

Psychotic experiences and PTSD: exploring associations in a population survey

S. Alsawy¹, L. Wood^{1,2*}, P. J. Taylor³ and A. P. Morrison^{1,4}

¹University of Manchester, School of Psychological Sciences, Manchester, UK

²North East London Foundation Trust, Inpatient and Acute Psychology Services, Essex, UK

³University of Liverpool, Institute of Psychology, Health & Society, Liverpool, UK

⁴Greater Manchester West NHS Foundation Trust, Psychosis Research Unit, Prestwich Hospital, Manchester, UK

Background. Extensive evidence has shown that experiencing a traumatic event and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are associated with experiences of psychosis. However, less is known about specific PTSD symptoms and their relationship with psychotic experiences. This study aimed to examine the relationship between symptoms of PTSD with paranoia and auditory hallucinations in a large-scale sample.

Method. The Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (APMS) was utilized to examine the prevalence of lifetime trauma, symptoms of PTSD, and experiences of paranoia and auditory hallucinations ($n = 7403$).

Results. There were significant bivariate associations between symptoms of PTSD and psychotic experiences. Multiple logistic regression analyses indicated that reliving and arousal symptoms were significant predictors for paranoia while reliving, but not arousal symptoms, also significantly predicted auditory hallucinations. A dose-response relationship was found, the greater the number of PTSD symptoms, the greater the odds were of experiencing both paranoia and hallucinations.

Conclusions. These findings illustrate that symptoms of PTSD are associated with increased odds of experiencing auditory hallucinations and paranoia. Overlaps appear to be present between the symptoms of PTSD and psychotic experiences. Increasing awareness of this association may advance work in clinical practice.

Received 16 December 2014; Revised 30 March 2015; Accepted 11 April 2015; First published online 20 May 2015

Key words: Auditory hallucinations, paranoia, population survey, psychosis, PTSD.

Introduction

The association between experiencing a traumatic event and developing post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is clearly outlined within its diagnostic category (Pepper & Agius, 2009). However, the relationship between experiencing trauma and developing psychosis is more tentative due to the often long and complex pathway (Morrison *et al.* 2003). Experiencing early traumatic events, including sexual abuse, physical abuse, domestic violence, bullying, physical injury, and physical assault, are predictive of psychosis (Read *et al.* 2005; Kelleher *et al.* 2008; Shelvin *et al.* 2008; Bebbington *et al.* 2011). Research indicates that around 50–98% of people with psychosis report a history of trauma (Read *et al.* 2005), with an average of 3–4 traumatic events per individual (Goodman *et al.* 1997; Mueser *et al.* 1998). In a study examining adults

who experienced voices, around 70% reported a traumatic event preceding a voice (Romme & Escher, 1989). These results were replicated in subsequent studies where it was found that the onset of hallucinations was triggered either by a traumatic event or a memory which reminded them of the trauma (Honig *et al.* 1998).

A dose-response effect has also been identified between trauma and psychosis (Janssen *et al.* 2004; Read *et al.* 2005; Bentall *et al.* 2012). In two large community samples, experiencing two or more types of trauma significantly predicted psychosis (Shelvin *et al.* 2008), and the number of traumatic events were positively related to experiencing delusions (Scott *et al.* 2007). A recent large-scale review illustrated that adverse experiences in childhood significantly increase the risk of psychosis, with an average odds ratio of 2.8 (Varese *et al.* 2012). This review also found positive dose-response relationships in 90% of datasets which investigated such associations. Furthermore, Bentall & colleagues (2012) found that specific adverse events were associated with specific symptoms of psychosis; rape, physical abuse and

* Address for correspondence: Dr L. Wood, North East London Foundation Trust, Inpatient and Acute Psychology Services, Goodmayes Hospital, Barley Lane IG3 8XJ, Essex, UK.
(Email: lisawood3@nhs.net)

being in local authority care were associated with auditory hallucinations and physical abuse, institutional and local authority care was associated with paranoia. In a more recent study they also identified that communication deviance is linked with thought disorder, childhood sexual abuse is associated with auditory hallucinations and paranoid delusions (Bentall *et al.* 2014).

Both PTSD and psychosis have a comprehensive evidence base supporting their relationship with trauma which has led researchers to investigate their similarities. Around 12–29% of people with psychosis have a dual diagnosis of PTSD (Buckley *et al.* 2009). Similarities between the symptoms of PTSD and psychosis have been noted (Morrison *et al.* 2003; van der Vleugel *et al.* 2012): hallucinations in psychosis have been likened to flashbacks in PTSD as they both present in visual or auditory modalities, and are usually experienced as unpleasant and uncontrollable; paranoia in psychosis can be likened to hypervigilance in PTSD; avoidance of specific situations or behaviours in PTSD can be similar to safety-seeking behaviours or negative symptoms in psychosis.

Although many studies have identified specific traumatic events that predict psychosis, less is known about the specific PTSD symptoms resulting from a traumatic event and their relationship with psychosis. A relationship between psychosis and PTSD has been identified among combat veterans (Butler *et al.* 1996); however, little is known about the emerging relationship between PTSD and psychotic symptoms in the general population. To our knowledge, no research has been conducted examining this relationship. Furthermore, there has been no investigation of the dose-response relationship between PTSD and psychosis which would be important to consider due to the dose-response relationship trauma has with psychosis.

This study aimed to examine the relationship between symptoms of PTSD and psychotic experiences, specifically auditory hallucinations and paranoia, as these have been highlighted to have most overlap (Morrison *et al.* 2003). It was hypothesized that there would be a significant relationship between PTSD symptoms and psychotic experiences (auditory hallucinations and paranoia), and that this relationship will be dose responsive.

Method

Design

Data from the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (APMS; McManus *et al.* 2007) was used to examine the aim of the present study. The APMS was conducted by the National Centre for Social Research

and the University of Leicester between October 2006 and December 2007. The aim of the APMS was to provide up-to-date information about the prevalence of psychiatric problems among people in Great Britain, as well as their associated social disabilities and use of services. For the purpose of this study, the independent variables used were experience of trauma, re-living and arousal symptoms. The dependent variables were auditory hallucinations and paranoia.

Sample

The study employed a sample of adults, aged ≥ 16 years (age at which individual consent can be given) in England living in private households. People living in institutions were not recruited. The survey employed stratified cluster sampling using postal sectors as the primary sampling unit (PSU) and the small user postcode address file to identify suitable households. In total, 7403 adults participated. Trained researchers conducted computer-assisted face-to-face interviews which lasted for approximately 90 min. Data was collected by trained research assistants thus reflects the respondents' personal appraisals of their experiences. The interviews included structured assessments which screened for a range of mental health problems, use of services, risk factors, and sociodemographic information. Further details of the survey aims and methodology can be found in the user guide (McManus *et al.* 2007).

Measures

Traumatic event

Participants were asked if they have ever experienced a traumatic event 'like a major natural disaster, a serious automobile accident, being raped, seeing someone killed or seriously injured, having a loved one die by murder or suicide, or any other experience that either put you or someone close to you at risk of serious harm or death' which was taken from the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID) – non-patient version (First *et al.* 2002). Participants who responded 'yes' were asked when the traumatic event occurred. If this occurred since the age of 16 years, follow-up questions regarding experiences of PTSD were asked.

Trauma Screening Questionnaire (TSQ)

Experiences of PTSD were examined using the TSQ (Brewin *et al.* 2002). NICE guidelines identify the TSQ as one of two screens with the greatest potential for use in primary care (McManus *et al.* 2007). The questionnaire includes 10 items which requires a yes or no response. The first five of the items relate to 're-living' of the traumatic event and the second five items

relate to experiencing 'arousal' subsequent to the trauma. All 10 items were used in the analysis of this study. Example statements included 'upsetting thoughts or memories about the event that have come into your mind against your will' and 'irritability or outbursts of anger'. Respondents were asked to choose either 'yes' or 'no' to the symptom stated. One point was given to each item scored 'yes' and a total of ≥ 6 points out of the possible 10 indicated a positive screen for PTSD. The TSQ has been illustrated to have a sensitivity of 84%, specificity of 95% and a predictability of 90% in identifying PTSD in relation to a number of different types of trauma (Brewin *et al.* 2002).

Psychosis Screening Questionnaire (PSQ)

The PSQ (Bebbington & Nayani, 1995) was used to assess respondents for possible psychotic experiences. The items included in this questionnaire are based on the World Health Organization (WHO) International Classification of Disease (ICD-10) on Mental and Behavioural Disorders, and consist of two main types: schizophrenia and affective psychosis. The screening questionnaire was based on the respondents' experiences over the last year. It does not examine the history of the psychotic experiences. The items used in this study related to experiences of paranoia and auditory hallucinations:

PSQ3B: Felt group of people was plotting to cause you serious harm.

PSQ5A: Heard voices saying quite a few words or sentences.

A dichotomous response of 'yes' or 'no' was required, answering 'yes' indicating a positive screen for paranoia or auditory hallucinations, respectively. The PSQ uses two rather than a single item to assess each psychotic symptom. An initial item screens for potentially psychotic experiences while the follow-up item then only applies to those responding positively to the first and provides a more conservative measure. The PSQ has been shown to have a sensitivity of 96.9%, a specificity of 95.3% and a predictability of 91.2% in identifying psychosis (Bebbington & Nayani, 1995).

Statistical analysis

Initially, data screening, descriptive statistics and cross-tabulations were produced using SPSS v. 20 (IBM Corp, 2011). To determine whether the independent variables were related, χ^2 values were calculated with Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons. All further analysis was conducted using Stata v. 9 (StataCorp, 2011). The association between PTSD

symptoms, auditory hallucinations and paranoia were examined via multiple logistic regression analyses with either the presence of auditory hallucinations or paranoia as the outcome variable. An initial covariate model was estimated that included ethnicity (white *v.* non-white), education (entered as four dummy variables: degree, A-level, GCSE, Other, with no qualifications as the reference category) and other psychotic experiences (i.e. if the outcome were paranoia, auditory hallucinations were included as a covariate to control for the overlap in symptoms and vice versa). We then included two trauma symptom variables; the total number of reliving symptoms and the total number of arousal symptoms. These were estimated as sets of four categorical dummy variables (i.e. 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 symptoms), with no symptoms representing the reference category. This allowed an exploration of the dose-response relationship between trauma symptoms, auditory hallucinations and paranoia, following the procedure adopted by Bentall & colleagues (2012).

In order to account for non-independence due to clustering at the PSU level (in this case, postal sectors in the UK; McManus *et al.* 2007), we estimated clustered, robust standard errors (Rogers, 1993). Sampling weights were also applied that adjusted for the probability of selection within households (a single individual was selected per household), non-response bias, age, sex and region. Comparisons between covariates only and the full models were made using the adjusted Wald test, whereby we tested the null hypothesis that $B=0$ for the trauma symptoms variables (as these were categorical variables this test was undertaken across the resulting dummy variables). Where this test is non-significant, it suggests there is no added benefit to including the trauma variable in the model.

Results

Demographics

Information regarding the demographics of the respondents included in the analysis is given in Table 1. With weights applied the sample is representative of the population of adults living in private households in terms of socio-demographics (e.g. age, sex, region) as indicated in the recruitment process (McManus *et al.* 2007).

Data screening

Missing data was minimal for all variables ($\leq 3.07\%$ per variable) and missing cases were therefore excluded from the analysis. There was no evidence of

Table 1. Sample demographics

	Category	Number (%)
Sex	Male	3197 (43.2)
Age, years	16–24	568 (7.7)
	25–34	1035 (14.0)
	35–44	1413 (19.0)
	45–54	1130 (15.3)
	55–64	1279 (17.3)
	65–74	1028 (13.9)
	≥75	950 (12.8)
Marital status	Married	3519 (47.5)
	Cohabiting	614 (8.3)
	Single	1428 (19.3)
	Widowed	949 (12.8)
	Divorced	670 (9.1)
	Separated	223 (3.0)
Number of people in household	Up to 2	4987 (67.4)
	Up to 4	1985 (26.8)
	≥5	431 (5.8)
Highest educational qualification	Degree	1374 (18.6)
	Teaching diploma	542 (7.3)
	A-level	938 (12.7)
	GCSE	1817 (24.5)
	Foreign/other	286
	No qualification	2278 (30.8)
	Missing	168 (2.3)
Ethnicity	White: British & Irish	6586 (89.0)
	White: Other	221 (3.0)
	Asian or Asian British	240 (3.2)
	Black or Black British	188 (2.5)
	Chinese	18 (0.2)
	Mixed race	60 (0.8)
	Other	40 (0.5)
	Missing	50 (0.7)

A-level, Advanced level qualification; GCSE, General Certificate of Secondary Education.

multicollinearity between study variables, with all tolerance statistics >0.40 (Menard, 1995).

Exploratory bivariate associations between the symptoms of PTSD, auditory hallucinations and paranoia have been conducted and are summarized in Table 2. All these associations were statistically significant ($p < 0.005$). In this analysis, 72.9% of people who experienced paranoia and 78.1% of people who experienced auditory hallucinations in the past year had also experienced trauma. Around one third of people who experienced paranoia and auditory hallucinations experienced each PTSD symptom. All associations were significant to $p < 0.001$.

The relationship between trauma symptoms, auditory hallucinations and paranoia

Logistic regression analyses were undertaken with paranoia as the outcome variable. The adjusted Wald test for both arousal ($F_{5,257} = 5.61$, $p < 0.01$), and reliving ($F_{5,257} = 5.17$, $p < 0.01$) symptoms, were significant, supporting the inclusion of these variables in the model. Odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals for variables in the final model are reported in Table 3. It can be seen that for both reliving and arousal symptoms the odds of experiencing paranoia roughly increase with greater numbers of trauma symptoms, compared to those with no symptoms, suggesting a dose-response relationship.

This analysis was repeated with auditory hallucinations as the outcome variable. The adjusted Wald test was significant for reliving ($F_{5,257} = 3.56$, $p < 0.01$), but not for arousal ($F_{5,257} = 1.80$, $p = 0.13$) symptoms, suggesting that only reliving symptoms made a meaningful contribution to the model. Arousal symptoms were therefore excluded from the final model. Odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals for variables in the final model are reported in Table 3. Again, it can be seen that the odds of experiencing auditory hallucinations increase with greater numbers of reliving trauma symptoms, compared to those with no symptoms, suggesting a dose-response relationship.

Stata's LINKTEST command did not identify any evidence of specification error in the final regression models. DFBeta values were inspected for the regression models to identify influential cases. There were no cases with DFBeta values >1 (in a non-weighted, non-clustered model), calculated in SPSS suggesting no influential cases were present (Field, 2000). A sensitivity analysis was undertaken exploring the impact of outlying cases on the regression model. Cases with standardized residuals >3 were removed and the regression analyses were re-run. As it is not possible to calculate standardized residuals in the weighted model, this sensitivity analysis was undertaken in non-weighted, clustered (by PSU) logistic regression analyses. Removal of outliers ($n = 1$) made no substantive difference in the model predicting hallucinations, with reliving symptoms continuing to make a significant contribution to the model ($\chi^2_4 = 45.56$, $p < 0.01$). In the model predicting paranoia when weights were no longer applied, reliving symptoms continued to contribute to the model ($\chi^2_4 = 20.20$, $p < 0.01$), but arousal symptoms no longer made a significant contribution to the model ($\chi^2_4 = 9.30$, $p = 0.05$). The removal of 17 outliers made no substantive difference to these findings. These results need to be interpreted with caution, as they are based on an unweighted analysis. The associations with arousal are less robust than those with reliving in predicting paranoia.

Table 2. Cross-tabulations of post-traumatic stress disorder and psychotic experience frequencies within the sample

	Paranoia					Auditory hallucinations				
	Absent		Present		N	Absent		Present		N
	N	%	n	%		n	%	n	%	
Major trauma event										
No	4145	4113	99.2	32	0.8	4146	4132	99.7	14	0.3
Yes	3081	2997	97.3	86	2.8	3084	3034	98.4	50	1.6
Total	7228	7110	98.4	118	1.6	7230	7166	99.1	64	0.9
Trauma since age 16 years										
No	4750	4692	98.8	58	1.2	4752	4725	99.4	27	0.6
Yes	2478	2418	97.6	60	2.4	2478	2441	98.5	37	1.5
Total	7228	7110	98.4	118	1.6	7230	7166	99.1	64	8.9
Memories										
No	6795	6707	98.7	88	1.3	6795	6749	99.3	46	0.7
Yes	578	541	93.6	37	6.4	579	557	96.2	22	3.8
Total	7373	7248	98.3	125	1.7	7374	7306	99.1	68	0.9
Dreams										
No	7163	7062	98.6	101	1.4	7164	7112	99.3	52	0.7
Yes	224	200	89.3	24	10.7	224	208	92.9	16	7.1
Total	7387	7262	98.3	125	1.7	7388	7320	99.1	68	0.9
Reliving										
No	7220	7113	98.5	107	1.5	7221	7164	99.2	57	0.8
Yes	162	144	88.9	18	11.1	162	151	93.2	11	6.8
Total	7382	7257	98.3	125	1.7	7383	7315	99.1	68	0.9
Remembering										
No	6801	6713	98.7	88	1.3	6801	6757	99.4	44	0.6
Yes	582	545	93.6	37	6.4	583	559	95.9	24	4.1
Total	7383	7258	98.3	125	1.7	7384	7316	99.1	68	0.9
Bodily reactions										
No	7108	7010	98.6	98	1.4	7108	7054	99.2	54	0.8
Yes	269	242	90.0	27	10.0	270	256	94.8	14	5.2
Total	7377	7252	98.3	125	1.7	7378	7310	99.1	68	0.9
Difficulty sleeping										
No	6631	6539	98.6	92	1.4	6632	6589	99.4	43	0.6
Yes	751	718	95.6	33	4.4	751	726	96.7	25	3.3
Total	7382	7257	98.3	125	1.7	7383	7315	99.1	68	0.9
Irritability										
No	6953	6852	98.5	101	1.5	6954	6904	99.3	50	0.7
Yes	431	407	94.4	24	5.6	431	413	95.8	18	4.2
Total	7384	7259	98.3	125	1.7	7385	7317	99.1	68	0.9
Difficulty in concentration										
No	6844	6751	98.6	93	1.4	6846	6799	99.3	47	0.7
Yes	539	507	94.1	32	5.9	538	517	96.1	21	3.9
Total	7383	7258	98.3	125	1.7	7384	7316	99.1	68	0.9
Hypervigilant to dangers										
No	7104	7003	98.6	101	1.4	7104	7049	99.2	55	0.8
Yes	262	240	91.6	22	8.4	263	251	95.4	12	4.6
Total	7366	7243	98.3	123	1.7	7367	7300	99.1	67	0.9
Jumpy/startled										
No	7099	6999	98.6	100	1.4	7100	7049	99.3	51	0.7
Yes	281	256	91.1	25	8.9	281	265	94.3	16	5.7
Total	7380	7255	98.3	125	1.7	7381	7314	99.1	67	0.9

Cross-tabulations of the frequencies of people who experience paranoia and auditory hallucinations who also experience post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms.

Table 3. Odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals from logistic regression analyses exploring dose-response relationship between number of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms (reliving and arousal) and psychotic experiences (auditory hallucinations and paranoia)

Predictor	Auditory hallucinations	Paranoia
Psychotic symptoms ^a	9.07 (3.96–20.79)*	8.46 (3.55–20.13)*
Ethnicity	1.54 (0.52–4.62)	0.24 (0.14–0.40)*
Education		
None ^b	–	–
Degree	0.29 (0.09–0.96)*	1.11 (0.50–2.44)
Other	1.24 (0.49–3.16)	1.51 (0.66–3.47)
A-level	1.06 (0.44–2.56)	1.29 (0.59–2.82)
GCSE	1.22 (0.59–2.51)	2.22 (1.26–3.93)*
Reliving symptoms		
0 ^b	–	–
1	1.47 (0.42–5.15)	1.04 (0.41–2.63)
2	2.51 (0.90–6.98)	1.48 (0.50–4.36)
3	4.98 (1.49–16.61)*	4.33 (2.05–9.18)*
4	14.05 (6.67–29.47)*	4.36 (1.88–10.10)*
Arousal symptoms		
0 ^b	–	–
1	–	0.62 (0.24–1.60)
2	–	2.37 (1.16–4.83)*
3	–	2.30 (0.91–5.79)
4	–	4.82 (2.10–11.05)*

A-level, Advanced level qualification; GCSE, General Certificate of Secondary Education.

^a Presence of auditory hallucinations controlled for where paranoia was outcome, presence of paranoia controlled for where auditory hallucinations are outcome.

^b Reference category.

* Significant at $p < 0.05$.

Secondary analyses: individual trauma symptoms as predictors of auditory hallucinations and paranoia

We conducted a series of secondary analyses to explore the relationship between individual trauma symptoms with auditory hallucinations and paranoia. Logistic regression analyses were conducted to explore the relationship between individual reliving or arousal symptoms and the two outcome variables (paranoia and auditory hallucinations). In each analysis, ethnicity, education and the other psychotic experience (i.e. this would be paranoia if auditory hallucinations were the outcome) were included as covariates. The results of these analyses are reported in Table 4. The results indicate that greater odds of experiencing auditory hallucinations were associated with experiencing upsetting dreams, being upset by reminders of the trauma, disturbed sleep and being readily startled. Greater odds of experiencing paranoia associated with bodily reactions to reminders of the trauma and a heightened awareness of danger.

Table 4. Odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals from logistic regression analyses exploring relationship between individual post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms (reliving and arousal) and psychotic experiences (auditory hallucination and paranoia)

Predictor	Auditory hallucinations	Paranoia
Model 1: Reliving symptoms		
Upsetting memories	0.74 (0.28–1.99)	1.70 (0.66–4.39)
Upsetting dreams	3.76 (1.32–10.69)*	1.93 (0.78–4.79)
Feel event is happening again	1.47 (0.53–4.10)	1.01 (0.39–2.64)
Upset by reminders	3.86 (1.79–8.33)*	1.33 (0.48–3.72)
Bodily reactions	1.07 (0.33–3.43)	3.70 (1.38–9.91)*
Model 2: Arousal symptoms		
Difficulty with sleep	2.80 (1.01–7.77)*	1.22 (0.59–2.54)
Irritability/anger	1.59 (0.62–4.07)	1.20 (0.59–2.54)
Difficulty concentrating	0.89 (0.36–2.19)	2.21 (0.91–5.39)
Heightened awareness of dangers	1.15 (0.49–2.73)	2.81 (1.42–5.54)*
Jumpy/startled	2.73 (1.27–5.89)*	2.03 (0.88–4.72)

* $p < 0.05$; ethnicity, education and other psychotic symptoms controlled for in analyses.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge this is the first study to explore the association between the number of PTSD symptoms experienced and the odds of reporting auditory hallucinations and paranoia in a general community sample. It was hypothesized that PTSD would be significantly associated with paranoia and auditory hallucinations. The results support this hypothesis. It was illustrated that over 72% of participants who reported auditory hallucinations and/or paranoia also had a traumatic experience, similar to results found by Read and colleagues (50–98%; Read *et al.* 2005). Traumatic events were significantly associated with the odds of auditory hallucinations and paranoia, with greater odds associated with a greater numbers of trauma symptoms, which also supports previous findings (Shelvin *et al.* 2008). Reliving symptoms were associated with both auditory hallucinations and paranoia, and arousal symptoms were associated with paranoia but not auditory hallucinations. Reliving symptoms showed significantly higher odds ratios than arousal symptoms.

Dose-response relationships were identified between reliving symptoms and auditory hallucinations and

paranoia, respectively, and between arousal symptoms and paranoia. Results illustrated that if an individual experienced ≥ 4 reliving symptoms they had more than 14 times the odds and four times the odds of experiencing auditory hallucinations and paranoia, respectively, and if experiencing ≥ 4 arousal symptoms they had just under five times the odds of experiencing paranoia (keeping other covariates constant). This is a similar response rate found in a study which examined the dose-response relationship between trauma and psychosis (Bentall *et al.* 2012). It is likely that if an individual experiences an increase in trauma they are more likely to have a complex reaction incorporating PTSD symptoms, auditory hallucinations and paranoia. This may, therefore, support the notion that common mechanisms underlie the development and severity of these experiences.

A number of common psychological processes may explain the similarities between PTSD, auditory hallucinations and paranoia. Morrison & colleagues (2003) state that a common attributional style can develop following a traumatic event, since people who experience trauma often search for meaning and such appraisals of the trauma can impact on their beliefs about themselves, others and the world. Similar processes are observed in people who experience auditory hallucinations and paranoia in the context of a diagnosis of schizophrenia. Appraisal of trauma is often negative and ongoing, which leads to a current sense of threat (Morrison *et al.* 2003). Consequently, common psychosocial mechanisms may be in place following trauma that account for the emergence of the symptoms of both PTSD and psychosis. It is also possible that current PTSD symptoms may affect the development of threat-related appraisals of psychotic experiences, which has been shown to be the case in a study of voice-hearers (Andrew *et al.* 2008). Thus, the presence of PTSD symptoms may themselves represent an important risk factor for experiencing auditory hallucinations and paranoia in psychosis (Scott *et al.* 2007). Similarly, experiencing specific PTSD symptoms was associated with specific experiences of psychosis (auditory hallucinations and paranoia). Dreams, reminders/flashbacks, difficulty sleeping, and feeling jumpy/startled were associated with experiencing auditory hallucinations. Auditory hallucinations, more specifically voices, have been conceptualized as intrusions into awareness (Morrison, 2001). Research has illustrated that voices often occur following a trauma (Romme & Escher, 1989) and often include content and themes which related to a previous trauma (Read & Argyle, 1999). Therefore, it may not be surprising that such PTSD symptoms are significant predictors of psychotic experiences. Dreams, or nightmares, as a response to PTSD are noted to occur

because of unprocessed memories relating to the trauma (Ehlers & Clark, 2000). Similar to voices, they are uncontrollable intrusions which are often related to a specific trauma event. Moreover, flashbacks are also involuntary intrusions into awareness where the individual feels as if they are re-experiencing the trauma (Ehlers & Clark, 2000). Difficulty sleeping and feeling jumpy/startled may be seen as physiological consequences of experiencing an intrusion may maintain intrusive experiences via increased arousal. Significant relationships have been identified between sleep deprivation and experiencing hallucinations (Luby *et al.* 1959) and sleep deprivation has also been shown to be a significant predictor of paranoia (Freeman *et al.* 2011, 2012, 2013).

It is also possible that dissociation is a shared mechanism that underlies these PTSD and psychotic experiences. Dissociation has been found to be associated with experiencing voices, flashbacks and dreams which may explain the predictive relationship found in this study (Moskowitz *et al.* 2011). Spiegel hypothesized that people experience a trauma-induced dissociation which results in detachment from the reality of the outside world leading to PTSD and/or psychotic symptoms (Spiegel, 2006). Therefore, it appears likely that one of the main differences between these PTSD and psychotic symptoms is the way they are appraised by the individual, i.e. interpreted as a voice rather than a flashback or intrusive thought pertaining to the initial trauma. Heightened awareness of dangers and bodily reactions were identified as significant predictors of paranoia. Paranoia has been described as a heightened attention to threat-related stimuli, which is an almost identical process to that in PTSD (Bentall *et al.* 2001). However, it seems that the only difference between paranoia and a heightened awareness in PTSD is the appraisal of the cause of the threat, i.e. trauma. Cromby & Harper (2005) have stated that paranoia is understandable as those who have psychosis are more likely to have more threatening experiences and face social inequality. For example, in a previous population survey, paranoia was directly associated with being in institutional care and experiencing bullying (Bentall *et al.* 2012). Therefore, paranoia may be an adaptive response to life threats or trauma in this group. Furthermore, bodily reactions when reminded of the event were also predictive of paranoia. It is widely acknowledged that threat experiences activate the amygdala and cause bodily arousal initiating a fight-or-flight response which can be considered a comparative response (Gilbert, 2010). The relationships found between specific symptoms of PTSD and specific psychotic experiences supports the hypothesized overlap in mechanisms and constructs that has been proposed (Morrison *et al.* 2003).

This study benefited from using a large nationally representative sample of the population. A sample size of this magnitude increases the reliability of results and reduces the chances of selection bias which impact on correlations between variables (Bentall *et al.* 2012). Furthermore, it will also shrink standard errors and provide a more precise estimate of the effect size than in a smaller sample. There are a number of limitations regarding the variables used in this study. First, the cross-sectional design of the study limits the ability to truly examine the link between PTSD and psychotic experiences. This causal relationship would be examined effectively in a longitudinal design. Another limitation was that the TSQ only interviews those who have experienced a traumatic event since the age of 16 years about their experiences of PTSD symptoms and, therefore, this data does not capture those who experienced childhood trauma, which is most predictive of psychotic experiences (Morrison *et al.* 2003; Varese *et al.* 2012). However, the emergence of significant and meaningful associations in the present study suggests that trauma experienced later in life may, nonetheless, be important in terms of the development of psychosis, and the fact that such associations were found despite the exclusion of childhood trauma suggests we are likely to be underestimating the strength of these associations. The psychosis variables only examined experiences within the last year which may exclude some of the sample who did experience psychosis but were not identified by these variables. Nonetheless, assessing lifetime psychotic experiences may present other problems such as increasing the impact of recall bias. Experiences of psychosis were only assessed using two individual items on the PSQ. The paranoia items in particular have been shown to provide a conservative cut-off for the presence of psychotic symptoms increasing the likelihood of false negatives. Future studies will need to be more extensive in their assessment of trauma and psychosis to ensure all people who have these experiences are examined.

A further limitation to the study is the ability for individual items to reliably assess the diagnostic category which they characterize. For example, participants may endorse paranoia and hypervigilance while considering the same experience. Similarly, auditory hallucinations may overlap with upsetting intrusive memories, and subthreshold auditory hallucinations and paranoia are relatively common in the general population (Lawrence *et al.* 2010; Freeman *et al.* 2011). Therefore, participants may be endorsing items reflective of their experiences but not representative of the diagnostic category.

Previous research has illustrated that psychotic symptoms such as auditory hallucinations can be a similar phenomenon across different diagnostic categories (Waters *et al.* 2012). For example, a study

illustrated that auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia and borderline personality disorder has similar characteristics such as perceived location (Kingdon *et al.* 2010), psychotic experiences are often reported by patients with both affective and anxiety disorders (Varghese *et al.* 2009) and psychotic experiences and affective symptoms often co-occur within adolescence (van Rossum *et al.* 2009). A wide variety of both medical (e.g. dementia) and psychiatric conditions (e.g. substance abuse) can lead to experiences like hallucinations. Schizophrenia has 15% co-morbidity with depression and 47% with co-morbid substance abuse which may act as mediatory or moderating factors between PTSD and psychosis (Buckley *et al.* 2009). The possibility that these co-morbid conditions accounted for the association identified in the current study was not formally tested. Future research could explore the impact of these co-morbid difficulties on the link between PTSD and psychosis symptomology.

It is also important to note that although experiencing symptoms of PTSD is predictive of psychosis, not all people who experience PTSD symptoms will also experience symptoms of psychosis. In particular, only a minority of those experiencing trauma go on to experience psychotic symptoms. Further research could consider possible moderating processes that may determine whether or not trauma leads to psychosis.

This study is lacking in its implications of the biological association between PTSD and psychosis. Other research suggests that biological responses to childhood trauma may result in changes in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis functioning (Heim *et al.* 2000; Nemeroff, 2004) and reduced cortical thickness (Habets *et al.* 2011) which may be linked to the development of psychosis. Gene-environment interactions of psychotic symptoms and PTSD have also been suggested in adult male war veterans (Pivac *et al.* 2007). Furthermore, evidence indicating that some antipsychotics may be helpful in alleviating PTSD symptoms that are co-morbid with psychosis (Adetunji *et al.* 2005) suggests that there may also be shared biological factors.

Given the overlap in PTSD, auditory hallucinations and paranoia outlined in this study, a comprehensive clinical assessment would be important in people who present with psychosis. Although the link between trauma and psychosis has a large evidence base it is still often not considered in routine clinical practice (Read *et al.* 2007). One study offers advice about broaching the topic of trauma with clients and comments upon potential barriers (Read *et al.* 2005). The findings also suggest that caution should be taken when diagnosing a psychotic disorder in order to allow for diagnostic uncertainty. Clinicians should thoroughly examine a service user's past history to ensure

that experiences of psychosis are understood in the context of any traumatic experiences. Understanding psychotic symptoms in context will allow for full consideration of the impact of trauma and consideration of PTSD-based treatment. Detailed examination of any co-morbidities which have a strong link between PTSD and psychosis, such as depression, drug and alcohol use (Buckley *et al.* 2009), should also be prioritized within a detailed clinical assessment.

Flexibility in pharmacological and psychological treatment is also important and it may be helpful to focus on treating symptoms regardless of the diagnosis. For example, pharmacological treatments may be considered in response to the specific needs of the client. It may be the provision of antidepressants, which are the recommended first-line pharmacological treatment for PTSD (NICE, 2005) for trauma-related psychotic symptoms may be helpful. However, there is little research examining this (Hamner *et al.* 2003), but there is some suggestive evidence that antidepressant medication may be helpful in preventing the onset of psychosis in individuals at ultra-high risk (Cornblatt *et al.* 2002). This could also be the case for psychological interventions; since we have theorized that similar cognitive mechanisms appear to underlie both PTSD and psychosis, including threat appraisals, negative beliefs about self, responses and control strategies such as safety-seeking behaviours and dissociation (Larkin & Morrison, 2007). Therefore, consideration of psychological treatments for PTSD such as eye-movement desensitization, prolonged exposure or cognitive behaviour therapy based on a model of PTSD may be beneficial for those with psychosis. Recent research appears to suggest that such approaches are promising (Jackson *et al.* 2009; de Bont *et al.* 2013; Van den Berg *et al.* 2015).

The overlap between PTSD and psychotic symptoms may indicate the benefits of preventative treatment for those who are suspected of developing psychosis. There is a large literature showing the efficacy of early detection and intervention for people who experience psychosis (Bird *et al.* 2010; Morrison *et al.* 2011). Research has illustrated that approximately 70% of those identified at risk of psychosis have experienced a trauma, with those who experience child sexual abuse being most likely to develop psychosis (Bechdolf *et al.* 2010). Given the predictive ability of PTSD symptoms, screening for PTSD symptoms within an at-risk population and offering treatment for those PTSD symptoms may prevent the onset of psychosis. However, further research will need to be conducted.

Conclusions

The present study demonstrates associations between symptoms of PTSD and psychosis, as well as a dose-

response relationship between these symptoms. We theorize that similar cognitive mechanisms may underlie both PTSD and psychosis, but the way in which the experiences are interpreted by the individual give rise to the differences in PTSD and psychosis. This has important implications for the treatment of both PTSD and psychosis and more flexibility is required when considering appropriate interventions. Further research is needed to examine the longitudinal relationship between trauma, PTSD and psychosis. Treatment interventions, both psychological and pharmacological, for PTSD symptoms in those who experience psychosis also need further examination and development.

Declaration of Interest

None.

References

- Adetunji B, Mathews M, Williams A, Budur K, Mathews M, Mahmud J (2005). Use of antipsychotics in the treatment of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. *Psychiatry (Edgmont)* 2, 43–47.
- Andrew EM, Gray NS, Snowden RJ (2008). The relationship between trauma and beliefs about hearing voices: a study of psychiatric and non-psychiatric voice hearers. *Psychological Medicine* 38, 1409–1417.
- Bebbington P, Jonas S, Kuipers E, King M, Cooper C, Brugha T, Meltzer H, McManus S, Jenkins R (2011). Childhood sexual abuse and psychosis: data from a cross-sectional national psychiatric survey in England. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 199, 29–37.
- Bebbington P, Nayani T (1995). The psychosis screening questionnaire. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 5, 11–19.
- Bechdolf A, Thompson A, Nelson B, Cotton S, Simmons MB, Amminger GP, Leicester S, Francey SM, McNab C, Krstev H, Sidis A, McGorry PD, Yung AR (2010). Experience of trauma and conversion to psychosis in an ultra-high risk (prodromal) group. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 121, 377–384.
- Bentall R, de Sousa P, Varese F, Wickham S, Sitko K, Haarmans M (2014). From adversity to psychosis: pathways and mechanisms from specific adversities to specific symptoms. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 49, 1011–1022.
- Bentall R, Wickham S, Shelvin M, Varese F (2012). Do specific early-life adversities lead to specific symptoms of psychosis? A study from the 2007 adult psychiatric morbidity survey. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 38, 734–740.
- Bentall RP, Corcoran R, Howard R, Blackwood N, Kinderman P (2001). Persecutory delusions: a review and theoretical integration. *Clinical Psychology Review* 21, 1143–1192.
- Bird V, Premkumar P, Kendall T, Whittington C, Mitchell J, Kuipers E (2010). Early intervention services, cognitive-behavioural therapy and family intervention in

- early psychosis: systematic review. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **197**, 350–356.
- Brewin CR, Rose S, Andres B, Green J, Tata P, McEvedy C, Turner S, Foa EB** (2002). Brief screening instrument for post-traumatic stress disorder. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **181**, 158–162.
- Buckley PF, Miller BJ, Lehrer DS, Castle DJ** (2009). Psychiatric comorbidities and schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **35**, 383–402.
- Butler RW, Mueser KT, Sprock J, Braff DL** (1996). Positive symptoms of psychosis in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biological Psychiatry* **39**, 839–844.
- Cornblatt B, Lencz T, Obuchowski M** (2002). The schizophrenia prodrome: treatment and high-risk perspectives. *Schizophrenia Research* **54**, 177–186.
- Cromby J, Harper D** (2005). Paranoia and social inequality. *Clinical Psychology Forum* **153**, 17–21.
- de Bont PAJM, van den Berg DPG, dvan der Vleugel BM, de Roos C, Mulder CL, Becker ES, de Jongh A, van der Gaag M, van Minnen A** (2013). A multi-site single blind clinical study to compare the effects of prolonged exposure, eye movement desensitization and reprocessing and waiting list on patients with a current diagnosis of psychosis and co morbid post traumatic stress disorder: study protocol for the randomized controlled trial Treating Trauma in Psychosis. *Trials* **14**, 1–19.
- Ehlers A, Clark D** (2000). A cognitive model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **38**, 319–345.
- Field A** (2000). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS for Windows: Advanced Techniques for Beginners*. London: Sage Publications.
- First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW** (2002). *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Non-patient Edition*. (SCID-I/NP) Biometrics Research: New York.
- Freeman D, McManus S, Brugha T, Meltzer H, Jenkns R, Bebbington P** (2011). Concomitants of paranoia in the general population. *Psychological Medicine* **41**, 923–936.
- Freeman D, Stahl D, McManus S, Meltzer H, Brugha T, Wiles N, Bebbington P** (2012). Insomnia, worry, anxiety and depression as predictors of the occurrence and persistence of paranoid thinking. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* **47**, 1195–1203.
- Freeman D, Startup H, Myers E, Harvey A, Geddes J, Yu L, Zaiwalla Z, Luengo-Fernandez R, Foster R, Lister R** (2013). The effects of using cognitive behavioural therapy to improve sleep for patients with delusions and hallucinations (the BEST study): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* **14**, 214.
- Gilbert P** (2010). *Compassion Focused Therapy*. Routledge: Hove.
- Goodman L, Rosenberg SD, Mueser KT, Drake RE** (1997). Physical and sexual assault history in women with serious mental illness: prevalence, correlates, treatment, and future research directions. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **23**, 685–696.
- Habets P, Marcelis M, Gronenschild E, Drukker M, van Os J** (2011). Reduced cortical thickness as an outcome of differential sensitivity to environmental risks in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* **69**, 487–494.
- Hamner MB, Faldowski RA, Ulmer HG, Frueh BC, Huber MG, Arana GW** (2003). Adjunctive risperidone treatment in post-traumatic stress disorder: a preliminary controlled trial of effects on comorbid psychotic symptoms. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology* **18**, 1–8.
- Heim C, Newport DJ, Heit S, Graham YP, Wilcox M, Bonsall R** (2000). Pituitary-adrenal and autonomic responses to stress in women after sexual and physical abuse in childhood. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **284**, 592–597.
- Honig A, Romme MA, Ensink BJ, Escher SD, Pennings MH, deVries MW** (1998). Auditory hallucinations: a comparison between patients and nonpatients. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* **186**, 646–651.
- IBM Corp** (2011). IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. IBM Corp: Armonk, NY.
- Jackson C, Trower P, Reid I, Smith J, Hall M, Townend M, Barton K, Jones J, Ross K, Russell R, Newton E, Dunn G, Birchwood M** (2009). Improving psychological adjustment following a first episode of psychosis: a randomised controlled trial of cognitive therapy to reduce post psychotic trauma symptoms. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* **47**, 454–462.
- Janssen I, Krabbendam L, Bak M, Hanssen M, Vollebergh W, de Graaf R, van Os J** (2004). Childhood abuse as a risk factor for psychotic experiences. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* **109**, 38–45.
- Kelleher I, Harley M, Lynch F, Arseneault L, Fitzpatrick C, Cannon M** (2008). Associations between childhood trauma, bullying and psychotic symptoms among a school-based adolescent sample. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **193**, 378–382.
- Kingdon D, Ashcroft K, Bhandari B, Gleeson S, Warikoo N, Symons M, Taylor L, Lucas E, Mahendra R, Ghosh S, Mason A, Badrakalimuthu R, Hepworth C, Read J, Mehta R** (2010). Schizophrenia and borderline personality disorder: similarities and differences in the experience of auditory hallucinations, paranoia, and childhood trauma. *Journal of Nervous & Mental Disease* **198**, 399–403.
- Larkin W, Morrison A** (2007). *Trauma and Psychosis: New Directions for Theory and Therapy*. Routledge: Sussex.
- Lawrence C, Jones J, Cooper M** (2010). Hearing voices in a non-psychiatric population. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy* **38**, 363–373.
- Luby ED, Frohman CE, Grisell JL, Lenzo JE, Gottlieb JS** (1959). Sleep deprivation: effects on behavior, thinking, motorperformance and biological energy transfer systems. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **3**, 182–192.
- McManus S, Meltzer H, Brugha T, Bebbington P** (2007). *Adult Psychiatric Morbidity in England, 2007: Results of a Household Survey*. The NHS information Centre: London.
- Menard S** (1995). *Applied Logistic Regression Analysis: Sage University Series on Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences*. Sage: Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Morrison AP** (2001). The interpretation of intrusions in psychosis: an integrative cognitive approach to hallucinations and delusions. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy* **29**, 257–276.

- Morrison AP, Frame L, Larkin W (2003). Relationships between trauma and psychosis: a review and integration. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology* **42**, 331–351.
- Morrison AP, Stewart S, French P, Bentall RP, Birchwood M, Byrne RE, Davies L, Fowler D, Gumley AI, Jones PB, Lewis SW, Murray G, Patterson P, Dunn G (2011). Early Detection and Intervention Evaluation for people at high-risk of psychosis-2 (EDIE-2): trial rationale, design and baseline characteristics. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry* **5**, 24–32.
- Moskowitz A, Schafer I, Dorahy MJ (2011). *Psychosis, Trauma and Dissociation: Emerging Perspectives on Severe Psychopathology*. Wiley Blackwell: London.
- Mueser KT, Goodman LB, Trumbetta SL, Rosenberg SD, Osher FC, Vidaver R, Auciello P, Foy DW (1998). Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in severe mental illness. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **66**, 493–399.
- Nemeroff CB (2004). Neurobiological consequences of childhood trauma. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* **65**, 18–28.
- NICE (2005). Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD): the management of PTSD in adults and children in primary and secondary care. National Institute for Clinical Excellence: London.
- Pepper H, Agius M (2009). Phenomenology of PTSD and psychotic symptoms. *Psychiatria Danubina* **21**, 82–84.
- Pivac N, Knezevic J, Kozaric-Kovacic D, Dezeljic M, Rak D, Matijevic T, Pavelic J, Muck-Seler D (2007). Monoamine oxidase (MAO) intron 13 polymorphism and platelet MAO-B activity in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders* **103**, 131–138.
- Read J, Argyle N (1999). Hallucinations, delusions, and thought disorder among adult psychiatric patients with a history of child abuse. *Psychiatric Services* **50**, 1467–1472.
- Read J, Hammersley P, Rudegeair T (2007). Why, when and how to ask about childhood abuse. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment* **13**, 101–110.
- Read J, van Os J, Morrison A, Ross C (2005). Childhood trauma, psychosis and schizophrenia: a literature review with theoretical and clinical implications. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* **112**, 330–350.
- Rogers WH (1993). sg17: regression standard errors in clustered samples. *Stata Technical Bulletin* **13**, 19–23.
- Romme MAJ, Escher ADMAC (1989). Hearing voices. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **15**, 209–216.
- Scott J, Chant D, Andrews G, Martin G, McGrath J (2007). Association between trauma exposure and delusional experiences in a large community-based sample. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **190**, 339–343.
- Shelvin M, Houston JE, Dorahy MJ, Adamson G (2008). Cumulative traumas and psychosis: an analysis of the national comorbidity survey and the British psychiatric morbidity survey. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **34**, 193–199.
- Spiegel D (2006). Trauma, dissociation and memory. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **821**, 225–237.
- StataCorp (2011). *Stata Statistical Software: Release 12*. StataCorp LP: College Station, TX.
- Van den Berg DPG, De Bont PAJM, van der Vleugel BM, De Roos C, De Jongh A, Van Minnen A, Van der Gaag M (2015). Prolonged exposure vs eye movement desensitization and reprocessing vs waiting list for posttraumatic stress disorder in patients with a psychotic disorder: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry* **72**, 259–267.
- van der Vleugel BM, van den Berg DPG, Staring ABP (2012). Trauma, psychosis, post-traumatic stress disorder and the application of EMDR. *Supplemento alla Rivista di Psichiatria* **47**, 33–38.
- van Rossum I, Dominguez M-d-G, Lieb R, Wittchen H-U, van Os J (2009). Affective dysregulation and reality distortion: a 10-year prospective study of their association and clinical relevance. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **37**, 561–571.
- Varese F, Smeets F, Drukker M, Lieverse R, Lataster T, Viechtbauer W, Read K, van Os J, Bentall RP (2012). Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: a meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective- and cross-sectional cohort studies. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **11**, 1–11.
- Varghese D, Scott J, Welham J, Bor W, Najman J, O'Callaghan M, Williams G, McGrath J (2009). Psychotic-like experiences in major depression and anxiety disorders: a population-based survey in young adults. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **37**, 389–393.
- Waters F, Allen P, Aleman A, Fernyhough C, Woodward T, Badcock JC, Barkus E, Johns L, Varese F, Menon M, Vercammen A, Laroi F (2012). Auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia and nonschizophrenia populations: a review and integrated Model of cognitive mechanisms. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **38**, 683–692.