

A Modern Approach to Quantum Mechanics by Townsend - Solutions

Solutions by: GT SPS

Contributors: Charles Cardot, Cody McCord, Robin Glefke,
Kiernan Reising, Kevin Jin, Alexander George-Kennedy, William
Benston

Last Updated: January 3, 2021

Contents

5	A System of Two Spin-1/2 Particles	3
5.1	3
5.2	4
5.3	4
5.4	4
5.5	4
5.6	5
5.7	5
5.8	5
5.9	6
5.10	7
5.11	7
5.12	7
5.13	7
5.14	7
5.15	7
5.16	8
5.17	8
5.18	9
5.19	9
5.20	10
5.21	10
5.22	10
5.23	11

5.24	11
5.25	12
5.26	12
5.27	12
5.28	13
5.29	13

5 A System of Two Spin-1/2 Particles

5.1

$$|1\rangle = |+z, +z\rangle, |2\rangle = |+z, -z\rangle, |3\rangle = |-z, +z\rangle, |4\rangle = |-z, -z\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 1|\omega_0\hat{S}_{1z}|1\rangle &= \frac{\omega_0\hbar}{2}, \\ \langle 2|\omega_0\hat{S}_{1z}|2\rangle &= \frac{\omega_0\hbar}{2}, \\ \langle 3|\omega_0\hat{S}_{1z}|3\rangle &= -\frac{\omega_0\hbar}{2}, \\ \langle 4|\omega_0\hat{S}_{1z}|4\rangle &= -\frac{\omega_0\hbar}{2},\end{aligned}$$

The Hamiltonian in this problem can be split into a spin-spin component and an external magnetic field component. The matrix representation of the spin-spin Hamiltonian is given in equation (5.14) on pg. 145. The matrix representation of the external magnetic field Hamiltonian is diagonal. The total Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{total} = \hat{H}_{spin-spin} + \hat{H}_{magnetic}$ is given by

$$\hat{H}_{total} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A+\omega_0\hbar}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\omega_0\hbar-A}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-\omega_0\hbar-A}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{A-\omega_0\hbar}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve \hat{H}_{total} for the eigenenergies

$$\begin{aligned}E &= \frac{A}{2} \pm \frac{\omega_0\hbar}{2} \\ E &= \frac{-A \pm \sqrt{4A^2 + \omega_0^2\hbar^2}}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Use binomial expansion $(1+x)^n \approx 1+nx$ for $x \ll 1$

$A \gg \hbar\omega$ limiting case:

$$\begin{aligned}E &= \frac{A}{2} \pm \frac{\omega_0\hbar}{2} \rightarrow E \approx \frac{A}{2} \\ E &= \frac{-A \pm \sqrt{4A^2 + \omega_0^2\hbar^2}}{2} \rightarrow E = -\frac{A}{2} \pm A(1 + (\frac{\omega_0\hbar}{2A})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx -\frac{A}{2} \pm (A + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_0^2\hbar^2}{4A}) \approx -\frac{A}{2} \pm A\end{aligned}$$

$A \ll \hbar\omega$ limiting case:

$$E = \frac{A}{2} \pm \frac{\omega_0 \hbar}{2} \rightarrow E \approx \pm \frac{\omega_0 \hbar}{2}$$

$$E = \frac{-A \pm \sqrt{4A^2 + \omega_0^2 \hbar^2}}{2} \rightarrow E = -\frac{A}{2} \pm \frac{\omega_0 \hbar}{2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{2A}{\omega_0 \hbar}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx -\frac{A}{2} \pm \left(\frac{\omega_0 \hbar}{2} + \frac{A^2}{\omega_0 \hbar}\right) \approx -\frac{A}{2} \pm \frac{\omega_0 \hbar}{2}$$

5.2

5.3

$$|+n\rangle = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |+z\rangle + e^{i\phi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} |-z\rangle$$

$$|-n\rangle = \sin \frac{\theta}{2} |+z\rangle - e^{i\phi} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |-z\rangle$$

$$|0,0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+z, -z\rangle + |-z, +z\rangle) \quad (5.31)$$

$$|+z\rangle = |+n\rangle \langle +n| + z|+n\rangle + z + |-n\rangle \langle -n| + z|-n\rangle + z = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |+n\rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} |-n\rangle$$

$$|-z\rangle = |+n\rangle \langle +n| - z|+n\rangle - z + |-n\rangle \langle -n| - z|-n\rangle - z = e^{-i\phi} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |+n\rangle - e^{-i\phi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} |-n\rangle$$

$$|+z, -z\rangle = |+z\rangle \otimes |-z\rangle$$

$$|-z, +z\rangle = |-z\rangle \otimes |+z\rangle$$

Solve for $|+z, -z\rangle$ and $|-z, +z\rangle$. Then substitute them back into equation (5.31) to find

$$|0,0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-e^{-i\phi} |+n, -n\rangle + e^{-i\phi} |-n, +n\rangle)$$

5.4

5.5

5.6

5.7

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle &= | +z, +z, +z \rangle \\
\hat{S}_- \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle &= (\hat{S}_{1-} + \hat{S}_{2-} + \hat{S}_{3-}) | +z, +z, +z \rangle \\
\hbar\sqrt{3} \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle &= \hbar(| -z, +z, +z \rangle + | +z, -z, +z \rangle + | +z, +z, -z \rangle) \\
\left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(| -z, +z, +z \rangle + | +z, -z, +z \rangle + | +z, +z, -z \rangle) \\
\hat{S}_- \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle &= (\hat{S}_{1-} + \hat{S}_{2-} + \hat{S}_{3-}) \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \\
2\hbar \left| \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{3}}(| -z, -z, +z \rangle + | -z, +z, -z \rangle + | -z, -z, +z \rangle + \\
&\quad | +z, -z, -z \rangle + | -z, +z, -z \rangle + | +z, -z, -z \rangle) \\
\left| \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(| -z, -z, +z \rangle + | -z, +z, -z \rangle + | -z, -z, +z \rangle) \\
\hat{S}_- \left| \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle &= (\hat{S}_{1-} + \hat{S}_{2-} + \hat{S}_{3-}) \left| \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \\
\hbar\sqrt{3} \left| \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2} \right\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{3}}(| -z, -z, -z \rangle + | -z, -z, -z \rangle + | -z, -z, -z \rangle) \\
\left| \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2} \right\rangle &= | -z, -z, -z \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

5.8

$$\begin{aligned}
| +n \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(| +z \rangle + e^{i\phi} | -z \rangle) \\
| -n \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(| +z \rangle - e^{i\phi} | -z \rangle) \\
| 0, 0 \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(| +z, -z \rangle - | -z, +z \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

To find $P_{++}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, $P_{--}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, $P_{+-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, and $P_{-+}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ we must find the overlap between $\langle +n_a, +n_b | 0, 0 \rangle$, $\langle -n_a, -n_b | 0, 0 \rangle$, $\langle +n_a, -n_b | 0, 0 \rangle$, and $\langle -n_a, +n_b | 0, 0 \rangle$.

and $\langle -n_a, +n_b|0, 0| -n_a, +n_b|0, 0\rangle$ respectively. This is algebraically tedious, but can be expedited slightly by first looking at the general form of $|\pm n_a, \pm n_b\rangle$

$$|\pm n_a, \pm n_b\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(| +z, +z\rangle + (\pm_b) e^{i\phi_a} | +z, -z\rangle \right. \\ \left. + (\pm_a) e^{i\phi_b} | -z, +z\rangle + (\pm_a \cdot \pm_b) e^{i(\phi_a + \phi_b)} | -z, -z\rangle \right)$$

and noticing that $\langle +n_a, +n_b|0, 0| +n_a, +n_b|0, 0\rangle = -\langle -n_a, -n_b|0, 0| -n_a, -n_b|0, 0\rangle$ and $\langle +n_a, -n_b|0, 0| +n_a, -n_b|0, 0\rangle = -\langle -n_a, +n_b|0, 0| -n_a, +n_b|0, 0\rangle$. Therefore $P_{++}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = P_{--}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ and $P_{+-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = P_{-+}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, meaning we only actually have to do 2 out of the 4 calculations.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle +n_a, +n_b|0, 0| +n_a, +n_b|0, 0\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle +z, +z| + e^{i\phi_a} \langle +z, -z| + e^{i\phi_b} \langle -z, +z| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{i(\phi_a - \phi_b)} \langle -z, -z| \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (| +z, -z\rangle - | -z, +z\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e^{-i\phi_b}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{e^{-i\phi_a}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \\ P_{++}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) &= |\langle +n_a, +n_b|0, 0| +n_a, +n_b|0, 0\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4} (1 - \cos(\phi_a - \phi_b)) \\ \langle +n_a, -n_b|0, 0| +n_a, -n_b|0, 0\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\langle +z, +z| - e^{i\phi_a} \langle +z, -z| + e^{i\phi_b} \langle -z, +z| \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{i(\phi_a - \phi_b)} \langle -z, -z| \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (| +z, -z\rangle - | -z, +z\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{e^{-i\phi_b}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{e^{-i\phi_a}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \\ P_{+-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) &= |\langle +n_a, -n_b|0, 0| +n_a, -n_b|0, 0\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4} (1 + \cos(\phi_a - \phi_b)) \end{aligned}$$

If you are having trouble following the algebra in the above steps, don't forget that $e^{i\phi} = \cos(\phi) + i \sin(\phi)$, $\sin(\phi) = -\sin(-\phi)$, and $\cos(\phi) = \cos(-\phi)$. Now we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) &= P_{++}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) + P_{--}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) - P_{+-}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) - P_{-+}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (1 - \cos(\phi_a - \phi_b)) + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \cos(\phi_a - \phi_b)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} (1 + \cos(\phi_a - \phi_b)) - \frac{1}{4} (1 + \cos(\phi_a - \phi_b)) \\ &= -\cos(\phi_a - \phi_b) = -\cos(\theta_{ab}) \end{aligned}$$

5.9

$$\hat{S}_n = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\phi} \\ e^{i\phi} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{S}_{1a} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\phi_a} \\ e^{i\phi_a} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and; } \hat{S}_{2b} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\phi_b} \\ e^{i\phi_b} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_n | +z \rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2} e^{i\phi} | -z \rangle \\ \hat{S}_n | -z \rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2} e^{-i\phi} | +z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\langle +z, -z | - \langle -z, +z |) \hat{S}_{1a} \hat{S}_{2b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (| +z, -z \rangle - | -z, +z \rangle) = \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\langle +z, -z | - \langle -z, +z |) \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{i(\phi_a - \phi_b)} | -z, +z \rangle - e^{i(\phi_b - \phi_a)} | +z, -z \rangle) = \\ & \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \left(-\frac{e^{\phi_a - \phi_b}}{2} - \frac{e^{\phi_b - \phi_a}}{2} \right) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4} \left(\frac{\cos(\phi_a - \phi_b)}{2} + \frac{\cos(\phi_b - \phi_a)}{2} \right) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4} \cos(\theta_{ab}) \end{aligned}$$

5.10

5.11

5.12

5.13

5.14

5.15

5.16 $|\Psi\rangle = a|+z\rangle + b|-z\rangle$.

$$|+x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|+z\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|-z\rangle \quad |-x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|+z\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|-z\rangle$$

a)

$$\hat{\rho} = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & ab \\ ab & b^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

b)

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} |i\rangle\langle j| \text{ where } i, j = +z, -z$$

$$|i\rangle = \sum_a |a\rangle\langle a|i|a\rangle$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} |i\rangle\langle j| = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{a,b} p_{ij} |a\rangle\langle a|i|a\rangle\langle j|b|j|b\rangle\langle b| \text{ where } a, b = +x, -x$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (a+b)^2 & (a^2-b^2) \\ (a^2-b^2) & (a-b)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

c) Note that the probability that a measurement of S_x yields $\hbar/2$ for the state $|\Psi\rangle$ is equal to $|\langle +x|\Psi\rangle|^2$. Look at the discussion on page 172 of the Townsend textbook for more information.

$$\hat{P}_{|\Phi\rangle} = |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi| \quad (5.76)$$

$$\text{tr}(\hat{P}_{|\Phi\rangle}\hat{\rho}) = |\langle\Phi|\Psi\rangle|^2 \quad (5.77)$$

$$\hat{P}_{+x} = |+x\rangle\langle +x|$$

$$\text{tr}(\hat{P}_{+x}\hat{\rho}) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b)^2$$

5.17

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\langle S_x | S_x \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{S}_x \hat{\rho}) = \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\hbar}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = -\frac{\hbar}{2}$$

Note that $\text{Tr}(\hat{S}_x \hat{\rho}) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{S}_x)$, see problem 5.27. This is the density operator for a pure state. This can be trivially proven by calculating $\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2)$ (see page 172

equation (5.75) and page 174 equation (5.85) of the Townsend textbook). For the second justification we can use the definition of a pure state density matrix given in equation (5.70), where we see that for a pure state $\hat{\rho} = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$. We simply need to find some normalized pure state $|\Psi\rangle$ that allows us to recreate the density operator given in this problem. Indeed we see that this is satisfied by

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+z\rangle - |-z\rangle)$$

$$|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| = \frac{1}{2}(|+z\rangle\langle+z| + |-z\rangle\langle-z| - |+z\rangle\langle-z| - |-z\rangle\langle+z|) = \hat{\rho}$$

5.18

$$\hat{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle+z|\hat{\rho}|+z\rangle & \langle+z|\hat{\rho}|-z\rangle \\ \langle-z|\hat{\rho}|+z\rangle & \langle-z|\hat{\rho}|-z\rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\langle S_x|S_x\rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{S}_x\hat{\rho}), \langle S_y|S_y\rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{S}_y\hat{\rho}), \langle S_z|S_z\rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{S}_z\hat{\rho})$$

$$\langle S_x|S_x\rangle = \text{Tr}\left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0$$

$$\langle S_y|S_y\rangle = \text{Tr}\left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0$$

$$\langle S_z|S_z\rangle = \text{Tr}\left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \frac{\hbar}{4}$$

5.19

$$|+n\rangle = \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|+z\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|-z\rangle$$

$$|-n\rangle = \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|+z\rangle - e^{i\phi}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|-z\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|+n\rangle\langle+n| &= \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2 | +z\rangle\langle +z| + e^{-i\phi} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) | +z\rangle\langle -z| \\
&\quad + e^{i\phi} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) | -z\rangle\langle +z| + \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2 | -z\rangle\langle -z| \\
|-n\rangle\langle-n| &= \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2 | +z\rangle\langle +z| - e^{-i\phi} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) | +z\rangle\langle -z| \\
&\quad - e^{i\phi} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) | -z\rangle\langle +z| + \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2 | -z\rangle\langle -z| \\
\hat{\rho} &= \frac{1}{2} | +n\rangle\langle +n| + \frac{1}{2} | -n\rangle\langle -n| = \frac{1}{2} | +z\rangle\langle +z| + \frac{1}{2} | -z\rangle\langle -z|
\end{aligned}$$

5.20

5.21 The density operator from Problem 5.18 is

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{3}{4} | +z\rangle\langle +z| + \frac{1}{4} | -z\rangle\langle -z|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Psi_1\rangle &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} | +z\rangle + \frac{1}{2} | -z\rangle \\
|\Psi_2\rangle &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} | +z\rangle - \frac{1}{2} | -z\rangle \\
|\Psi_1\rangle\langle\Psi_1| &= \frac{3}{4} | +z\rangle\langle +z| + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} | +z\rangle\langle -z| + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} | -z\rangle\langle +z| + \frac{1}{4} | -z\rangle\langle -z| \\
|\Psi_2\rangle\langle\Psi_2| &= \frac{3}{4} | +z\rangle\langle +z| - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} | +z\rangle\langle -z| - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} | -z\rangle\langle +z| + \frac{1}{4} | -z\rangle\langle -z| \\
\hat{\rho} &= \frac{1}{2} (|\Psi_1\rangle\langle\Psi_1| + |\Psi_2\rangle\langle\Psi_2|) = \frac{3}{4} | +z\rangle\langle +z| + \frac{1}{4} | -z\rangle\langle -z|
\end{aligned}$$

5.22

$$\hat{H} = -\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cdot \vec{B} = -\mu_z B \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{\mu}_z = -\frac{ge}{2mc} \hat{S}_z$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} |1\rangle &= \frac{ge\hbar}{2mc} |1\rangle = \mu B |1\rangle \\ \hat{H} |0\rangle &= 0 \\ \hat{H} |-1\rangle &= -\frac{ge\hbar}{2mc} |-1\rangle = -\mu B |-1\rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\rho} \xrightarrow{\text{In } S_z \text{ basis}} \frac{1}{Z} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\frac{\mu B}{k_b T}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\frac{\mu B}{k_b T}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad Z = e^{-\frac{\mu B}{k_b T}} + 1 + e^{\frac{\mu B}{k_b T}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}M &= N \langle \hat{\mu}_z | \hat{\mu}_z \rangle = N \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{\mu}_z) \\ M &= -N \left(\frac{ge\hbar}{2Zmc} \right) \text{Tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} e^{-\frac{\mu B}{k_b T}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\frac{\mu B}{k_b T}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ M &= \frac{N\mu}{Z} (e^{\frac{\mu B}{k_b T}} - e^{-\frac{\mu B}{k_b T}}), \text{ for } \frac{\mu B}{k_B T} \ll 1, \quad e^{\frac{\mu B}{k_b T}} \approx 1 + \frac{\mu B}{k_b T} \\ M &= N\mu \left(\frac{1 + \frac{\mu B}{k_b T} - 1 + \frac{\mu B}{k_b T}}{1 + \frac{\mu B}{k_b T} + 1 + 1 - \frac{\mu B}{k_b T}} \right) = N\mu \left(\frac{2\mu B}{3k_B T} = \frac{2N\mu^2}{3k_B} \cdot \frac{B}{T} = C \frac{B}{T} \right)\end{aligned}$$

$C_{spin\ 1} = \frac{2N\mu^2}{3k_B}$ and $C_{spin\ 1/2} = \frac{N\mu^2}{k_B}$, therefore $C_{spin\ 1} < C_{spin\ 1/2}$ from Example 5.6

5.23

5.24

5.25

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr(\hat{A}) &= \sum_i \langle i | \hat{A} | i \rangle \\
Tr(\hat{P}_{|\Phi\rangle} \hat{\rho}) &= \sum_i \sum_k \langle i | \Phi \rangle \langle \Phi | \Psi^{(k)} \rangle p_k \langle \Psi^{(k)} | i \rangle \\
&= \sum_i \sum_k \langle \Psi^{(k)} | i \rangle p_k \langle i | \Phi \rangle \langle \Phi | \Psi^{(k)} \rangle \\
&= \sum_k p_k \langle \Psi^{(k)} | \Phi \rangle \langle \Phi | \Psi^{(k)} \rangle \\
&= \sum_k p_k |\langle \Phi | \Psi^{(k)} \rangle|^2
\end{aligned}$$

For a mixed state, p_k is the probability that the particle is in state $|\Psi^{(k)}\rangle$. To figure out the probability that a measurement on the particle yields the state $|\Phi\rangle$ we must measure the overlap between $|\Phi\rangle$ and each state $|\Psi^{(k)}\rangle$ that the particle might be in. Given that the particle is not guaranteed to be in state $|\Psi^{(k)}\rangle$, we must normalize each of these overlap measurements by the probability, p_k , that the particle *is* in the state $|\Psi^{(k)}\rangle$, which is exactly what the last line of math above this text describes.

5.26

5.27

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & \dots \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & \dots \\ a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{3,3} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,1} & b_{1,2} & b_{1,3} & \dots \\ b_{2,1} & b_{2,2} & b_{2,3} & \dots \\ b_{3,1} & b_{3,2} & b_{3,3} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr(\hat{A}\hat{B}) &= \sum_i \langle i | \hat{A}\hat{B} | i \rangle \\
&= \sum_i \sum_j a_{i,j} b_{j,i} \\
&= \sum_i \sum_j b_{i,j} a_{j,i} \\
&= \sum_j \langle j | \hat{B}\hat{A} | j \rangle \\
&= Tr(\hat{B}\hat{A})
\end{aligned}$$

5.28

5.29

a)

$$\hat{\rho}(0) = \sum_{i,j} \rho_{i,j} |i(0)\rangle \langle j(0)|$$

$$\hat{U}(t)\hat{\rho}(0)\hat{U}^\dagger(t) = \sum_{i,j} \rho_{i,j} \hat{U}(t) |i(0)\rangle \langle j(0)| \hat{U}^\dagger = \sum_{i,j} \rho_{i,j} |i(t)\rangle \langle j(t)| = \hat{\rho}(t)$$

b) An ensemble of particles in a pure state is given by $\hat{\rho}(0) = |\Psi(0)\rangle \langle \Psi(0)|$. If an ensemble CAN evolve into a mixed state then the the density matrix will become a sum of more than one outer product of states

(ex: $\hat{\rho} = a_{1,2} |\Psi_1\rangle \langle \Psi_2| + a_{3,4} |\Psi_3\rangle \langle \Psi_4| + \dots$). Time evolution is governed by the Schrodinger equation $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{U} = \hat{H} \hat{U} \Rightarrow i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\Psi(t)\rangle$. If we time evolve the density matrix of a pure state we see that $\hat{\rho}(t) = \hat{U}(t)\hat{\rho}(0)\hat{U}^\dagger(t) = |\Psi(t)\rangle \langle \Psi(t)|$ as governed by the Schrodinger equation. This means that $\hat{\rho}(t)$ is still representing a pure state and does NOT take the form of a mixed state $\hat{\rho} = a_{1,2} |\Psi_1\rangle \langle \Psi_2| + a_{3,4} |\Psi_3\rangle \langle \Psi_4| + \dots$. This implies that time evolution which is governed by the Schrodinger equation cannot evolve an ensemble of particles which begins in a pure state into a mixed state.