchase 3
12:00p-1:00p	<i>Lunch- On your own</i>		
1:00p-5:00p	Understanding the Sexual Deviant - PSCOT Training	Dan Sosnowski SOS Polygraph Services	Salon A
1:00p-5:00p	Lafayette Instrument Company	Steve and Jennifer Rider	Grand Pavilion
1:00p-5:00p	Stoelting Company	TBA	Grand Pavilion Foyer
1:00p-5:00p	Axciton	Bruce White	Westchase 3
1:00p-5:00p	Limestone Technologies	James Brown David Bethune	Richmond 2
2:00p-4:00p	QC One on One Confidential Chart Review Bring your own charts	Elmer Criswell AAPP QC Director	Executive Boardroom
<b>4:00p-5:00p</b>	<b><i>School Director's Meeting</i></b>	<b><i>VP Ron Hilley and Secretary Bob Heard</i></b>	<b><i>Rosegarden</i></b>

THURSDAY, April 27, 2017

TIME	TOPIC	INSTRUCTOR	ROOM
8:00a-12:00p	QC One on One Confidential Chart Review Bring your own charts	Elmer Criswell AAPP QC Director	Executive Boardroom
8:00a-12:00p	Understanding the Sexual Deviant – PSCOT Training	Dan Sosnowski SOS Polygraph Services	Salon A
8:00a-12:00p	Tactical Polygraph <b>TDLR Credit</b>	Matt Mull and Dennis Westerman Texas DPS	Salon B
8:00a-10:00a	Missing Person/Homicide Case Study	Aaron Holladay and Bill Walsh	Salon C
10:00a-12:00p	Utility of Relevant/Irrelevant Format in Screening Polygraphs	Aaron Holladay and Bill Walsh	Salon C
<i>12:00p-1:00p</i>	<i>Lunch-On your own</i>		
1:00p-5:00p	QC One on One Confidential Chart Review Bring your own charts	Elmer Criswell AAPP QC Director	Executive Boardroom



# AAPP 2017 SEMINAR SCHEDULE



1:00p-5:00p	Effects of hypnoses, subliminal influences and bio-feedback on polygraph & countermeasures to them	Dr. Antonio V. Suarez-Barrio AAPP Founding Father TALEPI Founding Father (Member #1)	Salon A
1:00p-3:00p	Tactical Polygraph <b>TDLR Credit (Cont.)</b>	Matt Mull and Dennis Westerman Texas DPS	Salon B
3:00p-5:00p	Directed Lie Comparison Question Test	Aaron Holladay and Bill Walsh	Salon C
5:30p-6:00p	Cocktail Hour	General Membership <b>(Must wear name tags)</b>	Grand Pavilion Foyer
6:00p-8:00p	Annual Banquet Awards <b>(Must wear nametags)</b>	Registered Seminar Attendees and Registered Guests	Grand Pavilion

FRIDAY, April 28, 2017

TIME	TOPIC	INSTRUCTOR	ROOM
8:00a-12:00p	QC One on One Confidential Chart Review Bring your own charts	Elmer Criswell AAPP QC Director	Executive Boardroom
8:00a-12:00p	Interviewing using Route Maps	Guillermo "Gil" Witte San Diego PD	Salon A
8:00a – 12:00p	Spanish Law Enforcement Criminal Testing	Michelle L. Coley Polygraph Manager <b>Bob Heard (AAPP Board)</b> San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department San Bernardino, CA	Salon C
8:00a-10:00a	Life After Retirement (Starting a Private Business)	Deanne N. Theodore Theodore Polygraph Service	Salon B
10:00a-12:00p	Post-Conviction Sex Offender Polygraph Training	Deanne N. Theodore Theodore Polygraph Service	Salon B
12:00p-1:00p	Lunch – on your own		
1:00p-4:45p	Post-Conviction Sex Offender Polygraph Training	Deanne N. Theodore Theodore Polygraph Service	Salon B
1:00p-4:45p	Advances in Investigations in Columbia	Manuel Novoa Director Latin American Polygraph Institute	Salon A
4:45p-5:00p	Closing Ceremonies	AAPP Board of Directors	Largest Classroom

## Social Hour: Rosegarden Room Must wear Name Tags

*NOTE: Although seldom done, the AAPP reserves the right to change class times, topics and speakers without advanced notice.*



# THE **HIGHEST** QUALITY BASIC AND ADVANCED **POLYGRAPH TRAINING**

Accredited by the APA and recognized by AAPP, our **Basic, Advanced, and PCSOT** courses ensure an excellent understanding of not only polygraph systems but also the methodology and science behind their usage.

**Visit our website for more information on our many courses.**

**Ben Blalock, Director**  
Located in Cape Coral, FL

[info@peakcatc.com](mailto:info@peakcatc.com)  
[www.peakcatc.com](http://www.peakcatc.com)

# **American Association of Police Polygraphists**

## **40th Anniversary**

### **Annual Polygraph Seminar**

### **April 23 - April 28, 2017**



**Houston Marriott Westchase**  
**2900 Briarpark Drive**  
**Houston, Texas 77042**

# **Insurance is about Peace of Mind**

Complete Equity Markets, Inc. has been Insuring polygraph examiners for over 25 years. We are backed by an insurance company that's been in business for over 300 years and has defended a suit against a polygraph examiner all the way to the State Supreme Court.

## **Limits up to 1M/3M**

- \* Duty to Defend
- \* Polygraphists Misconduct Endorsement available (includes coverage for Sexual Abuse and Molestation)
- \* Disciplinary Proceedings Coverage included
- \* Personal Injury included
- \* Discovery Demand Defense Coverage included
- \* Up to 30% in discounts (video, good client, certification)
- \* Private Investigation Coverage included (except surveillance) if less than 50% of your time and gross receipts from Private Investigation work under \$50,000.
- \* No deductible
- \* Recently lowered rates in CA, NY, NJ, HI, FL, TX & AK
- \* Covers polygraph exams done as a police officer
- \* Optional Data Security Breach Governmental Proceedings Coverage Available

**Complete Equity Markets, Inc. in CA dba**

**Complete Equity Markets Insurance Agency, Inc. CASL 0D44077**

# AAPP SCHOLARSHIP!



**Do you have a son, daughter, niece, nephew, wife or  
husband that is attending college?**


**They may be eligible to receive one of the AAPP  
Scholarships, BUT  
they have to apply.**

**You can get the application at the back of this digest  
or on-line at [www.policepolygraph.org](http://www.policepolygraph.org)**

**All applications must be submitted by  
February 15th to be eligible!!!**

# **MARSTON POLYGRAPH ACADEMY**

"Training Today's Examiners for Tomorrow's Challenges"



*Accredited by The American Polygraph Association*

*Recognized by The American Association of Police Polygraphists*

*Recognized by the National Polygraph Association*

*Approved by the California Bureau for Private Postsecondary & Vocational Education*

**Thomas M. Kelly, MA – Director**  
**Charles Speagle-Co-Director**

## **2017 CLASS & TRAINING DATES**

Basic Polygraph Instruction (400 hours)

January 9, 2017 to March 17, 2017 - San Bernardino, California

Basic Polygraph Instruction (400 hours)

April 10, 2017 to June 16, 2017 - San Bernardino, California

Basic Polygraph Instruction (400 hours)

July 10, 2017 to September 15, 2017 - San Bernardino, California

Basic Polygraph Instruction (400 hours)

October 2, 2017 to December 8, 2017 - San Bernardino, California

- Please contact school for PCSOT class dates.

**390 Orange Show Lane - San Bernardino, California 92408**

**Call Toll Free - (877) 627-2223**

**[www.marstonpolygraphacademy.com](http://www.marstonpolygraphacademy.com)**

*See us on facebook at key words Marston Polygraph Academy*

# A Discussion of Two Diagnostic Features of the Polygraph Cardiovascular Channel

Mark D. Handler, L.A. Geddes and Joel M. Reicherter

## ABSTRACT

The cardiovascular readings observed during a psychophysiological detection of deception examination change following emotion evoking questions. The changes can be observed in the baseline and tracing amplitude waveform recordings. The authors explored the cause of these changes and the physiological phenomena being recorded. The amplitude changes that accompany a change in arterial blood pressure were shown to be related to the relationship between cuff pressure and blood pressure measured at the monitoring site. Changes in tracing baseline were shown to accompany a change in blood pressure monitored at the cuff site.

The purpose of this paper is to propose possible explanations for what is actually being displayed on the cardiograph tracing. This paper discusses the duplication of earlier works and the use of modern polygraph instruments to explore physiological changes known to be associated with Sympathetic Nervous System (SNS) arousal. Additionally, this research project may be considered an educational paper intended to assist the reader in understanding the basic principles underlying cardiovascular recordings monitored on the cardiograph tracing during a polygraph examination.

## INTRODUCTION

Several publications have listed the various features that are considered to be diagnostic when evaluating polygraph tracings. The Department of Defense Polygraph Institute (DoDPI) teaches the preferred and standardized evaluation system used throughout the Federal government (DoDPI, 2006). The most recent DoDPI Test Data Analysis chapter lists baseline arousal as the primary feature and duration of that arousal as a secondary feature. Other publications (Abrams, 1989; Matte, 1998; Reid and Inbau, 1977; Shurany and Ravid, 2005) have listed the various features considered to be diagnostic when evaluating polygraph tracings which include both baseline arousal and amplitude changes.

The cardiovascular circulation is a closed system consisting of the heart muscle, arteries, capillaries, and veins. One of the primary purposes of the cardiovascular system is to transport nutrients and oxygen to body tissues and remove metabolic wastes and carbon dioxide from the body tissues.

In polygraphy, we are primarily concerned with observing changes that occur in the heart muscle and blood vessels. This is generally accomplished through continuous measurements obtained with a partially inflated blood-pressure cuff. Emotion-evoking questions have been shown to produce a change in the cardiograph tracing (Geddes and Newberg, 1977). These changes include baseline rise and sometimes a visually discernable change in pulse-amplitude (Geddes and Newberg, 1977). This paper will focus on these two changes in the cardiograph tracings and discuss their origin and diagnostic significance. Methods described by Geddes (1970) were used to replicate previous experiments using a modern computerized polygraph instrument.

The authors suggest that pulse amplitude and baseline changes considered diagnostic are primarily due to changes in blood pressure versus blood volume. The paper will discuss experimental methods used to test this hypothesis.

## BACKGROUND

One of the earliest documented applications of the blood-pressure cuff used to detect deception was utilized by Dr. William Marston in 1915. To detect deception, Dr. Marston used a technique of discontinuous blood-pressure measurement to obtain systolic blood pressure readings during questioning of a subject. Dr. Marston would infer guilt or innocence based on these repeated measurements taken over a short period of time. It was Dr. Marston's discontinuous measure of blood pressure that led to the *Frye Decision*. In 1923, the U.S. District Court of Washington, DC concluded the discontinuous method of measuring systolic blood pressure (as a "unigraph" recording vs. the contemporary polygraph) lacked general acceptance by the scientific community. In 1921, John Larson assembled the first portable instrument capable of producing a continuous method of recording relative blood-pressure changes. Modern polygraphs continuously monitor cardiovascular activity by maintaining an inflated cardiovascular cuff during the course of chart recording. One of the diagnostic features routinely taught in polygraph schools is baseline arousal. Baseline arousal is a rise in diastolic tracings from a pre-stimulus level.

Emotion-evoking questions are known to cause a baseline arousal and sometimes a change in pulse amplitude. The change in pulse amplitude can be either an increase or decrease in the tracing. It is somewhat of a paradox that most often a decrease in amplitude accompanies a baseline rise. Typically, baseline changes in the cardiograph tracing may occur during a phasic or tonic change.

Both Matte (1996) and DoDPI (Anatomy and Physiology) have published that baseline changes are manifested by changes in blood volume at the site where it is being recorded. Matte states the cardio cuff is measuring net volumetric changes in the arteries, arterioles, capillaries, venules and veins. Matte and DoDPI have published the amplitude of the cardiograph tracing represents Mean Arterial Blood Pressure.

The polygraph setting is a rather unusual circumstance. A subject is presented a threatening stimulus question while instructed not to move. In a typical physically threatening scenario, the well known "fight, flight or freeze" response would induce acute cardiovascular activity. Both blood pressure base line and cardiac cycle pulse amplitude would be expected to rise and eventually plateau when optimal blood flow delivery to the tissues is reached.

During a polygraph examination, a different waveform profile may be observed. Following the onset of the question stimulus we might expect a rise in blood pressure baseline and pulse amplitude similar to a waveform that would occur during a physically threatening stimulus. However, in a polygraph milieu, often, a decline in heart rate and pulse amplitude may accompany an undulating blood pressure waveform.

The initial cardiovascular response observed after the onset of the stimulus question mimics the physically threatening stimulus response. The subsequent polygraph waveform profile however, reflects a conflict between the CNS and the vegetative output. In the physically threatening milieu, the cognitive and emotionally driven limbic system divisions of the CNS will marshal the body systems to react. Specifically, the cardiovascular system is preparing to deliver more blood to the skeletal muscles for the "fight or flight" response.

In the polygraph setting, the skeletal muscles are not contracting and therefore have no need for the increased blood flow. The peripheral coronary and aortic sinus and other sense response indicators signal the brain there is no real need for the increase in blood pressure and cardiac ejection volume

(pulse amplitude). Consequently, peripheral vascular receptors will signal the CNS to reduce the increased blood flow. The brain, unconvinced, will attempt to send signals to the cardiovascular system to increase blood flow only to receive the “no need” signal response from the peripheral senses. This bantering between the CNS and the somatic physiologic needs will occur for several rounds reflected in the serpentine cardiovascular waveform profile.

A complete physiologic explanation of this dichotomy of the cardiovascular waveforms observed during a polygraph examination, vis-à-vis, what would be observed during a typical “fight or flight” response exceeds the mission of this paper. Instead we focused on the changes observed in pulse amplitude and tracing baseline.


## PULSE AMPLITUDE CHANGES

Geddes and Newberg (1977) conducted research that addressed pulse-amplitude change. These researchers demonstrated how oscillation amplitude is related to arterial blood pressure. They and other investigators have shown when cuff pressure exceeds systolic blood pressure, the brachial artery collapses. Blood travels to the upper edge of the cuff and stops. Some small oscillations are transmitted to the upper edge of the cuff as the blood is forced against the occluded portion of the brachial artery. As the cuff pressure was slowly reduced, less of the brachial artery is occluded until cuff pressure equaled systolic pressure. When cuff pressure was reduced below systolic pressure, pulse amplitude tracings could be observed in the recordings. The amplitude of the pulse tracings increased as the cuff pressure continued to decline. As the counter-pressure against the tissue continues to fall, more blood passes through the artery and more of the artery is open during the cardiac cycle.

As cuff pressure on the overlying tissue is further reduced the oscillations begin to decrease because the artery wall is less flexible. As the cuff pressure continues to fall, these oscillations decrease until cuff pressure falls to zero. (See Figures 1 and 2)


What is important to note is that there is a cuff pressure-arterial pressure relationship where amplitude oscillations are maximized. As these two pressures move further away from one another the pulse amplitude will decrease.

**Figure 1-** Characteristics of the oscillometric method of measuring indirect arterial blood pressure. The shaded area on the pulses in Figure 1 shows the relative portion of the brachial artery that is open. When the cuff pressure exceeds systolic pressure, the underlying artery is occluded and no blood will flow through the brachial artery. Small oscillations are caused when the blood is pulsating against the partially closed artery and commun-



nicated to the cuff. As cuff pressure falls, more blood is allowed to pass through the artery and oscillations increase to a maximum value and then begin to fall. It has been found that cuff pressure for the point of maximum oscillations is approximately equal to mean arterial pressure (Mean Arterial pressure is approximately Diastolic Pressure plus 1/3 of Pulse Pressure for the brachial artery). This graph was previously published in *Polygraph* and is reprinted here with the permission of the Geddes and the APA

Figure 2



**Figure 2-** A typical record obtained from a human subject using a 12-cm cuff.

Cuff pressure and amplified cuff oscillations are presented. This graph was previously published in *Polygraph* and is reprinted here with the permission of the Geddes and the APA

To understand how the cardiovascular channel of the polygraph works, it is necessary to understand the principle that underlies operation of the oscillometric method of measuring arterial pressure noninvasively. Figure 2 is a record of decreasing cuff pressure and the amplified cuff-pressure oscillations. Note their increase, reaching a maximum and decreasing as cuff pressure continues to decrease. The cuff pressure for maximum-amplitude oscillations was shown to correspond to mean aortic pressure by Posey, Geddes, Williams and Moore (1969).

The foregoing predicts that if cuff pressure is set to a value below the cuff pressure for maximum oscillations, the amplitude of the cuff-pressure oscillations will decrease if the arterial pressure increases. Conversely, if the cuff pressure is set at a pressure above the cuff pressure for maximum oscillation amplitude, a rise in arterial pressure will increase the oscillation amplitude. Since most modern polygraph examiners operate with cuff pressure in the 60 – 80 mm of Hg range, the cuff pressure is below the point of maximum oscillations or below mean arterial blood pressure.

Geddes and Newberg (1977) tested and found support for this hypothesis by applying a stimulus to raise the arterial pressure of a subject and measuring amplitude changes at varying arterial pressures. These investigators first determined the cuff pressure for maximum oscillations by using the method shown above in Figure 2. Once maximum oscillation pressure was determined, the investigators could determine cuff pressures to use to test the hypothesis. In the case demonstrated in


Figure 3, the investigators determined the maximum oscillation blood pressure for this individual to be about 95-100mmHg.

The investigators had the subject use a breath holding technique to cause a rise in arterial pressure. The investigators measured cuff pressure and oscillations with a two-channel graphic recorder.

Additionally they attached a conventional polygraph instrument via a T-tube to the blood pressure cuff to ensure the same results would be displayed on a polygraph. It should be noted that a T-splitter may confound the measurements of both devices since their mechanisms move according to pressure waves, which affects the mechanism in the other device.

Figure 3

CUFF PRESSURE OSCILLATIONS WITH BREATHHOLDING  
AT DIFFERENT CUFF PRESSURES



**Figure 3** The results of the subject are shown in figure 3. When cuff pressure was initially set at 60-70 mmHg the breath holding caused a barely perceptible decrease in amplitude. As cuff pressure approached 80-90 mmHg the reduction in amplitude became clearly discernable by the human eye. At about 100 mmHg, the breath holding did not alter the tracing amplitude. As cuff pressure was increased, breath holding resulted in marked amplitude increases. The investigators reported all subjects tested displayed similar results. This graph was previously published in *Polygraph* and is reprinted here with the permission of the Geddes and the APA.

The first author replicated a portion of the above study using a modern polygraph instrument. The first author attached the blood pressure cuff to the right upper arms of two healthy adult male subjects and recorded the cardiograph tracing while the subjects held their breath. For the first trial, the cuff pressure was set below maximum oscillation pressure. For the second trial, the cuff pressure was set above maximum oscillation pressure. Figures 4 and 5 display sections of typical charts obtained during this exercise. The first author repeated the experiment several times with similar results for both subjects.

Figure 4




Figure 4-This tracing was obtained using a Lafayette Instrument computerized polygraph (LX-4000). With the cuff pressure set at 70 mmHg, breath holding caused a rise in baseline and a decrease in amplitude.

Figure 5




Figure 5-This tracing was obtained using a Lafayette Instrument computerized polygraph (LX-4000). With the cuff pressure set at 113 mmHg, breath holding caused a slight rise in baseline and an increase in amplitude. The baseline and amplitude increased together as anticipated. From the above described experiment, it appears that pulse amplitude is a function of the relationship of arterial pressure to cuff pressure.

## **BASELINE CHANGES**

Cardiograph baseline changes typically present as either a tonic (long term) rise or a phasic (short term) rise and fall. Phasic changes are generally associated with SNS arousal. They rise quickly and generally fall to the pre-stimulus level or a new level. As mentioned earlier, some publications report that baseline arousals reflect changes in blood volume (Matte, 1996 and DoDPI Anatomy and Physiology). Polygraph investigators have reported in their publications, the waveforms monitored and recorded are a function of volumetric changes in arteries (Matte, 1996).

A counter-pressure in excess of 40 mmHg can cause the collapse of the underlying tissue capillary beds (Marieb, 1999). Since polygraph examiners typically inflate the cuff to a level above 40 mmHg, the capillary beds below the cuff may be compressed and no swelling could occur below the cuff due to an increase in blood volume. This means that the rise in cardiograph baseline may be due to something other than blood volume changes in the tissue below the monitoring site. Since the capillary beds are compressed under the cuff during a polygraph examination, this would lead one to question how much baseline arousal in the cardiograph tracing results primarily from increased blood volume changes.


If the cuff pressure is set below maximum oscillation pressure, as typically done in modern polygraphy, a rise in arterial pressure will result in a baseline rise and a decrease in pulse amplitude (Geddes and Newberg, 1977).

## **THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

To test how changes in arterial pressure affect polygraph tracing amplitude and baseline, it is necessary to manipulate the *measured* arterial pressure of a subject while recording the cardiograph channel on a polygraph instrument without actually changing the subject's blood pressure. This is done so that we have presumably not altered any volume change at the monitoring point. If we can falsely change the measured relative blood pressure without causing any volume change, we can isolate the blood pressure effect on the cardiograph tracing. We were able to conduct a simple experiment to show the effect a change in measured relative blood pressure has on our two tracings of concern.

Geddes (1970) has demonstrated a simple method to modify the blood pressure recorded at the cuff. This is done by simply manipulating the level of the cuffed arm of the subject by raising it above the level of the subject's heart. This is best accomplished by having someone grasp the subjects arm and raise and lower the arm while monitoring the cardiograph channel. *It is important to ensure the subject does not raise or lower their own arm.* If the subject moves their own arm, the compression of their muscle against the brachial artery causes a rise in baseline. See the below Figure 6 for an explanation of this procedure.

Figure 6



**Figure 6** The importance of cuff location. In situation **a**, blood pressure is measured at the heart level. In situation **b**, the first author demonstrates a correct way to support the subjects arm during height manipulation. When blood pressure is measured with the arm elevated, and is reduced by 22.4 mmHg per foot of vertical distance from the heart.

## METHODS

### Participants

The participants were three healthy adult males age 35, 42 and 44. None reported any significant health problems and none were currently taking any prescription medications.

### Apparatus

For the arm-cuff testing, a standard 12-cm blood-pressure cuff was wrapped around the upper arm of three healthy adult male subjects while seated in a plastic portable polygraph chair. For the finger-cuff trials, a "finger-cuff" sold by the Lafayette Instrument Company. A photo-electric plethysmograph manufactured by the Lafayette Instrument Company. A Lafayette Instrument Company computerized polygraph instrument (LX-4000) was used to record the cardiograph channel and the plethysmograph channel.


### Procedure

The arm-cuff method consisted of comfortably seating the subject in the polygraph chair in a quiet polygraph suite and wrapping the cuff around the upper arm. The chart recording function was started and the cuff inflated. After the cuff was inflated, the cuff was "massaged" to equalize pressure

and then the cuff pressure was adjusted again to the target pressure. The subject was instructed not to attempt to raise or lower their arm. They were told to allow the assistant to move their arm for the test. Once the tracing was stabilized, an assistant slowly raised the subject's right arm from the chair rest to above the subject's head as shown in figure 6. The assistant grasped the subject's wrist with one hand and placed their other hand under the subject's elbow. While the assistant watched the polygraph chart, they slowly raised or lowered the subjects arm while maintaining support under the elbow and using the wrist to lift or lower the subject's arm.

In the first trial, the target cuff pressures were set at approximately 60 - 70 mmHg. In the second trial, the target pressure was approximately 110-115 mmHg.

Figure 7




X Begin raising arm.

XX Begin lowering arm.

**Figure 7** An example of the cardiograph tracing obtained by manipulating the subjects arm with the cuff pressure set below maximum pulse pressure. The X marks the point at which the assistant began raising the subject's arm. The XX marks the point where the assistant began lowering the subject's arm.

Figure 8



X Begin lowering arm.

XX Begin raising arm.

**Figure 8** An example of the cardiograph tracing obtained by manipulating the subjects arm with the

cuff pressure set above maximum pulse pressure. The X marks the point at which the assistant began lowering the subject's arm. The XX marks the point where the assistant began raising the subject's arm.

Figure 9





Figure 10



The finger-cuff method consisted of comfortably seating the subject in the polygraph chair in a quiet polygraph suite and wrapping the cuff around the left thumb. The plethysmograph component was attached to the left middle finger. The cuff was inflated and the chart recording function started. After the cuff was inflated, the cuff was “massaged” to equalize pressure and then the cuff pressure was adjusted again to the target pressure. The subject was instructed not to attempt to raise or lower their arm. They were told to allow the assistant to move their arm for the test. Once the tracing was stabilized, an assistant slowly raised the subject’s left arm from the chair rest to above the subject’s heart using the assisted method described earlier.

## RESULTS

Figure 7 displays the typical results of a subject with a cuff pressure set below arterial pressure. In this trial the experiment began with the subject’s arm at heart level. The assistant raised and then lowered the subject’s arm. Raising the subject’s arm caused a lowering of the blood pressure monitored at the cuff. The tracing amplitude increases and the baseline decreases as the monitored blood pressure falsely lowers. Lowering the subject’s arm causes a rise in blood pressure. The pulse amplitude decreases as the baseline increases. This tracing is typical for all subjects tested at this lower target pressure.

Figure 8 displays the typical results of a subject with cuff pressure set above mean arterial pressure. In this trial the experiment began with the subject’s arm held above the head. The assistant lowered the subject’s arm which caused a rise in blood pressure. The tracing baseline increased as the pulse amplitude increased. The assistant then slowly raised the subject’s arm which caused the blood pressure to fall. The tracing baseline decreased and the amplitude decreased. This tracing is typical for all subjects tested at this higher target pressure.

Figure 9 displays the typical results of a subject with a cuff pressure set below arterial pressure. In this trial the experiment began with the subject’s arm at heart level. The assistant raised and then lowered the subject’s arm. Raising the subject’s arm caused a lowering of the blood pressure monitored at the cuff. The cardio tracing baseline decreases as the monitored blood pressure falsely lowers. Lowering the subject’s arm causes a rise in blood pressure and the baseline to increase. Additionally, note the increase in blood pulse amplitude as the blood pressure decreases and the decrease in blood pulse amplitude as the blood pressure increases. This tracing is typical for all subjects tested at this lower target pressure.

This simple experiment demonstrates the changes observed in the cardiograph tracings when measured blood pressure is changed without SNS arousal. By raising and lowering the subject’s arm, we manipulated the blood pressure recorded at the cuff site without attempting to raise the subject’s arterial pressure. Since we presumably did not alter the subject’s arterial pressure, we did not cause any swelling of the underlying tissue.

## DISCUSSION

As professionals we should strive to learn as much as we can about what we actually record. With the many different disciplines studying the human body and human behavior, we owe it to our profession to seek guidance from experts in these different fields of study. Many psychophysiology and anatomy researchers have conducted studies that can be applied to polygraph. If these experts can help shed more light in an area of polygraph, we should take advantage of their work. Inter-discipline cooperation will assist us to gain and maintain the respect of our fellow professionals.

The physiological source of the cardiograph tracing has been a point of contention. Prior studies,

including the one done by Podlesney and Kircher (1999) provided data from which inferences may be drawn. The results of the experiments discussed in this paper also provide a possible physiological basis for the changes observed in the cardiograph tracing.

## LIMITATIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Since actual arterial blood pressure was not monitored during these experiments, we cannot say with certainty the source of baseline arousal is strictly due to arterial blood pressure change. During the Finapres experiments conducted by Podlesney and Kircher (1999) they too did not directly measure arterial blood pressure. Also we cannot say with absolute certainty we did not raise the subject's blood pressure during the arm raising experiment.

An inference can be drawn that the cardiograph changes are manifested from arterial pressure changes based on the tracings obtained via the Finapres and the experiments conducted in this paper. It has been demonstrated that pulse amplitude is a function of the relationship between arterial pressure and cuff pressure. The changes that occur in pulse amplitude size are dependent on a number of factors that include systolic and diastolic changes throughout the examination and the cuff pressure. This can be confounded by the starting points of each in relation to one another. Since cuff pressure remains constant throughout the chart collection phase, the primary variable is changes in arterial pressure.

Since mean arterial pressure is a function of systolic and diastolic pressures then it could be inferred that they are the primary cause for change in pulse-amplitude. Increases in systolic and diastolic pressures caused by SNS arousal will result in a rise in arterial pressure. As shown earlier this will result in a mean arterial pressure that is further from cuff pressure and the cause of a decrease in pulse amplitude.

It would seem impossible to have a baseline arousal without a decrease in pulse-amplitude, but this is not uncommon in polygraph. One possible explanation is the lowered cuff pressure in modern polygraph recordings. Computerized polygraph allows us to operate with lower cuff pressures and accommodate through the use of amplification.

It is suggested that polygraph instrument manufacturers collaborate with other medical instrument manufacturers to provide alternative instrumentation capable of measuring mean arterial blood pressure dynamics without the use of a cardio cuff. The current cuff used to obtain data for the cardiograph channel, partially occludes circulation distal to the applied location. This occlusion has been the source of discomfort to polygraph examinees and may limit the number of questions asked during a polygraph chart. An alternative method of recording arterial pressure that does not cause discomfort may allow examiners to ask more questions during a single polygraph chart.

The Finapres may provide an attractive alternative to cardiovascular recordings. During Probable Lie Testing the validity coefficients between the Finapres and cardiograph were statistically indistinguishable (Kircher, Packard, Bell & Bernhardt, 2001). Unfortunately the Finapres may be cost-prohibitive to most end users of polygraph.

Routine inclusion of blood volume or pulse volume measurements needs to be explored as well. Polygraph examiners should contact their instrument manufacturer regarding photoplethysmograph components. Since the cardio cuff acts as a tourniquet, over the duration of a polygraph recording, any digital blood volume recording must be done on a non-restricted arm.

## REFERENCES

- Abrams, S. (1989). *The complete polygraph handbook*. Lexington, MA, Lexington Books
- Department of Defense Polygraph Institute (2006). *Numerical Evaluation System Diagnostic Features*. Ft. Jackson, SC, Department of Defense Polygraph Institute
- Department of Defense Polygraph Institute. (1994). *Anatomy and Physiology for the Forensic Psychophysiologist*. Ft. McClellan, AL, Department of Defense Polygraph Institute
- Geddes, L. A. (1970). *The direct and indirect measurement of blood pressure*. Chicago, Year Book Publishers
- Geddes, L.A. & Newberg, D.C. (1977). Cuff pressure oscillations in the measurement of relative blood pressure. *Polygraph*, 7 (2), 113-122
- Kircher, J.C., Packard,T., Bell, B. G., Bernhardt, P.C., (2001) *Effects of Prior Demonstrations of Polygraph Accuracy on Outcomes of Probable-Lie and Directed-Lie Polygraph Tests* (Grant No. DoD-PI97-P-0016). Final report to the U.S. Department of Defense. Salt Lake City: University of Utah, Department of Educational Psychology.
- Marieb, E.N. (1999). *Human Anatomy & Physiology*. Old Tappan, NJ, Benjamin Cummings Publishers
- Matte, J.A. (1996). *Forensic Psychophysiology, using the polygraph*. New York, J.A.M. Publications
- Podlesney, J. A. & Kircher, J. C. (1999). The Finapres (Volume Clamp) Recording Method in Psychophysiological Detection of Deception Examinations. *Forensic Science Communications*, October 1999, Volume 1 Number 3.
- Posey, J.A., Geddes, L.A., Williams, H., & Moore, A.G. (1969). The Meaning of the Point of Maximum Oscillations in Cuff Pressure in the Indirect Measurement of Blood Pressure. Part 1, *Cardiovascular Research Center Bulletin*
- Reid, J.E. & Inbau, F.E. (1977). *Truth and deception: The polygraph "lie detector" technique*. Baltimore, MD, Williams and Wilkins
- Shurany, T. & Ravid, I. (2005). *Evaluation of polygraph charts*. T.I. Publications
- Acknowledgements**
- The authors are grateful to Mr. Don Krapohl, Dr. Tim Weber and Dr. Robert F. Simons for their thoughtful reviews and comments to an earlier draft of this paper and to Sgt. Jerry Thomas for his physiological contributions to the chart productions and photographic contribution to the demonstration in figure 6. The views expressed in this article are solely those of the authors, and do not necessarily represent those of the Purdue University, SUNY, the Montgomery County Texas Sheriff's Office, or the APA. Questions and comments are welcome at polygraphmark@sbcglobal.net.

## About the authors

Mr. Handler is a police polygraph examiner with the Montgomery County, Texas Sheriff's Office. He is an Associate Member of the American Polygraph Association and the American Association of Police Polygraphists.

Dr. L. A. Geddes is a Showalter Distinguished Professor Emeritus of Bioengineering at Purdue University. He earned his Ph.D. in Physiology from Baylor University College of Medicine, Houston, Texas in 1959.

Joel M. Reicherter is a Professor Emeritus, SUNY, Farmingdale. He is presently an adjunct Professor of Anatomy and Physiology, Suffolk County Community College and adjunct Instructor of Physiology at DODPI. He is a practicing polygraph examiner and is a member of the American Polygraph Association and the Human Anatomy and Physiology Society.

*This article was originally published by the American Polygraph Association, and is reprinted here with authorization. Thank you to the American Polygraph Association and the authors for granting the American Association of Police Polygraphist permission to reprint this article*

## POLYGRAPH COURSE TUITION \$4995 !

### 2017 Schedule

#### Basic Examiner

- ♦ Mar 27 - June 2
- ♦ Sept - Nov (TBA)

#### Validated Interview

- ♦ Feb & April 2017
- ♦ Call to host a course

#### JPCOT/PCSOT

- ♦ June 5-9 (San Antonio)
- ♦ Nov (San Antonio)
- ♦ Dec (Las Vegas)

#### TDLR CE Course

- ♦ Contact us for scheduling



- Fully accredited by the American Polygraph Association and approved by the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation and the American Association of Police Polygraphists. \*
- Demonstrated past performance in polygraph training with more than 100 years of combined field experience.
- Modern & comfortable classroom with audio/video monitored practice labs.
- Forty hours of training in our **Validated Interview Technique** included during the basic course.
- Low ratio of students to instructors creates personalized instruction.
- Comfortable and affordable hotels nearby.
- Our Post Conviction Sex Offender Training follows each Basic Course.
- Quality Control support for students following training.

*The successful completion of an APA accredited polygraph program is only one of the necessary prerequisites for membership in the American Polygraph Association or other organizations.*

**Register on line at: [www.thepolygraphinstitute.com](http://www.thepolygraphinstitute.com)**

We accept Visa, MasterCard, Discover and business checks.  
22610 US Hwy 281 N, Suite 206, San Antonio TX 78258  
(210) 377-0200 info@thepolygraphinstitute.com

# AAPP 40th Anniversary

40th Annual Seminar

April 23 - 28, 2017


Houston Marriott Westchase

2900 Briarpark Drive

Houston, Texas 77042

Register NOW!

[www.americanassociationofpolicepolygraphists.org](http://www.americanassociationofpolicepolygraphists.org)



# ACADEMY OF POLYGRAPH SCIENCE

Find us on facebook



## SIMPLIFYING POLYGRAPH

For Law Enforcement, Government & Private Examiners

[www.apsPolygraphSchool.com](http://www.apsPolygraphSchool.com)

**SIGN UP  
NOW!**



Contact Us  
for More  
Information  
& Registration!

### Basic Forensic Examiner's Course

January 16–March 24, 2017

May 1–July 2, 2017 (location TBD)

### Advanced Forensic Examiner's Course

January 16–March 24, 2017

Focusing on Forensic Examinations and the  
Scientific Applications of Detection of Deception

Academy of Polygraph Science

Fort Myers, FL

APS Accreditations: APA, AAPP, FPA and NPA

"No matter what instrument you use, we'll train you.

Be assured that our goal is to  
provide unmatched polygraph training."

### About APS Polygraph School

Our objective here at APS is to provide our students with the best education and training in the best practices of the polygraph profession. This comprehensive instruction consists of the most scientifically reliable, valid and up-to-date principles and techniques.

Our training will prepare students to perform ethical, valid, and reliable single issue, event-specific issue and multiple-issue exams proficiently. Our courses are designed to provide the highest quality, tailor-made instruction in both the classroom and laboratory settings. Upon graduation, students will be ready to effectively conduct screening and diagnostic polygraph examination techniques.

**CALL (630) 860-9700 Ext. 235 for reserved scheduling!**

Academy of Polygraph Science • 8695 College Parkway, Suite 2160 • Fort Myers, Florida 33919

For registration, tuition and general questions, contact John Park:

Phone: (630) 860-9700 Ext. 235 • Email: [John@StoeltingCo.com](mailto:John@StoeltingCo.com) • Website: [www.apsPolygraphSchool.com](http://www.apsPolygraphSchool.com)

# AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF POLICE POLYGRAPHISTS

Polygraph Schools Recognized by the AAPP

&

2017 Training Schedules

## Academy of Polygraph Science

8695 College Parkway, Suite 2160  
Fort Myers, FL 33919  
Phone: (630) 860-9700  
Director: Gary F. Davis  
Primary Instructor: Gary F. Davis  
Email: [apsclassinfo@stoeltingco.com](mailto:apsclassinfo@stoeltingco.com)

### Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course

Jan. 16 - Mar. 24, 2017  
May 1 - July 7, 2017

### PCSOT Course

Mar. 27 - Mar. 31, 2017

### Advanced Examiner's Course

July 10 - 14, 2017

## Academy of Polygraph Science Latin America, Inc.

12945 Seminole Blvd. Building One, Suite 15  
Largo, FL 33778-2315  
Director: Arno Horvath  
Phone: (727) 531-3782  
Phone: (727) 433-6266  
Fax: (727) 286-6140  
Email: [polygraphacademy@hotmail.com](mailto:polygraphacademy@hotmail.com)  
Website: [www.abhpolygraphscience.com](http://www.abhpolygraphscience.com)

## American International Institute of Polygraph

P.O. Box 2008  
619 Highway 138 West, Suite C  
Stockbridge, GA 30281  
Phone: (770) 960-1377  
Fax: (770) 960-1355  
Director: Charles Slupski  
[polygraphchuck@gmail.com](mailto:polygraphchuck@gmail.com)  
Manager: Ann Swearengin  
Email: [aiipolygraph@aiipolygraph.comcastbiz.net](mailto:aiipolygraph@aiipolygraph.comcastbiz.net)  
Website: [www.polygraphschool.com](http://www.polygraphschool.com)

### Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course

Jan. 9 - Mar. 17, 2017  
May 15 - July 21, 2017  
Sept. 13 - Nov. 17, 2017  
(All classes in Stockbridge, GA.)

## Backster School of Lie Detection

861 6<sup>th</sup> Ave., Suite 725  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Director: Gregory C. Adams, M.A.  
Phone: (619) 233-6669  
Fax: (619) 243-1454  
Office Email: BacksterPolygraph@gmail.com  
iPhone/iPad Email: [gca1265@me.com](mailto:gca1265@me.com)  
Website: <http://www.backster.net/>

### Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course

Jan. 16 - Mar. 24, 2017 - San Diego, CA  
Sofia, Bulgaria - Date to be determined

## Behavioral Measures UK, Polygraph Training Centre

P.O. Box 164  
Blythewood, SC 20916  
Director: Donnie W. Dutton  
Phone: (803) 238-7999  
Email: polygraph@sjbaker.net  
Website: [www.behavioralmeasures.com](http://www.behavioralmeasures.com)

## Canadian Police College Polygraph School (working on getting re-accredited-APA)

1 Sandridge Road  
Ottawa Ontario Canada  
P.O. Box 8900 KIG 3J2  
Director: Andrew D. Ing  
E-mail: [andy.ing@rcmp-grc.gc.ca](mailto:andy.ing@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)  
Phone: (613) 291-5240  
Fax: (613) 990-8588  
Website: [www.cpc-ccp.gc.ca/en/pec](http://www.cpc-ccp.gc.ca/en/pec) or [www.ccp.gc.ca](http://www.ccp.gc.ca)

### Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course

Sept. 11 - Nov. 17, 2017

### **The Instituto Latinoamericano de Poligrafia**

#### **Mexico**

Genova No. 33, Despacho 503  
 Col. Juarez del Cuahtemoc  
 06600, Mexico (APA 06600, Mexico DF)  
 Director: Sandra Zambano  
 Phone: (305) 445-1653 or (+55) 5208-7823  
 Email: [directorlpimexico@gmail.com](mailto:directorlpimexico@gmail.com)  
 Website: [www.ilpm.com.mx](http://www.ilpm.com.mx)

### **International Academy of Polygraph**

1835 South Perimeter Road, Suite 125  
 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309  
 Director: Michelle Hoff  
 Phone: (954) 771-6900  
 Email: [dci@deception.com](mailto:dci@deception.com)  
 Website: [www.deception.com](http://www.deception.com)

#### **Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course**

**Feb. 27 - May 5, 2017**  
**Sept. 11 - Nov. 17, 2017**

### **International Polygraph Studies Center)**

Colima No. 385-2  
 Colonia Roma Norte  
 Mexico DF 06700  
 Director: Raymond Nelson  
 US Phone: (303) 587-0599  
 Email: [Raymond.nelson@gmail.com](mailto:Raymond.nelson@gmail.com)  
 (APA) [international@poligrafia.com.mx](mailto:international@poligrafia.com.mx),  
[dci@deception.com](mailto:dci@deception.com)

### **Latin American Polygraph Institute**

Carrera 46 #93-70 Barrio La Castellana  
 Bogota, Colombia  
 Director: Manuel Novoa-Bermudez  
 Phone: Bogota (571) 236-9628  
 Direct from US: (305) 441-1653  
 US Address: 730 Coral Way, Suite 102, Coral  
 Gables, FL 33134  
 Email: [dirgeneral@latinpolygraph.com](mailto:dirgeneral@latinpolygraph.com)  
 Website:  
<http://www.latinamericanpolygraph.com/>

### **Marston Polygraph Academy**

390 Orange Show Lane  
 San Bernardino, CA 92408  
 Director: Thomas M. Kelly (909) 888-2988  
 Phone: (877) 627-2223  
 Email: [mail@marstonpolygraphacademy.com](mailto:mail@marstonpolygraphacademy.com)  
 Website: [www.marstonpolygraphacademy.com](http://www.marstonpolygraphacademy.com)

#### **Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course**

**Jan. 9 - Mar. 17, 2017**  
**Apr. 10 - Jun. 16, 2017**  
**Jul. 10 - Sept. 15, 2017**  
**Oct. 2 - Dec. 8, 2017**  
**All classes in San Bernardino**

### **Maryland Institute of Criminal Justice**

8424 Veterans Highway, Suite 3  
 Millersville, Maryland 21108-0458  
 Director: Billy H. Thompson and Vickie Murphy  
 Carr (APA- only Thompson)  
 Phone: (410) 987-6665 or 800-493-8181  
 Fax: (410) 987-4808  
 Email: [mdmicj@aol.com](mailto:mdmicj@aol.com)  
 Website: <http://www.micj.com>

#### **Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course**

**Jan. 9 - Mar. 17, 2017**  
**Apr. 10 - Jun. 16, 2017**  
**Sept. 11 - Nov. 17, 2017**

#### **PSCOT Course**

**Mar. 20 - 24, 2017**

### **National Center for Credibility Assessment**

7540 Pickens Avenue  
 Fort Jackson, SC 29207  
 Director: Zach Vaughn  
 Phone: (803) 751-9100  
 Fax: (803) 751-9108  
 Email: [Von.Vaughn@dodiis.mil](mailto:Von.Vaughn@dodiis.mil)  
 Website: <http://www.ncca.mil>  
 E-mail: [nccaregistrar@dodiis.mil](mailto:nccaregistrar@dodiis.mil)

**National Polygraph Academy**

1890 Star Shoot Parkway, Suite 170-366 nu  
Lexington, KY 40509  
Director, Basic Education Programs: Pam Shaw  
Phone: (859) 494-7429  
Email: [shaw.national@gmail.com](mailto:shaw.national@gmail.com)  
Website: [www.nationalpolygraphacademy.com](http://www.nationalpolygraphacademy.com)

**Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course**

Apr. 3 - Jun. 9, 2017 - Richmond, KY  
June 5 - August 11, 2017 - Amarillo, TX

**PSCOT Course**

Jun. 12 - 16, 2017 - Richmond, KY  
Aug. 14 - 18, 2017 - Amarillo, TX

**Advance Continuing Education Course (ACE)**

Mar. 20 - 22, 2017 - Lafayette, IN

**New England Polygraph Institute**

P.O. Box 825  
Center Harbor, NH 03226  
Director: David J. Crawford  
Phone: (603) 253-8002  
Email: [kacdc@worldpath.net](mailto:kacdc@worldpath.net)  
Website: [www.newenglandpolygraphinstitute.com](http://www.newenglandpolygraphinstitute.com)

**NCTC Polygraph Training Institute**

c/o Dept. of Military & Veterans Affairs  
Building 8-64  
Fort Indiantown Gap  
Annville, PA 17003-5002  
Director: Elmer Criswell  
Phone: (717) 712-6477 or 877-806-6293  
Fax: (717) 861-2070  
Email: [lietestec@aol.com](mailto:lietestec@aol.com)  
Website: <http://www.counterdrug.org>

**Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course**

June 25, 2017

**Texas Department of Public Safety  
Law Enforcement Polygraph School**

P.O. Box 4087  
Austin, TX 78773-0001  
Director: Matt Hicks  
Phone: (512) 424-5024  
Fax: (512) 424-5357  
Email: [Felicia.Ruiz@dps.texas.gov](mailto:Felicia.Ruiz@dps.texas.gov)

**PEAK Credibility Assessment Training Center**

1490 NE Pine Island Road, Unit 7B  
Cape Coral, FL 33909  
Director Ben Blalock  
Cell: (239) 900-6800  
Email: [bblalock@peak.catc.com](mailto:bblalock@peak.catc.com)  
Fax: (765) 423-4111  
Phone: (765) 588-9224  
Email: [info@peakcatc.com](mailto:info@peakcatc.com)  
Website: [www.peakcatc.com](http://www.peakcatc.com)  
<http://youtube/vHqmn0D-VgU>  
<http://www.facebook.com/PEAKcredibility>  
<http://www.twitter.com/PEAKcatc>  
<http://www.instagram.com/PEAKcatc>  
<https://www.linkedin.com/in/ben-blalock-33069752>

**Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course**

Jan. 9 - Mar. 17, 2017  
May 8 - Jul. 14, 2017  
Sept. 5 - Nov. 10, 2017

**Advanced Polygraph Examiner's Course**

Mar. 27 - 31, 2017  
Jul. 24 - 28, 2017  
Dec. 4 - 8, 2017 - Lafayette, IN

**PCSOT**

Mar. 20 - 24, 2017  
Jul. 17 - 21, 2017

**Interview & Interrogation Course**

Feb. 21 - 23, 2017

**How to Use the Utah Zone**

Jan. 17, 2017

**Use of Directed Lie Comparisons and DLST**

Feb. 7 - 8, 2017

**Use of an Interpreter Course**

March 3, 2017

**Countermeasures Course**

March 13, 2017

**The Polygraph Institute**

Mailing Address: 19179 Blanco Suite 105-812  
San Antonio, TX 78258

Physical Location: Villages at Stone Oak Loft Offices  
22610 US Hwy 281 N., Suite 206  
San Antonio, TX 78216

Phone: (210) 377-0200 (office phone)  
(817) 290-0033 (to send a text message)

Website: <http://www.thepolygraphinstitute.com/>

Email: [info@thepolygraphinstitute.com](mailto:info@thepolygraphinstitute.com)

**Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course**

Mar. 27 - Jun. 2017

Sept. - Nov. (exact dates TBD)

**JPCOT/PSCOT Course**

Jun. 5 - 9, 2017 (San Antonio)

November (San Antonio)

December (Las Vegas)

**Validated Interview Technique**

Feb & April 2017

**TDLR Continuing Education Course:**

Contact us for additional information

**Virginia School of Polygraph**

7885 Coppermine Drive  
Manassas, VA 20136 (APA- 20109)  
Director: Daryl L. DeBow  
Phone: (703) 396-7659 & (571) 435-1207  
Email: [polygraph11@verizon.net](mailto:polygraph11@verizon.net) or  
[info@virginiaskoolofpolygraph.com](mailto:info@virginiaskoolofpolygraph.com)  
Website: <http://www.virginiaskoolofpolygraph.com>

**Basic Polygraph Examiner's Course**

Mar. 6 - May 12, 2017

Manassas, VA

Sept. 11 - Nov. 17, 2017

Newport News, VA

\*In 2013 AAPP put all schools on the same schedule for re-application to be recognized by AAPP. Next re-application will be in 2018.



# Facebook

*The American Association*

*of Police Polygraphists is now on Facebook*

[Click here](#)



# CPSpro Fusion

## TRADITION. INNOVATION. QUALITY.

Since 1930, Stoelting has been at the forefront of design and manufacture of reliable polygraph instrumentation. This third generation Computerized Polygraph System (CPS) represents the very latest in state-of-the-art digital technology and innovation.

The latest version of CPSpro is available now at [www.StoeltingCo.com](http://www.StoeltingCo.com)



### FEATURES:

- 24-bit resolution
- Records up to 13 channels
- Medical-grade LEMO and Luer inputs and connectors
- Electronic or Pneumatic Respiration Transducer
- Skin Conductance or Skin Resistance
- Cardiograph supports up to 215 mm Hg
- Plug-and-Play via a USB 2.0 connection. No driver installation is required

## CPSpro allows you to quickly, easily and accurately measure:

### RESPIRATION

**Thoracic:** Records thoracic respiration using either our electronic Pneumotrace II Transducer or our Classic Pneumatic Pneumograph

**Abdominal:** Records and measures abdominal respiration using either our electronic Pneumotrace II Transducer or our Classic Pneumatic Pneumograph

### CARDIO

**Blood Pressure:** Records relative blood pressure and pulse rate. Cardiograph provides continuous digital display of subject's pulse rate and cuff pressure, eliminating the need for a pressure gauge on the cardio tubing.

**Plethysmograph:** Records pulse rate and blood volume changes from the fingertip using a photoelectric sensor

### ELECTRODERMAL ACTIVITY

**Skin Conductance:** Records electrodermal activity using a low constant voltage circuit (0.5V)

**Skin Resistance:** Records electrodermal activity using a low constant current circuit (2.5µA)

### MOVEMENT

**Activity Monitor:** Records subject body movements from an activity sensor pad.

**Arm/feet Monitor:** Records subject arm/feet movement from an activity.

**Voice Marking:** Records the exact moment of question onset and offset (examiner), and the moment of answer (subject).

### CPSpro Fusion w/ ESS & Spot Scoring!

The intuitive, user friendly design of CPSpro Fusion software takes polygraph to another level. The modern design takes full advantage of the latest advances in software and computer technology. Your signals will look clean and sharp making chart interpretation easier. [Visit our website for details.](#)



620 Wheat Lane, Wood Dale, Illinois 60191 U.S.A. • [www.StoeltingCo.com](http://www.StoeltingCo.com)  
Phone: 800-860-9775 • Email: [Polygraph@StoeltingCo.com](mailto:Polygraph@StoeltingCo.com)

# An EDA Primer for Polygraph Examiners

**Mark Handler**

**American Association of Police Polygraphists**

**Raymond Nelson**

**Lafayette Instrument Company**

**Donald Krapohl**

**American Polygraph Association**

**Charles R. Honts**

**Boise State University**

## An EDA Primer for Polygraph Examiners

Mark Handler, Raymond Nelson, Donald Krapohl, and Charles R. Honts

### Introduction

Of all the signals collected and analyzed during psychophysiological detection of deception (PDD) or polygraph testing, the electrodermal response (EDR) is the most robust and informative. The EDR is easily collected and is simple to measure and interpret (Blalock, Cushman and Nelson, 2009). Several studies indicate the electrodermal component provides the greatest contribution to diagnostic accuracy in the comparison question test (Blalock, Cushman & Nelson, 2009; Capps & Ansley, 1992; Harris & Olsen, 1994; Kircher & Raskin, 1988; Krapohl & Handler, 2006; Krapohl & McManus, 1999; Nelson, Krapohl & Handler, 2008; Raskin, Kircher, Honts, & Horowitz, 1988). The basic premise underlying the interpretation of EDRs is that the magnitude of response is commensurate with the degree of psychological importance that the examinee imparts to each stimulus question during testing. Peterson (1907), a student of the famous psychologist Carl Jung wrote: "It is like fishing in a sea of the unconscious, and the fish that likes the bait best jumps to the hook....Every stimulus accompanied by an emotion produced a deviation of the galvanometer to a degree of direct proportion to the liveliness and actuality of the emotion aroused" (p. 805).

Despite the pragmatic simplicity and robustness of EDRs, the psychophysiological mechanism underlying them is complex and incompletely understood (Lykken and Venables, 1971). Unfortunately, there are many misconceptions of the EDR with the polygraph profession and elsewhere. This paper is offered as a primer/reference on EDR for the practicing and student polygraph examiner. We describe the present state of the scientific knowledge of the integumentary system and EDRs, and provide a description of the use of EDRs in the science of Psychophysiological Deception Detection (PDD) testing. The paper is organized along natural divisions, and leads the reader from history, through physiology, to the latest scientific understandings and finally arrives at what most examiners consider the most interesting — how to apply the EDR to deception detection.

### Terminology<sup>1</sup>

The term electrodermal activity (EDA) is a relative newcomer and was first introduced in 1966 by Johnson and Lubin (1966). Johnson and Lubin (1966) proposed its use as an umbrella term under which all electrical phenomena in skin might be subsumed. Brown (1967) and Venables and Martin (1967a) proposed the standard nomenclature for psychophysiological terminology, which is still used today in the science of psychophysiology.

Electrodermal recordings that apply a voltage or current to the skin are called exosomatic and in polygraphy a direct current (DC) is used to measure aspects of EDA. Constant voltage DC systems record EDA as skin conduct<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing the terminology of electrodermal activity. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see Boucsein, 1992.

ance (SC) for which the units are Siemens (S) or *mhos*, which is the inverse of ohm in both spelling and in computation. Constant current systems measure and record skin resistance (SR), which is measured in ohms. EDL is the accepted abbreviation for electrodermal level and refers to the tonic or baseline level at any given moment, while EDR is reserved for the phasic response or reactions to stimulation. The designators R and L may be appropriately applied to the type of measurement taken, for example SRR (skin resistance response) or SCL (skin conductance level) (See Table 1.) Spontaneous or non-specific EDRs (NS.SCR and NS.SRR) are those that cannot be attributed to an identifiable stimulus. Differential amplifiers can also be used to directly measure the electrical activity generated by the sweat glands. That approach to measuring EDA is referred to as an endosomatic measurement and the resultant measure is known as Skin Potential which is measured in micro-volts ( $\mu$ V).

<b>Table 1- Abbreviations for electrodermal recording methods, units of measurement and recording method</b>			
<u>Abbreviation</u>	<u>Measurement</u>	<u>Units of Measure</u>	<u>Recording Method</u>
EDA	Electrodermal Activity	Varies by method	Varies by method
EDL (tonic)	Electrodermal Level	Varies by method	Varies by method
EDR (phasic)	Electrodermal Response	Varies by method	Varies by method
SCL (tonic)	Skin Conductance Level	Siemens or mho	Exosomatic
SCR (phasic)	Skin Conductance Response	Siemens or mho	Exosomatic
SRL (tonic)	Skin Resistance Level	Ohms	Exosomatic
SRR (phasic)	Skin Resistance Response	Ohms	Exosomatic
SPL (tonic)	Skin Potential Level	Micro-volts	Endosomatic
SPR (phasic)	Skin Potential Response	Micro-volts	Endosomatic

Various suffixes may be added to the abbreviations to further describe features of the phasic component: amplitude (e.g., SRR amp) would describe the height of a single response and latency (e.g., SRR lat.) would describe the time delay from stimulus to beginning of response. There are a large number of features that can be measured from the EDR; many were described in detail by Kircher and Raskin (1988).

Galvanic skin response or galvanic skin reflex (GSR) is an outdated and incomplete term (Boucsein, 1992). A galvanic cell is one that uses a chemical reaction resulting from electrical contact between two dissimilar metals to produce an electrical current. The term GSR implies the skin functions as a galvanic cell, which is inconsistent with how the EDR is obtained in modern polygraphy with exosomatic technology. Additionally, the term *reflex* infers that EDRs are reflexive in nature which is inconsistent with the notion of psychologically elicited EDRs that are thought to be the result of an emotional response to, or cognitive appraisal of, verbal test stimuli during PDD testing. The Galvanic Skin Response (GSR) was named for Luigi Galvani, an Italian physician and physicist who found that by attaching the legs of a frog to dissimilar metals or an electrical source, he could make them twitch and move. The discovery that dissimilar metals could produce an electrical charge later led to the development of the battery, though not by Galvani. The phenomenon of electrical activity observed in the skin has inaccurately borne the GSR label for many years and nothing is gained by retaining this archaic term.

## History

Studies on changes in electrical property of the skin can be traced back to the works of Germany's DuBois-Reymond in 1849 and that of French neurologist Jean Charcot in the late 1800s (Boucsein, 1992). One of the earliest documented experiments showing a relationship between sweat gland activity and current flow in the skin was performed by Hermann and Luchsinger (1878) who reported an association between electrical nerve stimulation and foot pad sweat secretion in a cat (Boucsein, 1992). Hermann was one of the first to note that the palmar and finger areas of the hand showed greater responses than other body areas, a first step toward appreciating the areas with the greater densities of sweat glands. Boucsein (1992) credits Vigouroux (1879) with the earliest association of EDRs and psychological stimuli.

Russian physiologist Tarchanoff (1889) was one of the first to report changes in skin potential measurements following a variety of sensory and physical stimuli. Tarchanoff correctly attributed these changes to sweat gland activity. Fétré (1888) found changes in resistance to a number of stimuli using a constant current model, and is thus credited with the discovery of exosomatic EDR recording. Fétré, however, was convinced the changes in resistance were a result of vasomotor changes, a theory which is no longer considered viable<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>2</sup>We now know that the vasomotor center is a cluster of sympathetic neurons in the medulla concerned with the regulation of blood vessel resistance. Vasomotor activity causes changes in the sympathetic fibers regulating this resistance by changing the degree of contraction of the smooth muscle in the walls of the blood vessels. Changes in muscle contraction results in changes in vessel diameter which is what modifies the resistance. Increased sympathetic activation results in increased contraction and raises the resistance of the vessel. While vasomotor activity is often a covariant with EDR, they are separate processes.

In 1906 Veraguth published a monograph entitled *The Psychogalvanic Reflex Phenomenon* in which he focused on EDRs as psychophysiological events. Richter (1929) was one of the earliest investigators to propose that endosomatic EDA (skin potential) owed its cause to sweat gland activity and epidermal mechanism (Boucsein, 1992). Advances in equipment development through the 1900s allowed for better measurement and recording of EDA. Polygraphs, advances in electronics, and much later, computers improved on the ability to store and analyze data electronically that had been recorded simultaneously from numerous sites.

Attempts at detecting deception with the aid of scientific instruments can be traced to the 1890s when Cesare Lombroso (Trovillo, 1939) used pulse rate and possibly blood pressure changes to infer deception. Hugo Munsterberg (1908) made some of the earliest suggestions for use of instrumentation in deception testing in legal cases. Munsterberg (1908) advocated for experimental psychologists to research the phenomena of psychophysiology but cautioned against any rush to judgment or use, as he foresaw the specificity to deception problem clearly and early on.

In approximately 1914, Benussi reported observing differences in inhalation-exhalation ratios between truthful and deceptive subjects. Marston employed a discontinuous blood pressure measurement to determine when an examinee was engaging in deception, a practice that became the focus of the famous case of the *United States v. Frye*. The Frye decision ultimately set the standard for the introduction of scientific evidence into legal proceedings in the U. S. Federal Courts for 70 years. Larson combined aspects of the Benussi and Marston approach, improving on both in terms of instrumentation and theory. Larson developed a polygraph capable of recording continuous relative blood pressure changes, pulse rates and movement associated with breathing in 1921 (Trovillo, 1939).

One of the earliest records of the use of EDRs to detect deception was by Alfred Stickler in approximately 1897, which he described in his contribution to Carl Jung's book *Studies in Word Association* (1919). Larson (1932), credits Chester Darrow with adding a skin resistance measurement to early polygraphs and experimented with a galvanometer himself, but reportedly decided to forego its use in favor of cardiographic-type response measurement. Marston (1938), reportedly experimented with the EDR component while devising deception tests for the United States Army in 1917, but wrote that he was unimpressed with the lack of specificity to deception. Marston realized that numerous emotional arousals could, and did, cause EDRs.

Reverend Walter Summers (1936), reported high levels of sensitivity to deception when using EDR measurements in both a laboratory setting and in limited criminal field applications. Krapohl (1993) comments;

"Summers used his device and technique on only about 50 criminal suspects and he claimed tremendous success, though his verification of those results would not stand up to current standards of proof. His work, like that of Lombrosso and Marston, had garnered enthusiastic support only from the creator of the technique. Reverend Summer's Pathometer encountered limited general use." (p. 7).

Leonarde Keeler is commonly given credit for adding the EDR component, the recording of respiration, and relative blood pressure as early as 1949 (Reid & Inbau, 1977). Trovillo (1939), reported that Wilson, a colleague of Keeler, actually developed a polygraph that simultaneously recorded the three channels of respiration movement, cardiograph and electrodermal activity in approximately 1930. With the exception of a photoelectric device to record vasomotor changes, these components have remained the primary psychophysiological channels for detection of arousal associated with deception since the 1930s.

### **Anatomy and Physiology of the Skin<sup>3</sup>**

The skin is called the integumentary system and consists of a complex set of organs that provide protective and sense functions. Skin protects the body from environmental threats such as temperature, chemical, mechanical and infectious agents by acting as a selective barrier. Skin can aid in the removal of substances like water and solutes from the bloodstream through the sweat glands. From a sensory standpoint, skin houses various receptors to provide afferent information related to touch, pain and temperature (Venables & Christie, 1973).

In many areas, skin allows for perspiration, which helps keep the skin moist and may contribute to flexibility, though this is less likely on the plantar and palmar surfaces (soles of the feet and palms of the hands). These areas have increased potential to be subjected to load bearing and friction and are considerably thicker, 600 microns as compared to the 15 microns found in many other areas (Venables & Christie, 1973). Skin also helps maintain a constant body temperature by controlling heat loss which is regulated by adjusting blood flow to areas near the surface of the skin and through thermoregulatory sweating. Increasing blood flow near the surface allows for cooling of the passing blood and this cooling is then passed on to lower lying tissue. Thermoregulatory sweating cools the surface of the skin by removing the latent heat when liquid water evaporates on the surface of the skin. At palmar and plantar sites thermoregulatory sweating occurs only in relatively high ambient temperatures (upper 80s F). Sweat glands on the soles and palms are more responsive to central nervous system (CNS) activation than to ambient temperature changes.


<sup>3</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing the Anatomy and Physiology of the skin (e.g. structure of the skin). Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see: Boucsein, 1992; Fowles, 1986; and Venables & Christie, 1973.10

Skin is composed of various characteristic layers, though all layers are not uniformly found in all skin. Skin can be hairy or glabrous (hairless). Skin essentially consists of two main layers; an outer layer called the epidermis and a thicker lower layer, the dermis. The epidermis of glabrous skin as found on the palm is generally divided into three regions which compose five layers with each layer becoming progressively tougher and calloused toward the surface. The stratum malpighii region is comprised of the two deepest layers of the epidermis which are the strata germinativum, and spinosum. The stratum intermedium region consists of the stratum granulosum, and stratum lucidum. The latter is recognizable in limited body sites, primarily in palmar and plantar skin and is visible only if the horny layer is removed. The outermost region is called the stratum corneum and can be divided into a lower, middle and upper zone based upon the form of the cells and the space between the cells, which decreases as it approaches the surface (Boucsein, 1992).

The thicker layer of skin, the dermis, consists of two main parts; the papillary layer which contains a thin arrangement of collagen fibers and the thicker reticular layer, of thick collagen fibers that are arranged parallel to the surface of the skin. The dermis contains many specialized cells and structures. These include; nerve cells called Meissner's and Vater-Pacini corpuscles that transmit the sensations of touch and pressure, hair follicles with their associated oil and scent glands, erector pili muscles that attach to each hair follicle, blood vessels and nerves that transmit sensations of pain, itch, and temperature, and eccrine sweat glands, which are the putative source of EDRs.

Beneath the dermal layer lies the subcutis, also called the hypodermis, which attach the skin to connective tissue covering the muscles. This is also where the secretory part of the eccrine sweat gland may lie, along with blood vessels and nerves supplying the rest of the skin. When located here, the secretory portion of the eccrine sweat gland is embedded in fatty tissue and is supplied by the capillary network of that area with water and electrolytes (Boucsein, 1992). For the location of these structures, as well as the general location of the various layers of skin, see Figure 1.

**Figure 1.** Anatomy of the skin.  
Pope, Amy E. *Anatomy and Physiology for Nurses* (New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons, 1913) 439. Clipart courtesy FCIT, <http://etc.usf.edu/clipart>. Retrieved 9 February, 2010, from [http://etc.usf.edu/clipart/52300/52321/52321\\_skin.htm](http://etc.usf.edu/clipart/52300/52321/52321_skin.htm).




## Sweat gland types, distribution and properties<sup>4</sup>

Humans have two basic types of sweat glands, apocrine and eccrine. Apocrine sweat glands are large in size, discharge into hair follicles, and become active during puberty. They are mainly found in the armpit and genital areas and are not the source of the EDRs measured and evaluated in psychophysiology or polygraphy. Eccrine sweat glands, on the other hand, are distributed throughout the body, but most are concentrated on the palms, soles and forehead and least dense on the arms, trunk and legs. Estimates place the number of eccrine sweat glands between 2 and 5 million (Fowles, 1986) and the total number of sweat glands is fixed at birth.

They are called eccrine because they contain comparatively little gland cell cytoplasm (the water based, jelly-like substance that fills the cell). The eccrine sweat gland consists of a coiled secretory portion (glomerulus) about .4 mm in diameter (see Figure 2) located in the subdermis and a ductal discharge tube that winds its way through the dermis and then follows a spiral course through the epidermis terminating at a pore on the skin surface. Both the secretory and most of the ductal segments are formed by two-to-three layers of cells (Figure 2). The wall of the ductal tube that passes through the epidermis is called the acrosyringium and it has no cells in its walls. Essentially it is a coiled duct surrounded by concentrically arranged epidermal cells. Since the acrosyringium has no wall cells (see Figure 2), it is possible for sweat working its way up the duct through the epithelium to escape the ductal tube without being deposited on the surface and hydrate the corneum (Fowles, 1986). If the corneum adjacent to the acrosyringium is adequately hydrated, discharge from the tube may then be directed to the surface of the skin. As the corneum becomes hydrated with ion-laden sweat, its ability to conduct a current will increase. When the sweat glands are completely full of sweat, however, the electrical conductance of the skin is presumed to increase markedly (Boucsein, 1992).

<sup>4</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing sweat gland physiology and distribution. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see: Boucsein, 1992; Fowles, 1986; and Venables & Christie, 1973.

**Figure 2.** Layered construction of human skin shown in relation to the eccrine sweat gland including the secretory portion, the straight duct and the acrosyringium. Adapted from Chancellor, William E. *Standard Short Courses for Evening Schools* (New York: American Book Company, 1911) 244. Clipart courtesy FCIT, <http://etc.usf.edu/clipart>. Retrieved 9 February, 2010, from [http://etc.usf.edu/clipart/44000/44013/44013\\_skin.htm](http://etc.usf.edu/clipart/44000/44013/44013_skin.htm).



## The sweating action of the eccrine sweat glands<sup>5</sup>

Efferent fibers from the sympathetic nervous system innervate the eccrine sweat glands secretory segment and dermal portions of the duct. These sudorisecretory fibers are intermeshed with fibers innervating pilo-erector muscles of the hair and fibers that innervate blood vessels, making it difficult to study them individually. The sudorisecretory fibers surround the secretory part of the eccrine sweat gland and use acetylcholine for innervation. No synaptic clefts have yet been identified and it is presumed that the neurotransmitter substance is released in the vicinity of cholinergic receptors on the secretory cells resulting in their depolarization and activation (Boucsein, 1992).

Human precursor sweat contains relatively high concentrations of sodium ( $\text{Na}^+$ ), potassium ( $\text{K}^+$ ) and chloride ( $\text{Cl}^-$ ), all of which are vital to life. By the time the sweat reaches the surface of the skin, the concentration of those very important ions has been reduced drastically, presumably through the processes of active and passive reabsorption. Active reabsorption has been compared to the same action that occurs in the renal tubules of the kidneys (Boucsein, 1992). Relative to the interior of the body, sweat has fewer ions of sodium and chloride and is thus referred to as hypotonic. The concentration of surface sweat varies with the rate of sweating, presumably reflecting a limited reabsorption capacity (Fowles, 1986). The increased ion concentration during higher sweat rates may contribute to EDA changes. Reabsorption is thought to take place primarily in the dermal duct but also in the acrosyringium. Through the process of reabsorption, sweat gland ducts may help to protect the body from excessive ion loss during periods of profuse sweating. There is considerable evidence to suggest that sodium is reabsorbed via an active sodium-potassium pump. Sodium is exchanged with potassium resulting in an increase in potassium concentration in surface sweat (Fowles, 1986). Chloride concentration, however, passively diffuses down its electrochemical gradient to be reabsorbed (Fowles, 1986). While the chemical chloride ion gradient tends to oppose diffusion, the somewhat greater electrical potential facilitates it, resulting in passive diffusion.

Sato (1977) discovered that when secretory coil is not being stimulated, the lumen is almost completely collapsed. This would suggest there was an insufficient quantity of pre-formed sweat in just the resting lumen to reach the sweat pore opening and cause EDRs without some sweat contribution from the glomerulus. What Sato discovered was that when the glomerulus (secretory coil) is innervated, it contracts to about two-thirds of its original length within a couple of seconds of application of acetylcholine. When the coil contracts, the lumen dilates slightly and stays dilated until the innervation ceases. Presumably, this contraction of the glomerulus and dilation of the lumen contribute to movement of the sweat up the duct towards the surface of the skin. Sweat does not flow constantly from the secretory portion of the gland onto the skin surface. Rhythmic contractions of cells surrounding the secretory and ductal part of the gland have been observed to create sweat pulses at rates of around 20 cycles per second (Boucsein, 1992) which may help move the sweat up the duct towards the surface pore.

---

<sup>5</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing sweating action of eccrine sweat glands. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see: Boucsein, 1992; Fowles, 1986; and Venables & Christie, 1973.

## Suggested biological significance of EDA<sup>6</sup>

The biological significance associated with EDRs has been proffered in terms of evolutionary benefits. Explanations of the benefits of EDRs seem consistent with a number of psychological underpinnings discussed later in this paper. Edelberg (1972) suggested that thermoregulatory responses to emotionally arousing stimuli may be allostatic<sup>7</sup> in nature. Evaporative sweating may serve to decrease body temperature in anticipation of an upcoming burst of

---

<sup>6</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing some of the possible biological significance of sweat glands. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see Edelberg, 1967.

<sup>7</sup> Allostatic refers to the maintenance of homeostasis through physical or behavioral response. A resource for readers interested in allostasis as it relates to polygraphy can be found in *Polygraph*, 37(3), 228-233.

physical activity. Emotionally arousing stimuli, result in vaso-constriction which leads to a reduction in skin blood flow. An adaptive purpose of this vasoconstriction is to increase systemic blood pressure for increased large muscle perfusion. There is an additional benefit of reducing cutaneous blood loss should a cut occur during the state of arousal. Cutaneous blood flow plays a part in thermal regulation so a reduction in surface blood flow could lead to a rise in body temperature. Perhaps evaporative cooling via EDRs helps compensate for the reduction in heat loss resulting from vasoconstriction.

Increased palmar perspiration may allow for better tactile differentiation (Darrow, 1933), better hand grip (Boucsein, 1992; Darrow, 1933), and protection against injury (Adams & Hunter, 1969). Increased plantar perspiration allows for better footing; (Edelberg, 1967; Boucsein, 1992) an obvious benefit to bare foot runners and tree climbing primates.

### **EDA and the electrical properties of skin<sup>8</sup>**

There is ample empirical evidence to support the notion that sweat gland activity contributes to the phenomena of EDA, and allows the use of an electrical conductance/resistance model for explanation. Sweat moistened epidermal tissue contains ions which increases skin conductivity. The layers of skin below the epidermis show good electrical conductivity and do not contribute to skin resistance changes measured during an EDR (Boucsein, 1992). Most electrical models of skin assign the role of a variable resistor to the entire stratum corneum, relative to its degree of hydration. The dead cells of the stratum corneum act like a sponge, taking in moisture from above (outside the body or from any electrolyte solution) and below (from within the body). The stratum corneum is usually partially hydrated and the degree to which it is hydrated will be the primary contributor to EDR. Changes in corneal hydration will generally result in tonic level changes of EDA, but also have an effect on the amplitude of EDR (Fowles, 1986). Increased hydration reduces resistance and increases conductance while a drier stratum corneum works oppositely. When the corneum is either extremely hydrated or extremely dehydrated, EDRs are minimal. It is at intermediate levels of corneal hydration that maximal levels of EDRs are achieved (Stombaugh & Adams, 1971).

Sweat secretions result in not only corneal hydration but also in filling of the sweat duct. Both duct filling and corneal hydration lead to changes in skin conductance, though duct filling is the primary mechanism by which EDRs are elicited (Fowles, 1986). Filling the ducts results in direct electrical shunts (allows electrical current to flow directly) from the surface of the skin to and through the relatively moist dermal layers. For any given level of corneal hydration, changes in the height of the sweat in the duct will modify the resistance across the corneum: the duct works like a variable resistor. Edelberg (1983) demonstrated how the level of sweat in the duct at innervation has a marked effect on EDR amplitude. Edelberg manipulated the level of sweat in the duct prior to innervating the gland and measured the results. The conclusion was that higher initial levels of sweat in the duct produced EDRs of greater amplitude, in fact at times tripling the response.

There are many proposed theories and models of the electrical properties of the skin and a number of those were reviewed by Edelberg (1972). No single model is completely tenable and stands on its own but most accept some general facts. EDRs require the presence of active sweat glands. This fact that has been substantiated by interrupting the sympathetic nerve supply to the sweat gland through chemical blockage or sympathectomy, as performed in efforts to alleviate a condition known as hyperhidrosis. In either case, these affect EDRs at the interface between the sweat gland and the sympathetic cholinergic innervation occurring there. Beyond this point, it becomes difficult to specifically point to the mechanism responsible for EDRs. Edelberg (1972) suggested the capacitance properties of the skin and sweat glands contributed to the fast rise, phasic EDRs. When an external current is applied to the skin, the cell membranes can store electrical potentials like a capacitor. Edelberg (1971) posited that larger cell assemblages may act together to selectively allow passage of certain ions as if they were parallel capacitors. Thus the phasic EDR response observed in polygraphy could in part be due to membrane depolarization when the cells are collectively neurologically stimulated. The capacitive properties of skin and sweat gland ducts have not been well

---

<sup>8</sup>The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing the electrical properties of skin. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see: Boucsein, 1992; Edelberg, 1967; Fowles, 1986; and Venables & Christie, 1973.

investigated compared to those that are resistive in nature. This may be due in part to the difficulty of isolating the capacitance aspect of the skin and sweat duct and because capacitance measurements would require the use of the far less common AC circuitry (Boucsein, 1992). While capacitance properties likely contribute to the EDR phenomena, models built solely on resistive elements have heuristic value for EDA modeling and explanations. Edelberg (1983, Fig.1) resorts to a resistive model to explain EDA and foregoes the discussion of conductance via the active epithelial membrane. Resistive models of skin which regard each sweat duct as an individual resistor that is switched on when full and off when less than full (Boucsein, 1992) suffice to explain the phenomena of EDRs. Edelberg (1983) provided evidence that exosomatic EDRs are a function of duct-filling and the secretory component, while EDL is a function of corneal hydration. A general summary of the resistive model of eccrine sweat gland system offered by Boucsein (1992) is;

- A. A fixed but low resistance area below the stratum corneum.
- B. A variable resistor created by the degree of corneal hydration.
- C. A fixed resistor formed by entire epidermal layer.
- D. A variable resistor formed by the collective number of filled sweat glands at any given point in time.

### **Electrical properties and EDA measurement methods<sup>9</sup>**

Given that EDRs are typically characterized as simple resistance changes, a resistance measurement circuit can be used to quantify them. EDA responses are relatively slow moving in nature, so most measurement circuits will employ a low pass filter set to about 6 Hz to remove any extraneous circuit noise. Most circuits will also employ a high pass filter to factor out any long term tonic change or baseline movement. With both filters combined, the measurement circuit looks only at the resistance changes of actual responses, ignoring other portions of the signal which are irrelevant as far as PDD is concerned. To actually measure a resistance change, the circuit uses the principle of Ohm's Law. Ohm's law states Voltage (V) equals Current (I) times Resistance (R), represented mathematically as  $V = I * R$ . If a small constant current is applied to two electrodes placed on the skin, changes in voltage can be measured which are directly proportional to changes in resistance. Alternatively, holding the voltage constant allows for the measurement of current which is proportional to conductance, the mathematical reciprocal of resistance. Both skin resistance and skin conductance circuits have been described for use in field polygraph settings (Boucsein & Hoffman, 1979; Honts & Barger, 1990; Lykken & Venables, 1971) and modern polygraphs generally employ either a constant current or a constant voltage circuitry to measure and record EDA.

Discussions of superiority of how to measure EDRs either with a constant current or a constant voltage systems have often digressed to consideration of units of measure, ohms versus micro-siemens, as much as they did on method. A clear indication of the superiority of one method over another is lacking in the present literature. Honts and Barger (1990) reported equal sensitivity for constant current and constant voltage systems when comparing analog polygraphs from Lafayette Instrument. They reported examiners made substantially fewer centering adjustments, approximately one-half as many, with a constant voltage model than they did with a constant current circuit. Kircher, Packard, Bernhardt and Bell (2003) found no difference in detection of deception efficiency between SR and SC when converting conductance to resistance units after collecting with a constant voltage system. Boucsein and Hoffman (1979) made a direct comparison of EDA measurements with both models and units and reported no difference in sensitivity of measurement. Barry (1981), in a study of orienting and habituation, also reported robust EDA measurements in both constant current and constant voltage models.

Edelberg (1967) conducted one of the earliest comparisons of the constant current vs. constant voltage methods of measuring EDA. His conclusion was that both methods suffered from individual shortcomings. Most electrical models of EDA include at least a concept of the sweat gland ducts providing a path for electrical current to flow

<sup>9</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing electrical properties of skin and EDA measurement techniques. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see: Boucsein, 1992; Fowles, 1986; and Venables & Christie, 1973.

from the electrode through the epidermis. If relatively few sweat ducts were full, the current density through those few ducts could be high. He found the constant current model produced non-linear results with subjects having high SRLs and recommended limiting current density to  $10 \mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$  as well as using electrodes with the greatest possible surface area (guidelines which are followed on modern equipment.) Lykken and Venables (1971) expressed concern that the SRR circuit could overwhelm and possibly damage the glands in those instances with relatively few active glands. The potential for sweat gland damage posed by this hypothetical extreme case would be alleviated by use of a constant voltage system, since the current flow would be proportional to the number of ducts "switched on" (Boucsein, 1992).

Investigators interested in comparing results in terms of units often debate over whether conductance units are superior to resistance units. Lykken and Venables (1971) strongly suggest that skin conductance units are preferable with respect to reflecting the physiological model of the mechanism resulting in EDRs and should be given credit for designing the circuits that are the current standard for measuring SCR. Boucsein (1992) argues, however, that theoretic and empirical support for one unit over another is not completely convincing and points out that this discussion is mostly academic and sometimes confounded with the question of method of recording. Boucsein (1992) suggests "standard methodology" includes DC recording with a constant current not exceeding  $10 \mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$  or a constant voltage of .5V. In polygraphy it is posited that we are concerned with the relative amplitude of one response compared to another, as plotted changes in resistance or conductance, and do not convert those changes into conductance or resistance units. Thus, units of measure may be irrelevant. When a direct comparison of units of measure is a concern, conductance units may be favored in the interest of standardization.

Another understudied phenomenon in the use of EDA in field polygraph involves assumptions about linearity of physiological response. Linear assumptions would pose that there is a straight line relationship between the number of sweat glands that "switched on" and the resultant EDR change. Linear assumptions would state that if twice as many sweat glands "switched on" then the EDR amplitude would double. There is nothing to encourage any expectation of linearity of physiological response to polygraph test stimuli, and an abundance of established wisdom regarding the non-linearity of some physiological responses. There appears to be a dearth of research aimed at investigating whether there is biological linearity with EDRs. Blank and Finesinger (1946) showed that sweat gland activity displayed graded reactions to varying frequency of neural impulse, suggesting such linearity did not exist. Some may try to infer a linearity between conductance and sweat gland activity from the work of Thomas and Korr (1957), but a careful look at their experiment shows they collected EDRs while heating the skin to dry it out thus ensuring there was no sweat in the corneal portions of the sweat duct. This is not at all consistent with how we collect EDA during field polygraphy and it would be difficult to generalize the results of that work to PDD testing. Therefore, any responsible and accountable claim of validity surrounding the use of linear assumptions in the scoring and interpretation of EDA will be premised on an articulate description of the relationship between observed or measured response and the actual volume or degree of physiological activity. This will necessarily involve a more complete description of the details of measurement and recording, and there are non-trivial complications that will inevitably hinder, and probably preclude, any effort to validate a linear paradigm for the measurement and recording of EDA.

An exercise in the conversion of resistance to conductance units can demonstrate the difference that tonic or baseline EDLs can have on linearity. Take a hypothetical situation in which a stimulus evokes a 10 K ohm EDR in both a low (20 K ohm) EDL subject and a high (100 K ohm) EDL subject. Both subjects experience an equivalent resistance change (10 K ohm), and yet the conductance change is not at all equal. The stimulus causes 50 microsiemens change in the low EDL subject and a 1 microsiemens change in the high EDL subject (see Table 2).

**Table 2.** A comparison of skin resistance and skin conductance with high and low resistance baselines

	Resistance (ohms)	
	High Resistance Baseline	Low Resistance Baseline
Prestimulus	100K	20K
Post Stimulus	90K	10K
<i>Response Amplitude</i>	<b>10K</b>	<b>10K</b>


	Conductance ( $\mu$ S)	
	High Resistance Baseline	Low Resistance Baseline
Prestimulus	10	50
Post Stimulus	11	100
<i>Response Amplitude</i>	<b>1</b>	<b>50</b>

Resistance, measured in Ohms, is the multiplicative inverse of conductance, measured in micro-siemens, such that a resistance of 1,000,000 Ohm will have the conductance of  $1/1,000,000 = .000001$  (1 micro-siemen). Similarly, a resistance of 1 Ohm will correspond to a conductance of 1 Siemen ( $1/1 = 1$ ), and a value of .000001 Siemen will correspond to 1,000,000 Ohms ( $1/.000001 = 1,000,000$ ). The simplicity of these formulae can be initially misleading, until resistance values are plotted against conductance values, and it is revealed that there is a non-linear relationship between resistance and conductance (as demonstrated in table 2). Figure 3 shows a linear plot of changes in resistance from 1,000,000 to 5,000 ohms, and illustrates the non-linearity of corresponding conductance values. For the purpose of this illustration, conductance values have been multiplied by 10,000, to better reveal the non-linear relationship (a linear relationship would remain linear with this transformation).

This exercise suggests a need to compare reactions of relatively similar tonic levels, depending on which features of the physiological data are plotted for evaluation, because a 10K ohm change in resistance at a low tonic level can be expected to produce a different change in conductance when compared with a 10K ohm change at high tonic level. Similarly, a change in conductance of 1 micro-siemen at a low tonic level would produce a different change in resistance when compared with a conductance change of 1 micro-siemen at a high tonic level.

Regardless of the measurement method, any recording of EDA will include data pertaining to both resistance and conductance, because they are mathematically related. What is important to field examiners is not the linear representation of an arguably non-linear phenomena, but a reliable recording of EDA. EDR recordings should graphically and mathematically allow for the expedient recognition of differences in response magnitude when the examinee is presented with a sequential set of test stimuli.

**Figure 3** – Linear plot of resistance and conductance values.



In support of this recommendation, Boucsein, Baltissen & Euler (1984) reported a directional discrepancy between SRR and SCRs taken from parallel sites traced to tonic level differences. At high noise levels, SCR amplitudes increased while SRR amplitudes decreased with repeated presentations. The discrepancy was attributed to tonic levels of EDA and the changes in those levels over the course of the data collection. Even if the level of "true" psychophysiological arousals were able to be held constant, the SRR amplitude, or reaction, will decrease as SRL decreases over the course of the experiment. The same size arousal will not produce the same sized SRR. Conversely, SCR will tend to overestimate the measure of the true reaction as the SCL increases across the experiment. Since in polygraphy we generally compare relative SCR or SRR of stimuli close in time, the tonic level should be similar at both points of comparison, and this concern becomes moot. Indeed computer models trained on "raw data" do not isolate changes in phasic reactions from changes in tonic reactions (J. C. Kircher, personal communication, February 11, 2010). Apparently the EDR signal is robust enough to tolerate slight tonic level changes between reactions and still allow the computer model to be trained effectively discriminate reactions of truthful from deceptive examinees. It appears, however, to provoke concern for EDL consideration when comparing waveforms that were measured apart in time. Over the course of the experiment, the EDLs can change and based on the work of Boucsein et al. (1984) this can affect the relative amplitude change for a given true reaction. In other words, equal psychological reactions measured at different tonic levels can produce different sized EDRs.


#### Recording sites and electrodes<sup>10</sup>

Most electrodermal researchers record EDA from the volar (palmar) surfaces of the fingers or the palms. Venables and Christie (1980) cite the following as considerations when determining site placement: (a) ease of affixing the electrode where it will be minimally affected by movement, (b) size availability of the area, (c) likely freedom from scarring, (d) relative electrodermal activity of the area. The thenar and hypothenar eminence are slightly more electrodermally responsive than the volar surfaces of the fingers, which may also suffer from having a small area,


<sup>10</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing recording techniques. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see: Boucsein, 1992; Edelberg, 1967; Fowles, 1986; and Venables & Christie, 1973.

particularly in the case of slender fingers. Following the recommendations of Edelberg (1967), when using the fingers, Venables and Christie (1980) recommend the medial phalanges of the index and ring fingers as they offer a larger surface area and are less prone to movement than the proximal phalanges. If using a palmar site, Venables and Christie (1980) recommend the thenar and hypothenar eminence of the non-dominant hand, which may be less calloused. Scerbo, Freedman, Raine, Dawson and Venables (1992) reported that SCR at the distal phalanges were 3.5 times greater than those from the medial sites and recommended the distal phalanges be preferred. (See figure 5 for preferred volar and palmar electrode location.) Edelberg (1967) reported finding satisfactory EDR when the electrodes were placed on the medial site on the side of the foot over the abductor hallucis muscle and midway between the proximal phalange of the big toe and the ankle (See figure 4).

**Figure 4-** Recommended recording sites for exosomatic recording, adapted from Edelberg (1967).



**Figure 5-** Suggested volar and palmar electrode locations for exosomatic recording. Sites A and B are shown on the medial phalanges as recommended by Venables and Christie (1980).



Another consideration for electrode placement is artifacts resulting from skin movement below the electrode and muscle movements in general. To achieve an optimal EDR measurement free of artifacts, the subject should be instructed to sit quietly and refrain from movement. Edelberg (1967, page 38) lists the following four mains sources of movement related artifacts; (a) disturbance of the electrolyte to skin contact below the electrode, (b) changes in the intimacy of contact between the skin and the electrode, (c) pressure induced changes, (d) body movements. Most of these can be minimized with modern disposable "wet" electrodes.

Commercially available "wet" silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl) electrodes with a surface area of about 1 cm<sup>2</sup> are considered standard (Boucsein, 1992). The wet electrodes are generally available from polygraph instrument manufacturers and are recommended over "dry" electrodes. Wet electrodes that apply via an adhesive have a number of benefits. The wet electrodes maintain an intimate contact between the electrode and the skin surface, reducing potential for artifacts. Wet electrodes contribute to decreases in the tonic skin resistance by hydrating the upper layers of cornea beneath the electrode, allowing for sweat to move up the duct to the electrode rather than outward to hydrate a dry corneum. This could allow for faster shunting of the electrical circuit in the resistive model and faster EDRs. The wet electrodes provide a level of partial hydration of the corneum that may help achieve an optimum intermediate level of hydration for maximal amplitude EDRs (Stombaugh & Adams, 1971). There seems little concern that using wet electrodes in a PDD setting will result in extreme levels of corneal hydration that could minimize amplitude of EDRs. Fowles (1986) estimates it would take approximately 86 minutes for water to completely diffuse entirely through the corneal layers into the dermal layer below.

Another aspect of using wet electrodes which bears consideration is the possibility of pore blockage. Arguably, wet electrodes retard the ability of surface sweat to evaporate. The corneum can absorb up to 600% of its weight (Fowles, 1986) in water and this causes it to swell. This swelling near the surface of the skin under the electrode can lead to occlusion of the sweat duct, which would prevent sweat from reaching the surface of the skin and the electrode, possibly reducing maximum conductance. This loss of response potential will be considerably offset, however, by the well-hydrated corneum (Fowles, 1986).

Ample time should be given after applying the electrode to allow the electrolyte to penetrate the corneum so the skin to electrolyte interface can become stable. Boucsein (1992) recommends a minimum of 15-20 minutes be allowed before recording to minimize drift due to the destabilizing effects that occur while an equilibrium is being reached. The adhesive connection allows the electrode to be attached without a cord or strap. Attaching the electrode with a strap could create artifacts from a pressure related phenomenon known as Ebbecke waves as well as from occluding circulation (Edelberg, 1972). Finally, the use of wet electrodes will help mitigate any of the potential problems mentioned when discussing the constant current versus constant voltage systems. Wet electrodes will reduce the potential for relatively few sweat ducts being filled and having a concentrated current driven through a small number of ducts. By using 1 cm<sup>2</sup> disposable electrodes it is likely to spread the current across the entire electrode area. With concern for a relatively few number of ducts filled in a constant voltage circuit, wet electrodes would hydrate the upper corneum below the electrode, allowing sweat to fill the duct rather than migrate out to a dry corneum.

One final concern is the electrolyte media used. Hypertonic gels, those with maximum conductivity properties, used for other biosignals like EKG, EEG and EMG *should not* be used for EDA measurements. The signals of interest in those measurements occur below the body surface and the principal measurement aim is to transduce those signals most effectively. Using hypertonic gels that have near saturation concentration of saline will result in a continuous fall in SCL and SCR over time (Venables & Christie, 1973). The gels used for EDA signals interacts with the tissue from which a portion of the biosignal is produced. The electrolyte should therefore be as close as possible in ionic concentration as that found in the stratum corneum to minimize the disturbance of the signal. Commercially available gels specifically developed for EDA collection are available as pre-treated disposable Ag/AgCl electrodes, which together offer the best solution for a number of these problems. Finally, pretreatment of the electrode attachment area by washing with soap and water (Venables & Christie, 1980) serves to remove oils and other deposits that may interfere with the electrode attachment or contact with the skin and is recommended

## **Central Nervous System control of EDA<sup>11</sup>**

A variety of mental functions are capable of eliciting an EDR. Research concerning those eliciting states are divided into studies of EDRs from discrete stimuli and those resulting from general state changes. Discrete stimuli studies evaluated such phenomena as orienting responses (OR), defense responses (DR), and habituation. These studies also address classical and operant conditioning, learning information processing, information storage and mental work effort (sometimes referred to as load). Generalized psychophysiological state studies include investigation into general arousal, motivational arousal, emotion, stress and psychopharmacology.

Most data concerning the role of the CNS in the production of EDRs stems from animal studies. Recent fMRI studies of patients with and without brain lesions have elucidated our understanding of the CNS in terms of which brain regions become active during EDRs in humans. Several brain regions associated with stimulus significance become active concurrent with EDRs. These include areas of the prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and the anterior cingulate cortex (Dawson, Schell & Filion, 2007). The hypothalamus is regarded as the controlling center for all ANS functions, including sweat gland innervation. Hypothalamic sympathetic activity can be elicited by a number of brain structures, not the least of which includes the cerebral cortex, basal ganglia, hippocampus, thalamus and brain stem areas (Boucsein, 1992). Boucsein (1992) summarized the experimental and clinical evidence concerning CNS elicitation of EDR by dividing them into two distinct groups; a limbic-hypothalamic source (which is emotionally and thermoregulatory driven) and a pre-motor basal ganglia source occurring in preparation for motor movement.

From a polygraph perspective, studies of ORs, DRs, habituation, information processing (including learning and memory), mental load, motivation and emotion would seem most germane. These areas would best serve to inform the polygraph profession about potential sensitivity and specificity of EDRs and may help better understand the psychophysiological construct of PDD testing. While not all research in these areas will apply directly to PDD testing, each offers aspects related to PDD testing from which we may glean knowledge. A general review of each will allow an opportunity to consider what they may offer PDD testing.

---

<sup>11</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing CNS control of EDA. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see Boucsein, 1992.

### **ORs, DRs, and habituation<sup>12</sup>**

Pavlov (1927) was first to describe the orienting response (OR) or orienting reaction referring to it as the “orienting reflex”. He described it as a reflex that brings an immediate response in both human and animal to changes in their surroundings. Pavlov sometimes called it the “what is it” reaction, and noted it was of great significance for survival. Some stimuli known to cause an OR include: novelty, intensity, color, surprise, a conditioned stimulus, complexity, uncertainty or conflict (Pavlov, 1927). The most prominent and perhaps best studied biological concomitants of the OR is the EDR.

The OR is nonspecific, occurring not only during changes in stimulus intensity but also at stimulus onset and offset. ORs can be separated into categories of general, localized, tonic and phasic. General ORs result from a generalized increase in sensitivity of a sensory system and habituate quickly, such as when the humming of a fluorescent light fixture initially draws attention but soon becomes part of the neglected background. Localized ORs result from specific stimulation, in any modality, and require a greater number of trials to habituate. Tonic ORs are dependent upon general cortical arousal level and result in a shift in the sensitivity level of the system affected. Phasic ORs are those of most interest in PDD testing as they are considered stimulus related.

Stimuli that elicit ORs may be categorized as either signal or non-signal stimuli. Signal stimuli are those that convey important information to the organism and may be regarded as significant (Sokolov, Spinks, Naatanen & Lyytinen, 2002). An example of a signal stimulus would be the sudden appearance of a deadly predator in the local area.

---

<sup>12</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing orienting and defense responses. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see: Pavlov, 1927 and Sokolov, Spinks, Naatanen & Lyytinen, 2002.

Non-signal stimuli are those the organism considers neutral, that is, they convey no important information, such as different pure tones (Cacioppo, Tassinary & Bernston, 2000). Novel stimuli are initially signal stimuli as they convey to the organism that something new has happened and they reliably elicit an OR. If a novel stimulus is repeated but not paired with any meaningful consequence, the OR associated with it will decrease and eventually become extinct through habituation. Habituated stimuli, which were formerly novel stimuli, do not elicit ORs.

Significant stimuli (those with signal value) can evoke an enhanced OR (Gati & Ben-Shakhar, 1990). Sokolov (1963) determined that stimulus significance (or salience) can affect the magnitude of an OR. He stated “signal stimuli” were stimuli that were not novel but rather familiar and important. From a survival standpoint, perhaps it is more beneficial to an organism respond to a stimulus of known importance than one which is novel (Cacioppo, Tassinary & Bernston, 2000). Sokolov found that an organism could impart significance to a stimulus based on perceived importance to that particular organism. In this sense, the subject then self-assigns signal value to the particular stimulus based on a previous assessment. The OR can be an affectively neutral response as well as one that occurs concomitantly with an emotional stimulus (Ohman, Hamm & Hugdahl, 2000).

The OR can be viewed as an attention response to any significant or potentially significant stimulus, depending on the current concerns of the examinee. Data have shown stimuli with signal value elicit larger and more slowly habituating ORs than non-signal ORs (Siddle, Stephenson & Spinks, 1983). While the response patterns for signal and non-signal ORs were similar, the underlying purpose may differ. Non-signal stimuli (novel stimuli) evoke responses that may signal the organism that a potentially harmful or dangerous situation exists and prepare the organism to deal with that situation. Signal value stimuli are evaluated by the organism and possibly recognized to be associated with consequences. These consequences are postulated to be tied to memory (Ohman, 1979).

The organism evaluates the stimulus and compares it to information stored in long-term or short-term memory. The current input is compared to active memory to determine if the stimulus is new (mismatch against previously encoded information) or if the stimulus matches an element of memory that has been primed to be significant (Cacioppo, Tassinary & Bernston, 2000). In either case, an assignment of novelty or significance can result in an OR. Both signal and non-signal ORs may have the initial cognitive function of information intake and processing of the stimulus. In the case of non-signal stimuli, a mismatch results in the OR occurring. The organism may compare the stimulus to information stored in memory and assign signal value (based on recognition and possible consequences) resulting in a signal value OR.

After repeated presentations, a stimulus that caused an OR may cause an individual to adapt. For example, a person sitting in a polygraph chair may initially notice the sensation of his hand against the arm rest of the chair. After a period of time, he no longer senses the chair as his tactile sensory neural circuits adapt to the feeling of hand-to-chair contact. Another example might be the sensation of wearing eyeglasses which is adapted to after a period of time.

Repeated iterations of a stimulus may result in sensitization or the generation of a defensive response (depending on the salience or signal value of the stimulus if it is high enough) or may fail to elicit a response (habituation). ORs are said to have “selective habituation” (Sokolov, Spinks, Naatanen & Lyytinen, 2002) as habituation rates are affected by stimulus intensity. For PDD testing, this may mean more salient stimuli habituate at a slower rate than those of lower intensity.

The term Defensive Response (DR) is used to describe a protective response to a highly intense or aversive stimulus. DRs are said to be specific to stimuli that occur at painful levels of intensity. They are slow to habituate and may serve a protective function which may be directed towards escape from a dangerous situation (Graham, 1997). While the OR can be produced in the absence of an affective component, it is hard to think of an instance in humans where a DR would be elicited without an emotional or motivational aspect occurring concomitantly. The cognitive processes of the OR and DR share many of the same physiological responses. This makes sense in that they both serve to mobilize the animal for efficient action. The chief distinction between the two is cephalic vasoconstriction during DR and cephalic vasodilation during OR as well as faster habituation for the OR (Sokolov, Spinks,

Naatanen & Lyytinen, 2002). Stimulus intensity can cause a shift from an OR to a DR. For example, suppose a man quietly relaxing in a small fishing boat on a river habituates to the sounds around him. Unbeknown to him there is an A-10 jet following along the river and is approaching the area where he is fishing. The man perceives the sound of the jet as it rises above his auditory threshold and he orients towards the new sound (mismatched from his previous set of stimuli input). In a moment he recognizes the sound for what it is and quickly realizes the jet will produce an aversive noise and sensation as it passes overhead. The approaching jet has now become a significant stimulus to the man, one that will likely result in a desire to withdraw. As the A-10 flies over the man at about 300 feet it causes an ear-splitting and threatening sound from which he tries to escape by covering his ears. It is highly likely that in the above scenario that the original OR changed to a DR as the stimulus became significant and aversive in nature. Lynn (1966) suggested that moderately intense stimuli can initially evoke an OR and then in later presentations can evoke a DR. A mild pain stimulus may be interpreted as a novel stimulus and initially evoke an orienting response. However, continued presentation of the painful stimulus can eventually resulted in a DR (Sokolov, Spinks, Naatanen & Lyytinen, 2002).

The demarcation between ORs and DRs is unclear. There have been reports of difficulty in distinguishing between the two in literature (Graham, 1979; Turpin, 1986). The general cardiac response to a non-startling, long duration stimuli includes; an initial decrease in heart rate (HR), an acceleration of HR peaking at about 4 seconds, and a deceleration or return to baseline (Graham, 1997). Turpin (Cook & Turpin, 1997) interpreted an additional large long-latency (35 seconds) acceleration of HR as a fight or flight response and attributed these long latency responses to motivational and emotional aspects of escape or avoidance. Turpin suggested that shorter latency (5 second) phasic ANS changes may be linked to attentional responses associated with stimulus intensity.

Some investigators proposed that the OR and DR produce different changes in heart rate. Graham and Clifton (1966) suggested ORs would be accompanied by a decrease in heart rate and DRs with an increase in HR. Raskin, Kotses, and Bever (1969) confirmed this suggestion in a study using sound. Moderate intensity sound (80 db) produced HR decelerations and high intensity or nociceptive (painful stimulus) sound (120 db) produced an increase in HR. Graham and Clifton (1966) reviewed a number of studies relating to HR changes to weak and moderate stimuli. They concluded the OR was accompanied by HR deceleration and that HR acceleration was most likely attributable to stimuli of “pre-pain” intensity.

Raskin (1979) found a correlation between heart rate, relative blood pressure and peripheral vasomotor reactivity that was suggestive of a DR during comparison question test (CQT) polygraph examinations. Plotting a second-by-second analysis of the relationship among those parameters following the presentation of a relevant question, Raskin found a heart rate increase, followed by a decrease, which is indicative of a DR. The relative blood pressure measurements showed a rapid rise and then decrease, lagging the heart rate by about one second. There was a marked increase in vasoconstriction occurring concurrently with the other changes. Raskin concluded the heart rate increase and vasoconstriction caused the rise in blood pressure and baroreceptor reflexes caused a decrease in heart rate and concurrent decrease in relative blood pressure. These findings suggested a DR type response to strong signal value stimuli during CQT testing though he was unable to replicate these findings during Concealed Information Testing (CIT).

These studies focus on HR as an indicator of differentiation between ORs and DRs. Limited success has been found in using EDRs to differentiate ORs from DRs (for a thorough review see Boucsein, 1992). From a practical PDD testing perspective the differentiation of ORs and DRs may not matter. There seems the potential for either one, or both, to exist in a PDD testing milieu. Testing context, guilt status, examinee-examiner interaction, test stimuli, examinee level of socialization and psychopathology all seem to be capable of producing ORs or DRs or both.

### **Information Processing and EDRs**

PDD testing involves presenting stimuli that require more than simple orienting and conditioning responses. Examinees are presented with questions to which they attend and evaluate for salience. CQT theory posits that truthful examinees will respond more strongly to comparison questions and deceptive examinees will show greater respons-

es to relevant questions. Relevant questions are generally direct, behavior-based inquiries into a specific behavioral act of interest, "Did you rob the 1st National Bank?" Comparison questions refer to a category of activity, and are those for which it is either known or highly probable that the examinee is lying, "Did you ever steal anything?" PDD testing theory holds that comparison questions are more salient for truthful examinees and relevant questions are more salient for deceptive examinees. Information processing tasks such as attention, information update, mental work, decision and storage are involved in processing test questions. There is evidence for empirical and theoretical correlation between information processing load, internal cognitive processes (thoughts) and the elicitation of EDRs (Boucsein, 1992). Also, EDRs were found to be possible indicators of memory storage and retrieval processes (Raskin, 1973).

### Emotion in PDD and EDR elicitation<sup>13</sup>

Khan, Nelson & Handler (2009) discussed the complex emotional, cognitive and behavioral factors that are thought to play a role during PDD testing, providing a common understanding that explains the range of PDD phenomena more effectively than the traditional and simplistic attributions involving fight, flight and freeze. Although there remains no consensus in the exact mechanism of this relationship, most research has identified at least two distinct patterns, or levels, of appraisal: subconscious and conscious awareness. While both levels of appraisal trigger emotions, conscious awareness and evaluation would seem to be a prerequisite in PDD testing. Test questions in the PDD setting are perceived by the examinee and can be cognitively appraised with consideration of how they relate to his or her goals, standards or attitudes. These appraisals serve a mediating function for valence<sup>14</sup> and salience, and influence the type and degree of emotional and physiological responses. The exact emotions that are stimulated can vary widely among individuals based on their prior experiences, values, goals, and expectations and most importantly, how the situation is appraised. Valence<sup>14</sup> in the present context refers to the quality of an emotion. For example, elation would be characterized as having positive valance, whereas fear might have negative valence.

There have been a number of models proposed to describe arousal and their neuropsychophysiological foundations, including EDRs. Evidence from brain lesion and imaging studies converges with neuroanatomical knowledge to inform us of the possible sources of EDR elicitation. Knowing the parts of the brain that contribute to EDRs is important because it can help better explain test sensitivity and specificity. If we have a better idea of what "can" cause an EDR, we are in a better position to possibly reduce those causes and their role in creating unexplained variance during PDD testing.

Describing a specific behavior at any point requires at a minimum, consideration of two basic aspects: (a) what goal is sought by the behavior, approach or withdrawal; and (b) the intensity of the behavior (Duffy, 1972). Intensity of behavior is related to an excitation process in the central nervous system (CNS) which is called *arousal* or *activation*. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology (Reber, 1995) defines arousal as; "A dimension of activity or readiness for activity based on the level of sensory excitability, glandular and hormonal levels and muscular readiness" (Page 54). Arousal is regarded as a basic process that optimizes information processing flow from perception to behavior. Arousal not only refers to the overt activity of the organism, but also to the changes that occur in preparation for overt activity (Duffy, 1972). Theories attempt to explain how the subsystems of the brain integrate to allocate resources directed to processing information and responding to stimuli. The Boucsein model (Boucsein, 1992; Boucsein & Backs, 2009) divides arousal into four sub-systems and integrates many of the features of earlier models (De Long, Georgopoulos & Crutcher, 1983; Fowles, 1980; Gray, 1982; Le Doux, 1996; Pribram & McGuinness, 1975; Routtenberg, 1968). This model provides a comprehensive description of how EDRs presented during PDD testing can be elicited or affected. The model takes into consideration the cognitive, emotional and behavioral aspects of response potentials in the brain areas that result in EDRs.

---

<sup>13</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing arousal models. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see Boucsein, 1992.

<sup>14</sup> Valence in the present context refers to the quality of an emotion. For example, elation would be characterized as having positive valance, whereas fear might have negative valence.


In the Boucsein model (see Figure 6), Arousal System 1 (shown with checkered background) is referred to as the *affect arousal system* and is centered on the amygdala. The amygdala is considered to be one of the primary structures involved in the fight, flight or freeze response. Separate nuclei in the amygdala are arguably the main arousal component of fear related reactions (Boucsein & Backs, 2009; Le Doux, 1996; Gray 1982, 1987). Cholinergic fibers originating in the reticular formation activate the affect arousal system via the amygdala that in turn activates the comparator system of the hippocampus in the effort system resulting in increased focus and attention (Boucsein 1992; Boucsein & Backs 2009). If a situation changes or certain stimulation occurs, *affect arousal* will elicit an increase of frequency or amplitude of the EDR and phasic HR changes via the hypothalamus. Attention will be shifted towards the new stimulus, supported by involuntary somatomotor responses such as head- or eye movements. The preparatory activation system (Arousal System 3) will provide an increased readiness of brain areas involved in eliciting intended somatomotor actions. It seems plausible that an amygdala-based arousal could occur in the PDD setting through a cortical to amygdala influences and activation, which have been well documented (Le Doux, 1996).

Arousal System 2 (shown with vertical lined background) is centered on the hippocampus and is called the *effort system*. Gray (1982, 1987) and Gray and McNaughton (2003) proposed the septo-hippocampal stop system was responsible for the behavioral inhibition system (BIS) and was the primary process involved in behavioral inhibition. The Boucsein effort system and the BIS model are consistent, in that they both ascribe primary responsibility for inhibition to the hippocampus and both are highly involved in arousal. If the examinee perceives a potentially threatening stimulus, there is an increased flow of information to the hippocampus. Here a comparison process begins to assess the potential threat of the stimulus by comparing stored information to recently acquired information. That information is shared with parts of the brain that are involved in motor plans (the prefrontal cortex) and classically conditioned behavioral responses (via the basal ganglia in the cognitive loop). This comparator system does not interfere if the stored and incoming information match. If, however, there is discordance between the information, the *effort system* activates, resulting in motor inhibition, increased alertness, internal memory scanning and an increase in arousal. Anticipated allostatic concomitants are; rise in blood pressure, electrodermal responding, and behavioral quieting or inhibition, all of which are considered reaction in PDD testing.

Arousal System 3 (diagonal lined background), is labeled the *preparatory activation system*, is centered on the basal ganglia system and is involved in somatomotor activity. When this system activates, it prepares the body for action by alerting the central nervous system processes involved in movement. If situational circumstances alert the *affect arousal system* (Arousal System 1), attention is shifted towards the alerting stimulus and this *preparatory activation system* (Arousal System 3) prepares the body for movement. The *effort system* (Arousal System 2) can block the connection between *affect arousal system* (Arousal System 1) and *preparatory activation system* (Arousal system 3) to prevent immediate movement. This disconnection is reflected in behavior inhibition that may be observed at the presentation of a stimulus associated with potential punishment or non-reward. Outputs of Arousal system 3 are an increase of the EDR amplitude, which is concomitant with preparation of a motor output, or slight tonic increases of heart rate (Boucsein & Backs, 2009).

The fourth and final sub-system is Arousal System 4 (shown with dotted background) and it is generally based around the Reticular Activation System (RAS) a function of which is to increase or decrease general arousal. Therefore, this system is referred to as *general arousal system* and has a reciprocal relationship to the *effort system* (which is shown as a dotted line). The output of the general arousal system can facilitate tonic changes such as increases of skin conductance, heart rate and blood pressure.

**Figure 6.** A basic conceptual diagram of the four-arousal model of EDR elicitation via CNS and ANS routes. For explanation, see text. (Adapted from Boucsein and Backs, 2009 and Boucsein, 1992 and used with permission from the first author.)



It seems clear that cognitive appraisal can play a significant role in triggering emotion and concomitant physiological reactions during PDD testing. Applying the Boucsein model of arousal helps elucidate the potential CNS paths of EDR generation and reminds us that *the cause* of an EDR must be considered with caution, as it may not be clear if the reaction resulted for emotional, motivational, cognitive and/or behavioral reasons. There is no doubt that the EDR is a known physiological by-product of emotion, but we are yet unable to distinguish specific emotions using current PDD technology and EDR evaluation criteria.

### EDRs in psychopathology<sup>15</sup>

A fair amount of research in the areas of psychopathology assessment and medication efficacy has been done using EDA as one of the psychophysiological metrics. While not specifically addressing how any of these disease states affect EDA, researchers have assessed how EDRs and EDLs differ among groups of interest. The majority of this work was limited to disorders of anxiety and its treatment, psychopathy and anti-social behaviors, depression and schizophrenia. For a thorough review of the application of EDA to psychopathology, the interested reader is directed to Stern and Janes (1973).

Lader and Wing (1964, 1966) are often cited as studies that used EDA to attempt to differentiate anxious from healthy subjects. In summary, those studies reported that anxious patients had significantly higher SCL and generated more NS.SCRs than their matched normal controls. Additionally, normal control subjects showed an anticipated habituation of SCL, while the SCL of the anxious group increased continually. Habituation analysis revealed the normal group had higher initial OR responses and faster habituation gradients than did the anxious group, which was attributed to the ceiling effects of the law of initial values (Wilder, 1958).

<sup>15</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing EDA and psychopathology. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see Boucsein, 1992.

Hart (1974) found contrasting results than did Lader and Wing (1964) reporting no significant difference in SCR nor SCL between anxious and non-anxious subjects. This difference can be explained by the manner in which the tone stimuli were presented to the subjects. In Lader and Wing (1964), the tones were monotonous whereas Hart (1974) varied the tone and the tone intensity, both of which can affect novelty and habituation.

EDA differences depend on how a subject is diagnosed with anxiety, whether by state or by trait. State anxiety is a better predictor of larger amplitude EDRs than trait anxiety (Boucsein, 1992). However, views of results should be tempered with the understanding of the ceiling effects of the law of initial values, as discussed earlier. Generalized increase in anxiety can result in higher EDLs which tend to reduce the amplitude of EDRs. The overall consideration for PDD examiners would be to take necessary precautions to minimize the anxiety level of any examinee, and particularly those whose levels are higher than normal.

The terms antisocial personality disorder (APD) and psychopathy (also known as sociopathy) are sometimes mistakenly used interchangeably because they share certain characteristics, like disobedience to laws. There are differences between the two, which we will highlight. According to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000), the criteria for APD include a pervasive pattern of disregard for the rights of others since the age of 15, at least 18 years of age, some evidence of conduct disorder before turning 15 and antisocial behavior not occurring during periods of mania or schizophrenia and at least three of the following characteristics: repeated law breaking; lying or deceitfulness; impulsivity; irritability and aggressiveness; repeated violation of social norms and the rights of others, financially or employment related irresponsibility; and lack of remorse.

Cleckly (1976) differentiated psychopathy from APD by placing more of an emphasis on how the person thought and felt, rather than on antisocial behavior. Cleckly wrote that psychopaths suffered from a poverty of both positive and negative emotion. Psychopathic persons are superficial, glib, charming, manipulative lack a sense of guilt and most importantly from an EDA standpoint, they lack anxiety. This makes it difficult for them to learn from prior mistakes and to be emotionally biased towards good, or away from bad, decisions (Damasio, 1999). Most researchers diagnose psychopathy with a checklist developed by Hare, Hart and Harpur (1990), who devised and normed a checklist for scaling the characteristics described by Cleckly (1976). The checklist identifies two major sub-divisions of psychopathy; emotional detachment and anti-social lifestyle. Hare et al. (1991) have criticized the DSM criteria for diagnosing APD because it requires historical reports of negative behavior from people who are often habitual liars, making it difficult to reconcile their past behavior with the truth. They point out that 75 to 80 percent of convicted felons meet the diagnosis criteria for APD while only 15 to 25 percent meet the criteria for psychopathy.

Hare (1978b) summarized a series of studies that compared EDA differences between psychopathic persons and non-psychopathic control subjects. There was a general finding that psychopathic persons possess a generally lower tonic EDL than the controls and had somewhat fewer NS.EDR freq., though to a lesser degree comparatively. An interesting trend reported by Hare (1978b) was that as stimulation levels increased, psychopaths' EDL decreased even further, while that of controls EDL increased or remained constant. Hare (1975, 1978a) showed that psychopaths may have electrodermal hyporeactivity (low reactivity) when compared to controls, using aversive conditioning experiments involving electric shocks. Psychopaths did not develop normal conditioned EDRs to aversive stimuli, supporting the idea that psychopaths have low levels of fear conditioning. During highly intense, fast rise-time stimulation, psychopaths who scored low in the socialization scale of the California Psychological Inventory (CPI; Gough, 1969) displayed EDRs that were commensurate significantly with their degree of socialization. This led to the speculation that EDR hyporeactivity is influenced to some degree by socialization. While no firm conclusion has been drawn from these studies, it offers some possible insight into how EDRs may be affected in cases involving persons with psychopathy. Low autonomic reactivity observed in psychopaths, as measured by EDRs, may contribute to the development of antisocial behavior because of the decreased ability to be biased emotionally away from behavior that will have negative consequences (Damasio, 1999).

Ultimately these concerns boil down to the question of whether PDD is capable of differentiating truth from decep-

tion among people with APD and psychopathy. While there is no scientific basis for it, there is a popular notion that psychopaths or persons with APD are immune or impervious to PDD testing. Research has shown that persons with either are just as easily detected as normals (Honts, Raskin & Kircher, 1985; Patrick & Iacono, 1989; Raskin & Hare, 1978). These findings are not surprising, when considering that psychopathic persons are non-psychotic individuals with generally normal levels of intelligence, and that psychopathy is independent from psychotic and developmental disorders that may preclude an individual from maintaining adequate conceptual awareness of temporal events.

According to the *DSM-IV-TR* (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) symptoms of clinical or major depression include; fatigue or loss of energy almost every day; feelings of worthlessness or guilt almost every day; impaired concentration, indecisiveness; insomnia or hypersomnia (excessive sleeping) almost every day; markedly diminished interest or pleasure in almost all activities nearly every day (called anhedonia, this symptom can be indicated by reports from significant others.); psychomotor agitation or retardation (restlessness or being slowed down); recurring thoughts of death or suicide (not just fearing death); significant weight loss or gain (a change of more than 5% of body weight in a month).

Electrodermal hyporeactivity has been confirmed in a number of studies (Boucsein, 1992) often using EDL as the metric. Iacono et al. (1983, 1984a) reported finding that reduced SCLs, SCRs, and NS.SCR freq. were reliably capable of differentiating between depressed (unipolar and bipolar) patients and controls. Both patient groups produced faster habituation rates, lower maximum individual SCR amp., lower dishabituation responses, and lower SCLs.

EDA abnormalities documented in patients with schizophrenia generally fall into one of two categories. The first is the "nonresponder" group which comprises some 40-50 % of schizophrenics (Dawson, Schell and Filion, 2007). Nonresponders are those subjects who fail to produce ORs to innocuous stimuli, like a mild tone. This high proportion of nonresponders (compared to the approximately 10-25% found in normal population) has been a reliable finding across a number of studies (Dawson, Schell and Filion, 2007).

The second EDA abnormality found among schizophrenics is higher tonic levels compared to normals. This trait is found in schizophrenics in the responder group and is measured through higher EDLs and higher frequency of NS.SCRs. The nonresponder group is characterized by hyporesponsivity while the responder group is characterized with general hyperarousal. One concern for PDD examiners would be attempting to conduct an examination during a psychotic episode. Another concern would be an attempt to conduct an examination during a non-psychotic period regarding behavior that occurred during a psychotic episode. One would hope that such an episode would become clear to the examiner and the examination postponed. There is also a concern about what effect any medications may have on the test. A number of neuroleptic drugs prescribed to schizophrenics have anti-cholinergic properties and could possibly influence CNS and PNS elicitation of EDRs. Straube (1979) found no differences in EDA between 21 drug-free schizophrenics and 29 patients tested taking neuroleptics. While medication effects on the nonresponders cannot be ruled out, the general agreement is the medication is not the underlying cause of the lack of response (Boucsein, 1992). It seems that person diagnosed with schizophrenia who are nonresponders, will likely produce EDRs of small amplitude, whether they are taking medication or not. Alternatively, a portion of schizophrenics may display higher tonic levels of EDA. The normal concerns around ceiling effects of laws of initial value may now be warranted. Persons who function optimally while taking carefully prescribed medications may also produce PDD data of optimal interpretable quality while taking any necessary medications. No field examiner should ever make a recommendation to increase, decrease, start or stop a medication because of a PDD exam.

### **Factors affecting the EDRs<sup>16</sup>**

Factors found to negatively affect the recording and observation of EDRs include such things as movement artifacts, drugs, temperature and to a lesser degree humidity as well as physiological variables including age, race and

---

<sup>16</sup> The material in this section is derived from the major scientific sources describing factors known to affect EDRs. Readers interested in the original scientific sources should see: Boucsein, 1992; Edelberg, 1967; Fowles, 1986; and Venables & Christie, 1973.

gender. The studies of these variables were conducted using a variety of techniques, not necessarily exosomatic recordings as are conducted in polygraphy, and so should be viewed with care as to how they might influence expectations in field settings. In general, EDA is found to be sufficiently robust that it continues to provide interpretable and diagnostic information under a variety of suboptimal conditions.

## Medications

As mentioned earlier, the neurotransmitter responsible for postganglionic sympathetic innervation of the eccrine sweat gland is acetylcholine. Sweat gland activity can be stimulated systemically using medications that mimic acetylcholine or that are cholinergic agonists. Intradermal injections of acetylcholine (Chalmers & Keele, 1952) for example, resulted in non-neurologically generated EDRs. Chemicals having anti-cholinergic properties can reduce or abolish EDRs when applied topically (Lader, 1970; Lader & Montegu, 1962; Venables & Martin, 1967b). Medications like scopolamine (a neuroleptic drug with anticholinergic properties) that pass the blood-brain barrier have been reported to suppress EDRs through a depletion of central and possibly peripheral acetylcholine (Patterson & Venables, 1981). Some beta-blockers like propranolol may exert a central nervous system influence and reduce overall EDA via their anxiolytic effects (Gruzelier & Connolly, 1979). More recent work with propranolol, however, tends to support a reduction in tonic EDL but not EDRs to specific stimuli (Grillon, Cordova, Morgan, Charney & Davis, 2004).

Anxiety reducing medications, like benzodiazepines, appear to be able to reduce various aspects of EDA, though much depends upon the anxiety-invoking conditions as well as the measurement used (Boucsein, 1992). Most studies of these minor tranquilizers used non-specific SRRs during anticipation of an aversive event as their metric. In summary, the evidence suggests it is possible to interfere with aspects of EDA pharmacologically. Most of the medications studied in PDD contexts are controlled substances that require prescriptions to obtain legally. While there is nothing to preclude an examinee from obtaining these medications illicitly, nothing suggests that any will cause a false negative or false positive test result in a properly conducted comparison question polygraph test (see the review by Honts & Amato, 2002). Examiners can expect that examinees taking some medications to have some potential for a reduction in overall EDA because of central and possibly peripheral nervous system effects. It is also possible that persons who function optimally while taking prescribed medications may produce polygraph data of optimal interpretable quality while taking any necessary or prescribed medications.

Medications with anti-cholinergic properties are available without a prescription. The cholinergic innervation at the eccrine sweat glands are called muscarinic. Muscarine is a toxic compound found in the *Amanita muscaria*, a non-edible mushroom species that activates certain types of cholinergic receptors. Antimuscarinic agents are so named, because they block innervation of the receptor and for eccrine sweat glands, this results in a reduction in sweat gland activity. Antimuscarinic medications relax smooth muscle, decrease the secretion of saliva, sweat, and digestive juice, and dilate the pupil of the eye and may be found in such over the counter preparations as cough and cold symptom alleviators and other products containing antihistamines. The extent to which these readily available products affect EDRs has not been well investigated. Examiners would be well advised to inquire of the examinee all medications they took recently, both prescription and over the counter. Ample information is available via the internet to research any antimuscarinic properties a medication might have, as well as other anticipated side-effects. PDD examiners should also inquire as to the underlying reason an examinee is taking a medication and consider what effect that may have on EDRs.

## Temperature

Ambient temperature has been found to affect EDRs with colder ambient temperatures generally reducing phasic responses. This may result from cooling of the skin, which has been found to result in smaller EDR amplitudes, greater latencies, and longer rise times for phasic responses. The cause may be the temperature-dependent transfer of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine from the postganglionic sudorisecretory nerve plexus surrounding the secretory part of the gland to receptors on the gland itself. Additionally, environmental factors may affect the permeability of skin in general, which can have a secondary effect on EDRs. Fowles (1986) found the skin permeability for wa-

ter to double with an increase in skin temperature of 7-8 C at normal room temperatures. There is a linear relationship between relative humidity and corneal hydration up to humidity of approximately 70 % after which the changes are exponential (Boucsein, 1992). As the corneum is hydrated, more sweat will tend to flow up and out of the duct onto the surface of the skin. As the corneum becomes hydrated with ion laden sweat, the conductance increases and the resistance decreases. However, compared to the ducts filled with sweat, the corneum provides a relatively weak conducting path. A good rule of thumb would be to strive to maintain a constant temperature of 73F (23C) with a constant relative humidity, as recommended by Boucsein (1992). This temperature should preclude a lightly clad person from shivering, which could create movement artifacts, and sweating, which may increase the number of non-specific EDRs.

## Demographics

Age and gender differences in EDA have received more consideration than those relating to race and ethnicity. As we age, wrinkles in the skin develop as the epidermal and dermal layers become less tightly bound. As this happens, there are decreases in sweat gland production, ion concentration in sweat and active sweat glands. Additionally, the possible effect of age-related changes to CNS structures like hypothalamus involved in EDA, may contribute to detriments in producing EDRs. A summary of relevant research would suggest that age related physiological and psychological changes may result in decreased EDRs.

Gender differences have been found in both sweat gland activity and total sweat production. Women have been reported to have a greater density of sweat glands but sweat less. A general summary of data from gender studies could conclude that women may have a higher tonic EDA, owed to the greater sweat gland density. Men, however, tend to produce greater EDRs under conditions of stimulation (Boucsein, 1992).

For the PDD examiner, concerns about the race of the examinee seem unwarranted as no racially related inability to produce EDRs has been reported in the literature. However, skin color has been shown to effect sweat gland density. In general, darker skinned persons, tend to have fewer active sweat glands per unit area, resulting in higher SCLs during resting conditions. Mention has been made of possible differences in EDLs from ethnic differences in sweat concentration (Johnson & Landon, 1965) though not in EDRs. Caucasian persons have been shown to be more reactive to presentations of tones or noise (Johnson & Landon, 1965). However, Korol and Kane (1978) found no difference in SRRs to noise stimuli, among persons from black and Caucasian ethnic group to presentation of noise.


## Scoring

Numerical scoring of PDD examinations can be traced back to the 1930s (Winter, 1936) Field examiners are trained to evaluate data patterns indicative of duration and complexity which are shown in Figure 7. It is possible that duration and complexity refer to a single reaction phenomenon that are both related to the duration intensity of a reaction. In contemporary comparison question testing field polygraphy, the EDR features shown to be the most predictive of deception are the vertical amplitude of increase of a reaction segment that begins after the stimulus onset, and the duration of a reaction segment (Kircher & Raskin, 1988).

Kircher, Packard, Bell and Bernhardt (1997) investigated whether EDL is related to the amplitude of EDRs during PDD examinations and whether EDL can be used to improve examination accuracy. During an extensive study (N=336) Kircher et al. (1997) collected tonic and phasic measures of skin conductance and skin resistance using skin conductance recordings and found some tonic measures of skin conductance to be positively related to phasic reactivity, whereas tonic skin resistance was negatively correlated with phasic reactivity. Phasic skin conductance responses correlated highly with the number of NS.SCR ( $r > .66$ ) and the SCL ( $r > .57$ ). Skin resistance was derived from measured skin conductance activity and transformed. The expected negative correlation between SRL and SRR was significant for the entire sample. The correlation was considerably smaller in magnitude ( $r = -.20$ ) than the correlations obtained for skin conductance, and the correlation was not significant inferring that SRRs are less dependent on basal levels of activity than are SCRs. None of the tonic measures improved the accuracy of polygraph outcomes and tonic arousal accounted for very little (less than 2%) of the variance in the guilt/innocence

criterion. The overall results suggest that the use of absolute measures of electrodermal and cardiovascular activity would do little to improve the accuracy of computer algorithms for diagnosing truth and deception.

**Figure 7** Example of EDR showing amplitude, complexity and duration.




### Statistical approaches to scoring

Kircher and Raskin (1988) described the use of discriminant analysis procedures to evaluate the contribution of electrodermal response patterns to CQT decision algorithms. They developed a decision model based on discriminant analysis and maximum likelihood estimation, using electrodermal amplitude and response duration, in addition to data from other polygraph sensors. This work was replicated by Raskin, Kircher, Honts and Horowitz (1988) who constructed a successful decision model using a single electrodermal feature, electrodermal response amplitude, without duration or recovery data. Krapohl and McManus (1999) developed an objective manual scoring method, which later evolved into a computer scoring algorithm, using amplitude as the only electrodermal feature. Other computer algorithm scoring models have also been successfully demonstrated using electrodermal amplitude as a single measurement feature (Honts & Devitt, 1992; MacLaren & Krapohl, 2003; Nelson et al., 2008).


### Manual Scoring: General Considerations

Before considering the traditional numerical scoring ratios for EDRs, it is important first to comment on the relationship of EDRs to the general background in which they appear. Score assignment is not simply a matter of comparing amplitudes: context is vital. For example, if EDA is very labile or noisy (see Figure 8), one can have less confidence in amplitude differences of EDRs, and a better choice would be to score conservatively. Conversely, very stable EDA (see Figure 9) imparts more meaning for phasic response amplitude differences, and a more aggressive scoring approach might be justified. The ratio systems found later in this section assume stable EDA.

**Figure 8.** Tracing of very labile electrodermal activity.



**Figure 9.** Tracing of stable electrodermal activity.



The typical EDR sequence is as follows: a stimulus is presented and detected; a latency period transpires; a deflection will signal the beginning of the waveform; the tracing will rise to a peak, the amplitude of which is commensurate with the signal value of the stimulus; the rise time will be shorter than the recovery time so the slope of the EDR will be steeper on the rising side; the tracing will fall to or near the previous baseline or tonic level. Multiple peaks may occur when either arousal or lability is high, resulting in "complex" wave forms. When this occurs in polygraphy, we have traditionally measured the distance from a stable baseline to the maximum height of any peak achieved by the waveform within the scoring window to determine amplitude.

### Latency

Exosomatic EDRs have relatively long latencies compared to the heart rate and blood pressure changes. Levenson and Edelberg (1985, Table 4) provided a synopsis of EDR latencies from experiments published in the journal *Psychophysiology* between the years of 1977 and 1982. They concluded that most experimenters reported latencies somewhere between 1 and 5 seconds. Venables and Christie (1980) wrote that most EDR latencies will fall within the 1 to 3 second range. As long as the EDR consistently falls within these parameters, it would seem to be acceptable by psychophysiology standards. If examiners plan to evaluate EDRs with longer latencies, they should also consider whether the examinee's skin temperature is low: 20 - 50 % of EDR latency is dependent upon the transport of acetylcholine in the periphery, which varies with temperature (Boucsein, 1992).

### Manual Scoring: Rank-Order

Some scoring systems, such as the Lykken system for the Concealed Information Test (Lykken, 1959) turned to ranking of EDRs. Today, most CQT scoring systems involve the comparison of the magnitude differences of two EDRs, often the larger to the smaller. These ratios are taken up in the next section, titled "7-position ratios." From a purely psychometric point of view performing a rank ordering of responses is a psychologically easier task than assessing differences based on magnitude estimation. Psychometrically, it would be expected that a rank-order procedure would be more reliable and thus potentially more valid than a magnitude estimation procedure. However, magnitude estimation procedures might have a higher validity because they make better use of the information provided by the size of the differences between questions.

Honts and Driscoll (1987) contrasted a psychometrically sound rank-order approach with traditional numerical scoring using a sample of charts obtained from a mock-crime laboratory study. They reported that the rank order system to be slightly, but not significantly more reliable and valid than traditional numerical scoring. A constructive replication using confirmed field cases produced similar equivocal results, but this time with a slight, but not significant advantage for traditional numerical scoring (Honts and Driscoll, 1988). An independent replication with field data was reported by Krapohl, Dutton and Ryan (2001). They also reported equivocal results for rank order and traditional manual scoring. Miritello (1999) provided an evolution of the rank order approach that resulted in the calculation of a Rank Order Ratios that provided a potentially more interpretable index of discrimination. Miritello's approach was developed into a computer-based algorithm that is currently part of the Computerized Polygraph System software (Scientific Assessment Technologies, 2009). Unfortunately, the Rank Order Ratio approach remains without either an explicated decision model or empirical validation data. The lack of this needed research poses substantial problems for those who would like to make use of the seemingly informative output of the analysis.

## **Manual Scoring: Traditional Numerical**

Traditional manual scoring of polygraph data involves assigning scores indicative of differential reaction between comparison and relevant questions using a magnitude estimation procedure. These procedures typically use either 3- or 7- position scales (see the section below). Manual scoring methods for field PDD exams use electrodermal features of vertical amplitude, duration of response, and response complexity, (Swinford, 1999; ASTM, 2002; Bell, Raskin, Honts, & Kircher, 1999; Department of Defense Research Staff, 2006). However, field examiners generally place primary emphasis on the vertical amplitude of increase from the tracing average, and interpret secondary features related to duration and complexity only when the comparative difference in vertical response amplitude is uninformative. Kircher, Kristjansson, Gardner and Webb (2005) reported vertical EDR amplitude was found to be a valid discriminator between truthful and deceptive cases, but that complexity and duration were not. In fact, complexity was found to be negatively associated with deception, though the correlation was not statistically significant. The complexity findings in Kircher et al. (2005) conflict with those obtained earlier in Kircher and Raskin (1988) but are consistent with the results reported by Honts and Devitt (1992). Honts and Devitt reported a significant negative correlation between the number of electrodermal response peaks and deception. Kircher et al. (2005) attributed the complexity and duration finding differences to instrumentation and data collection methods, recommending EDR complexity and duration be evaluated only when collected using scientifically acceptable techniques which would include the use of wet electrodes of sufficient contact area. Also, if complexity and duration are to be evaluated, consideration must be given to the type of filtering on the instrument, as that will directly affect the EDR waveform. However, neither of these potential explanations applies to Honts & Devitt (2002) as they used data collected with equipment and methods that met scientific specifications. Moreover a number of studies show duration to be significantly diagnostic (e.g., Honts & Devitt, 1992; Honts, Raskin & Kircher, 1994; Kircher & Raskin, 1988).

Concerns for diagnostic values of EDR complexity and duration may be moot when one considers what criteria most examiners use to evaluate the waveform. Kircher et al. (2005) reported finding that numerical evaluations of electrodermal responses by every interpreter in their experiment were significantly correlated with EDR amplitude. Their lens models revealed that examiners based their numerical evaluations of electrodermal responses almost exclusively on response amplitude. Of the 32 federally trained examiners participating in this study, all used EDR amplitude to assign numerical scores to the EDR channel, 2 (6%) also used complexity, and only 1 (3%) used EDR duration.

Gordon (1999) proposed an alternative feature set based on theoretical assumptions that have not been subjected to scientific evaluation and at this time are without empirical validation. In conclusion, the consistency of support for amplitude alone in subsequent studies (Harris et al., 2000; Kircher et al., 2005) has resulted in little interest among polygraph researchers in alternative feature development efforts.

## **Manual Scoring: Traditional Numerical, Ratio Rules**

In comparison question testing, the phasic responses of each relevant question are compared individually to a particular comparison question designated by the scoring regimen of the chosen technique. In the US federal system, reactions of the relevant questions are gauged against the stronger of two adjacent comparison questions, if there are two (some exceptions exist). Otherwise, the response to the relevant question is compared against that of the nearest comparison question. Other systems may employ different rules.

According to most 7-position scoring systems, when the magnitude of one response is twice as large as the magnitude of the response against which it is compared, a score of 1 can be assigned. When the reaction is three times as large, a 2 can be assigned, and if the reaction is four times as large or greater, a 3 can be assigned. The sign of the score reflects the direction of the differential reactivity. If the larger reaction is a relevant question the sign is negative. The sign is positive if the larger reaction was the comparison question. The overwhelming majority of polygraph scoring research has used these or very similar ratios. Investigation of ratios for 3-position scoring (-1, 0, +1), a variant of the 7-position scoring method, has not been published and most practitioners default to the 7-position ratios for +/-1.

One important exception to the 4:1, 3:1, 2:1 ratio system is the “bigger-is-better” rule (Swinford, 1999.) According to this rule, a +/-1 can be assigned even when the ratios fall short of the 2:1 ratio between reaction intensities. So long as there is a visibly perceptible difference in the amplitudes, the +/-1 can be given. The rule mitigates to some degree the otherwise very conservative traditional ratios. This rule is the basic premise of 3-position scoring, which has been criticized for increased inconclusive results (Blackwell, 1998; Harwell, 2000) when using cut-scores established for 7-position scoring.

The 4:1, 3:1, 2:1 ratios have been entrenched in polygraph instruction since the 1960s (Backster, 1963), though their scientific foundation is not found in the literature. Despite the ease in which they can be memorized and employed, it would seem an exquisitely rare and fortunate mathematical coincidence that they should also prove to be optimal. Not surprisingly, the development of the Objective Scoring System (Krapohl, 1999; Krapohl & McManus, 1999) uncovered data suggesting the traditional ratios were not optimal but rather somewhat wasteful, and that lower ratios could be used to capture more diagnostic information. Subsequent research supported these findings (Krapohl & Handler, 2006), and offered new ratios. The new ratios were 3:1, 2:1, and 1.25:1. On average the new ratios added about one point more in the correct direction for manual scoring than the federal 7-position method with the “bigger-is-better” rule, and two-to-three additional points in the right direction for an automated condition that adhered strictly to the traditional ratios. Though an improvement in polygraph decision accuracy was not detected with the new ratios, it did show that more diagnostic information was available in the chart data than the traditional ratios had captured (see Table 3 for comparison of ratio systems).


**Table 3.** EDR ratios for score assignment in traditional, Federal and as used by Krapohl & Handler, 2006.

Score	EDR Ratios		
	Traditional	Federal	Krapohl & Handler, 2006
+/3	4:1	4:1	3:1
+/2	3:1	3:1	2:1
+/1	2:1	Bigger is better	1.25:1
0	All else	All else	All else

The 1.25:1 minimum ratio reported above is convenient and easy to use, but it, too, may be wasteful of data. We found no published studies that have evaluated the minimum interpretable response magnitude difference that can be used for the assignment of numerical scores. There are two reported attempts to fill this knowledge gap using data from previous studies (Krapohl & McManus, 1999; Nelson *et al.*, 2008) and a statistical approach known as the step-wise receiver operating characteristics (ROC) method. ROC methods involve the calculation and plot of ratio of true positive results to false-positive errors against all possible decision circumstances. In Nelson *et al.* (2008) analysis revealed that a minimum EDR ratio of 1.1:1 provided an area under the curve (AUC) of .954, (95%

$CI = .932$  to  $.975$ ). Diagnostic efficiency became less efficient when attempting to use ratios smaller or larger than approximately 1.1 to 1 (see Figure 10). These results suggest that small differences in response magnitude do contain diagnostic information, and concerns that small differences in response magnitude might be unexplained variances may not be justified. Further evidence of the diagnostic contributions can be observed in computer algorithm scoring models, including those by Kircher and Raskin (1988), Raskin, Kircher, Honts and Horowitz (1988), and Nelson, Krapohl and Handler (2008), all of which will make use of continuous measurements and any measurable difference in response.

**Figure 10** – ROC plot of AUC for minimum EDA ratio of 1.1




### Non-Scoring Interpretation of EDRs

Seven-position scoring is not appropriate for all polygraph techniques. One such technique taught in almost all polygraph schools is called the Peak of Tension (POT). The POT, along with the CIT and Acquaintance Test, are regarded as recognition tests. As such, there is only one item in the list of items that the guilty person may be lying to, and it is the presence or absence of reactivity associated with that item that determine the outcome of the test. Like all polygraph testing, phasic responses are used in evaluation, but unlike the CQT, tonic information is also considered.

A common response pattern in the POT is for the appearance of EDRs to all items up to the critical (key) item, and a reduction in reactivity thereafter (see Figure 11). The critical item in the POT may or may not generate the largest EDR in all cases, but the reduction in reactivity after the item can be interpreted as the examinee's recognition that the critical item has passed. Because there exists no accepted scoring system for the POT, pattern analysis remains the principle method of analysis.

**Figure 11.** Reactivity to items up to the critical item, #6, with a drop in reactivity thereafter.



## Summary

EDA is arguably the most robust and easily observed of all data collected during PDD testing. A number of researchers have suggested that it provides the greatest contribution to the final result (Capps & Ansley, 1992; Harris & Olsen, 1994; Harris, Horner & McQuarrie, 2000; Kircher, Kristjansson, Gardner & Webb, 2005; Kircher & Raskin, 1988; Krapohl & McManus, 1999; Nelson, Krapohl & Handler, 2008; Raskin, Kircher, Honts & Horowitz, 1988).

Salience, and statistical significance, is determined through the evaluation of the degree of the physiological response. Common practice, in both field and laboratory polygraph methods, is to obtain data from multiple presentations of the test stimuli. This is because measurement or observation of several iterations will provide a more reliable representation of the degree of salience of the stimuli. Because many examinees can be expected to exhibit some reaction to test questions, regardless of their deceptive or truthful status, PDD tests must be conducted in a manner that allows for the determination of which specific stimulus holds the greatest salience for the examinee. Concealed Information Test (CIT) polygraph techniques achieve this by constructing the test question stimuli so that a deceptive individual will recognize and respond distinctly to the critical item, while truthful individuals are expected to assign no difference in salience to any of the test stimuli. However, CIT methods require both a known incident or allegation and a satisfactory quantity of detail that would be known only to the deceptive individual. They also require that target stimuli be those that are likely to have been remembered by the person engaged in the act of interest (Honts & Schweinle, 2009).

Comparison question test (CQT) methods, in contrast, provide a context in which to evaluate the differential reactivity of truthful and deceptive persons by providing alternative types of test stimuli, within the examination. Truthful and deceptive individuals can be expected to respond differentially depending on the degree of salience they impart to each of the two categories of test questions. CQT methods are therefore less dependent on the existence of a known incident or allegation, and have wider applicability to field investigation and screening uses.

In field polygraphy, we are interested in measuring phasic EDRs resulting from the presentation of a stimulus. Interpretation of electrodermal signals in PDD testing, like the interpretation of other physiological signals, involves the observation or measurement of response magnitude and response pattern. Optimally, we can make a mathematical transformation of the responses elicited by various test stimuli and convert it to a single number intended to facilitate a statistical classification of the examinee into a truthful or deceptive category. In polygraphy we commonly call this *scoring*.

There is general agreement the actual units of measure (ohms or Siemens) are not relevant in evaluation because it is not response sizes *per se* that carry the diagnostic information, but rather the relative magnitudes between responses evoked by different categories of test question. Tonic levels of EDA are only of a concern in that they establish the baseline from which we measure a phasic reaction. Table 2, shown earlier, demonstrates that tonic levels must be relatively constant, as unstable tonic levels can degrade the diagnostic information otherwise available in phasic responses.

The manual was prepared using a number of sources considered to be authoritative within their respective fields of biology, psychology, physiology, and psychophysiology. A sincere effort was made to reconcile or report when differences were found in these sources. The underlying causes, mechanisms and functions of EDA have yet to be unequivocally elucidated and years of studying this phenomenon have created as many questions as answers.

Whether a proponent or a critic, there is no escaping the conclusion that polygraphy is a fascinating and consequential field. It can be fairly stated that polygraphy has left an indelible mark on a number of domains ranging from law enforcement to national security, offender monitoring to the exoneration of the falsely accused, applicant selection, counterterrorism, and on to the validation of the claims of faithful spouses and fishing contests winners. EDA is clearly an important part of the past and current practice of polygraphy. We hope that this manual will be of benefit to the profession by removing some of the mystery from this highly useful measure.

## References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (4th ed.). Washington, D. C.: American Psychiatric Association.
- American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, D. C.: American Psychological Association.
- ASTM. (2002). *Standard Practices for Interpretation of Psychophysiological Detection of Deception (Polygraph) Data (E 2229-02)*. ASTM International.
- Backster, C. (1963). The Backster chart reliability rating method. *Law and Order*, 11, 63-64.
- Barry, R. J. (1981). Comparability of EDA effects obtained with constant-current skin resistance and constant-voltage skin conductance methods. *Physiological Psychology*, 9, 325-328.
- Bell, B. G., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1999). The Utah numerical scoring system. *Polygraph*, 28 (1), 1-9.
- Blackwell, J. N. (1998). *PolyScore 33 and psychophysiological detection of deception examiner rates of accuracy when scoring examination from actual criminal investigations*. Available at the Defense Technical Information Center. DTIC AD Number A355504/PAA. Reprinted in *Polygraph*, 28, (2) 149-175.
- Blalock, B., Cushman, B., & Nelson, R. (2009). A replication and validation study on the Simplified Scoring System. *Polygraph*, 38(4), 281-288.
- Blank, I. H., & Finesinger, J. E. (1946). Electrical resistance of the skin. *Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry*, 56, 544-557.
- Boucsein, W. (1992). *Electrodermal Activity*. New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- Boucsein, W., Baltissen, R., & Euler, W. (1984). Dependence of skin conductance reactions and skin resistance reactions on previous levels. *Psychophysiology*, 21, 212-218.
- Boucsein, W., & Hoffmann, G. (1979). A direct comparison method of the skin conductance and skin resistance methods. *Psychophysiology*, 16(1), 66-70.
- Boucsein, W., & Backs, R. W. (2009). The psychophysiology of emotion, arousal, and personality: Methods and models. In V.G. Duffy (Ed.) *Handbook of Digital Human Modeling* (35-1 – 35-18). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press/ Taylor & Francis.
- Brown, C. C. (1967). A proposed standard nomenclature for psychophysiologic measures. *Psychophysiology*. 4(2), 260-264.
- Cacioppo, J. T., Tassinary, L. G., & Berntson, G. G. (2000). *Handbook of Psychophysiology* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Cambridge.
- Capps, M. H., & Ansley, N. (1992). Numerical scoring of polygraph charts: What examiners really do. *Polygraph*, 21, 264-320.
- Chalmers, T. M., & Keele, C. A. (1952). The nervous and chemical control of sweating. *British Journal of Dermatology* 64, 43-54.
- Cleckley, H. (1976). *The Mask of Sanity* (5th ed.). St. Louis, MO: Mosby.
- Cook, E., & Turpin, G. (1997). Differentiating orienting, startle, and defense responses: The role of affect and its implications for psychopathology. In P. Lang, R. Simons, & M. Balaban (Eds.) *Attention and orienting: Sensory and motivational processes* (137-164). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

- Darrow, C. W. (1933). The functional significance of sweat gland and galvanic activity in the palm and back of the hand. *Psychological Bulletin*, 30, 712.
- Dawson, M. E., Schell, A. M., & Filion, D. L. (2007). The electrodermal system. In J. T. Cacioppo, L. G. Tassinary, & G. G. Berntson (Eds.) *Handbook of Psychophysiology* (3rd ed., pp. 159-181). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- DeLong, M. R., Georgopoulos, A. P., & Crutcher, M. D. (1983). Cortico-basal ganglia relations and coding of motor performance. In J. Massion, J. Paillard, W. Schultz, & M. Wiesendanger (Eds.) *Experimental Brain Research*, 49 (30-40). Heidelberg: Springer.
- Damasio, A. (1999). *The Feeling of what happens: Body and motion in the making of consciousness*. New York, NY: Harcourt, Brace.
- Department of Defense Research Staff. (2006). *Federal Psychophysiological Detection of Deception Examiner Handbook*. Retrieved from <http://www.antipolygraph.org/documents/federal-polygraph-handbook-02-10-2006.pdf>.
- Duffy, E. (1972). Activation. In N. S. Greenfield, & R. A. Sternbach (Eds.) *Handbook of Psychophysiology* (577-622). New York, NY: Holt.
- Edelberg, R. (1967). Electrical properties of skin. In C. C. Brown (Ed.) *Methods in Psychophysiology* (1-53). Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins.
- Edelberg, R. (1971). Electrical properties of skin. In H. R. Elden (Ed.), *A treatise of skin: Vol. 1. Biophysical properties of skin* (519-551). New York, NY: Wiley & Sons.
- Edelberg, R. (1972). Electrical activity of the skin: Its measurements and uses in psychophysiology. In N. S. Greenfield & R. A. Sternbach (Eds.). *Handbook of psychophysiology* (367-418). New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Edelberg, R. (1983). The effects of initial levels of sweat duct filling and skin hydration on electrodermal response amplitude. *Psychophysiology*, 20, 550-557.
- Féré, C. (1888). Note sur les modifications de la résistance électrique sous l'influence des excitations sensorielles et des émotions. *Comptes Rendus des Séances de la Société de Biologie*, 5, 217-219. In French.
- Fowles, D. C. (1986). The eccrine system and electrodermal activity. In M. G. H. Coles, E. Donchin, & S. W. Porges, (Eds) *Psychophysiology: Systems, processes, and applications*, (51-96). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Fowles, D. C. (1980). The three arousal model: Implications of Gray's two-factor learning theory for heart rate, electrodermal activity, and psychopathy. *Psychophysiology*, 17, 87-104.
- Gati, I. & Ben-Shakhar, G. (1990). Novelty and significance in orientation and habituation: A feature-matching approach. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 119, 251-263.
- Gordon, N. J. (1999). The Academy for Scientific Investigative Training's horizontal scoring system and examiner's algorithm system for chart interpretation. *Polygraph*, 28, 56-64.
- Gough, H. (1969). *Manual for the California Psychological Inventory*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Graham, F. K. (1997). Afterword: Pre-attentive processing and passive and active attention. In P. Lang, R. Simons, and M. Balaban (Eds. ) *Attention and Orienting: Sensory and motivational processes* (417-452). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

- Graham, F. K. & Clifton, R. K. (1966). Heart rate changes as a component of the orienting response. *Psychological Bulletin*, 65, 305-320.
- Gray, J. A. (1987). *The psychology of fear and stress* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Gray, J. A., & McNaughton, N. (1982). *The neuropsychology of anxiety: An enquiry into the functions of the septo-hippocampal system* (2nd ed.). Oxford, NY: Clarendon Press.
- Grillon, C., Cordova, J., Morgan, C. A., Charney, D. S., & Davis, M. (2004). Effects of the beta-blocker propranolol on cued and contextual fear conditioning in humans. *Psychopharmacology*, 175(3), 342-352.
- Gruzelier, J. H., & Connolly, J. F. (1979). Differential drug action on electrodermal orienting response as distinct from nonspecific responses and electrodermal levels. In H. D. Kimmel, E. H. Van Olst, & J. F. Orlebeke (Eds.): *The Orienting Reflex in Humans* (pp. 701-713). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Harris, J. C., & Olsen, D. E. (1994). Polygraph Automated Scoring System. U.S. Patent Document. Patent Number: 5,327,899.
- Harris, J., Horner, A., & McQuarrie, D. (2000). *An Evaluation of the criteria taught by the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute for interpreting polygraph examinations*. Johns Hopkins University, Applied Physics Laboratory. SSD-POR-POR-00-7272.
- Harwell, E. M. (2000). A comparison of 3- and 7-position scoring scales with field examinations. *Polygraph*, 29, 195-197.
- Hare, R. D. (1975). Psychopathy. In P. H. Venables, & M. J. Christie (Eds.) *Research in psychophysiology* (325-348). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons.
- Hare, R. D. (1978a). Psychopathy and electrodermal responses to nonsignal stimulation. *Biological Psychology*, 6, 237-246.
- Hare, R. D. (1978b) Electrodermal and cardiovascular correlates of psychopathy. In R. D. Hare, & D. Schalling (Eds.), *Psychopathic Behavior: Approaches to research* (107-143). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Hare, R. D., Hart, S. D., & Harpur, T. J. (1991). Psychopathy and the DSM-IV criteria for antisocial personality disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 100, 391-398.
- Hart, J. D. (1974). Physiological responses of anxious and normal subjects to simple signal and non-signal stimuli. *Psychophysiology*, 11, 443-451.
- Hermann, L., & Luchsinger, B. (1878). Ueber die Secretionsstrome der Haut bei der Katze. *Pflugers Archiv fur. die gesamte Physiologie.*, 19, 300-319. In German.
- Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. (2002). Countermeasures, in M. Kleiner (Ed.), *Handbook of polygraph testing*. (251-264). San Diego, CA: Academic.
- Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1990). Comparison of the relative utility of skin conductance and skin resistance couplers for the measurement of electrodermal activity. *Polygraph*, 19, 199-209.
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1992). *Bootstrap decision making for polygraph examinations*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute report No DoDPI92-R-0002.
- Honts, C. R., & Driscoll, L. N. (1987). An evaluation of the reliability and validity of rank order and standard numerical scoring of polygraph charts. *Polygraph*, 16, 241-257.
- Honts, C. R., & Driscoll, L. N. (1988). A field validity study of rank order scoring system (ROSS) in multiple issue control question tests. *Polygraph*, 17(1), 1-16.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1985). Effects of socialization on the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 19, 373-385.

- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1994). Effects of physical and mental countermeasures on the detection of deception. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 79, 252-259.
- Honts, C. R., & Schweinle, W. (2009). Information gain of psychophysiological detection of deception in forensic and screening settings. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 34, 161-172. (Available online July 2009)
- Iacono, W. G., Lykken, D. T., Peloquin, L. J., Lumry, A. E., Valintine, R. H., & Tuason, V. (1983). Electrodermal activity in euthymic unipolar and bipolar affective disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 40, 557-565.
- Iacono, W. G., Lykken, D. T., Haroian, K., Peloquin, L. J., Valentine, R., & Tuason, V. (1984). Electrodermal activity in euthymic patients with affective disorders: One-year retest stability and the effects of stimulus intensity and significance. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 93, 304-311.
- Johnson, L. C., & Landon, M. N. (1965). Eccrine sweat gland activity and racial differences in resting skin conductance. *Psychophysiology*, 1, 322-329.
- Johnson, L. C., & Lubin, A. (1966). Spontaneous electrodermal activity during waking and sleeping. *Psychophysiology*, 3(1): 8-17.
- Jung, C. G. (1919). *Studies in word association*. (Trans, by M. D. Eder) New York, NY: Moffat.
- Khan, J., Nelson, R., & Handler, M. (2009). An exploration of emotion and cognition during polygraph testing, *Polygraph*, 38(3), 184-197.
- Kircher, J. C., Kristjansson, S. D., Gardner, M. K., & Webb, A. (2005). *Human and computer decision-making in the psychophysiological detection of deception*. Salt Lake City, UT: University of Utah.
- Kircher, J. C., Kristjansson, S. D., Gardner, M. G., & Webb, A. (2005). *Human and Computer decision-making in psychophysiological detection of deception*. Submitted to the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, unpublished manuscript available from the first author.
- Kircher, J. C., Packard, T., Bell, B. G., & Bernhard, T. P. C. (1997). Effects of deception on tonic autonomic arousal. May 2001 (DoDPI01-R-0008). Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort Jackson, SC 29207-5000. DTIC No. ADA396791.
- Kircher, J. C., Packard, T., Bernhardt, T. P. C., & Bell, B. G. (2003). Comparison of skin conductance and skin resistance measures for the detection of deception, *Polygraph*, 32(4), 220-233.
- Kircher, J. C., & Raskin, D. C. (1988). Human versus computerized evaluations of polygraph data in a laboratory setting. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 73, 291-302.
- Korol, B., & Kane, R. E. (1978). An examination of the relationship between race, skin color, and a series of autonomic nervous system measures. *Pavlovian Journal of Biological Science*, 13(2), 121-132.
- Krapohl, D. J. (1993). The polygraph. Unpublished manuscript.
- Krapohl, D. J. (1999). Proposed method for scoring electrodermal responses. *Polygraph*, 28, 82-84.
- Krapohl, D. J., Dutton, D. W., & Ryan, A. H. (2001). Rank order scoring system: Replication and extension with field data. *Polygraph*, 30, 172-181.
- Krapohl, D. J., & Handler M. (2006). New ratios for scoring electrodermal responses. *Polygraph* 35,(2), 65-70.
- Krapohl, D. J., & McManus, B. (1999). An objective method for manually scoring polygraph data. *Polygraph*, 28, 209-222.
- Lader M. H., & Montagu, J. D. (1962). The psycho-galvanic reflex: A pharmacological study of the peripheral mechanism. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 25,126-133.
- Lader, M. H. (1970). The unit of quantification of the G.S.R., *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 14, 109-110.

- Lader, M. H., & Wing L. (1964). Habituation of the psychogalvanic reflex in patients with anxiety states and normal subjects. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 27, 210.
- Lader, M. H., & Wing L. (1966). *Physiological measures, sedative drugs, and morbid anxiety*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Larson, J. A. (1932) *Lying and its detection*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Le Doux, J. E. (1996). *The emotional brain*. New York, NY: Simon and Schuster.
- Levinson, D. F., & Edelberg, R. (1985). Scoring criteria for response latency and habituation in electrodermal research: A critique. *Psychophysiology*, 22, 417-426.
- Lykken, D. T. (1959). The GSR in the detection of guilt. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 43, 385-388.
- Lykken, D. T., & Venables, P. H. (1971). Direct measurement of skin conductance: A proposal for standardization. *Psychophysiology*, 8, 656-672.
- Lynn, R. (1966). *Attention, arousal, and the orienting reaction*. Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press.
- MacLaren, V., & Krapohl, D. J. (2003). Objective assessment of comparison question polygraphy. *Polygraph*, 32, 107-126.
- Marston, W. M. (1938). *The lie detector test*. New York, NY: Richard R. Smith.
- Miritello, K. (1999). Rank order analysis. *Polygraph*, 28, 74-76.
- Munsterberg, H. (1908) *On the witness stand*. New York, NY: Double Day Page & Co.
- Nelson, R., Krapohl, D. J., & Handler, M. (2008). Brute force comparison: A Monte Carlo study of the Objective Scoring System version 3 (OSS-3) and human polygraph scorers, *Polygraph*, 37, 185-215.
- Öhman, A. (1979). The orienting response, attention, and learning: An information-processing perspective. In H. D. Kimmel, E. H. van Olst, & J. F. Orlebeke (Eds.) *The orienting reflex in humans* (443-471). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Ohman, A., Hamm, A., & Hugdahl, K. (2000). Cognition and the autonomic nervous system: Orienting, anticipation and conditioning. In Cacioppo, J. T., Tassinary, L. G., & Berntson, G. G. (Eds.) *Handbook of Psychophysiology* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Cambridge.
- Patrick, C. J., & Iacono, W. G. (1989). Psychopathy, threat, and polygraph test accuracy. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 74 (2), 347-355.
- Patterson, T., & Venables, P. H. (1981). Bilateral skin conductance and the pupillary light-dark reflex: Manipulation by chlorpromazine. *Psychopharmacology*, 73(1), 63-69.
- Pavlov, I. P. (2003). *Conditioned reflexes*. Mineola, NY: Dover Publications, Incorporated.
- Peterson, F. (1907). The galvanometer as a measure of emotions. *The British Medical Journal*, 2, 804-806.
- Pribram, K. H., & McGuinness, D. (1975). Arousal, activation, and effort in the control of attention. *Psychological Review*, 82, 116-149.
- Raskin D. C. (1973). Attention and arousal. In W. F. Prokasy, & D. C. Raskin (Eds.), *Electrodermal activity in psychological research*. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Raskin, D. C. (1979). Orienting and defense reflexes in the detection of deception. In H. D. Kimmel, E. H. van Olst, & J.F. Orlebeke (Eds.), *The orienting reflex in humans*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988). *A study of the validity of polygraph examinations in criminal investigations*. Final Report, National Institute of Justice, Grant No. 85-IJ-CX-0040.
- Raskin, D. C., & Hare, R. D. (1978). Psychopathy and detection of deception in a prison population, *Psychophysiology*, 15, 126-136.

- Raskin, D. C., Kotses, H., & Bever, J. (1969). Cephalic vasomotor and heart rate measures of orienting and defensive reflexes. *Psychophysiology*, 6(2), 149-159.
- Reber, A. S. (1995). *The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Penguin Books.
- Reid, J. E., & Inbau, F. E. (1977). *Truth and Deception: The Polygraph ("Lie-Detector") Technique* (2nd ed.). Baltimore, MD: The Williams & Wilkins.
- Routtenberg, A. (1968). The two-arousal hypothesis: Reticular formation and limbic system. *Psychological Review*, 75, 51-80.
- Sato, K. (1977). The physiology, pharmacology, and biochemistry of the eccrine sweat gland. *Review of Physiology, Biochemistry, and Pharmacology*, 79, 51-131.
- Scerbo, A. S., Freedman, L. W., Raine, A., Dawson, M. E., & Venables, P. H. (1992). A major effect of recording site on electrodermal activity. *Psychophysiology*, 29, 241-246.
- Siddle, D., Stephenson, D., & Spinks, J. A. (1983). Elicitation and habituation of the orienting response. In D. Siddle (Ed.). *Orienting and habituation: Perspectives in human research* (109-182). New York, NY: Wiley & Sons.
- Sokolov, E. A., Spinks, J. A., Naatanen, R., & Lyytinen, H. (2002). *The orienting response in information processing*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Publishers.
- Sokolov, E. N. (1963). *Perception and the conditioned reflex*. New York, NY: MacMillan.
- Stern, J. A., & Janes, C. L. (1973). Personality and psychopathology. In W. F. Prokasy & D. C. Raskin (Eds.), *Electrodermal activity in psychological research* (pp. 283-346). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Stombaugh, D. P., & Adams, T. (1971). Skin electrical phenomena, sweat gland activity, and epidermal hydration of the cat footpad. *American Journal of Physiology*, 221, 1014-1018.
- Straube, E. R. (1979). On the meaning of electrodermal nonresponding in schizophrenia. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 167, 601-611.
- Summers, W. G. (1936). Guilt distinguished from complicity. *Psychological Bulletin*, 33(9), 787.
- Swinford, J. (1999). Manually scoring polygraph charts utilizing the seven-position numerical analysis scale at the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute. *Polygraph*, 28, 10-27.
- Tarchanoff, J. (1889). Décharges électriques dans la peau de l'homme sous l'influence de l'excitation des organes des sens et de différentes formes d'activité psychique. *Comptes Rendus des Séances de la Société de Biologie*, 41, 447-451. In French.
- Thomas, P. E., & Korr, I. M. (1957). Relationship between sweat gland activity and electrical resistance of the skin. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 10, 505-510.
- Trovillo, P. (1939). A history of lie detection. *Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology, and Police Science*, 29, 848-88.81
- Venables, P. H., & Martin, I. (1967a). *A manual of psychophysiological methods*. Amsterdam, NY: North-Holland Publication Company.
- Venables, P. H., & Martin, I. (1967b). The relation of palmar sweat gland activity to level of skin potential and conductance. *Psychophysiology*, 3, 302-311.
- Venables, P. H., & Christie, M. J. (1973). Mechanisms, instrumentation, recording techniques, and quantification of responses. In W. F. Prokasy & D. C. Raskin (Eds.), *Electrodermal activity in psychophysiological research* (1-124). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Venables, P. H., & Christie, M. J. (1980). Electrodermal activity. In I. Martin and P. H. Venables (Eds.) *Techniques in psychophysiology* (3-67). New York, NY: Wiley.

Veraguth, O. (1906). Das psycho-galvanische Reflex-phenomenen. *Monatsschrift fuer Psychiatrie und Neurologie*, 21. In German.

Vigouroux, R. (1879). Sur le Role de la Resistance Electrique des Tissue dans l'electrodiagnostic. *Comptes Rendus des Seances de la Societe de Biologie*, 31, 336-339. In French.

Wilder, J. (1958). Modern psychophysiology and the law of initial value. *American Journal of Psychotherapy*, (12), 199-221.

Winter, J. E. (1936). Comparison of the cardio-pneumo-psychograph and association methods in the detection of lying in cases of theft among college students. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 20, 243-248.82

### Authors' Note

The authors are grateful to Professor Wolfram Boucsein, Dr. George Deitchman, Chris Fausett, Walt Goodson, Ed Hoffman, Don Imbordino, Dr. John Kircher, Pam Shaw, Brent Smitley, and Jim Wygant for their thoughtful reviews and comments to earlier drafts or sections of this paper. The views expressed in this article are solely those of the authors, and do not necessarily represent those of the American Association of Police Polygraphists, Lafayette Instrument Company, the Defense Academy for Credibility Assessment, Boise State University or the American Polygraph Association. Questions and comments are welcome at polygraphmark@gmail.com. This manuscript is dedicated to Professor Wolfram Boucsein, a great educator, scientist, author and friend who wrote the modern treatise on electrodermal activity.

***This article was originally published by the American Polygraph Association, and is reprinted here with authorization. Thank you to the American Polygraph Association and the authors for granting the American Association of Police Polygraphist permission to reprint this article***

## American International Institute of Polygraph



### When Quality Counts!

We are accredited by the [American Polygraph Association \(APA\)](#) and recognized by the [American Association of Police Polygraphists \(AAPP\)](#).



PO Box 2008  
619 Hwy 138 West  
Stockbridge, GA 30281  
770-960-1377

- Superior training facility with audiovisual recording of practice sessions to facilitate learning.
- Computerized Polygraph Equipment – four types – available for training.
- Comprehensive curriculum and training patterned after that of the U.S. Federal Government.
- Senior professional staff using effective training methods.
  - Charles E. (Chuck) Slupski (Federal, State & Private experience)
  - Rick Kurtz (Federal, State & Private Experience)
  - Steven D. (Steve) Duncan (State, County & Private Experience)
  - Robbie Frederick (County & Private Experience)

[www.polygraphschool.com](http://www.polygraphschool.com)

#### Basic Class - Stockbridge, GA

January 9 – March 17, 2017

May 15 – July 21, 2017

September 13 – November 17, 2017


# YOU NEED ACCESSIBLE AND PROVEN POLYGRAPH SOLUTIONS

**LAFAYETTE  
INSTRUMENT  
DELIVERS**

Contact our experts to find out how!

[sales@lafayetteinstrument.com](mailto:sales@lafayetteinstrument.com)  
[www.lafayettestepolygraph.com](http://www.lafayettestepolygraph.com)  
Tel: (765) 423-1505

 **Lafayette  
Instrument**



# The Use and Benefits of the Photoelectric Plethysmograph in Polygraph Testing

Mark Handler and Donald J. Krapohl

Running head: The Photoplethysmograph

## Abstract

Improvements in polygraph decision accuracy can be obtained by adding new physiological data channels to the existing polygraph. One well researched source of useful and independent physiological data is the vasomotor response, a form of vascular activity that is sensitive to activation of the sympathetic nervous system. The vasomotor response can be detected using an off-the-shelf sensor called the photoplethysmograph, and when scored with the other channels, adds diagnostic information to the polygraph decision process (Rovner 1986, Podlesny & Raskin 1978, Raskin & Hare 1978). The present paper sets out to provide a brief summary of the physiology, history, and research associated with the vasomotor response, and includes how-to recommendations for using the photoplethysmograph during polygraph examinations.

## Introduction

“Great excitement is accompanied by sympathetic innervations which contract the small blood vessels, accelerate the heart rate and thus increase arterial pressure.” So wrote Dr. Walter Cannon in 1915 to describe effects seen in humans during extreme pleasure, anger or fright (Cannon, 1915). Cannon and colleagues had learned that during arousal of the sympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous system, a redistribution of blood was occurring to prepare the body for the danger. This “flight or fight” reaction, as it has been called, has since been used to describe the physiological manifestations that occur in response to a threatening stimulus.

## The Vasomotor Response

Using the plethysmograph to measure relative differences in autonomic arousal has a number of aspects that make it an attractive additional channel in polygraphy. The vasomotor response appears to be resistant to habituation and passage of time. Vasomotor responses have been shown to outlast electrodermal and heart rate changes (Brown, 1967). Also, the neural system that underlies the vasomotor response is largely independent from those that drive the other response systems recorded with the polygraph. This provides a non-redundant source of diagnostic information on which to base scoring decisions. Finally, like electrodermal activity, the vasomotor response is driven almost purely by the sympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous system. That is, there is no competing parasympathetic contribution to attenuate or conflict the reaction.

In the human body, arteries and smaller arterioles carry oxygenated blood away from the heart to serve the body tissues. The arteries are large vessels that have a small amount of smooth muscle and a larger amount of collagen and elastin fibers. Because they are so constructed, arteries can stretch and have a somewhat elastic quality as compared to the smaller diameter arterioles. The elastic nature of the arteries helps propel blood through the distribution system as they recoil following systolic contraction of the heart. The further from the heart, the smaller the diameter of the vessel carrying blood away becomes. There are additional differences in the construction of the vessels in the periphery. Those smaller diameter vessels in the periphery have a higher concentration of smooth muscle than the larger diameter vessels closer to the heart. These small arteries are called arterioles and they play an important part in managing systemic blood pressure.

The arterioles maintain their diameter based on the amount of sympathetic innervation they are receiving at any given time. They receive a constant sympathetic innervation that is sometimes referred to as vasomotor tone. Because of this, vasodilatation is achieved by a reduction in innervation. Vasoconstriction results from sympathetic nervous system activation of the smooth muscles in the arterioles. Vasomotor tone can also be influenced by hormonal mechanisms.

As stated earlier, the vasomotor system is primarily innervated by the sympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous system. An increase in sympathetic activation can result in either vasoconstriction or vasodilatation. The net effect depends upon whether the receptors of that particular vascular bed are sensitive to alpha or beta adrenergic binding.

There are two major classes of adrenergic receptors: alpha and beta. Body organs that are sensitive to norepinephrine or epinephrine display one or both receptors. For the most part, when norepinephrine or epinephrine binds to alpha receptors, it stimulates that receptor. When norepinephrine or epinephrine binds to a beta receptor, it is inhibitory.

There are exceptions to this as there are several sub-types of each receptor (Marieb, 1999).

The blood vessels in the periphery (skin) where we monitor with the plethysmograph are alpha receptors. This means they are stimulated by norepinephrine or epinephrine. This results in a constriction of the vessel and an overall rise in systemic blood pressure. This constriction also results in a reduction of the amount of blood that passes through the arterioles with each beat of the heart.

## Measurements

The term “plethysmograph” is derived from the Greek term “plethymos” which means “an enlargement” or “fullness.” The plethysmograph can be used to measure relative changes in the total blood volume in a body segment. The plethysmograph can also be used to measure the rapidly occurring relative changes in pulse blood volume. In psychophysiology, the use of plethysmograph has a history as long as the monitoring of the electrical properties associated with the skin (Brown 1967). Early investigators (Fere, 1888; Sticker, 1897; Vigoroux, 1879) associated changes in the electrical properties of the skin with changes in blood flow. It was Darrow (1929) who conducted an experiment that produced evidence to suggest otherwise. Darrow found that while a common stimulus would cause a change in electrical properties and blood volume, the two were independently aroused. Hertzman (1938) was one of the first scientists to experiment with the photoplethysmograph in the United States.

While there are a variety of methods for measuring relative changes in blood volume and pulse volume, the three most commonly used are (a) changes recorded using a strain gauge, (b) impedance changes and (c) photoelectric changes. It is the third technique (photoelectric) that we use in modern polygraphy to measure the relative changes in pulse volume associated with the vasomotor response, usually at the distal phalange of one of the examinee’s fingers (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Photograph of the Lafayette Instrument Company photoplethysmograph. Reprinted with the permission of the Lafayette Instrument Company.




The photoelectric plethysmograph (usually shortened to “photoplethysmograph”) uses a photo-sensitive cell to measure light reflected or passed through the tissue segment where the monitor is placed. The light produced by the source is in the infrared range (7000 to 9000 Angstroms). The light in this frequency range is scattered by red

blood cells so the amount of light reaching the photo sensor is related to the amount of blood through which it passed before reaching the sensor. The sensor can be placed on the same side of the monitoring site as the light, in which case the amount of light reflected back is measured. Alternatively, the sensor may be placed on the opposite side of the monitoring site as the light source in which case light transmitted through the site is measured by the sensor. The former is less limited in site locations than is the latter. When a system is used in which light is transmitted through the tissue, the sites are generally limited to places such as the earlobe or finger. Stern (1974) demonstrated that there is very little change in the pulse volume in the earlobe as a function of psychological stimuli. During presentations of nude slides, accident views, loud noises and mental gymnastics very little observable decreases in pulse amplitude were observed in Stern's experiment.

Most photoplethysmographs produced by modern manufacturers of polygraph equipment measure the pulse wave under the monitoring site. The rising front of the pulse waveform depicts systole and the falling portion is diastole. The pulse amplitude is the measurement of the height of the tracing from the trough to the highest peak. The plethysmograph graphically displays the continuous pulse amplitude along with any changes that occur in the pulse volume at the monitoring site. While it is possible to monitor the slower relative change in total blood volume, this is not done as often.

The majority of photoplethysmographs available with standard polygraphs are made for durability, ease of use, and stability of placement. A clamp-like device houses both the light source and the sensor, and the assembly is placed on the finger or the thumb (see Figure 2). The sensor is designed to be comfortable. Examiners should begin each examination with an acquaintance test to ensure that the pulse wave is recorded at the proper amplitude (about 3/4 inch) and that the tracing is not erratic. Some experimentation and adjustment may be required to find the optimal recording site on the hand. The sensor is sensitive to movement, so examinees must keep their hands still during testing. After use, the sensor may be cleaned with a dry cotton cloth by gently wiping the inside area. The photoplethysmograph component requires no special handling beyond what is normal for standard computerized polygraph equipment.

Figure 2. Diagram of a photoplethysmograph with a reflective photo sensor on the same side of the monitoring site as the LED light source. Reprinted with the permission of Lafayette Instrument Company.



### Implementation and Measurement Considerations

There are several external factors that can affect photoplethysmograph recordings. The most likely is ambient temperature. One of the primary purposes of blood flow to the skin is thermoregulation. If a person is cold, there will likely be increased vasoconstriction in the subject's tonic or baseline measurements. This may impede or limit the subject's ability to mount a sympathetic response to a threatening stimulus.

Conversely, if the ambient temperature is too high, the subject will experience some degree of vasodilation as the body attempts to increase blood flow to the skin and lower the body temperature. Extreme heat will constrain the system's vasodilatation potential. The heat factor is one of the reasons it is important to manufacture the photoplethysmograph with a light source that does not produce a significant amount of heat. Heat produced by the light source could confound the photoplethysmograph readings by causing vasodilation under the light.

A second factor that could interfere with obtaining optimal recordings is the postural location of the monitoring site. If the monitoring site is substantially below heart level, the venous pressure will be raised and may result in decreased pulse amplitude. Conversely, if the monitoring site is raised, the distention of the vein may result in an increase in the pulse amplitude. It is recommended the polygraph examination be conducted in a chair designed for polygraph testing and at a comfortable room temperature.

For the peripheral vasomotor activity, the relative strength of the reactions is assessed by comparing the reduction in pulse amplitude. Numerical scores are based on the duration and degree of amplitude reduction. Scores may be assigned when there is no difference in amplitude decrease but a discernable difference in duration of the reactions. Any scores from the photoplethysmograph are included in the total score for that spot. The inclusion of the photoplethysmograph in the polygraph testing does not change the cutting scores.

Figure 3. Example of the photoplethysmograph and electrodermal channels displayed simultaneously.





Figure 4. Second example of the photoplethysmograph and electrodermal channels displayed simultaneously.



## Research


The photoplethysmograph was incorporated into the Utah probable-lie test (PLT) by at least the 1970s, and has been studied extensively in subsequent research (Honts, Raskin, and Kircher, 1985; Horowitz, Kircher, Honts and Raskin, 1999; Kircher and Raskin, 1988; Podlesny, Raskin and Barland, 1976; Raskin and Hare, 1978; Rovner, 1986).

The Utah Scoring System is based on physiological phenomena that have been proven to be valid and reliable indicators of sympathetic arousal. Scorers using the Utah Scoring system exceed 0.90 for interrater reliability (Bell, Raskin, Honts and Kircher, 1999). The accuracy of the Utah Scoring system from several analog studies averaged 90% (Bell, Raskin, Honts and Kircher, 1999). The results of field studies using the Utah Scoring system are consistent with analog study results (Bell, Raskin, Honts and Kircher, 1999). These were all done with the photoplethysmograph incorporated as an integral part of the testing.

The relative contribution of the photoplethysmograph to polygraph decision accuracy has been the subject of previous investigation. As with the other polygraph channels, most score assignments were 0 or +/-1. Bell et al. noted in scoring finger pulse amplitude (recorded with the photoplethysmograph) scores of 0 were assigned about 70% of the time and scores of +/-1 about 30%, (Bell, Raskin, Honts & Kircher, 1999). The current authors obtained the score sheets from 100 laboratory-based examinations in which five charts were conducted per exam (Kircher and Raskin, 1988). Half of the subjects had been programmed truthful and the other half deceptive. A reanalysis of those data was conducted and we measured the frequency with which a score was assigned to the plethysmograph tracing. This provided a sample size of 1500 numerical scores (3 relevant questions X 5 charts X 100 subjects). This survey revealed that approximately 61% of the plethysmograph numerical scores were 0, and 31% of the time a non-zero score was assigned. The proportions of positive scores and negative scores were not significantly different from one another ( $z = 0.35$ , ns). Of the 229 positive scores, 224 were +1 and 5 were scored +2. Of the 236 negative scores, 231 were -1 and 5 were -2.

The contribution of each polygraph data channel toward the total score from this data set is shown in Figure 5. On average the electrodermal response was the strongest contributor, with 63% of the score totals coming from this single channel. Cardiovascular and the plethysmograph each added another 15%, with the pneumograph taking up the remaining 7%. In view of the strong showing for the photoplethysmograph, it is evident that polygraph decision accuracy could benefit from the addition of the plethysmograph data.

Figure 5. Average total scores for four polygraph data channels across five charts.



In a meta-analysis of validated polygraph techniques, the Utah PLT has been shown to have one of the highest overall accuracy rates (91% excluding inconclusive tests) and the one of the lowest rates of inconclusive outcomes (12%) (Krapohl, 2006). There are many aspects of the Utah PLT that undoubtedly contribute to the high validity and reliability the test enjoys, and among them is the incorporation of the photoplethysmograph. The photoplethysmograph provides an additional index of sympathetic arousal that is independent from the other polygraph channels. The underlying physiological principles of the photoplethysmograph are scientifically based and have been demonstrated to be reliable in laboratory research (Kircher & Raskin, 1988).

## Summary

One of the most straightforward methods to increase polygraph decision accuracy, and reduce inconclusive results, is to add independent physiological data channels to the current polygraph. Of the possible physiological phenomena available, the best researched is the vasomotor response, which can be recorded using the non-intrusive photoplethysmograph. When scoring the photoplethysmograph channel, the diagnostic features are the degree of pulse amplitude reduction, followed by the duration of the reduction (Bell 1999, Handler 2006). The scores from the photoplethysmograph channel are treated in a similar fashion to the scores from the traditional data channels. Polygraph decision rules are not altered by the inclusion of photoplethysmograph scores. It is important to note that, though the data from the photoplethysmograph may at times have a similar appearance to the cardiovascular channel, the two channels are differently innervated, and cannot replace one another in the polygraph.

## References

- Bell, B.G., Raskin, D.C., Honts, C.R., & Kircher, J.C. (1999). The Utah numerical scoring system. *Polygraph*, 28 (1), 1-9.
- Brown, C.C. (1967). *Methods in Psychophysiology*. Baltimore, MD: The Williams & Wilkins Company.
- Cannon, W.B. (1915). *Bodily Changes in Pain, Hunger, Fear and Rage*. New York, NY: D. Appleton & Company.
- Darrow, C.W. (1929). The galvanic skin reflex and finger volume changes. *American Journal of Physiology*, 88, 219-229.
- Fere, C. (1888). Note on changes in electrical resistance under the effect of sensory stimulation and emotion. *Comptes Rendus des Seances de la Societe de Biologie* (Series 9), 5, 217-219.
- Handler, M.D. (2006). Utah Probable Lie Comparison Test. *Polygraph*, 35(3), 139-148.
- Honts, C.R., Raskin, D.C., & Kircher, J.C. (1985). Effects of socialization on the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 19(4), 373-385.
- Horowitz, S.W., Kircher, J.C., Honts, C.R., & Raskin, D.C. (1997). The role of comparison questions in physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 34(1), 108-115.
- Hertzman, A.B. (1938). The blood supply of various skin areas as estimated by the photoelectric plethysmograph. *American Journal of Physiology*, 124:328.10
- Kircher, J.C., & Raskin, D.C. (1988). Human versus computerized evaluations of polygraph data in a laboratory setting, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 73(2), 291-302.
- Krapohl, D.J. (2006). Validated polygraph techniques. *Polygraph* 35, 149-155.
- Marieb, E.N. (1999). *Human Anatomy & Physiology*. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, Benjamin Cummings Publisher
- Podlesny, J.A. & Raskin, D.C. (1978). Effectiveness of techniques and physiological measures in the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 15, 344-359.
- Podlesny, J.A., Raskin, D.C., & Barland, G.H. (1976). Effectiveness of techniques and physiological measures in the detection of deception. Report No. 76-5. National Institute of Law Enforcement and Justice, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice (Contract No. 75-NI-99-0001) University of Utah.

- Rovner, L.I. (1986). The accuracy of physiological detection of deception for subjects with prior knowledge. *Polygraph*, 15(1), 1-39.
- Raskin, D.C. & Hare, R.D. (1978). Psychopathy and the detection of deception in a prison population. *Psychophysiology*, 15, 126-136.
- Stern, R.M. (1974). Earlobe photoplethysmography. *Psychophysiology*, 11, 73-75.
- Sticker, H. (1897). Wiener klin. *Rundschau*, xi, 497.
- Vigoroux, R. (1879). Sur le role de la resistance electrique des tissus dans l'electro-diagnostic. *Comptes Rendes Societe de Biologie*, 31, 336-339.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Chris Fausett and Dr. Tim Weber for their thoughtful reviews and comments to an earlier draft of this paper. The views expressed in this article are solely those of the authors, and do not necessarily represent those of the Montgomery County Texas Sheriff's Office, the DoD or the US government. The authors and the APA grant unlimited use and duplication rights to any polygraph school accredited by the American Polygraph Association or the American Association of Police Polygraphists for initial and continuing education of polygraph examiners. Questions and comments are welcome at polygraphmark@sbcglobal.net

***Any information or claims reported in any articles or advertisements do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of the Officers or Board of Directors of the AAPP and members should evaluate any information based on current peer reviewed studies and research.***



## See YOU in Houston!



Houston Museum of Natural History

**April 23 -28, 2017**



Battleship Texas



Galveston Pleasure Pier at Night

*20 Awesome Spots in Houston BBQ*



## **AAPP ADVERTISING RATE SCHEDULE**

**Website Banner Ads** will be placed on the “Home” page of our website, [www.policepolygraph.org](http://www.policepolygraph.org) to be of optimal benefit to our advertisers. The cost is **\$300.00 per quarter** (3months) or **\$1000.00 per year**.

**Journal and Digest Ads** (any size ad up to full page): **\$125.00 per quarter** (three months) or **\$300.00 per year**.

- *We offer a package deal that includes the following options: **\$400.00 per quarter** which would include an ad in the Journal and a website ad, or **\$1300.00 per year** which would include an ad in all Journals/Digest and an ad on the website for the entire year.*

**Journal:** The AAPP Journal, “*THE POLICE POLYGRAPHIST*,” is published three times per year and includes timely and relevant news, articles and information regarding the business and activities of the AAPP. It also includes reports from the five regional directors regarding activities of state organizations and associations. The regional reports highlight state association sponsored seminars and meeting, state legislative issues and other news from the regions. The Journal also includes a section featuring the AAPP approved schools and their respective training schedules.

**Digest/Newsletter:** The first AAPP newsletter, “*THE POLICE POLYGRAPH DIGEST*,” made its debut in January 2007. The Digest features pertinent polygraph articles from our members and additional relevant information that is normally not featured in the Journal. Advertisers are invited to place their ads in our popular and informative AAPP Digest, which is the first publication of the calendar year and is included in the optional quarterly or yearly pricing.

**Directory on CD:** The AAPP’s “*Directory of Members*,” is an on-line publication that is maintained throughout the year and is available to all members in the Member’s only section of the AAPP website. It is available for viewing on-line or can be downloaded and used a handy reference on schools, fellow members and advertisers. The cost for advertising in the AAPP on-line directory is \$500.00 per year.

All advertisements to be placed in the AAPP “*THE POLICE POLYGRAPHIST*,” or the “*POLICE POLYGRAPH DIGEST*,” need to be received by the AAPP Editor 45 days prior to the proposed publication dates?

To submit a website ad please contact our webmaster, Karen Clark by e-mailing her through our website contact form: [http://www.policepolygraph.org/contact\\_form.htm](http://www.policepolygraph.org/contact_form.htm) or calling her at: (870) 489-4455

**All ads are subject to approval by the Board of Directors before they are included in the on-line publications.**

### **DEADLINES AND PUBLICATION DATES:**

November 16 for the **January Digest Issue**

January 16 for the **March Journal Issue**

May 16 deadline for the **July Journal Issue**

Sept. 16 deadline for the **November Journal Issue**

For more information contact:  
AAPP National Office Manager  
Amanda Reece  
3223 Lake Ave, Unit 15c-168  
Wilmette, IL 60091-1069  
847-635-3980  
E-mail: [nom@policepolygraph.org](mailto:nom@policepolygraph.org)

*Updated November 2013: (The AAPP reserves the right to adjust Advertising rates as upon review by the board and with a minimum of three months notification to advertisers.)*



# American Association of Police Polygraphists, Inc.

## MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

visit our web site: [www.policepolygraph.org](http://www.policepolygraph.org)

Answer all sections completely. Incomplete or false statements will be grounds for disqualification and/or removal from membership. *Please type or print clearly.*

### CLASS OF MEMBERSHIP

CHECK ONE:

- ACTIVE:** Must be a law enforcement polygraphist as defined in Article III. The applicant must have demonstrated proficiency in the administration of polygraph examinations.
- INTERN:** A law enforcement polygraphist as defined in Article III, who has completed the classroom portion of an AAPP-recognized school, but has not completed an internship. No sooner than six months after successful completion of an AAPP-recognized polygraph school and successful completion of a minimum of 50 polygraph exams, an Intern member may apply for Active membership.
- AFFILIATE MEMBERSHIP:** Granted to persons who demonstrate a genuine interest in the polygraph profession.

FIRST NAME:	MI:	LAST NAME:	DOB:
-------------	-----	------------	------

MAILING ADDRESS:			
------------------	--	--	--

CITY:	ST:	ZIP:	-	WORK: ( ) -	CELL: ( ) -
-------	-----	------	---	-------------	-------------

FAX: -	HOME: ( ) -	EMAIL:
--------	-------------	--------

ARE YOU A UNITED STATES CITIZEN? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			
---	--	--	--

DOES YOUR STATE HAVE POLYGRAPH LICENSING? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO (IF YES) ARE YOU CURRENTLY LICENSED IN YOUR STATE? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			
--	--	--	--

LICENSE #	LIST ALL POLYGRAPH LICENSES YOU HAVE HELD:		
-----------	--	--	--

### POLYGRAPH TRAINING

SCHOOL NAME:			
--------------	--	--	--

GRADUATION DATE:	INTERN SUPERVISOR:
------------------	--------------------

SCHOOL DIRECTOR:	PHONE:
------------------	--------

*(If this school is not currently active, please provide a copy of your graduation certificate and detailed information about the school on a separate sheet)*

### LAW ENFORCEMENT POLYGRAPH EXPERIENCE

HOW MANY YEARS OF EXPERIENCE?	NUMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT EXAMS CONDUCTED?
-------------------------------	--

WHAT PERCENTAGE OF YOUR WORK TIME IS DEVOTED TO POLYGRAPH?	%
--	---

INSTRUMENT AND TECHNIQUE(S) CURRENTLY USING:	
--	--

LIST ANY CURRENT OR PAST POLYGRAPH ASSOCIATION(S) IN WHICH YOU ARE (WERE) A MEMBER OR TO WHICH YOU HAVE APPLIED:	
--	--

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED MEMBERSHIP INTO ANY POLYGRAPH ASSOCIATION? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <i>(Provide detailed information on a separate sheet of paper if you answered YES to this question)</i>	
--	--

### CURRENT EMPLOYER

AGENCY/COMPANY NAME:	PHONE:
----------------------	--------

ADDRESS:	
----------	--

SUPERVISOR:	PHONE:
-------------	--------

YOUR DUTIES:	
--------------	--

*(If not currently employed by a law enforcement/governmental agency, please list any former law enforcement/governmental agency employment on page 2)*

## FORMER LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT

AGENCY NAME:	PHONE: ( ) -
ADDRESS:	
SUPERVISOR:	PHONE: ( ) -
HIRE DATE: / / (Check ONE) RETIRED, RESIGNED, TERMINATED	DATE:
DID YOU CONDUCT POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS WHILE EMPLOYED BY THIS AGENCY? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	

If you were/are not a full-time salaried law enforcement or governmental agency employee,  
please list a law enforcement/governmental agency for which you provide polygraph services:

AGENCY NAME:	PHONE: ( ) -
ADDRESS:	
CONTACT:	PHONE: ( ) -

## PERSONAL HISTORY

(1) Have you ever been convicted of a crime?  YES  NO (2) Have you ever been discharged or released from any branch, department or agency of federal, state, county or municipal government, including the armed services of the United States of America and its Reserve or National Guard affiliates under other than honorable conditions?  YES  NO (3) Have you ever been discharged or asked to resign from any employment, organizational membership or society?  YES  NO

(Provide detailed information on a separate sheet of paper if you answered YES to any of these questions)

## CHARACTER REFERENCES MUST BE POLYGRAPHISTS

NAME	AGENCY	PHONE #	E-MAIL

## APPLICATION AGREEMENT

I hereby apply for membership, pursuant and subject to the Constitution and By-laws of the American Association of Police Polygraphists, Inc. (hereafter referred to as the AAPP). I further agree to be bound to the AAPP's Constitution and By-laws. I agree to hold the AAPP, its officers and agents and anyone acting on its behalf free from damage, liabilities or complaint by any action taken in connection with this background or information obtained to determine membership. I hereby request and authorize any and all persons, agencies, firms, companies, educational institutions, courts, law enforcement or government agencies, having information or documents related to or about me, to furnish such information and/or documents to an authorized representative of the AAPP.

**Enclosed is \$150.00 - U.S. currency (\$175.00 for foreign members). I understand that \$125.00 (\$150 for foreign members) represents a one-year membership fee, and \$25.00 is a non-refundable AAPP filing and administrative fee.** I further grant permission to the president of the AAPP, or a designated representative, to release any and all information that the AAPP has learned while conducting a background relative to this application or information concerning my membership. Such information may be released to any national, regional or state polygraph association making such request. The information I have provided on this application is true and accurate. **MAIL completed application with payment (check or money order payable to American Association of Police Polygraphists, Inc.) to AAPP National Office, 3223 Lake Ave., Unit 15c-168, Wilmette, IL 60091-1069 or EMAIL completed application (in Word or PDF format) to nom@policepolygraph.org and pay by credit card by calling 1-847-635-3980. Please call the AAPP National Office if you have any questions.**

APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

## OFFICE USE ONLY

APPLICATION APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_ NOT APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_ MEMBERSHIP NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

REGIONAL DIRECTOR SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_



## American Association of Police Polygraphists, Inc.

### WILLIAM "BUDDY" SENTNER SCHOLARSHIP AWARD \*

#### SCHOLARSHIP APPLICATION

The American Association of Police Polygraphists, Inc. sponsors an annual educational scholarship to any deserving and qualified graduating high school senior or student currently attending college. Each applicant must complete the following application for eligibility. Incomplete applications will not be considered.

The American Association of Police Polygraphists (AAPP) may award scholarship(s) annually. AAPP Scholarship Selection Committee members consist of the current Officers and Board of Directors of the Association. The Selection Committee is presided over by the Chairperson of the Board or a designee. The Selection Committee determines the monetary amount awarded on an annual basis for this scholarship program. If the submitting applicant is a dependent of a Selection Committee member, said member will not act in the selection process.

The submitting applicant must be a child, grandchild, niece, nephew, or adopted or dependent child, or spouse. The applicant must have at least one parent, grandparent, uncle, aunt or legal guardian or spouse who is a FULL, LIFE, or HONORABLY RETIRED member in good standing with the AAPP. The applicant's relative may be deceased as long as he or she was a member in good standing with the AAPP at the time of death.

Requests for applications will be made to the AAPP Chairperson and the AAPP Board of Directors. Applications must be completed and returned to the chairperson no later than February 15<sup>th</sup> of the year in which the applicant applies. The chairperson will present all completed applications to the Selection Committee for evaluation during the AAPP's scheduled board meeting prior to the annual business meeting. Recipient(s) of the scholarship will be announced during the annual seminar meeting; recipient(s) name and photograph may be published (unless specifically requested not to) in the *AAPP Journal*.

The Selection Committee must approve any deviation or changes required as part of this program. Requests for any changes must be submitted in writing to the chairperson. The Selection Committee will make all decisions regarding the rules and information of the scholarship application. All applications are to be evaluated in the same manner. Decisions about the amount of the award; disbursement of scholarship monies; and, selection of recipients will be made by the Selection Committee. The chairperson will notify the recipient of the scholarship award, and the AAPP Treasurer will ensure proper disbursement of monies to the recipient(s) or to the recipient's school.

**THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION MUST BE PRINTED OR TYPED. ANY INFORMATION NOT INCLUDED OR ILLEGIBLE WILL BE CAUSE FOR APPLICATION REJECTION.**

**APPLICANT NAME AND ADDRESS**

FIRST NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ MI: \_\_\_\_\_ LAST NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

MAILING ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

CITY: \_\_\_\_\_ STATE: \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP: \_\_\_\_\_

WORK PHONE: (    ) \_\_\_\_\_ HOME PHONE: (    ) \_\_\_\_\_

FAX NO. (    ) \_\_\_\_\_ EMAIL: \_\_\_\_\_

**AAPP MEMBER INFORMATION**

FIRST NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ MI: \_\_\_\_\_ LAST NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

RELATIONSHIP: \_\_\_\_\_  LIVING  DECEASED

**SCHOOL INFORMATION**

LIST THE COLLEGE, INSTITUTION OR UNIVERSITY YOU PLAN TO ATTEND.

ACCEPTED:  YES  NO WHICH SCHOOL(S) \_\_\_\_\_

HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR FINANCIAL AID AT THE COLLEGE, INSTITUTION OR UNIVERSITY LISTED ABOVE?  YES  NO

ARE YOU THE RECIPIENT OF ANY OTHER SCHOLARSHIP AWARD? IF YES, PLEASE DESCRIBE: \_\_\_\_\_

ESTIMATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE NEEDED PER YEAR: \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL GOALS: \_\_\_\_\_

## PERSONAL HISTORY

(1) Have you ever been the subject of a criminal investigation or an offense involving moral turpitude?  YES  NO (2) Have you ever been discharged or released from any branch, department or agency of federal, state, county or municipal government, including the armed services of the United States of America and it's Reserve or National Guard affiliates for reasons other than honorable conditions?  YES  NO (3) Have you ever been discharged or asked to resign from any employment, organizational membership or society?  YES  NO

*(Provide detailed information on a separate sheet of paper if you answered YES to any of these questions)*

ARE YOU PRESENTLY EMPLOYED:  FULL TIME  PART TIME

LIST EMPLOYER (S): \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

ARE ANY MEMBERS OF YOUR FAMILY ATTENDING COLLEGE NOW? IF YES, GIVE PARTICULARS: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

LIST ANY SPECIAL RECOGNITION YOU MAY HAVE RECEIVED FOR EXCELLENCE IN SCHOOL WORK, SUCH AS PRIZES, AWARDS OR HONORS:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

LIST ACTIVITIES AND/OR ANY OFFICES OR POSITIONS HELD: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

LIST YOUR EXTRA CURRICULAR OR COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES AND HOBBIES:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

IN THE SPACE BELOW IN **YOUR HANDWRITING (DO NOT TYPE)** STATE YOUR GOALS AND OBJECTIVES FOR THE FUTURE.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1) Applicant must submit with this application an official transcript of all courses and grades received throughout high school and/or other educational institution most recently attended.
- 2) Applicant must provide with this application two character reference letters, one from your current institution's faculty and one from a non-relative.
- 3) If extra space is needed, the applicant may include (*by enclosure or attachment*) additional pages to this application. All information will be retained by the AAPP and will not be returned to the submitting applicant.

**APPLICATION AGREEMENT**

YOU ARE REQUIRED TO SIGN AND DATE YOUR APPLICATION. FALSIFICATION OR OMISSION OF INFORMATION WILL RESULT IN REJECTION OF THE APPLICATION. NOTE: YOUR SIGNATURE AUTHORIZES THE AAPP TO REQUEST AND VERIFY ANY BACKGROUND INFORMATION REGARDING YOUR APPLICATION.

APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

WITNESS' SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

**PLEASE SUBMIT COMPLETED APPLICATION TO:**

**AAPP**

**3223 Lake Ave., Unit 15c-168, Wilmette, IL 6009-1069**

**BUSINESS PHONE: (847) 635-3980**

**EMAIL ADDRESS: nom@policepolygraph.org**

**\* WHO IS WILLIAM "BUDDY" SENTNER**

Special Agent Buddy Sentner, a 44 year old AAPP member, was shot and killed in the Tallahassee Federal Correctional Institution while serving arrest warrants on six federal corrections officers on June 21<sup>st</sup>, 2006. The officers had been charged with smuggling contraband to prisoners in exchange for money and other favors. As agents served the warrants in the lobby, one of the six corrections officers opened fire with a weapon he had smuggled into the prison. Agent Sentner returned fire while he shielded the other agents in the room from the gunfire. Before he was fatally shot in the chest, Agent Sentner's shots fatally wounded the assailant. A corrections lieutenant, who assisted the agents serve the warrants and make the arrests, was also shot and wounded by the assailant. The other five corrections officers were taken into custody. Agent Sentner had served in law enforcement for 17 years. He was assigned to the U.S. Department of Justice - Office of the Inspector General, Orlando Field Office. He had formerly served as a special agent with the United States Secret Service and as an officer with the United States Secret Service Uniformed Division.



## American Association of Police Polygraphists, Inc. (AAPP)

### Application for Review and Designation as: Certified Forensic Law Enforcement Examiner

#### **REQUIREMENTS:**

1. Active or Life Member in good standing of the American Association of Police Polygraphists.
2. Graduation from a formal Polygraph training school recognized and approved by the Board of Directors of the American Association of Police Polygraphists.
3. Completion of a supervised polygraph program by an experienced polygraph examiner, commonly referred to as an internship whether formal or informal.
4. Completion of a minimum of two hundred (200) polygraph exams.
5. Currently active in the supervision or administration of polygraph examinations.
6. Completion of twelve (12) hours annually of continuing professional education in polygraph technique and instrumentation approved by the Board of Directors the American Association of Police Polygraphists, to include attendance at a minimum of one (1) AAPP seminar during the past three (3) years. Certification will be valid for a period of three (3) years from the date of the last AAPP Annual Seminar attended. I understand that I must re-apply for certification when my certification expires.

I certify that I possess the qualifications listed above for certification and hereby make application for same. I understand that by accepting certification I agree to comply fully with the Law Enforcement Polygraph Standards and Principals as set forth by the American Association of Police Polygraphists. I understand that any false statement or representation on my part associated with this application for certification will be sufficient cause for the revocation of certification.

SIGNATURE \_\_\_\_\_

PRINT NAME \_\_\_\_\_  
First \_\_\_\_\_ Middle \_\_\_\_\_ Last \_\_\_\_\_

MAILING ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_  
Street Address or P. O. Box \_\_\_\_\_

Additional Line for Address \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip Code \_\_\_\_\_

TELEPHONE: \_\_\_\_\_ EMAIL: \_\_\_\_\_

**Note: The \$25.00 processing fee must accompany application.  
Make check payable to AAPP.**



## American Association of Police Polygraphists, Inc. (AAPP)

### Supporting Documents to Accompany APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION

Print name: \_\_\_\_\_ AAPP No. \_\_\_\_\_  
*First*                   *Middle Initial*                   *Last*

Name of polygraph school: \_\_\_\_\_ Graduation Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of your Intern Sponsor: \_\_\_\_\_

Intern sponsor's telephone: (\_\_\_\_\_) \_\_\_\_\_ Date internship completed: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of polygraph examinations conducted: \_\_\_\_\_

Total number of continuing education hours completed in the last three (3) years: \_\_\_\_\_

The last AAPP Conference I attended took place in \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_  
*(Please attach copies of continued professional education)*                   *Location*                   *Date*

Please attach photocopies of "Continuing Education" Training Certificates for the last three (3) years.

This detailed information sheet must accompany the application for certification. If sufficient requirements have not been completed during the present calendar year you may use the previous three (3) calendar years for consideration. The information contained must be verifiable upon request. You are notified that verification will be requested on a random basis or at the specific request of any member of the American Association of Police Polygraphists (AAPP).

Send completed application along with the \$25.00 processing fee to:

Bob Heard – Polygraph Detail  
SBSD – Headquarters  
655 E. Third St  
San Bernardino, CA 92415

CERT#2 R11/05

# **AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF POLICE POLYGRAPHISTS, INC.**



## **CHANGE NOTICE TO UPDATE AAPP DIRECTORY**

PLEASE CHECK THE AAPP DIRECTORY TO ENSURE THAT YOUR ADDRESS AND RELATED INFORMATION ARE CORRECT. ALL ANNOUNCEMENTS (SEMINAR, DUES INVOICES AND THE JOURNAL) ARE SENT TO THE ADDRESS LISTED. IF THE INFORMATION IS INCORRECT, PLEASE COMPLETE THIS FORM AND FORWARD IT AS LISTED BELOW.

### **NAME AND ADDRESS**

FIRST NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ MI: \_\_\_\_\_ LAST NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

MAILING ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

CITY: \_\_\_\_\_ STATE: \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP: \_\_\_\_\_ WK PHONE: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

FAX: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ HOME PHONE: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ EMAIL: \_\_\_\_\_

### **CLASS OF MEMBERSHIP**

CHECK ONE:

- ACTIVE: Must be a law enforcement polygraphist as defined in Article III. The applicant must have demonstrated proficiency in the administration of polygraph examinations.
- INTERN: A Law Enforcement Polygraphist as defined in Article III, who has completed the classroom portion of an AAPP recognized school but has not completed an internship. No sooner than six months after successful completion of an AAPP recognized polygraph school and successful completion of a minimum of 50 polygraph exams, an Intern member may apply for Active membership.
- AFFILIATE MEMBERSHIP: Granted to persons who demonstrate a genuine interest in the polygraph profession.

### **POLYGRAPH TRAINING**

SCHOOL NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

STATE LICENSE NO.: \_\_\_\_\_

### **CURRENT EMPLOYER**

AGENCY/COMPANY NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

FAX, SEND OR E-MAIL TO:

AAPP National Office  
P.O. Box 657  
Waynesville, Ohio 45068-0657  
FAX: 937-488-1046  
E-MAIL: [aappnom@hughes.net](mailto:aappnom@hughes.net)

Call AAPP toll free at 1-888-743-5479 with any questions.

# **AAPP Membership Cancellation**

## **Advisement**

To: AAPP Secretary

I, \_\_\_\_\_, have terminated my membership with the American Association of Police Polygraphists (AAPP).

- I am returning the AAPP membership certificate bearing my name and membership number to the address listed below, or,
- I have destroyed the AAPP membership certificate bearing my name and membership number.

I have removed any claim(s) of membership to the AAPP from my advertisement, to include but not limited to, my business cards, letterhead, and web page(s).

---

Signature

---

Date

Bob Heard, AAPP Secretary  
PO Box 552  
Yucaipa, CA 92399-0552

Please direct any questions to Bob at: [aappsecretary@aol.com](mailto:aappsecretary@aol.com)  
The AAPP thanks you.



**This publication is the sole property of the American Association of Police Polygraphists  
reproduction of any portion is prohibited without the expressed written consent of the  
AAPP Officers and Board of Directors.**