

# Architecture Design of Real-Time Communication for Organizations with WebRTC

Pedro Vílchez

---


TFG UPF / YEAR 2015

DIRECTOR/S OF THE TFG:

Miquel Oliver, Victor Pascual

DEPARTMENT:

Departament de Tecnologies de la Informació i les Comunicacions (DTIC)





Dedicated to my family.

DRAFT



## Acknowledgments

Special thanks to Victor Pascual and Miquel Oliver for his mentorship. Thanks to Victor Oncins and Angel Elena (craem) for his feedback and help.

Thanks to Daniel Pocock for its work on [rtcquickstart.org](http://rtcquickstart.org). Thanks to [webtrchacks.com](http://webtrchacks.com) and all its team for the useful articles.

Thanks to all the people that works for the democratization of communications  
Thanks for reading. Thanks for your time.



## Abstract

The present project introduces the disrupting technology WebRTC (Web Real-Time Communication), that supports browser-to-browser applications without need of third party plugins. It is detailed how, since its release by Google in 2011, it's evolving and changing the way communications are understood. How to materialise a Real Time Communications in organizations with WebRTC and the use case of video and audio calls, taking as example Guifi.net and the opportunities that it offers: requirements, architecture design, component selection, implementation and demo.

## Resum

Aquest projecte introdueix la tecnologia disruptiva WebRTC (comunicació web en temps real), que suporta aplicacions de navegador a navegador sense la necessitat de complements addicionals. Es detalla com, des de que va ser alliberat per Google al 2011, està evolucionant i canviant la forma en que les comunicacions són enteses. Com materialitzar les comunicacions en temps real en organitzacions amb WebRTC i el cas d'ús de trucades de veu i vídeo, prenent com exemple Guifi.net i les oportunitats que ofereix: requeriments, disseny d'arquitectura, selecció de components, implementació i demostració.

## Resumen

Este proyecto introduce la tecnología disruptiva WebRTC (comunicación web en tiempo real), que soporta aplicaciones de navegador a navegador sin necesidad de complementos adicionales. Se detalla cómo, desde que fue liberado por Google en el 2011, está evolucionando y cambiando la forma en que son entendidas las comunicaciones. Cómo materializar las comunicaciones en tiempo real en organizaciones con WebRTC y el caso de uso de llamadas de voz y vídeo, tomando como ejemplo Guifi.net y las oportunidades que ofrece: requerimientos, diseño de la arquitectura, selección de componentes, implementación y demostración.





# Contents

<b>List of figures</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Objectives . . . . .	1
1.3 Outline . . . . .	2
<b>2 FUNDAMENTALS OF RTC</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Communications . . . . .	3
2.2 Real-time quality parameters . . . . .	7
<b>3 STATE OF THE ART</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 Important mechanisms . . . . .	9
3.2 Voice and video calls . . . . .	10
3.3 Instant messaging . . . . .	10
3.4 WebRTC . . . . .	11
<b>4 METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 SWOT Analysis of WebRTC . . . . .	13
4.2 Scope . . . . .	14
4.3 Resources . . . . .	14
4.4 Planning . . . . .	15
4.4.1 Scrum plan . . . . .	17
4.4.2 Metatools . . . . .	18
4.5 Tasks and work style . . . . .	19
4.6 Architecture Design . . . . .	20
<b>5 CONTRIBUTIONS AND RESULTS</b>	<b>23</b>
5.1 Architecture of Guifi.net . . . . .	23

5.2	Requirements . . . . .	23
5.2.1	Network requirements . . . . .	23
5.2.2	Generic use cases . . . . .	23
5.2.3	Required components . . . . .	26
5.3	Architecture design . . . . .	27
5.3.1	WebRTC to SIP case . . . . .	27
5.4	Component selection . . . . .	28
5.5	Applications available . . . . .	28
5.5.1	POCs . . . . .	28
5.6	Implementation . . . . .	28
5.7	<i>Demo</i> . . . . .	28
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK</b>	<b>31</b>
6.1	Conclusions . . . . .	31
6.2	Future Work . . . . .	31
<b>7</b>	<b>FAKE</b>	<b>33</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	PDU encapsulation with IP and TCP protocols, application is not specified. . . . .	6
4.1	General gantt chart . . . . .	16
4.2	Scrum plan gantt chart . . . . .	18
4.3	Work style diagram . . . . .	21
5.1	Component Diagram . . . . .	27
5.2	SDP exchange during SIP signalling . . . . .	28
5.3	SIP transport . . . . .	28
5.4	Transport . . . . .	29



# List of Tables

2.1	OSI, TCP layers and its description. . . . .	5
2.2	PDU associated with each layer in TCP/IP model . . . . .	6
4.1	Equipment resources . . . . .	15



# Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

A project presentation, motivation, objectives and outline of the chapters.

### 1.1 Motivation

In the other bachelor's thesis [Vílchez, 2014] I worked two ideas: *together we can do networks* and *it is simple to start*. Even so, in cities like Barcelona it is easy to find persons that do not understand what is Guifi.net, probably for 2 reasons: there is a very competitive communications market in cities and the complexity to understand a commons model from the consumer point of view. In the case of zones where there is no investment of large operators, the things change: persons need internet, and they struggle to understand the commons model.

The implementation of other services besides Internet, could help certain persons to understand the advantages of commons network, add value to the network. There are services based on contents that are difficult to maintain but there is a service that can be easily applied on a large scale: Real-time Communications. It is something to plan and wait until people start using it. Because is communication in a close environment. We are social.

Also, from the Guifi.net point of view, is a challenge, there were some attempts to implement a RTC system but they failed. With WebRTC, let's try again!

### 1.2 Objectives

- Free and secure communication between users using own RTC system and commons network infrastructure.
- Backward compatible with VoIP<sup>1</sup> network. Hence, users can communicate

---

<sup>1</sup>Voice over IP. The use of the telephone adapted to the the Internet network.

to other VoIP operators from inside and/or outside Guifi.net.

- An architecture design of an RTC system that fits the community network scenario.
- Ease of installation and use of the RTC.

## 1.3 Outline

This document is organised in 5 chapters.

**Chapter 1 Introduction** introduces the project. The motivation to start this project and the general objectives to achieve.

**Chapter 2 Fundamentals of RTC** presents the basics of the concepts communications and real-time quality measurements.

**Chapter 3 State of the art** discusses the state of the art of WebRTC and its associated technologies.

**Chapter 4 Methodology** contains a description of the processes involved to achieve this project.

**Chapter 5 Contributions and Results** develops the theory and practice of the objectives proposed by this project

**Chapter 6 Conclusions and Future Work** provides a general assessment of the project



# Chapter 2

## FUNDAMENTALS OF RTC

The generic concepts and infrastructures that make possible real-time communications and important quality parameters to consider.

### 2.1 Communications

In order to design communications in a system, the different elements must know what to do with the messages. That's why it is needed protocols. A protocol defines the format and the order of messages exchanged between two or more communicating entities, as well as the actions taken on the transmission and/or receipt of a message or other event.

Real-time communications are even more complex, the information has to arrive fast and it is convenient to prepare the receiver of the communication. Signalling is the process of sending control information over networks to monitor, control, route, and set up sessions between devices. These sessions include video and audio conference calls, data sessions, video calls, and mobile and landline telephone calls. Signalling is also used to set up instant messaging and chat sessions. A signalling protocol, is a protocol that needs signalling features.

There are different approaches to achieve real-time systems, that is why it is needed compatibility between the systems. A gateway allows equipment with different protocols to communicate with one another. For example, gateways are used when incompatible video systems are used for a video conference.

It is also important how to transport the data, there are two fundamental approaches: circuit switching and packet switching.

**Packet switching and the Internet.** The messages are divided in appropriate sized chunks of data known as packets. Packets travel from the source through a network, when they arrive at its destination, the messages are reconstructed. The network makes its best effort to deliver packets in a timely manner, but it does

not make any guarantees. Because the resources of the network are used on demand, not reserved, as a consequence, a packet may have to wait for access a communication link. Each packet could be routed in a different network path depending on the network state. Some of them could be lost if there is a congestion (a lot of traffic, a big number of packets). The network equipment needed to build a packet switching infrastructure is affordable, flexible and can manage easily a large amount of data (throughput). The internet is an example of a network of networks that consists of lots of private, public, academic, business, and government networks of local to global scope. The technical operation and standardization of the Internet is an activity of the IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force). The protocol stack of the Internet is the TCP/IP stack, the name was given due to the importance of this two protocols on the system.

**Circuit switching and traditional telephony.** The resource (a network path between source and destination) is reserved for the duration of the communication session between the endpoints. In one hand, is a guaranteed constant transmission rate, good link quality, in the other hand, is an expensive resource that is being wasted in silent periods. One of the techniques used to reduce the silent periods is the multiplexing of the circuits in time (Time-Division Multiplexing, TDM) or frequency (Frequency-Division Multiplexing, FDM). The Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) is an example of an aggregate network operated by national, regional and local telephony operators that used this type of network paradigm in the past. Now it is moving towards a packet switching network. The technical operation of the PSTN uses the standards created by the ITU (International Telecommunication Union). The protocol stack of PSTN network is the SS7 stack (Signalling System No. 7). Later, it was added the possibility to include generic data to its networks with ISDN (Integrated Services Digital Network).

IETF and ITU did efforts to adapt its networks to the requested uses: transport of generic data and real-time data. Internet is becoming the standard way to transport any kind of data. IETF did operations to include traditional telecommunication operators inside Internet, for example SIGTRAN<sup>1</sup> family of protocols (compatibility with SS7 and ISDN stacks). There is also the Quality of Service (QoS) concept, that gives priority to chosen packets to arrive faster. That's why Internet can manage real-time data with reasonable delay.

SS7, ISDN and TCP/IP stacks are based on the Open Systems Interconnection model (OSI model<sup>2</sup>). Each protocol belongs to one layer. Each layer provides its service by performing certain actions within that layer and by using the services of the layer directly below it, this is called the service model. Table 2.1 shows the

---

<sup>1</sup>derived from signalling transport, working group of IETF.

<sup>2</sup>ISO/IEC 7498-1:1994.

difference between the and OSI model and the TCP/IP stack, each row is a layer. In TCP/IP, physical layer is implemented with hardware, for example with NIC (Network Interface Controller) or WNIC (Wireless Network Interface Controller), generally uses the IEEE 802 family, and its standardization is managed by IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.). Link layer is typically implemented by an element called switch, they provide close connectivity. Network layer is typically implemented by an element called router, they provide far connectivity. Session and presentation layers has to be implemented by the developer of the application (↗).

Table 2.1: OSI, TCP layers and its description.

OSI layer	Description	TCP/IP layer
Application	Network and application services	Application
Presentation	Data format	↗
Session	Signalling of data	↗
Transport	Connection establishment side to side	Transport
Network	Logic addressing (far)	Network
Link	Physical addressing (close)	Link
Physical	Binary signal and transmission	Physical

Table 2.2 shows the name of each Protocol Data Unit (PDU), the most important protocols and the organization that standarizes it. At link layer the most common PDU is the Ethernet frame, with its associated MAC address (Media Access Control). At the network layer the datagram, with its associated IP address (Internet Protocol). The version 4 of IP, IPv4 [Postel, 1981a], contains  $2^{32}$  (4.3 billion) addresses, as this is not enough, that is why Internet network is upgrading to IPv6 [Deering and Hinden, 1998] with  $2^{128}$  (more than  $7.9 \cdot 10^{28}$  times as many as IPv4). Meanwhile, there are basically two types of IPv4 addresses: private IP, not reachable from the Internet, and public IP, reachable from the Internet. It is difficult to remember IP addresses to access different locations, that's why there is DNS [Mockapetris, 1987] (Domain Name Server), it associates human readable addresses (domain) to IP's. An IP address includes a range of ports (0 to 65535), its main purpose is to share a single physical connection to another place (host), for example an IP destination can has more than one different services available. At the transport layer, one unreliable and fast protocol, UDP [Postel, 1980] (User Datagram Protocol) and another reliable, TCP [Postel, 1981b] (Transmission Control Protocol). For the real-time topic, UDP is massively used in the real-time communication, and TCP is usually used for the signalling. At the application layer there are many possibilities, an example is the DNS, briefly described above.

Table 2.2: PDU associated with each layer in TCP/IP model

TCP/IP layer	PDU	Standardization
Application	Message	IETF
Transport	Datagram (UDP), Segment (TCP)	IETF
Network	Datagram or Packet (IP)	IETF
Link	Frame (MAC)	IEEE

Every PDU has two types of fields: header and payload. A PDU is encapsulated with the layer below (see Figure 2.1). If the header part is greater than payload part is called overhead. If it occurs, the communication is not very efficient, specially if they are sent lots of packets. Signalling data is overhead in the sense that it is not user data, but is useful to establish a call.




Figure 2.1: PDU encapsulation with IP and TCP protocols, application is not specified.

During a call, inside the UDP datagram there is the Real-time Transport Protocol [Schulzrinne et al., 2003] (RTP). RTP is a protocol used to encapsulate multimedia content (audio, video streams). Its header contains a sequence number and timestamp. The sequence number increments by one for each RTP data packet sent, and may be used by the receiver to detect packet loss and to restore packet sequence. The timestamp registers the time when the RTP packet has been generated, it helps with the synchronization and jitter<sup>3</sup> calculations. The RTP Control Protocol (RTCP) periodically sends packets with statistics information to participants in a streaming multimedia RTP session. RTP and RTCP provide information on the quality of communication but do nothing to fix or improve it. An application may use this information to control quality of service parameters. RTCP itself

<sup>3</sup>jitter is briefly defined in the next section.

does not provide encryption or authentication methods. If they are needed, it is available the Secure Real-time Transport Protocol [Baugher et al., 2004] (SRTP).

More information about packet switching can be found at [Kurose and Ross, 2013], more information about telecommunications in general and signalling at [Dodd, 2012]. Some parts of that sources were included in this section.

## 2.2 Real-time quality parameters

The network is defined as the router, switch and link components that interconnect all the path between receiver and its destination (them included). The real-time communication depends on how the network is affected by the following parameters.

The bandwidth is the number of bits<sup>4</sup> that can arrive from the source to the destination through the network in one unit of time (for example, MB/s). A greater bandwidth delivers higher definition multimedia content. A packet can be lost if it is never received at the destination. A communication suffers jitter when different packets arrive with different delays at its destination. It is defined delay as the time between transmitting the packet and arriving at its destination. Due to the nature of packet switching, packets can be delivered out of order. The arrived packet can present a corruption of data. The prior parameters presented affect negatively to the communication. The undesirable effects might be silent lapses and interruptions of the communication.

Real-time data transport is managed with UDP. This protocol does not perform retransmission, it means that the communication should be fixed for the next upcoming packets. Real-time communications require low bandwidth and delay. This two requirements can be achieved easily with the technologies nowadays.

Some parts of [Velázquez, 2010] and [Frank, 2004] were included in this section. This sources are about voice and its quality, but it can be extended to certain forms of real-time video because of the improved technology nowadays.

---

<sup>4</sup>A bit can be 0 or 1. A stream of this symbols express information. In telecommunications the information unit is the bit, expressed with the unit b. In computer science the Byte, expressed with the unit B. 1 Byte is 8 bits.



# Chapter 3

## STATE OF THE ART

The different technologies that use WebRTC and other associated technologies such as SIP and XMPP

### 3.1 Important mechanisms

The Internet evolved, and different mechanisms solve problems that affect real-time communications.

Besides IPv4 addresses to destination hosts, people can register to services of hosts and be reachable from the Internet. A clear example of this is the email system, bob@example.com means that bob user is registered in example.com domain that is reachable from Internet. DNS needs an additional parameter to reach bob, in the case of email is the MX record [Klensin, 2008] (mail exchanger record). It is a resource record that specifies a mail server responsible for accepting email messages on behalf of a recipient's domain. To do something similar to advertise other network services like SIP and XMPP, it is needed SRV record [Gulbrandsen et al., 2000] (Service record). A simple contact address such as bob@example.com can contain the available forms of contact and its priority to different services with the NAPTR record [Mealling, 2002] (Name Authority Pointer) that performs this operation.

SDP JSEP ?

In the transition from IPv4 to IPv6 that is happening now, NAT (Network Address Translation) has been standardized to alleviate the scarcity and depletion of IPv4 addresses. A NAT allows a public IP address to be reused among many different private networks (and its private IP addresses). What it does is to maintain a table mapping of private local IP and port tuples to one or more globally unique public IP and port tuple. Unfortunately this introduces connectivity problems,

specially in peer-to-peer connections<sup>1</sup>. There are two server utilities that the host can set in its client to solve it, they must reside on the public network. A STUN [Rosenberg et al., 2008] (Session Traversal Utilities for NAT) server obtains the allocated public IP and port tuple for the current connection of the host that corresponds to its private IP address and port. STUN service maintains the NAT binding alive. A TURN [Mahy et al., 2010] (Traversal Using Relays around NAT) server acts as a middleman, relays its transport address, between the two hosts that want to communicate. TURN is resource intensive for the provider, while STUN no. The problem of this two techniques is that they are optimal in some network topologies but a poor choice in others. That is why the most interesting solution for nat traversal (solve connectivity issues) is ICE [Rosenberg, 2010] (Interactive Connectivity Establishment). ICE exchanges, using the offer/answer SDP model, a multiplicity of IP addresses and ports, that includes STUN and TURN, which are then tested for connectivity by peer-to-peer connectivity checks. It is selected the best candidate transport address.

Internet is a very open, distributed and huge network, but this has undesirable consequences. For example, reachable hosts from internet are constantly attacked by other hosts that try to exploit weaknesses in a computer system or computer network. This can affect the security of an organization. Because of this reason, and/or orther, that is why its administrators deny by default any service that is not explicitly important for the organization. One of the components used to control the incoming and outgoing network traffic on an applied rule set is the firewall. But the firewall can has undesirable consequences in big organizations, it is less flexible. Suppose a university with students interested in computers. They are experimenting services related to courses or projects, but are having connectivity problems because of the restrictive security and administrators do not have resources to prepare an expermental environmment.

Websockets [Fette and Melnikov, 2011]

- LDAP ?

## 3.2 Voice and video calls

- VoIP
  - H.323 (ITU)
  - SIP (IETF) - pensado para IP pública
    - \* SIP Proxy

---

<sup>1</sup>p2p, connection that goes directly from a host to another host, without an intermediate server



### 3.3 Instant messaging

- XMPP (RFC, XEV)

### 3.4 WebRTC

- Orgs: W3C (webrtc) and IETF (rtcweb)
- No signalling specified, you can use for example...
- Use of SDP (JSEP is the evolution)
- Codecs
  - Audio: G.711, Opus
  - Video: H264, VP8
- Media Management
  - MCU
  - SFU
- **Security** problems hiding public IP
- Blocks
  - Application
  - Signalling
  - Gateway
    - \* Media Gateway
    - \* Signalling Gateway
  - Transport



# Chapter 4

## METHODOLOGY

### 4.1 SWOT Analysis of WebRTC

An analysis of Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats (SWOT) will help the decision-making and tasks for the project.

- Strengths

- Ease of use: real-time communication is supported without the need for additional applications or plug-ins.
- It helps to solve connectivity problems caused by NAT, Firewall, etc.
- Solved the problem of selection of video and audio codecs.
- It is based on open standards and open source implementations.
- It has well general acceptance in both worlds: enterprise and community.
- The communication between peers is bidirectional and can be P2P
- WebRTC standard does not specify signalling: it can be used in very different scenarios.
- The communication channel between peers is encrypted

- Weaknesses

- It is not implemented in all browsers.
- The different browsers that implement WebRTC could have incompatibilities.
- WebRTC has incompatibility at transport level with SIP, a gateway is needed.

- Security compromised when using split VPN-tunnels, the IP address before the VPN-tunnel is exposed.
- Opportunities
  - WebRTC can be used in web based softphone for VoIP. Easy to install, easy to update.
  - A WebRTC audio call could be routed to traditional telephony.
  - It uses javascript as programming language, this language has the widest developer's community.
  - It encourages a new generation of web applications using its strenghts.
- Threats
  - WebRTC standard do not specify signalling: this can produce a positive or negative fragmentation of projects. Positive fragmentation: different projects for different applications. Negative fragmentation: divided effort.
  - Is a work in progress technology, it is being changed.

## 4.2 Scope

There are lots of RTC systems for different purposes. This project focuses in the work of IETF organization and Internet. Guifi.net is part of Internet, and has additional constraints to take in account.

The following topics are worked only in its fundamentals: RTC standard systems from ITU<sup>1</sup>, referred to in the 2, and XMPP Standards Foundation, referred to in the 3.

In general it has chosen technologies based on open standards, open source implementations and royalty free patent.

## 4.3 Resources

There are costs related to the activity of this project in terms of equipment and human effort.

Table 4.1 shows the equipment resources and its economic estimation. Observations:

---

<sup>1</sup>International Telecommunication Union, formerly the International Telegraph Union.

- Guifi.net connectivity to Barcelona, a reachable IPv4 10.0.0.0/8<sup>2</sup> has not direct cost.
- Nearly all software involved is open source and has no direct cost.
- Usually the cost of installation it's greater or equal than the cost of equipment.

Table 4.1: Equipment resources

Material	Estimated cost (euro)
Guifi.net equipments in my home	200
PC with virtualization capabilities [home]	1000
Guifi.net equipments in university	1000
PC with Internet public IPv4 [university]	300
Laptop	400
ATA x 2	60
Old phone x 2	2
Total	2962

The human effort part was financed by the university in the form of a grant to the author, representing a cost of 2800 euro. A bachelor's thesis corresponds in Europe to 500 hours of work.

This implies a total cost of approximately 6000 euro

## 4.4 Planning

The project can be separated in two phases. The first phase is a long preamble of studying VoIP and WebRTC. The second phase is an agile plan. Figure 4.1 shows the two phases in a gantt chart.

In the first phase, while studying VoIP the intention was to work about VoIP and Guifi.net. But Miquel Oliver encouraged me to do it about WebRTC. He presented me Victor Pascual, a SIP and WebRTC expert. It was hard to realise a convenient project, because this technology involves lots of protocols, other technologies, and it's being modified now. In this phase It were settled the necessary concepts to start the project.

<sup>2</sup>range of IP's used by Guifi.net and private networks.




Figure 4.1: General gantt chart

The second phase is an agile plan, inspired by the Scrum methodology. Scrum is one of the Agile methods<sup>3</sup> used for software development. The important fact is that promotes adaptive planning and flexible response to change. Scrum, particularly, is a general method that should be adapted to a concrete scenario.

The Scrum Team consists of a Product Owner, the Development Team, and a Scrum Master. The work of the scrum team according to the Scrum Guide<sup>4</sup> is *deliver products iteratively and incrementally, maximizing opportunities for feedback. Incremental deliveries of "Done" product ensure a potentially useful version of working product is always available*. The roles are

- Product Owner: *is responsible for maximizing the value of the product and the work of the Development Team*
- Development Team: *consists of professionals who do the work of delivering a potentially releasable Increment of "Done" product at the end of each Sprint*
- Scrum Master: *is responsible for ensuring Scrum is understood and enacted*

*The heart of Scrum is a **Sprint**, a time-box of one month or less during which a "Done", usable, and potentially releasable product Increment is created*

<sup>3</sup>There are different methodologies grouped into agile. The process started with the write of the Agile Manifesto (12 principles) <http://agilemanifesto.org/iso/en/principles.html>. Since February 2001, this manifesto remains unchanged.

<sup>4</sup><http://www.scrumguides.org/docs/scrumguide/v1/scrum-guide-us.pdf>.

### 4.4.1 Scrum plan

It is necessary to adapt the different concepts that comprise the scrum methodology for this particular project.

Roles:

- Product Owner (in some way, stakeholders): Mentors, University, people interested in the project. The author is interested in the output of the project because is volunteer in Guifi.net.
- Development Team: assumed by the author
- Scrum Master: assumed by the author, optionally could be assumed by mentors.

This means that the author has to see the project with different points of view.

The Sprint time is approximately one week, because it is assumed that the minimum time-box possible to do a release of the product is one week. The product comprise two major tasks: the theory (documentation, memory) and practice (how this theory is fitted to the real world experiments). The tasks are explained with more detail in the next section *Tasks*.

Figure 4.2 shows the Scrum plan with the different sprint phases (s1, s2, s3, s4) and important milestones:

- d1: project charter and tasks, delivery to mentors
- d2: first consistent draft memory, delivery to mentors
- d3: set title and abstract to the thesis, delivery to university
- d4: thesis, delivery to assigned tribunal




Figure 4.2: Scrum plan gantt chart

#### 4.4.2 Metatools

To ensure the scrum plan and the project, different tools were used:

- Emacs orgmode: is a plain text syntax and software that facilitates different operations
  - nested concepts: It is possible to fold and unfold nested concepts different parts. This brings facilities to take different points of view of the project.
  - write the memory of the project and export to UPF publication constraints.
  - diary: used as autoevaluation tool. Time spent in some operations. Place to record when was discovered something.
  - tasks: to write things to do and mark them as TODO and DONE. To see overall progress of the project.



- Git: is a distributed version control system that helps to ensure the work is not lost. It can has a local and remote copy of all different states (commits) of the project. It is very flexible to do changes and apply.
- Github<sup>5</sup> repository: is a social network that uses git and has the largest community. A place to host and share open source projects. This project is hosted as a repository in <https://github.com/pedro-nonfree/guifi-webrtc>. Featured files:
  - diary.org: record of activity in time
  - tasks.org: parts to do for the project
  - doc directory: independent parts written before starting the memory, or that needs isolation
    - \* doc/index.org: organise the different files of this directory
  - latexbuild directory: place where emacs orgmode thesis file is exported to latex and compiled to PDF
    - \* thesis.org: source code of memory
    - \* thesis.pdf: memory

## 4.5 Tasks and work style

The tasks for this project are divided in two components: theory and practice.

Inside **theory**, there is:

- Documentation
  - Things to say/explain: what should be said that at the moment is missing (checklist)
  - Parts to Fill: developed parts that are missing few details (checklist)
  - Parts to Fix: developed parts that are incorrect and should be fixed (checklist)
  - Questions: related to the writing or the theory part, that it is needed an answer (checklist, done when answered)
  - Review: concepts that should be reviewed again, after a scheduled date (checklist)

---

<sup>5</sup>the web implementation is proprietary software, but it can be easily migrated to other open source tools such as <http://gitlab.com> or <http://gogs.io/>.

- Memory document has tools to track the state of different sections. For theory, it will be specially important:

- \* Fundamentals
- \* State of the Art
- \* Result and Contributions

- Search of information

- What things should be read (checklist).

Inside **practice**, there is:

- WebRTC POC: what WebRTC Proof Of Concepts that have been executed, and wishlist (checklist). What signalling was used. The POCs are web applications that have library linking with signalling. Interested in SIP (jssip) and XMPP (strophe) signalling.
- Tested components: what specific components that have been executed, and wishlist (checklist). LDAP Authentication, SSL/TLS certificates, STUN/TURN server, DNS.

Figure 4.3 shows the **work style**, how the objectives will be accomplished and its quality. Stable means that it should be clear and complete its content; best effort that it will work in the best way possible but with less priority:

- Requirements: use cases, constraints needed for the chosen organization. (quality: stable)
- Design: architecture design that fits the requirements. (quality: stable)
- Implementation: component selection, protocols (quality: best effort)
- PoC: applications that shows some of the results (quality: best effort)

## 4.6 Architecture Design




Figure 4.3: Work style diagram



# Chapter 5

## CONTRIBUTIONS AND RESULTS

It is wanted to define the architecture of a general RTC service, as set of different services and applications.

### 5.1 Architecture of Guifi.net

### 5.2 Requirements

#### 5.2.1 Network requirements

*number and math justification?*

- DNS (multiple servers)
- Quality of Service (QoS): put priority to real-time traffic
- Throughput (more used than Bandwidth)
- Delay
- Jitter
- Packet Loss
- Congestion

#### 5.2.2 Generic use cases

The use cases developed are those that are bold.

Definitions:

- Actors or Roles have a **user** and/or **admin** account. The user has minimum permissions in the application, the admin has all the permissions in the application. It can be defined one or more middle actors that have more permissions than user and less permissions than admin.
- A **call** refers to an audio or video call, bidirectional communication with video and/or audio channel.
- A user is **available** if is connected to the service and busy.

A general RTC service could be defined as it follows:

1. **Send calls: a user calls another user with an audio channel. Optional channels of communication if available: video and chat.**
  - Access to the service through an application.
  - Authentication.
  - Decision of which user it is wanted to call.
  - The call is accepted by the other user.
  - Bidirectional communication.
  - One of the two users stop the communication.
2. **Receive calls: a user receives a call only if is connected to the service with at least one device and is available.**
  - Access to the service through an application.
  - Authentication.
  - A lapse of random time until a call is received. If there are more devices of the same user, all of them receive the call, but only one can accept it.
  - The call is accepted.
  - Bidirectional communication.
  - One of the two users stop the communication.
3. A user can subscribe or unsubscribe to the RTC service.
4. Call history: a user can see the calls sent, received, missed. He can delete it.
5. Missed call notification

- When a user calls to another user that is not available. A "missed call" notification is generated to be delivered to the other user.
- A user has been notified by a missed call if the device is compatible with this service and he is available.

#### 6. Contact list

- A user can see the status (online, offline, busy, etc.) of another user in its contact list if he is allowed by the other user.
- A user can add or remove another user from the contact list.

#### 7. Chat rooms

- A user can be in a public place where there are rooms and people talk openly.
- A user can speak privately to the users connected to this place.
- The identity in the chat room is the same as in the contact list.

#### 8. User preferences

- User can set its own photo, nickname and description.
- Users can set if a room is able to record a history conversation (and files) such that users that connect and disconnect can follow the conversation.
- User can change password of its account.

#### 9. Share advanced media

- User can share its screen with another user.
- User can share files of limited size in a room or privately to another user. The data is temporarily stored.
- Share N streams to N users (Multiuser bidirectional videoconference).
- Share one stream to N users (Streaming).

#### 10. Administration

- User can only change its settings. Admin can change configuration of all users.
- Users can report other users because of a social conflict, admin is notified.

## 11. **Integration: all the services are integrated and is the same account.**

**Guifi.net service** is defined as it follows:

1. A user can connect to a server if he could reach it with good quality, if not, he can easily install it in its zone.
2. If a server reach another, the users of a server can communicate to the users of another server.
3. The service is compatible with VoIP Guifi.net project.

### 5.2.3 **Required components**

This section presents the components required for the architecture design. Concretely the requirements to send, receive calls, subscribe, unsubscribe and have integration are described now. For a WebRTC scenario, the components are distributed.

- Application interface: gives appropriate interaction to the actors in order to perform the different operations. Is distributed, a web server (WSRV) offering a page, and a client executing the web application (WAPP) through the web browser.
- Authentication service (AUTH): restricts access of service only to permitted users. Differentiate available operations depending on if is user or admin. Single sign on, after the web page is accessed, the user can operate.
- Signalling protocol (SIG): manages the side to side connections and logic to establish the call. The two peers must use a compatible signalling protocol.
- Transport protocol (TP): used between users and between user and server. Compatible, secure if possible.
- Database (DB): stores and encrypts personal information or preferences for a particular user. Accessible through web application if succeed in authentication.
- Connectivity solver (CS): a set of tools to avoid common communication problems that appear in networking scenarios. The most common problems are NAT and firewall.
- Gateway (GW): adapts or converts the communication to work with different communication systems. The most important difference between communication systems is the signalling protocol.



## 5.3 Architecture design

*general diagrams*

Each link has associated transport protocol




Figure 5.1: Component Diagram

*flow chart communication*

### 5.3.1 WebRTC to SIP case

*Authentication authentication diagrams*

*Gateway gateway diagrams*




Figure 5.2: SDP exchange during SIP signalling




Figure 5.3: SIP transport

## 5.4 Component selection

## 5.5 Applications available

### 5.5.1 POCs

## 5.6 Implementation

## 5.7 Demo




Figure 5.4: Transport



## **Chapter 6**

# **CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK**

### **6.1 Conclusions**

### **6.2 Future Work**



# Chapter 7

## FAKE

[To be removed]

Fake section to put the last latex code of the document





# Bibliography

- [Baugher et al., 2004] Baugher, M., McGrew, D., Naslund, M., Carrara, E., and Norrman, K. (2004). The Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP). RFC 3711 (Proposed Standard). Updated by RFCs 5506, 6904.
- [Deering and Hinden, 1998] Deering, S. and Hinden, R. (1998). Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification. RFC 2460 (Draft Standard). Updated by RFCs 5095, 5722, 5871, 6437, 6564, 6935, 6946, 7045, 7112.
- [Dodd, 2012] Dodd, A. Z. (2012). *The essential guide to telecommunications*. Prentice Hall, fifth edition.
- [Fette and Melnikov, 2011] Fette, I. and Melnikov, A. (2011). The WebSocket Protocol. RFC 6455 (Proposed Standard).
- [Frank, 2004] Frank, O. (2004). *Voice over 802.11*. Artech House, first edition.
- [Gulbrandsen et al., 2000] Gulbrandsen, A., Vixie, P., and Esibov, L. (2000). A DNS RR for specifying the location of services (DNS SRV). RFC 2782 (Proposed Standard). Updated by RFC 6335.
- [Klensin, 2008] Klensin, J. (2008). Simple Mail Transfer Protocol. RFC 5321 (Draft Standard).
- [Kurose and Ross, 2013] Kurose, J. F. and Ross, K. W. (2013). *Computer Networking. A Top-Down Approach*. Pearson, sixth edition.
- [Mahy et al., 2010] Mahy, R., Matthews, P., and Rosenberg, J. (2010). Traversal Using Relays around NAT (TURN): Relay Extensions to Session Traversal Utilities for NAT (STUN). RFC 5766 (Proposed Standard).
- [Mealling, 2002] Mealling, M. (2002). Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Part Three: The Domain Name System (DNS) Database. RFC 3403 (Proposed Standard).

- [Mockapetris, 1987] Mockapetris, P. (1987). Domain names - concepts and facilities. RFC 1034 (INTERNET STANDARD). Updated by RFCs 1101, 1183, 1348, 1876, 1982, 2065, 2181, 2308, 2535, 4033, 4034, 4035, 4343, 4035, 4592, 5936.
- [Postel, 1980] Postel, J. (1980). User Datagram Protocol. RFC 768 (INTERNET STANDARD).
- [Postel, 1981a] Postel, J. (1981a). Internet Protocol. RFC 791 (INTERNET STANDARD). Updated by RFCs 1349, 2474, 6864.
- [Postel, 1981b] Postel, J. (1981b). Transmission Control Protocol. RFC 793 (INTERNET STANDARD). Updated by RFCs 1122, 3168, 6093, 6528.
- [Rosenberg, 2010] Rosenberg, J. (2010). Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE): A Protocol for Network Address Translator (NAT) Traversal for Offer/Answer Protocols. RFC 5245 (Proposed Standard). Updated by RFC 6336.
- [Rosenberg et al., 2008] Rosenberg, J., Mahy, R., Matthews, P., and Wing, D. (2008). Session Traversal Utilities for NAT (STUN). RFC 5389 (Proposed Standard). Updated by RFC 7350.
- [Schulzrinne et al., 2003] Schulzrinne, H., Casner, S., Frederick, R., and Jacobson, V. (2003). RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications. RFC 3550 (INTERNET STANDARD). Updated by RFCs 5506, 5761, 6051, 6222, 7022, 7160, 7164.
- [Velázquez, 2010] Velázquez, T. (2010). Sistema de servidores voip del proyecto guifi.net. Bachelor's thesis. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB).
- [Vílchez, 2014] Vílchez, P. (2014). Starting, contributing and empowering community networks in cities. Bachelor's thesis. Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF).